# CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SAN DIEGO AREA 7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4402 (619) 767-2370



# Th 3c

# **Addendum**

October 2, 2007

To: Commissioners and Interested Persons

From: California Coastal Commission

San Diego Staff

Subject: Addendum to **Th 3c**, Coastal Commission Permit Application

#6-07-96 (Cornell), for the Commission Meeting of 10/11/07

Staff recommends the following changes be made to the above-referenced staff report:

1. On Page 1 of the staff report, the site address should be corrected as follows:

Site: 719-721 720-722 Manhattan Ct., Mission Beach, San Diego, San Diego

County. APN 423-621-06

 $(G:\San\ Diego\Reports\2007\6-07-096\ addendum.doc)$ 

#### CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SAN DIEGO AREA 7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4421 (619) 767-2370



Th 3c

Filed: 8/20/07 49th Day: 10/8/07 180th Day: 2/16/08

Staff: Laurinda Owens-SD

Staff Report: 9/19/07 Hearing Date: 10/10-12/07

# STAFF REPORT: CONSENT CALENDAR

**Application No.**: 6-07-96

**Applicant**: Ken Cornell **Agent**: Fernando Gonzalez-Pacheco

**Description:** Demolition of an existing one-story, 1,318 sq.ft. residential duplex and

construction of a three-story, 30 ft. high, two-unit, 2,139 sq.ft. condominium building with five on-site parking spaces on a 2,800

sq.ft. site.

Lot Area 2,800 sq. ft.

Building Coverage 1,635 sq. ft. (58%) Pavement Coverage 359 sq. ft. (13%)

Landscape/Unimproved

Area 806 sq. ft. (29%)

Parking Spaces 5 Zoning R-S

Plan Designation Residential South (36 dua)

Project Density 31.1 dua Ht abv fin grade 30 feet

Site: 719-721 Manhattan Ct., Mission Beach, San Diego, San Diego

County. APN 423-621-06.

**Substantive File Documents**: Certified Mission Beach Precise Plan; Certified Mission Beach Planned District Ordinance

# I. <u>STAFF RECOMMENDATION</u>:

The staff recommends the Commission adopt the following resolution:

**MOTION:** I move that the Commission approve the coastal development

permit applications included on the consent calendar in

accordance with the staff recommendations.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO ADOPT CONSENT CALENDAR:

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. Passage of this motion will result in approval of all the permits included on the consent calendar. The motion passes only by affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present.

# II. Standard Conditions.

See attached page.

### **III. Special Conditions.**

The permit is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Final Landscape/Yard Area Fence Plans. PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall submit to the Executive Director for review and written approval final landscaping and fence plans approved by the City of San Diego. The plans shall be in substantial conformance with the landscape plans as submitted by Ocean Pacific Design, dated 7/31/07, and shall include the following:
  - a. A view corridor a minimum of 10 ft. wide shall be preserved in the north yard area adjacent to Manhattan Court. All proposed landscaping in the north yard area shall be maintained at a height of three feet or lower (including raised planters) to preserve views toward the ocean. A maximum of two (2) tall trees with thin trunks are permitted, provided they are located close to the building (i.e., within 3-6 ft. of the building) and outside of the 10 ft. wide view corridor and they do not block views towards the ocean.
  - b. All landscaping shall be drought-tolerant, native or non-invasive plant species. All landscape materials within the identified view corridors shall be species with a growth potential not expected to exceed three feet at maturity, except for authorized trees. No plant species listed as problematic and/or invasive by the California Native Plant Society, the California Invasive Plant Council, or as may be identified from time to time by the State of California shall be employed or allowed to naturalize or persist on the site. No plant species listed as 'noxious weed' by the State of California or the U.S. Federal Government shall be utilized within the property.
  - c. Any fencing in the north yard setback area shall permit public views and have at least 75 percent of its surface area open to light.
  - d. A written commitment by the applicant that, five years from the date of the issuance of the coastal development permit for the residential structure, the applicant will submit for the review and written approval of the Executive Director a landscape monitoring report prepared by a licensed Landscape

Architect or qualified Resource Specialist that certifies whether the on-site landscaping is in conformance with the landscape plan approved pursuant to this Special Condition. The monitoring report shall include photographic documentation of plant species and plant coverage.

If the landscape monitoring report indicates the landscaping is not in conformance with or has failed to meet the performance standards specified in the landscaping plan approved pursuant to this permit, the applicant, or successors in interest, shall submit a revised or supplemental landscape plan for the review and written approval of the Executive Director. The revised landscaping plan must be prepared by a licensed Landscape Architect or Resource Specialist and shall specify measures to remediate those portions of the original plan that have failed or are not in conformance with the original approved plan.

The permittee shall undertake the development in accordance with the approved landscape plans. Any proposed changes to the approved plans shall be reported to the Executive Director. No changes to the plans shall occur without a Commission-approved amendment to the permit unless the Executive Director determines that no such amendment is legally required.

- 2. <u>Timing of Construction</u>. No construction shall take place for the project between Memorial Day weekend and Labor Day of any year. Access corridors and staging areas shall be located in a manner that has the least impact on public access via the maintenance of existing public parking areas and traffic flow on coastal access routes (no street closures or use of public parking as staging areas).
- 3. Final Plans/Historical Resources Determination. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall submit for review and written approval of the Executive Director, final plans for the new two-unit condominium development. Said plans shall be in substantial conformance with the plans submitted by Ocean Pacific Design, dated 7/31/07, with this application and shall first be reviewed and approved in writing by the City of San Diego. In addition, the plans shall reflect that the City has reviewed the applicant's historical evaluation and concur that the existing structure to be demolished is not historic.

The permittee shall undertake the development in accordance with the approved plans. Any proposed changes to the approved plans shall be reported to the Executive Director. If a determination is made that the existing structure is historic, an amendment to the permit shall be required. No changes to the plans shall occur without a Coastal Commission approved amendment to this coastal development permit unless the Executive Director determines that no additional amendment is legally required.

4. <u>Deed Restriction</u>. **PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT**, the applicant shall submit to the Executive Director for review and approval documentation demonstrating that the applicant has executed and

recorded against the parcel(s) governed by this permit a deed restriction, in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director: (1) indicating that, pursuant to this permit, the California Coastal Commission has authorized development on the subject property, subject to terms and conditions that restrict the use and enjoyment of that property; and (2) imposing the Special Conditions of this permit as covenants, conditions and restrictions on the use and enjoyment of the Property. The deed restriction shall include a legal description of the entire parcel or parcels governed by this permit. The deed restriction shall also indicate that, in the event of an extinguishment or termination of the deed restriction for any reason, the terms and conditions of this permit shall continue to restrict the use and enjoyment of the subject property so long as either this permit or the development it authorizes, or any part, modification, or amendment thereof, remains in existence on or with respect to the subject property.

# IV. Findings and Declarations.

The Commission finds and declares as follows:

A. <u>Detailed Project Description/History</u>. Proposed is the demolition of a onestory, 1,318 sq.ft., residential duplex and the construction of a three-story, 30 ft. high, two-unit, 2,139 sq.ft. condominium building with five on-site parking spaces on a 2,800 sq.ft. site. Unit A will have three bedrooms and Unit B will have two bedrooms. A total of five on-site parking spaces will be provided in a two-car garage, a two-car carport and single-car carport. In addition, the proposed development will result in an improvement to on-site parking as the existing structure is currently deficient in parking (only two spaces for two units exist where four would be required pursuant to current regulations). As such, the proposed project will enhance public access to the coast in this area by providing adequate on-site parking reducing the need for residents and/or visitors to park on the street which may usurp parking for beach visitors, consistent with Section 30252 of the Coastal Act. The project site fronts on Mission Boulevard at the southwest corner with Manhattan Court in the community of Mission Beach.

With regard to potential impacts to public views, Mission Beach is a small peninsula-shaped sliver of land located on filled tidelands in Mission Bay Park. It is bordered by the Pacific Ocean to the west and Mission Bay Park to the east (ref. Exhibit No. 1). In the Mission Beach community, the public rights-of-way of the various courts and places, which are generally east/west running streets, comprise the community's public view corridors. In addition, the public boardwalk (Ocean Front Walk), which runs north/south along the beach, serves not only as a highly popular public accessway, but also serves as a view corridor along the shoreline. The project site fronts on Mission Boulevard at the southwest corner with Manhattan Court in the community of Mission Beach. The residential blocks in this area are much shorter in length and the site is only one lot east of Ocean Front Walk, the public boardwalk, as well as the beach in this area. Thus, there is the potential for the subject development to impact views to the shoreline.

The Commission typically reviews projects to assure that any new proposed development does not encroach into the yard setback areas which could impede public views toward

the ocean. In this particular case, the proposed development will observe all required setbacks and public views to the ocean will not be impacted as a result of the proposed structure. However, there is the potential for proposed landscaping in the side yard area to impede views to the ocean (both initially and over time, as plant materials/trees mature). The Commission typically permits the planting of two tall trees with thin trunks provided that they are placed close to the structure (i.e., 3-5 ft.) and outside of the view corridor, so as not to obstruct views to and along the shoreline. Although the north side yard is 15 ft. wide, the requirements of the City's certified Land Development Code provide that a visual corridor not less than the side yard setbacks or more than 10 feet in width shall be provided for such view corridors. As such, Special Condition #1 requires that the applicant submit final landscape plans that require that all proposed landscaping and hardscaping consist of only low level material that does not impede views to the ocean. The permitted landscape elements include plant materials that do not block views (limited to a height of about 3 ft.) and a maximum of two tall trees with thin trunks provided they are located close to the building and they do not impede views toward the ocean. The condition also stipulates that all landscape materials within the identified view corridors shall be species with a growth potential not expected to exceed three feet at maturity, except for authorized trees. As conditioned, it can be assured that any landscape improvements proposed in the north side yard setback area will not impede public views toward and along the ocean.

With regard to community character, the existing residences in this community vary widely in architectural style and appearance. An existing one-story duplex is being removed and a three-story condominium building is proposed in its place. The proposed structure will be visually compatible with the character of the surrounding neighborhood and the pattern of redevelopment in the area. In summary, the proposed development, as conditioned, will not result in any public view blockage and is found visually compatible with the character of the surrounding neighborhood, consistent with Section 30251 of the Coastal Act.

In addition, to address potential concerns with regard to construction activities on public access on this nearshore property, the project has been conditioned (#2) such that no work shall occur between Memorial Day weekend and Labor Day of any year. Therefore, the proposed development, as conditioned, does not interfere with public access opportunities is found consistent with the public access and recreation policies of the Coastal Act.

As noted above, in order to make way for the proposed new development, the applicant is proposing to demolish an existing residential duplex on the site. The structure is composed of wood siding and was constructed in 1925. The City's certified Land Development Code contains regulations pertaining to protection of Historical Resources. Because some of the existing older structures may contain some historical significance, Section 143.0212 of the LDC provides that the City shall determine the need for a site-specific survey for the purposes of obtaining a construction permit or development permit for development for any parcel containing a structure that is 45 or more years old. In this particular case, as noted above, the structure is 82 years old and, as such, it must be

determined whether or not the structure has any historical significance. To address this, the applicant has submitted a historical evaluation for the existing structure that concludes it has no historical significance. However, the City has not yet reviewed or signed-off on the report. As such, Special Condition #3 requires that evidence of the City's concurrence be provided prior to issuance of the permit. Special Condition #3 also requires that final plans approved by the City of San Diego have been stamped and approved prior to issuance of the coastal development permit. This is to assure that the new development on the site meets the City's zoning requirements (i.e., PDO design requirements). Special Condition #4 requires the permit and findings be recorded to assure future property owners are aware of the permit conditions.

- **B.** Community Character /Visual Quality. The development is located within an existing developed area and, as conditioned, will be compatible with the character and scale of the surrounding area and will not impact public views. Therefore, the Commission finds that the development, as conditioned, conforms to Section 30251 of the Coastal Act.
- **C.** <u>Public Access/Parking</u>. As conditioned, the proposed development will not have an adverse impact on public access to the coast or to nearby recreational facilities. As conditioned, the proposed development conforms to Sections 30210 through 30214, Sections 30220 through 30224, Section 30252 and Section 30604(c) of the Coastal Act.
- **D.** <u>Local Coastal Planning</u>. The subject site is located in an area of original jurisdiction, where the Commission retains permanent permit authority and Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act remains the legal standard of review. As conditioned, the proposed development is consistent with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act. Approval of the project, as conditioned, will not prejudice the ability of the City of San Diego to contuinue to implement its certified LCP for the Mission Beach community.
- **E.** California Environmental Quality Act. As conditioned, there are no feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect which the activity may have on the environment. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned to mitigate the identified impacts, is the least environmentally damaging feasible alternative and is consistent with the requirements of the Coastal Act to conform to CEQA.

# **STANDARD CONDITIONS:**

- 1. <u>Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment</u>. The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.
- 2. <u>Expiration</u>. If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date on which the Commission voted on the application. Development

- shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.
- 3. <u>Interpretation</u>. Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.
- 4. <u>Assignment</u>. The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.
- 5. <u>Terms and Conditions Run with the Land</u>. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

(G:\San Diego\Reports\2007\6-07-096 Cornell stfrpt.doc)



