CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

South Coast Area Office 200 Oceangate, Suite 1000 Long Beach, CA 90802-4302 (562) 590-5071



W8b

Filed:	6/28/13
49th Day:	8/16/13
180th Day:	12/24/13
Staff:	Al Padilla-LB
Staff Report:	8/19/13
Hearing Date:	9/11-13/13

STAFF REPORT: CONSENT CALENDAR

APPLICATION NUMBER:	5-13-0461

APPLICANT: City of Santa Monica and HDOS Enterprises

PROJECT LOCATION: 16

1633 Ocean Front Walk, City of Santa Monica, Los Angeles County

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Demolition of an existing 440 square foot take-out restaurant (Hot Dog on a Stick) and construction of a 16.5 foot high, 660 square foot take-out restaurant in same location along beach promenade.

SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The applicant proposes to replace an existing take-out restaurant with a new take-out restaurant in the same location, just southeast of the Santa Monica Pier. The new building will be one-story 660 square feet, approximately 220 square feet larger than the existing building and similar in height, but with a mechanical roof screen extending to 16.5 feet. Issues raised by the proposed development include protection of scenic resources, public access, and marine resources. Staff recommends that the Commission grant a permit for the proposed development with conditions regarding: 1) assumption of risk; 2) no future shoreline protective device; and 3) construction responsibilities and debris removal. As conditioned, the proposed development conforms with the visual resources, coastal access and marine resource protection policies of the Coastal Act.

Table of Contents

I.	MOTION AND RESOLUTION	. 3
II.	STANDARD CONDITIONS	. 3
III.	SPECIAL CONDITIONS.	.4
IV.	FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS.	5
	A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION	. 5
	B. DEVELOPMENT	6
	C. ACCESS	. 7
	D. HAZARDS	. 8
	E. WATER QUALITY	9
	F. LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM	9
	G. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)	9

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1-- Vicinity Map Exhibit 2 – Aerial View Exhibit 3—Site Plan Exhibit 4—Side Elevation Exhibit 5—Front Elevation Exhibit 6—Street View

I. MOTION AND RESOLUTION

Motion:

I move that the Commission approve coastal development permit applications included on the consent calendar in accordance with the staff recommendations.

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. Passage of this motion will result in approval of all permits included on the consent calendar. An affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.

Resolution:

The Commission hereby approves a permit, subject to the conditions below, for the proposed development and adopts the findings set forth below on grounds that the development as conditioned will be in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act and will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction over the area to prepare a local coastal program conforming to the provisions of Chapter 3. Approval of the permit complies with the California Environmental Quality Act because either 1) feasible mitigation measures and/ or alternatives have been incorporated to substantially lessen any significant adverse effects of the development on the environment, or 2) there are no further feasible mitigation measures or alternative that would substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts of the development on the environment.

II. STANDARD CONDITIONS

This permit is granted subject to the following standard conditions:

- 1. **Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment**. The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.
- 2. **Expiration**. If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date on which the Commission voted on the application. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.
- 3. **Interpretation.** Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.
- 4. **Assignment**. The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.

5. **Terms and Conditions Run with the Land**. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

III. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Assumption of Risk, Waiver of Liability and Indemnity Agreement Applicable to Applicant

A. By acceptance of this permit, the applicant, City of Santa Monica and HDOS Enterprises, acknowledges and agrees (i) that the site may be subject to hazards from wave and tidal action; (ii) to assume the risks to the applicant, City of Santa Monica, and the property that is the subject of this permit of injury and damage from such hazards in connection with this permitted development; (iii) to unconditionally waive any claim of damage or liability against the Commission, its officers, agents, and employees for injury or damage from such hazards; (iv) to indemnify and hold harmless the Commission, its officers, agents, and employees with respect to the Commission's approval of the project against any and all liability, claims, demands, damages, costs (including costs and fees incurred in defense of such claims), expenses, and amounts paid in settlement arising from any injury or damage due to such hazards; and (v) to include a provision in any subsequent lease of such property requiring the lessee to submit a written agreement to the Commission, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, incorporating all of the terms of subsection A of this condition.

B. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the City of Santa Monica and HDOS Enterprises, as applicant, shall submit a written agreement, in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director, incorporating all of the above terms of this condition.

2. No Future Shoreline Protective Device

A. By acceptance of this Permit, the applicant agrees, on behalf of itself and all successors and assigns, that no shoreline protective device(s) shall ever be constructed to protect the development approved pursuant to Coastal Development Permit No. 5-13-0461, and any other future improvements, in the event that the development is threatened with damage or destruction from waves, erosion, storm conditions, or other natural hazards in the future. By acceptance of this Permit, the applicant hereby waives, on behalf of itself (or himself or herself, as applicable) and all successors and assigns, any rights to construct such devices that may exist under Public Resources Code Section 30235.

B. By acceptance of this Permit, the applicant further agrees, on behalf of itself and all successors and assigns, that the applicant shall remove the development authorized by this Permit, due to destruction or damage that leaves the walkway unusable or makes it hazardous to the public. In the event that portions of the development break off or separates, the applicant shall remove all recoverable debris associated with the development from the beach

and ocean and lawfully dispose of the material in an approved disposal site. Such removal shall require a coastal development permit.

- **3.** Construction Responsibilities and Debris Removal. Applicant shall not allow discharge of silt or debris into coastal waters as a result of this project. By acceptance of this permit, the applicant agrees that the permitted development shall be conducted in a manner that protects water quality pursuant to the implementation of the following BMPs:
 - A. No construction materials, equipment, debris, or waste will be placed or stored where it may be subject to wave, wind, or rain erosion and dispersion.
 - B. Staging and storage of construction machinery and storage of debris shall not take place on the beach.
 - C. Any and all debris resulting from construction activities shall be removed from the beach area on a daily basis and disposed of at an appropriate location.
 - D. Erosion control/sedimentation Best Management Practices (BMP's) shall be used to control sedimentation impacts to coastal waters during project staging, demolition and construction. BMPs shall include a pre-construction meeting to review procedural and BMP guidelines.
 - E. The applicant shall dispose of all demolition and construction debris resulting from the proposed project at an appropriate location outside the coastal zone. If the disposal site is located within the coastal zone, a coastal development permit or an amendment to this permit shall be required before disposal can take place.
 - F. At the end of the construction period, the permittee shall inspect the project area and ensure that no debris, trash or construction material has been left on the beach or in the water, and that the project has not created any hazard to navigation.

IV. FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS

A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The applicant is proposing to rebuild the existing Hot Dog on a Stick restaurant in the same location, just southeast of the Santa Monica Pier along Ocean Front Walk (Promenade). The existing one story 440 square foot take-out only restaurant will be demolished and a new 660 square foot facility will be constructed in same location along the beach fronting pedestrian promenade. The proposed project will result in an increase of 220 square feet over the existing building to bring the facility into compliance with current building and health codes and the American with Disabilities Act. The one story building's foot print will expand from 10 foot wide by 44 foot long to 15 foot wide by 44 foot long. The height of the new one-story building will be similar in height to the existing building, measuring 13.5 foot high, as measured to the top of the roof parapet, with a 3 foot high roof screen for screening roof mounted mechanical equipment for an overall building height of 16.5 feet.

The take-out restaurant is a single stand-alone building located within a 64 space public beach parking lot that fronts the 20 to 30 foot wide Promenade. To the north of the public parking lot there is existing one and two-story commercial development consisting of take-out and sit down restaurants, retail shops and mixed use commercial/residential development. To the south there is

a three-story and a four-story multi-residential building. To the west, seaward of the Promenade, there is a lifeguard headquarters building, beach area and municipal pier.

Construction will take approximately 2 months and will be conducted during the non-summer period (after Labor Day). During construction the parking lot will remain open but some spaces immediately adjacent to the construction area will be temporarily unavailable for public use but will be available once construction has been completed. No parking spaces will be permanently removed due to the proposed project.

B. DEVELOPMENT

Section 30250 of the Coastal Act states in part that:

(a) New residential, commercial, or industrial development, except as otherwise provided in this division, shall be located within, contiguous with, or in close proximity to, existing developed areas able to accommodate it or, where such areas are not able to accommodate it, in other areas with adequate public services and where it will not have a significant adverse effects, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources.

Section 30251 of the Coastal Act states in part that:

The scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas shall be considered and protected as a resource of public importance. Permitted development shall be sited and designed to protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas, to minimize the alteration of natural land forms, to be visually compatible with the character surrounding areas, and, where feasible, to restore and enhance visual quality in visually degraded areas.

The proposed project is located adjacent to the public beach between the beach and the first public road (Appian Way) paralleling the beach. The area is in the City's south beach subarea that is developed with commercial development, multi-family residential development and public beach parking lots along the landward side of the Promenade. Development in the surrounding area along the Promenade varies from one to five stories in height. The beach area, seaward of the project site and promenade, is developed with the pier, located to the northwest of the project site, lifeguard headquarters building directly to the west, and beach recreational equipment to the southwest.

Views of the surrounding beach from the area of the project site include views from the Promenade, which runs in front (seaward) of the project site; side streets (Moss Avenue and Seaside Terrace) that provide ingress and egress to the parking lot in which the take-out restaurant is located; and Appian Way, which is located behind the parking lot and restaurant and provides vehicle and pedestrian access along this stretch of beach area.

The proposed project will not impact coastal views from the Promenade since views are to the north and south along the beach area and directly seaward of the Promenade and the area landward

of the Promenade is developed with commercial and residential development. Views of the beach and ocean from the adjacent side streets will continue to be available with no significant impact from the proposed project. Along Appian Way, directly behind the project site, the roadway is elevated approximately 12 to 15 feet above the grade of the public parking lot and the take-out restaurant. In this location public coastal views from the roadway extend out to the pier and beach area and because of the roadway's elevation above the parking lot views of the beach and ocean are currently available over the roof of the existing restaurant building. The proposed building, with an increase in height of 3 feet, will not have a significant impact on existing public coastal views along Appian Way. With the minor increase in height, views of the beach and ocean will continue to be available from Appian Way over and along either side of the roof and screened mechanical equipment.

The project as proposed is consistent with the character and scale of the surrounding area and with past Commission permit action for the area and will not adversely impact public coastal views. The Commission, therefore, finds that the proposed project is consistent with Sections 30250 and 30251 of the Coastal Act.

C. ACCESS

The Commission has consistently found that a direct relationship exists between the provision of adequate parking and the availability of public access to the coast. Section 30252 of the Coastal Act requires that new development should maintain and enhance public access to the coast by providing adequate parking facilities or providing substitute means of serving the development with public transportation.

Section 30252 of the Coastal Act states in part:

The location and amount of new development should maintain and enhance public access to the coast by... (2) providing commercial facilities within or adjoining residential development or in other areas that will minimize the use of coastal access roads, (3) providing nonautomobile circulation within the development, (4) providing adequate parking facilities or providing substitute means of serving the development with public transportation...

The Commission has consistently required support parking for all new development because demand generated by new development can adversely impact beach access by usurping the limited public spaces in an area that are available to the general beach going public. However, in past permit action, the Commission has approved development with no support parking for small visitor-serving establishments that rely mainly on beach visitors for patronage and are not large enough to become a destination that would themselves draw visitors and generate a significant parking demand.

The existing 440 square foot restaurant is a take-out only facility with a walk-up window facing the pedestrian promenade with no on-site support parking. The proposed project will be expanded by 220 square feet to 660 square feet and will continue to operate as a take-out facility with no

customer seating. Because of the small size of the facility, no indoor or outdoor seating, and location immediately adjacent to the pier, beach commercial area and beach, the facility's demand is mainly from visitors that come to the pier and beach and is not a destination type restaurant that generates a parking demand. Furthermore, employees are generally from the area and either walk to work or use alternative transportation, such as bicycles or mass transit.

Hot Dog on a Stick has operated at this site since 1945 as a walk-up facility and will continue as a walk-up facility. As proposed, the project will not adversely impact public beach parking and will improve beach access by providing a commercial visitor-serving use that will help support visitor and recreational use in the area. Therefore, the proposed development conforms with Sections 30210 through 30213, of the Coastal Act.

D. HAZARDS

Section 30253 of the Coastal Act states that new development shall minimize risks to life and property in areas of high geologic, flood, and fire hazard, and assure stability and structural integrity, and neither create nor contribute significantly to erosion, geologic instability, or destruction of the site or surrounding area or in any way require the construction of protective devices that would substantially alter natural landforms along bluffs and cliffs. The proposed project involves construction of a new building immediately landward of the pedestrian promenade.

The beach is over 400 feet wide and beach properties are generally protected from wave up rush by the wide beach and by the pier and breakwater. However, with projected sea level rise, development at such a location is inherently risky, therefore, the Commission is imposing its standard waiver of liability special condition (**Special Condition No. 1**) to ensure that the applicant is aware of the hazards and restrictions on the subject property. Through Special Condition No. 1, the applicant is notified that the project site is in an area that is potentially subject to wave action and flooding which could damage the proposed structures. The applicant is also notified that the Commission is not liable for such damage as a result of approving the permit for development. In addition, the condition insures that future lessees of the property will be informed of the risks, and the Commission's immunity from liability.

To further ensure that the proposed project is consistent with Sections 30251 and 30253 of the Coastal Act, and to ensure that the proposed project does not result in future adverse effects to coastal processes, the Commission imposes **Special Condition No. 2** which would prohibit the applicant from constructing a shoreline protective device for the purpose of protecting any of the development proposed as part of this application. This condition is necessary because it is impossible to completely predict what conditions the proposed structure may be subject to in the future.

By imposing the "No Future Shoreline Protective Device" special condition, the Commission requires that no shoreline protective devices shall ever be constructed to protect the development approved by this permit in the event that the development is threatened with damage or destruction from waves, erosion, storm conditions or other natural hazards in the future. The Commission also requires that the applicant remove the structure if any government agency has ordered that the

structure be removed due to wave uprush and flooding hazards. In addition, in the event that portions of the development are destroyed on the beach before they are removed, the applicant shall remove all recoverable debris associated with the development from the beach and ocean and lawfully dispose of the material in an approved disposal site. Such removal shall require a coastal development permit.

Therefore, only as conditioned does the Commission find the proposed project consistent with Sections 30251 and 30253 of the Coastal Act.

E. WATER QUALITY

The proposed development has a potential for a discharge of polluted runoff from the project site into coastal waters. The development, as proposed and as conditioned, incorporates design features to minimize the effect of construction and post construction activities on the marine environment. These design features include, but are not limited to, the appropriate management of equipment and construction materials and the use of post construction best management practices to minimize the project's adverse impact on coastal waters. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed development, as conditioned in **Special Condition No. 3**, conforms to Sections 30230 and 30231 of the Coastal Act regarding the protection of water quality to promote the biological productivity of coastal waters and to protect human health.

F. LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM

Coastal Act section 30604(a) states that, prior to certification of a local coastal program ("LCP"), a coastal development permit can only be issued upon a finding that the proposed development is in conformity with Chapter 3 of the Act and that the permitted development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare an LCP that is in conformity with Chapter 3. In August 1992, the Commission certified, with suggested modifications, the land use plan portion of the City of Santa Monica's Local Coastal Program, excluding the area west of Ocean Avenue and Neilson way (Beach Overlay District). On September 15, 1992, the City of Santa Monica accepted the LUP with suggested modifications. The proposed development is consistent with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act. Approval of the project will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare a Local Coastal Program that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act.

G. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

As conditioned, there are no feasible alternatives or additional feasible mitigation measures available that would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect that the activity may have on the environment. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned to mitigate the identified impacts, is the least environmentally damaging feasible alternative and can be found consistent with the requirements of the Coastal Act to conform to CEQA.











