CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SAN DIEGO AREA 7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4421 (619) 767-2370

Th 6a

DATE: July 19, 2007



TO: Commissioners and

Interested Persons

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limit for City of Oceanside LCP Amendment

No. 1-07 (Downtown "D" District) for the Commission Meeting of

August 8-10, 2007

On June 25, 2007, the City of Oceanside Local Coastal Program (LCP) Amendment #1-07 was filed in the San Diego District office. The amendment involves changes to Articles 4, 12 and 41 of the City's ordinance for the Downtown "D" District. Proposed changes will update the permissible commercial and visitor-serving uses and definitions including the introduction of condo-hotels within the Downtown "D" District and the Redevelopment Project Area. The proposed amendment will affect the certified LCP Implementation Plan.

Pursuant to Section 30513 of the Coastal Act, the Commission must act on implementation plan amendments within 60 days of filing. Based on the above-cited time limits, the proposed LCP amendment must be scheduled for review by the Commission at the August 8-10, 2007 meeting. However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535(c) of the California Code of Regulations state that the Commission may extend for good cause any applicable time limits for a period not to exceed one year.

Commission staff is requesting the time extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the amendment and prepare a recommendation. In addition, the City has been consulted and has indicated the request for postponement is acceptable to allow for a southern California meeting location.

Staff recommends the Commission extend the 60-day time limit for one year. However, it is anticipated that the amendment request will be scheduled for the October or November hearings in Los Angeles or San Diego.

MOTION:

I move that the Commission extend the 60-day time limit to act on the City of Oceanside LCP Amendment No. 1-07 for one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends a <u>YES</u> vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.