

**CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION**

SAN DIEGO AREA  
7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4421  
(619) 767-2370

**W 16a**

TO: Commissioners and  
Interested Persons

DATE: August 18, 2011

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limit for City of Oceanside LCP Amendment No. 2-10 (Telecommunication Facilities) for the Commission Meeting of September 7-9, 2011

On August 1, 2011, the City of Oceanside's Local Coastal Program (LCP) Amendment #2-10 was filed in the San Diego District office. The amendment involves a request to repeal the City's existing zoning code provisions (Section 3025) for telecommunication facilities and adopt two new articles (Articles 39 and 39A) in their place. The two new articles address wireless communications facilities; satellite dish and antenna standards; amateur radio antennas and support structure standards. The proposed amendment will only affect the certified LCP implementation plan.

Pursuant to Section 30513 of the Coastal Act, the Commission must act on implementation plan amendments within 60 days of filing. Based on the above-cited time limits, the proposed LCP amendment must be scheduled for review by the Commission at the September 7-9, 2011 meeting. However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535(c) of the California Code of Regulations state that the Commission may extend for good cause any applicable time limits for a period not to exceed one year.

Commission staff is requesting the time extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the amendment and prepare a recommendation. In addition, given limited staff resources and the number of other pending LCP items, additional time is needed to review and act on this request. Staff recommends the Commission extend the 60-day time limit for one year.

**MOTION:**

I move that the Commission extend the 60-day time limit to act on the City of Oceanside LCP Amendment No. 2-10 for one year.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.