CALIFORNIA COASTAL

COMMISSION

SAN DIEGO AREA 7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4421 (619) 767-2370



Thu 17a

TO: Commissioners and **DATE:** January 25, 2007

Interested Persons

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limit for City of Carlsbad LCP Amendment No. 4-

06 (C-T Zone) for the Commission Meeting of February 14-16, 2007

On December 13, 2006, the City of Carlsbad Local Coastal Program (LCP) Amendment #4-06 was filed in the San Diego District office. The amendment involves modification of certain land use and zoning designations in the Tourist Recreation Land Use designation and the Commercial Tourist zone. The proposed amendment will affect the certified LCP land use plan and implementation plan.

Pursuant to Section 30512 of the Coastal Act, amendments containing both land use and implementation plan components, must be acted upon by the Commission within 90 days of filing. Based on the above-cited time limits, the proposed LCP amendment must be scheduled for review by the Commission at the February 14-16, 2007 meeting. However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535(c) of the California Code of Regulations state that the Commission may extend for good cause any applicable time limits for a period not to exceed one year.

Commission staff is requesting the time extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the amendment and prepare a recommendation. In addition, the City has been consulted and has indicated the request for postponement is acceptable to allow for a southern California meeting location and allow more time for staff discussions.

Staff recommends the Commission extend the 90-day time limit for one year. However, it is anticipated that the amendment request will be scheduled for the April 11-13 2007 or May9-11, 2007 hearings to accommodate a southern California hearing location.

MOTION:

I move that the Commission extend the 90-day time limit to act on the City of Carlsbad LCP Amendment No. 4-06 for one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends a YES vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.