CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SAN DIEGO AREA 7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4421 (619) 767-2370



Th4a

Filed: 2/19/08 49th Day: 4/8/08 180th Day: 8/17/08

Staff: G. Cannon-SD

Staff Report: 3/20/08 Hearing Date: 4/9-11/08

STAFF REPORT: CONSENT CALENDAR

Application No.: 6-07-20

Applicant: AT&T Mobile **Agent**: Plancom, Inc.

Description: Construction of a wireless communication facility consisting of a 55 ft.-

high artificial "broadleaf tree" monopole structure with 12 attached

antennas and a radio equipment building that will be located within a 12 ft.

x 30 ft. chain link fenced enclosure surrounded by landscaping at an

existing golf course facility.

Site: 1505 Lomas Santa Fe Drive, Solana Beach, San Diego County.

APN 263-293-52.

Substantive File Documents: City of Solana Beach General Plan and Zoning

Ordinance; City of Solana Beach Development Review Permit 17-05-39

CUP/DRP/SDP.

I. STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The staff recommends the Commission adopt the following resolution:

MOTION: I move that the Commission approve the coastal development

permit applications included on the consent calendar in

accordance with the staff recommendations.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO ADOPT CONSENT CALENDAR:

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. Passage of this motion will result in approval of all the permits included on the consent calendar. The motion passes only by affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present.

II. Standard Conditions.

See attached page.

III. Special Conditions.

The permit is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. <u>Co-Location of Future Antennae</u>. PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall agree in writing to cooperate with other communication companies in co-locating additional antennae and/or equipment on the project site in the future, providing such shared use does not impair the operation of the approved facility. Upon the Commission's request, the permittee shall provide an independently prepared technical analysis to substantiate the existence of any practical technical prohibitions against the operation of a co-use facility.
- 2. Future Redesign. PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall agree in writing that where future technological advances would allow for reduced visual impacts resulting from the proposed telecommunication facility, the applicant agrees to make those modifications which would reduce the visual impact of the proposed facility. In addition, if in the future the facility is no longer needed, the applicant agrees to abandon the facility and be responsible for removal of all permanent structures, and restoration of the site as needed to re-establish the area consistent with the character of the surrounding vegetation. Before performing any work in response to the requirements of this condition, the applicant shall contact the Executive Director of the California Coastal Commission to determine if an amendment to this coastal development permit is necessary.

IV. Findings and Declarations.

The Commission finds and declares as follows:

A. Detailed Project Description/History. The applicant proposes to construct a wireless communication facility consisting of a 55 ft.-high monopole designed as broadleaf tree with 12 antennas mounted to it. In addition, associated radio equipment will be housed within a 12' x 30' chain link fenced enclosure that will be surrounded by landscaping. The project site is located at the northwest corner of Lomas Santa Fe Drive and Highland Drive in the City of Solana Beach. Currently there are two existing wireless communication monopoles and equipment storage facilities on this same corner (Ref. CDP #6-00-26/Pacific Wireless and CDP #6-02-100/Verizon). The applicant has documented that the other existing facilities cannot accommodate their proposed antennae. In other areas of the coastal zone, the construction of a third monopole structure or artificial tree design could raise significant visual concerns. However, the proposed site is located 2 ½ miles inland of the shoreline on a corner that contains numerous tall Eucalyptus trees and other landscaping associated with a golf course. No

6-07-20 Page 3

public views across the site of the ocean or shoreline exist and the project does not conflict with any other coastal resources.

Special Condition #1 requires that the applicant submit a written statement agreeing to cooperate with other communication facilities in co-locating additional antenna on the proposed development, unless the applicant can demonstrate a substantial technical conflict to doing so. Special Condition #2 requires the applicant to submit a written statement agreeing to remove the structures and restore this site in the future should technological advances make this facility obsolete. In this way, it can be assured that the proliferation of these types of facilities can be limited to appropriate locations, and that the area will not be littered with outdated and obsolete facilities in the future.

The City does not have a local coastal plan and, therefore, Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act is the standard of review.

- **B.** <u>Biological Resources</u>. Coastal Act policies 30240 and 30251 restrict the alteration of natural landforms and protect sensitive habitats. Section 30231 of the Coastal Act requires that coastal waters are protected and runoff minimized. The proposed development will not have an adverse impact on any sensitive habitat and will not result in erosion or adverse impacts to water quality. Thus, the project is consistent with the resource protection policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act.
- C. <u>Community Character / Visual Quality</u>. The development is located within an existing developed area and, as conditioned, will be compatible with the character and scale of the surrounding area and will not impact public views. Therefore, the Commission finds that the development, as conditioned, conforms to Section 30251 of the Coastal Act.
- **D.** <u>Local Coastal Program.</u> The City of Solana Beach does not have a certified LCP at this time. Thus, the Coastal Commission retains permit jurisdiction in this community and Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act remains the legal standard of review. As conditioned, the proposed development is consistent with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act. Approval of the project, as conditioned, will not prejudice the ability of the City of Solana Beach to prepare a Local Coastal Program that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3.
- **E.** California Environmental Quality Act. As conditioned, there are no feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect which the activity may have on the environment. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned to mitigate the identified impacts, is the least environmentally damaging feasible alternative and is consistent with the requirements of the Coastal Act to conform to CEQA.

STANDARD CONDITIONS:

- 1. <u>Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment</u>. The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.
- 2. <u>Expiration</u>. If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date on which the Commission voted on the application. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.
- 3. <u>Interpretation</u>. Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.
- 4. <u>Assignment</u>. The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.
- 5. <u>Terms and Conditions Run with the Land</u>. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

(G:\San Diego\Reports\2007\6-07-020 ATT.doc)



