

**CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION**

45 FREMONT, SUITE 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219  
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200  
FAX (415) 904-5400



RECORD PACKET COPY

**W-14**

Energy and Ocean Resources  
Staff: JJL, SMH—SF  
Staff Report: February 15, 2002  
Hearing Date: March 6, 2002

**STATUS REPORT ON SONGS MITIGATION PROGRAM**

Following is a brief status report for the mitigation projects required in Southern California Edison Company's (SCE) coastal development permit for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) Units 2 and 3 (permit no. 6-81-330, formerly 183-73). The conditions originally were adopted by the Commission in 1991 to mitigate the adverse impacts of the power plant on the marine environment. The 1991 conditions also require SCE to provide the funds necessary for Commission technical oversight and independent monitoring of the mitigation projects, to be carried out by independent contract scientists under the direction of the Executive Director. In 1993, the Commission added a requirement for the permittee to partially fund construction of an experimental fish hatchery. The Commission has since approved amendments to the conditions in April 1997 and October 1998.

**NOTICE OF TECHNICAL REVIEW WORKSHOP**

A public workshop will be conducted by the Commission staff and contract scientists to review the status of the SONGS wetland restoration and artificial reef mitigation projects. The workshop will be held on February 27, 2002, from 10:30 AM to 3:30 PM at the City of San Clemente Community Center (100 North Calle Seville, San Clemente). A preliminary draft agenda can be reviewed on the Commission's web site ([www.coastal.ca.gov](http://www.coastal.ca.gov)). For more information, contact Jody Loeffler at (415) 904-5255 or Dan Reed at (805) 893-7047.

**WETLAND RESTORATION MITIGATION****The Project**

Condition A of the permit requires the permittee to create or substantially restore a minimum of 150 acres of wetlands to mitigate for impacts to fishes caused by the operation of SONGS. In April 1997, the Commission reaffirmed its 1992 approval of the permittee's choice of the San Dieguito River Valley as the site for the wetland restoration project and allowed for up to 35 acres credit for enhancement at San Dieguito Lagoon on the condition of perpetual inlet maintenance.

## Progress Report

**Wetland Restoration Planning.** The Commission approved SCE's preliminary wetland restoration plan for the San Dieguito Lagoon in November 1997. The CEQA/NEPA environmental review incorporated the mitigation project into the overall San Dieguito River Valley Regional Open Space Park project. The lead agencies for the CEQA/NEPA review were the San Dieguito River Valley Regional Open Space Park Joint Powers Authority (JPA) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Following the review period on the January 2000 draft EIR/EIS, the final EIR/EIS was released in September 2000. At a public hearing on September 15, 2000, the JPA certified the EIR and voted to support the EIR's designation of Mixed Habitat plan as the environmentally preferred alternative. The Commission's contract scientists attended the meeting and concurred with this decision. As required by NEPA, the availability of the final EIR/EIS was published in the Federal Register in September 2000, and the USFWS will prepare and issue a final Record of Decision.

**Litigation on Final EIR.** Lawsuits challenging the adequacy of the final EIR (FEIR) were filed by the Del Mar Sandy Lane Association and Citizens United to Save the Beach. Although in a July 2001 decision the Court rejected certain of the plaintiff's claims, it determined that the FEIR is inadequate with regard to several issues, most significantly that there is insufficient evidence supporting the FEIR's conclusion that the project will not increase scour and loss of sand at the river mouth. The Court set aside the JPA's certification of the FEIR and remanded the matter back to the JPA. The judge recently signed the final judgment. The JPA has filed its notice of appeal, and plaintiffs have filed notice of a cross-appeal.

**Outstanding Issues.** Although the JPA is appealing the Court's ruling on the FEIR, the JPA, SCE and USFWS have agreed to move forward during the appeals process to address the points other than the coastal process issue deemed inadequate by the Court in order to be ready to re-certify the FEIR if necessary. Regardless of the outcome of the appeals process, these additional analyses will be needed at the time of the Commission's review of the coastal development permit application for the restoration project.

At the same time, the staff and SCE are continuing to work with the parties to resolve the remaining issues involving the least tern nesting sites. Although the least tern nesting sites are included in the overall plan, they are a previous requirement from a coastal development permit (CDP No. 6-84-525) granted to the 22<sup>nd</sup> Agricultural District (District), and not a requirement of SCE's SONGS permit. SCE has agreed to construct the nesting sites for the District in exchange for access to and use of District property near the rivermouth. At issue is who is to take on the financial responsibility for implementing the maintenance, monitoring, and mitigation requirements.

Staff has worked with SCE, USFWS, Department of Fish and Game, the JPA, and the District on these issues. At a meeting in April 2001, staff discussed the annual nesting site maintenance and maintenance monitoring (i.e., site maintenance, including vegetation control and fence inspection and repair, predator monitoring and control, and bird monitoring) needed to maintain a viable least tern habitat as required under the District's coastal development permit. Staff also discussed the need for mitigating impacts to existing wetlands caused by the construction of the nesting sites. As a follow-up to the meeting, staff presented a draft annual maintenance plan and

estimated annual costs. In July 2001, staff presented a formal interpretation of the District's obligations under its permit. The District has not yet responded; staff will reinstate its efforts with the District to resolve these permit issues.

In addition, the State Lands Commission is continuing its efforts to resolve property ownership issues with the District. Resolution of title and boundary interests involving the San Dieguito River will assist in negotiations for access to the rivermouth for the restoration project.

In the meantime, SCE has moved ahead to develop its Final Plan while recognizing that project revisions may be necessary pending resolution of the outstanding issues. The staff will continue to work with SCE to ensure that the plan meets the objectives and standards specified in the permit and to ensure that Coastal Act issues will be addressed appropriately at the coastal development permit stage of the project.

**Pre-restoration Monitoring.** As part of the Commission's technical oversight, monitoring and management responsibilities under Condition D, the contract scientists are conducting pre-restoration monitoring in San Dieguito Lagoon and in other southern California wetlands that may be used as reference sites in post-restoration monitoring. Pre-restoration monitoring includes the collection of baseline physical and biological data on the wetland attributes to be monitored during post-restoration monitoring. Pre-restoration data are required to assess construction-related impacts and changes in the existing wetland following construction. Pre-restoration monitoring data are also needed to develop sampling designs for post-restoration monitoring that can effectively determine whether the various performance standards have been met, while minimizing any adverse effects of sampling on wetland resources. Pre-restoration monitoring is presently focussing on the analysis of data collected to determine the appropriate number and spacing of samples for use in the post-restoration monitoring of intertidal epibenthic and infaunal invertebrates. Fieldwork for this study was carried out in three wetlands that may serve as reference sites in post-restoration monitoring (Tijuana Estuary, Mugu Lagoon, and Carpinteria Salt Marsh). Laboratory processing of the samples is nearly complete. The contract scientists are continuing to monitor water quality in San Dieguito Lagoon and Carpinteria Salt Marsh.

## **KELP REEF MITIGATION**

### **The Project**

Condition C of the permit requires construction of an artificial reef that consists of an experimental reef and a larger mitigation reef. The experimental reef must be a minimum of 16.8 acres and the mitigation reef must be of sufficient size to sustain 150 acres of medium to high density kelp bed community. The purpose of the experimental reef is to determine which combinations of substrate type and substrate coverage will most likely achieve the performance standards specified in the permit. The design of the mitigation reef will be contingent on the results of the experimental reef.

In April 1997, the Commission added the requirement for a payment of \$3.6 million to the State's Ocean Resource Enhancement and Hatchery Program (OREHP) to fund a mariculture/marine fish hatchery to provide compensation for resources not replaced by the artificial mitigation reef. SCE has fully satisfied this requirement.

## Progress Report

Following completion of the environmental review and permitting process, construction of the experimental reef located off San Clemente was completed in September 1999. The experimental reef tests eight different reef designs that vary in substrate composition (quarry rock or recycled concrete), substrate coverage (17%, 34% and 67%), and presence of transplanted kelp. All eight reef designs are represented as individual 40 m x 40 m modules that are replicated in seven areas (i.e., blocks) for a total of 56 artificial reef modules totaling 22.4 acres.

**Monitoring of Experimental Reef.** The monitoring plan approved by the Commission specifies that the abundance of giant kelp, macro invertebrates, understory algae, and kelp bed fish, and the area and coverage of hard substrate on the artificial reef modules be surveyed each year for five years.

The second year of these studies has been completed. The major focus of work during the next several months will be on:

- (1) Continuing analyses and preparation for the second annual public workshop scheduled for February 27, 2002.
- (2) Planning and implementing process studies. Currently, three types of process studies are planned for the next two years: (1) studies that aim to determine the cause for differences in the species composition of the artificial reef modules vs. natural reference reefs, (2) studies that determine the possible influence of artificial reef design on invasive invertebrate species (these studies will concentrate on the sea fan, *Muricea californica*, which has been shown to monopolize artificial reefs in the region), and (3) studies that evaluate different methods of measuring fish production on the artificial and reference reefs. Planning has involved meetings with the Scientific Advisory Panel, SCE and their consultants, and artificial reef biologists from the California Department of Fish and Game.

## FISH BEHAVIORAL MITIGATION

### The Project

Condition B requires the permittee to install and maintain behavioral barrier devices at SONGS to reduce fish impingement losses.

### Progress Report

SCE conducted a number of laboratory and in-plant experiments testing the behavioral response of fish to lights and sound devices from 1992 through 1999. None of the experiments showed evidence that these devices would reduce fish impingement losses as required by Condition B. At the same time, SCE continued its modified heat cleaning treatments at the plant (called the Fish Chase procedure), which result in a considerable reduction in fish impingement losses.

In October 2000, the Commission reviewed the results and concluded that no further testing of alternative behavioral barriers should be required at this time, provided that (1) SCE continues to adhere to the operating, monitoring, and reporting procedures for the modified heat cleaning treatments and (2) SCE makes every effort to test and install, if feasible, future technologies or

techniques for fish protection if such techniques become accepted industry standards or are required by the Commission in other power plant regulatory actions.

The staff received SCE's *2000 Annual Marine Environmental Analysis* report in August 2001. The staff reviewed the report's data and analysis on the fish chase procedure at SONGS, which indicate that it was consistent with the Commission's requirements. SCE is currently in compliance with Condition B of the SONGS permit.

