

EXHIBIT B1

Project Work Plan, Schedule, and Budget

Title: Local Coastal Program Amendment to Address Sea Level Rise

Organization: City of Del Mar, Planning and Community Development Department

Budget Summary:

OPC Grant Funds:	\$100,000	
City of Del Mar General Fund	\$77,794	<u>\$15,209</u>
City of Del Mar In-kind services	\$22,206	<u>\$12,268</u>
Total project cost:	\$200,000	<u>\$127,477</u>

Term of Project: April 16, 2015 to October 31, 2017

A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The City of Del Mar Local Coastal Program Amendment (LCPA) will develop and incorporate retreat, protection, and accommodation strategies into its certified LCP. The LCPA will be targeted specifically on addressing sea level rise (SLR) and coastal flooding impacts to its most vulnerable coastal resources, visitor-serving amenities, and residences. In particular, vulnerable areas include the following: 1) San Dieguito Lagoon State Marine Conservation Area (SMCA) and bordering tidelands; 2) Northern limit of the Los Peñasquitos Lagoon; 3) Del Mar Racetrack and Fairgrounds; 4) North Beach and coastal bluff at north City limit; 5) Public beach from 29th Street south to Powerhouse Park; 6) Public beach from Powerhouse Park to south City limit, including the flanking 1.5-mile coastal bluff and rail corridor; 7) Various public access points to the coast; and 8) Crest to Coast Trail, including the Lagoon Boardwalk and RiverPath Del Mar segments.

The LCPA is anticipated to add a new chapter to the LCP Implementing Ordinances (IO) specific to SLR with modifications to existing IO provisions, LCP Land Use Plan (LUP) policies, and boundaries of applicable Overlay Zones and the Post-LCP Permit and Appeal Jurisdiction Map. Corollary amendment to the City's Community (General) Plan and the Del Mar Municipal Code (DMMC) may also be required to ensure the Community (General) Plan and Municipal Code is consistent with the proposed LCPA. Technical studies will include the preparation of a Vulnerability Assessment, Risk Assessment, and Adaptation Plan. Review pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) is not anticipated pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21080.9 which exempts local governments from activities and approvals that are necessary for the preparation and adoption of an LCPA.

The City will submit all grant deliverables to the California Coastal Commission (CCC) San Diego Coast District Office and the CCC's grant coordinator. For reports and the LCPA, the City will discuss an outline or scope of work with CCC San Diego staff before commencing work, and will share drafts of reports with CCC San Diego staff for review

EXHIBIT B1

before finalizing. The City will work with the CCC to update work products based on an iterative exchange of comments.

The Work Program has four main objectives:

- Objective 1:** Understand the degree of vulnerability posed to Del Mar's beaches, lagoons, bluffs, visitor-serving amenities, and residences by examining the magnitude of risks and sensitivities associated with SLR and coastal flooding. A Vulnerability Assessment will be prepared in early 2016 using the best available science on SLR, which examines historical erosion and storm data, and creates a model of the current and projected Mean High Tide Line (MHTL). This assessment will serve as the foundation for the Update and necessary technical studies.
- Objective 2:** Evaluate how expected sea level rise impacts will affect California Coastal Act resources, people, development, infrastructure, and natural resources located in areas vulnerable to sea level rise. A Risk Assessment will be prepared in early 2016 and will prioritize areas to target with sea level rise strategies as part of an Adaptation Plan.
- Objective 3:** Create an Adaptation Plan that identifies effective shoreline Accommodation, Protection and Retreat strategies through rigorous analysis of sea level rise and community/stakeholder input. The Plan will prioritize adaptation strategies based on ability to protect coastal resources and to implement *Safeguarding California: Reducing Climate Risk* principles (see Task 3.1). Once designed in mid-2016, the Adaptation Plan will be integrated into the LCP and will serve as the City's long-range planning guide for SLR management.
- Objective 4:** Consolidate the findings from the prior three objectives into an updated LCPA that would include land use policies and implementation measures related to SLR and coastal flooding impacts. **This objective will be met through the City's Round 3 LCP Grant (LCP-16-13).**

B. TASK DESCRIPTION

TASK 1: PROJECT COMMENCEMENT

Task 1.1 Issue a Request for Proposal

The City will issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a 43-day review period to obtain bids from consultants experienced in SLR analysis and technical assessments.

Deliverables

Confirmation of RFP posting

Task 1.2 Establish a Stakeholder-Technical Advisory Committee

EXHIBIT B1

The City will also establish the selection framework for a SLR Stakeholder-Technical Advisory Committee (STAC) to assist the City throughout the LCPA process. The STAC will be comprised of several stakeholders in SLR issues. Examples may include any of the following: 1) those who could be directly impacted by sea level rise, including property owners of beachfront and bluff-top parcels and users of City beaches and trails; 2) those with a broader interest in coastal resources including citizen groups, non-profits, and regional organizations; 3) those with technical expertise in sea level rise, dynamic modeling, and coastal engineering, including researchers and practitioners; 4) a biologist experienced in wetland preservation and restoration; 5) a representative from the 22nd District Agricultural Association and/or the North County Transit District; and 6) members from the City advisory committees (e.g., San Dieguito Lagoon Committee, Parks & Recreation Committee, and/or Sustainability Advisory Board).

Deliverables

Initial STAC roster with a preliminary meeting schedule

Task 1.3 Consultant Selection

The City and its appointed members will review RFP bids, conduct interviews, and select a consultant to assist in sea level rise analysis and technical assessments. The selection will be presented to the City Council at a public hearing and a contract award will be authorized.

Deliverables

Executed consultant contract

Summary of consultant RFPs received and interviewed

Task 1.4 Prepare the Public Involvement Process

The City, with STAC input, will prepare a program and schedule for community meetings and stakeholder outreach to engage the broader public throughout the process. Community meetings will be coordinated in relation to each of the project benchmarks in order to assist the City in defining the Planning Area, identifying potential vulnerabilities and risks, developing implementation strategies and policies, and evaluating the feasibility and costs associated with those strategies and policies.

Additional stakeholder outreach will be conducted, including polling and/or citywide surveys, to encourage additional input throughout the process. As part of the outreach efforts, at minimum, in addition to CCC staff, the following groups will be invited to participate in the project: 1) Del Mar residents, businesses, and interest groups, especially those in the Planning Area; 2) Cities of Solana Beach, Encinitas, Carlsbad, and the City and County of San Diego; 3) The San Diego Foundation; 4) Surfrider Foundation, San Diego Chapter; 5) San Diego Coastkeeper; 6) San Dieguito River Valley Joint Powers Authority; 7) San Dieguito River Valley Conservancy; 8) Friends of San Dieguito River Valley; 9) Sierra Club, San Diego Chapter; 10) Scripps Institute of Oceanography, School of Marine Sciences; 11) Climate Collaborative Network; 12) San

EXHIBIT B1

Diego County Supervisor, District 3; 13) State Assembly Member, District 78; and 14) State Senator, District 39.

Task 1.5 Regional Coordination

Additionally, the City will coordinate and share information and lessons learned as appropriate with other LCP planning grant recipients, regional local governments, and other entities, as appropriate. The City will hold regular coordination meetings (phone or in-person) with CCC San Diego staff on a monthly basis, or as needed, throughout the duration of the project.

Deliverables

Outreach program
Schedule for community meetings

TASK 2: ASSESSMENT PREPARATION

Task 2.1 Compile Data and Existing Analyses, Determine Data and Information Gaps

Prior to initiating Tasks 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5, the City will complete an analysis to assess all existing data and information available, including the documents identified in Task 2.4, and will identify data and information gaps.

Deliverable

Gaps analysis

Task 2.2 Define the Planning Area

Conduct an extensive refinement of the preliminary Planning Area boundaries included in the grant request. The City will coordinate with the CCC's Mapping Unit on the development of maps, including maps of the Coastal Zone, Coastal Appeal Zone, and other maps as required by the Coastal Act. The following activities are anticipated to be components of this Task:

1. Create a detailed hydrodynamic model of the Planning Area to provide an accurate picture of the future floodplain based on SLR scenarios.
2. Expand beyond the baseline data provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) to include the following:
 - a. Coastal Storm Modeling System (CoSMoS) information, including CoSMoS 3.0 once it is made available in late 2015
 - b. Both coastal and river flood scenarios as an example for aggregating coastal and river flooding
 - c. Historical shoreline and bluff positions and coastal armoring
 - d. Wave and tide profiles

EXHIBIT B1

- e. Climate change data to determine baseflow and future run-off projections
 - f. A range of SLR projections for 2030, 2060, and 2100 including low and high values for each time period
 - g. Culvert outfall and surface discharge points
 - h. Backshore characterization (dune, inlet, cliff, and armoring) and geology
 - i. Potential erosion impacts of a large storm wave event
 - j. Shoreline, bluff, and river sedimentation
 - k. Wave modeling and run-up calculations
3. Establish planning horizons for years 2030, 2060 and 2100.

Deliverables

Geographic Information System (GIS) layers outlining the Planning Area as a whole, by site type, and specific sites for use

Task 2.3 STAC and Community/Stakeholder Meetings – Planning Area and Assessments

Facilitate STAC and community/stakeholder meeting(s) to obtain input on Planning Area refinement and proposed methodologies for SLR modeling.

Provide an introduction into the next task that will evaluate vulnerabilities and risks associated with SLR and obtain input.

Deliverables

STAC and community meeting reports
Stakeholder outreach reports

Task 2.4 Vulnerability Assessment Preparation

The Vulnerability Assessment (VA) serves as part of the underlying foundation for the Adaptation Plan (Task 3) and the LCPA overall. Specifically, the VA will provide an understanding of the degree of vulnerability posed to the City's beaches, lagoons, bluffs, visitor-serving amenities, public access areas, residential/commercial, and infrastructure by examining the magnitude of risks and sensitivities associated with SLR and coastal flooding, and the combination of SLR and storms or extreme high water events. In addition, the VA will examine historical erosion and storm data along the City's coastline, and the results of the hydrodynamic model of the Planning Area created in Task 2.2.

Several studies and projections have been completed in the region that includes information and data on SLR. To the maximum extent feasible, the VA will include the existing data and analyses from the following studies and projections:

1. Coastal Storm Modeling System (CoSMoS): Southern California 1.0, including CoSMoS Southern California 3.0 once it is made available in late 2015
<http://cosmos.deltares.nl/SoCalCoastalHazards/index.html>.

EXHIBIT B1

CoSMoS 1.0 was initially developed and tested for the Southern California coast in collaboration with Deltares. The Southern California 1.0 study area extends 470 kilometers from Pt. Conception, California, south to the Mexican border and includes microtidal basins but has few significant inlets or narrow straits that would focus tidal currents in the nearshore. The coastline is highly variable in terms of its orientation (west to south facing), morphology (rocky to wide, flat beaches), structures (seawalls, jetties, groins, breakwaters, and so on), exposure (open to significant island sheltering), and backbeach development (for example, rural coast to urban beach front). CoSMoS 1.0 will be used to assess coastal vulnerability within the region.

CoSMoS 3.0 is currently in development and is anticipated for completion in Fall 2015. Funding for the updated study has been provided from the California Coastal Conservancy with additional support from local jurisdictions, and features top coastal and climate scientists from Scripps Institution of Oceanography, Oregon State University, the private sector, and USGS. As part of CoSMoS 3.0, model enhancements for Southern California will include the following elements to be used to assess coastal vulnerability in the region.

- Long-term coastal evolution modeled, including sandy beaches and cliffs
- Downscaled winds from Global Climate Models (GCMs) for locally generated seas and surge
- Discharge from rivers for event response and long-term sediment supply
- An improved baseline-elevation Digital Elevation Model (DEM) developed by the California Coastal Conservancy and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) that incorporates the most recent bathymetric and topographic surveys available

2. Coastal Data Information Program at Scripps Institution of Oceanography
<http://cdip.ucsd.edu/>

The Coastal Data Information Program (CDIP) at Scripps Institute of Oceanography (SIO) is a valuable, local resource for obtaining environmental data on a number of wave and beach conditions. As part of the LCPA, this source would be used to research historical trends of wave and beach profile information monitored by CDIP since 1975. Additional sources will include the Focus 2050 Study that was created in large part by SIO in 2008. The Study assessed SLR in six low-lying areas in San Diego County and is one of first comprehensive regional assessment of climate change impacts to San Diego County. The range of impacts presented in the Study (which includes SLR as one such impact) are based on projections of climate change using three climate models and two emissions scenarios drawn from those used by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). As part of the LCPA, the models and scenarios utilized in the study would be used to help determine comparable effects of SLR and coastal flooding impacts in the City, respectively.

3. CCC Draft Sea Level Rise Policy Guidance

EXHIBIT B1

<http://www.coastal.ca.gov/climate/slrguidance.html>

The City will use the best available science on SLR, the CCC's Draft Sea Level Rise Guidance document, and the Final Sea Level Rise Guidance Document, once adopted by the CCC, to inform the LCP update. It will be a fundamental component of the design methodologies considered for use in planning and regulating development at risk of SLR impacts. The Guidance provides techniques for assessing SLR vulnerability, and strategies for reducing risks from SLR. The Guidance contains guiding principles for addressing SLR in California's Coastal Zone; a discussion of the best available science on SLR; and step-by-step guidance for addressing SLR in LCPs and the Coastal Development Permit process, the two fundamental land use planning and regulatory mechanisms established by the California Coastal Act. The Guidance will assist in the preparation of the LCPA and in addressing SLR in future coastal development permits issued by the City.

4. Sea level rise projection analysis in the San Diego Bay Sea Level Rise Strategy prepared by ICLEI-Local Governments for Sustainability USA, with the support of the San Diego Foundation

http://www.icleiusa.org/climate_and_energy/Climate_Adaptation_Guidance/san-diego-bay-sea-level-rise-adaptation-strategy-1

The San Diego Bay Sea Level Rise Strategy was completed in January 2012 through a collaborative effort by the San Diego Unified Port District, the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, and five bay front cities including San Diego, National City, Chula Vista, Imperial Beach, and Coronado. The Strategy is a useful source of information for the LCPA since it provides an initial framework of organizing stakeholder engagement and guiding principles to utilize during the course of the process. The Strategy consists of a VA that evaluates how community assets could be impacted by SLR; and a Recommendations Section to build in resilience of those community assets. The Strategy would be used as a reference document in developing its VA and Adaptation Plan. Even though the Strategy was targeted on jurisdictions surrounding San Diego Bay, the Strategy will provide valuable guidance on assessing vulnerabilities typical of those in the San Diego region. These include ecosystems and critical species, stormwater management and wastewater, potable water, local transportation facilities, building stock, emergency response facilities, parks and recreation areas, and public access. The Strategy provides some historical data for SLR analysis in San Diego Bay between 1910 and 2010, and projected sea level change by 2050 and 2100, which would be considered as part of the City's analysis. The Strategy further illustrates SLR management practices that were identified by the participating jurisdictions for implementation, including hard defense, soft defense, accommodation, and withdrawal.

5. Sea level rise projection and mitigation analysis in the San Diego County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

http://www.sandiegocounty.gov/oes/emergency_management/oes_jl_mitplan.html

EXHIBIT B1

The Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan is a countywide plan that identifies risks and ways to minimize damage caused by natural and manmade disasters (of which coastal storm/erosion is included). The Plan covers 20 beachfront and non-beachfront jurisdictions, and provides tools for enhancing public awareness and improving inter-jurisdictional coordination, and gives a methodology for promoting compliance with hazard regulations. The Plan was last revised in 2010 and is currently undergoing another review to reflect changes in hazards threatening San Diego, as well as the programs in place to minimize or eliminate those hazards. The Plan would be used as a reference document in developing the Risk Assessment as it provides some preliminary data on potential hazard-related exposure and loss in the City including exposed population, residential and commercial buildings, and critical facilities based on projections provided by FEMA, the National Inventory of Dams, and the University of Southern California on coastal storm/erosion, dam failure, 100- and 500- year flooding, and tsunami. The Plan also provides general goals, objectives, and actions to assist in achieving the City's hazard mitigation goals.

6. Bridge assessment analysis from the San Diego Double Track Project for the replacement of the Camino del Mar pedestrian and vehicle bridge, and the railroad trestle bridge crossing the inlet to the San Dieguito Lagoon
http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/Lossan/lossan_san_dieguito_double_track.a_spx

Over the next 20 years, the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) plans to construct approximately \$1 billion in improvements in the 60-mile San Diego segment of the 351-mile Los Angeles-San Diego-San Luis Obispo (LOSSAN) rail corridor, including a primary effort to double-track the corridor from Orange County to downtown San Diego. Specific to the City, this project would result in the replacement of a 100-year-old, wooden trestle train bridge over the San Dieguito River Bridge, add a mile of second mainline rail track from Solana Beach to Del Mar, and construct a special events platform at the Del Mar Fairgrounds for North County Transit District (NCTD) Coaster and Amtrak Pacific Surfliner trains. The new bridge and tracks would be elevated above the 100-year floodplain. In addition, a second project is under consideration to replace the existing Camino del Mar vehicle and pedestrian bridge over the San Dieguito River. The relevant material contained in the technical and environmental analysis being prepared by SANDAG (estimated completion date in early 2015) would be used to assist in the City's VA and Risk Assessment, as well as Adaptation Planning methodologies.

7. Shoreline preservation strategies, storm-related flooding analysis and coastal modeling within the San Dieguito River Valley
<http://www.sandag.org/index.asp?subclassid=32&fuseaction=home.subclasshome>

SANDAG has prepared several resources as part of its regional shoreline monitoring program and sand replenishment programs for several beaches

EXHIBIT B1

between Oceanside and Imperial Beach, of which Del Mar's North Beach area is included. As part of its shoreline monitoring program, SANDAG has measured the changes in beach width overtime, documents the benefits of sand replenishment projects and helps to improve the design and effectiveness of beach fills. Collectively, this information would be used to help determine comparable effects of SLR and coastal flooding impacts in the City, respectively, and provide guidance on preparation of the VA and Adaptation Plan.

- Regional Shoreline Monitoring Program, beach profile data, yearly monitoring reports, and information on the 2001 and 2012 Regional Beach Sand Project is available at:
<http://www.sandag.org/index.asp?classid=17&subclassid=32&projectid=298&function=projects.detail>
 - SANDAG's Shoreline Preservation Strategy for the San Diego Region, July 1993 is available at:
http://www.sandag.org/uploads/publicationid/publicationid_1256_5880.pdf
8. SLR analysis included in the preliminary bridge assessments/optimizations for both the Interstate 5 (I-5) and the rail corridors were conducted as a part of the North County Corridor (NCC) Public Works Plan/Transportation and Resource Enhancement Program (PWP/TREP)
<http://www.keepsandiegomoving.com/North-Coast-Corridor/North-Coast-PWP.aspx>
9. Long-term beach profile monitoring data completed by Southern California Edison (SCE) in association with the San Dieguito Wetlands Restoration Project
<http://coastalenvironments.com/reports/>

The following activities are anticipated to be components of this Task:

1. Identify site-specific areas ("sites") to analyze impacts resulting from SLR, including beaches, lagoons, bluffs, visitor-serving amenities, residential/commercial, and infrastructure.
2. Evaluate SLR and flooding impacts to public access to and along the shoreline.
3. Utilize modeling data to examine the magnitude of SLR impacts to the sites.
4. Create a vulnerability matrix to identify the various sites' relative vulnerability to SLR impacts, based on the following components:
 - a. Exposure
 - b. Sensitivity to SLR impacts
 - c. Adaptive capacity
5. Expand detail on the current vulnerability of sites, including:
 - a. Identify the sites' vulnerabilities to existing stressors
 - b. Identify existing conditions that affect the stressors

EXHIBIT B1

6. Estimate the following future resource conditions:
 - a. Projected change in conditions with associated time periods
 - b. Projected impacts to conditions [without adaptation actions]
 - c. Projected changes in existing stressors
 - d. New stressors resulting from changed conditions
7. Estimate the following future vulnerabilities:
 - a. Estimate sites' sensitivities to SLR change
 - b. Estimate sites' resiliency to SLR change
8. Analyze the following:
 - a. Sites' current ability to accommodate SLR change
 - b. Barriers to the sites' ability to accommodate SLR change
 - c. Existing stressors that affect the sites in ways that will limit the ability to accommodate SLR change
 - d. Rate of projected change compared to the rate of site adaptability
 - e. Current efforts to address SLR impacts
9. Produce a ranking system against a range of SLR scenarios for the 2030, 2060 and 2100 planning horizons to assess and prioritize the vulnerability of the Planning Area as a whole, by site type, and by specific site.

Deliverables

Vulnerability Assessment draft
GIS layers for identified hazard zones

Task 2.5 Risk Assessment Preparation

The Risk Assessment (RA) will evaluate how expected sea level rise impacts will affect people, development, infrastructure, and natural resources located in areas vulnerable to sea level rise. In addition, the RA will prioritize areas to target with SLR strategies as part of an Adaptation Plan (Task 3).

The following activities are anticipated to be components of this Task:

1. Identify the specific hazards creating risks either caused or exacerbated by SLR change.
2. Determine the consequences associated with SLR changes that have the potential to carry environmental, financial, public accessibility, and social costs.
3. Determine risk by assessing the likelihood and relative consequences of identified hazards.
4. Prioritize at-risk components in context to other identified risks.

EXHIBIT B1

5. Assess risk mitigation that includes the following components:
 - a. Whether or not adaptation is appropriate
 - b. Timing and location to focus adaptation efforts

Deliverables

Risk Assessment draft

Task 2.6 STAC and Community/Stakeholder Meetings – Assessments

Facilitate STAC and community/stakeholder meeting(s) to feedback on the VA and RA, including site locations, vulnerabilities, and risks/hazards.

Provide an introduction into the next task that will evaluate vulnerabilities and risks associated with SLR and obtain early input on the development of an Adaptation Plan (Task 3.1).

Deliverables

STAC and community meeting reports
Stakeholder outreach reports

TASK 3: ADAPTATION PLAN DEVELOPMENT

Task 3.1 Determine SLR Strategies and Adaptation Plan Preparation

The Adaptation Plan (AP) will serve as the City's long-range planning guide for SLR management and will be integrated into the City's LCP, and will draw from the prior work completed under Tasks 1 and 2. Specifically, the AP will identify and require effective shoreline Accommodation, Protection, and Retreat strategies through a rigorous analysis of SLR and community/stakeholder input.

In development of the adaptation strategies, preference will be given to adaptation measures that adhere to the *Safeguarding California Plan: Reducing Climate Risk* principles (http://resources.ca.gov/docs/climate/Final_Safeguarding_CA_Plan_July_31_2014.pdf), including measures that protect California's most vulnerable populations, achieve multiple benefits from efforts to reduce climate risks and prioritize green infrastructure solutions, and that integrate climate risk reduction with emissions reductions to the fullest extent possible.

As part of the AP preparation, the City will also evaluate additional measures to further reduce the effects of climate change on the environment. This includes a special emphasis on the confluence of the ocean and San Dieguito River, which occurs in the San Dieguito Lagoon, since the by-product of a changing climate is an increase in the MHTL and potential changes in river flow. This will incorporate analysis that has been completed as part of the City's Greenhouse Gas Inventory and the draft Climate Action Plan, and will utilize its ongoing partnership with the Climate Collaborative Network (CCN), of which the City is an active participating member.

EXHIBIT B1

Development of long-range planning for SLR and coastal flooding management will include the following activities:

1. Complete a rigorous analysis of the established Planning Area against VA and RA findings incorporating community/stakeholder input.
2. Identify effective shoreline Accommodation, Protection, and Retreat strategies applied to each site type and/or specific site.
3. Assess the ability of adaptation strategies to protect public access to and along the shoreline, scenic views, sensitive habitats, and other coastal resources.
4. Evaluate whether the Beach Overlay Zone and Shoreline Protection Area (LCP IO Chapter 30.50) will be effective in protecting public access in the future from SLR and the combined impact of SLR and coastal flooding.
5. Evaluate whether other accommodation strategies and provisions in the LCP, specifically the Lagoon Overlay Zone (IO Chapter 30.53), Coastal Bluff Overlay Zone (IO Chapter 30.55), Floodplain Overlay Zone (IO Chapter 30.56) will be effective in protecting vulnerable coastal resources from the combined impact of SLR and coastal flooding.
6. Evaluate strategies for climate change adaptation, with an emphasis on potential impacts to the confluence of the ocean and San Dieguito River in the San Dieguito Lagoon with consideration for changing conditions to the (upstream) coastal watershed, sediment transport, and sediment management activities.
7. Evaluate impacts and opportunities for sediment management processes associated with SLR and coastal flooding with respect to beach nourishment, wetland preservation, and water quality improvements.
8. Evaluate strategies against timeframe of risks, cost-benefit considerations, and constraints and/or limitations.
9. Develop operational responses and design strategies for each site type and/or specific site based on identified adaptation strategy.
10. Develop detailed policy guidance for operational responses.
11. Develop detailed design guidelines and protocol for design strategies.
12. Review the LCP for inconsistencies with operational responses and design guidelines and protocol.
13. Prepare new GIS layers for adaptation strategies. The City will work with the CCC's Mapping Unit to develop LCP maps.

EXHIBIT B1

14. Draft framework summary for incorporation of LCP amendments.

15. Identify final modifications to the Planning Area, SLR modeling, VA and/or RA, if needed.

Deliverables

Adaptation Plan draft

GIS layer for selected adaptation strategies

Draft framework for incorporation into the LCP

Final Planning Area GIS layers, if modified

Final Vulnerability and/or Risk Assessments, if modified

Task 3.2 STAC and Community/Stakeholder Meetings – Adaptation Plan

Facilitate multiple STAC and community/stakeholder meetings to obtain input on SLR adaptation strategies including accommodation, protection, and retreat.

Deliverables

STAC, community meeting and stakeholder outreach reports

TASKS 4 – 8 have been transferred to LCP-16-13 without grant funding

TASK 4: — AMENDMENT DRAFTING

Task 4.1 — Draft Amendments to the Del Mar LCP

~~Based on the information completed in Tasks 1, 2 and 3, a formal amendment to the LCP will be drafted. The LCPA is anticipated to add a new chapter to the LCP IO specific to SLR with necessary modifications to existing IO provisions and LUP policies. Specific modifications to the IO and LUP would largely be understood during the preparation of the Adaptation Plan in Task 3.1. Should changes to the LUP be deemed necessary, the City anticipates filing for a consolidated LCPA submittal that includes changes to both the IO and LUP. In addition, modifications may be included to the Overlay Zone maps and the Post-LCP Permit and Appeal Jurisdiction Map in order to reflect existing tidelands and lands below the MHTL, with consideration for delineating future changes to tidelands and MHTL based on SLR and climate change. The CCC mapping unit will make certain maps, including the Post-LCP Permit and Appeal Jurisdiction Map, as required by the Coastal Act.~~

~~The City will submit the draft LCP documents (text, maps, and/or exhibits) to CCC staff in paper hardcopy as well as an electronic copy in permanent format (such as an Adobe Acrobat .pdf file) and one electronic copy in an editable format (such as in Microsoft Word .doc).~~

Deliverables

Draft LCPA

EXHIBIT B1

~~Amended Overlay Zone maps
Amended Post-LCP Permit and Appeal Jurisdiction Map~~

~~Task 4.2 — Identify and Prepare Corollary Amendments~~

~~The DMMC and Community (General) Plan will be evaluated to identify any corresponding sections that would require corollary amendments consistent with the LCPA.~~

Deliverable

~~Draft amendment outline to the DMMC and Community Plan~~

~~TASK 5: — CITY PUBLIC HEARINGS~~

~~Task 5.1 — Planning Commission~~

~~Public hearing(s) before the Planning Commission to review the LCPA and corollary amendments.~~

Deliverable

~~Recommending Resolution to the City Council~~

~~Task 5.2 — City Council~~

~~Public hearing(s) before the City Council to review the LCPA and corollary amendments.~~

Deliverable

~~Resolution approving the LCPA and corollary amendments, and authorizing LCPA submittal to the CCC for review and certification.~~

~~TASK 6: — LCPA SUBMITTAL TO CCC~~

~~Task 6.1 — Submit LCPA package to CCC for review and certification~~

~~Formal LCPA submittal to the CCC prior to the grant deadline of May October 31, 2017. The City will submit the LCP documents (text, maps, and/or exhibits) to CCC staff in paper hardcopy as well as an electronic copy in permanent format (such as an Adobe Acrobat .pdf file) and one electronic copy in an editable format (such as in Microsoft Word .doc).~~

~~Additional information may be requested by CCC staff prior to completing the LCPA review and scheduling for hearing.~~

~~Task 6.2 — CCC Certification Hearing~~

~~CCC hearing on the City's LCPA. Note that additional time may be needed in the event of the following actions by the CCC: 1) follow-up City Council meeting to consider any~~

EXHIBIT B1

suggested modifications to the LCPA; 2) resubmittal to the CCC; and 3) follow-up CCC certification hearing.

Task 7: — COORDINATION WITH COASTAL COMMISSION

The City will hold regular coordination meetings (phone or in-person) with CCC San Diego Coast District staff every other month, or as needed, throughout the entire grant period. This task involves early review of work products by the CCC staff and iterative exchange of comments between the City and the CCC staff.

Task 8: — Expenses

Reimbursable non-labor expenses expended by the subconsultant for mileage and travel expenses, printing/reproduction, and equipment rental including topographical survey, hydrologic data collection, and wave measurement instruments.

C. SCHEDULE

Term of Project: April 16, 2015 to October 31, 2017

Project Schedule	Duration and Completion Dates
Task 1. Project Commencement	April 16, 2015 to September 30, 2015
1.1 Issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) – <i>consultant for preparation of technical studies and Tasks 1.4 through 6.2; 43-day RFP period</i>	Opens: April 16, 2015 Ends: May 29, 2015
1.2 Establish a Stakeholder-Technical Advisory Committee (STAC)	July 6, 2015
1.2 Consultant Selection	August 3, 2015
1.4 Prepare the Public Involvement Process	September 8, 2015
1.5 Regional Coordination	April 16, 2015 to April 30, 2017
<u>Deliverables</u>	
1.1 Confirmation of RFP posting	April 16, 2015
1.2 Initial roster of STAC members and prelim meeting schedule	July 31, 2015
1.3 Executed consultant contract	August 21, 2015
1.3 Summary of consultant RFPs received and interviewed	August 21, 2015
1.4 Outreach program	September 30, 2015
1.4 Schedule of community meetings	September 30, 2015
Task 2. Assessment Preparation	August 21, 2015 to April 29, 2016
2.1 Compile Data and Existing Analyses, Determine Data and Information Gaps	December 11, 2015
2.2 Define Planning Area	December 11, 2015
2.3 STAC and Community/Stakeholder Meetings – Planning	December 11, 2015

EXHIBIT B1

Area and Assessments	
2.4 Vulnerability Assessment Preparation	February 8, 2016
2.5 Risk Assessment Preparation	March 14, 2016
2.6 STAC and Community/Stakeholder Meetings – Assessments	April 15, 2016
<u>Deliverables</u>	
2.1 Gaps analysis	December 11, 2015
2.2 GIS layers for project area and sub-areas	January 29, 2016
2.3 STAC and community meeting reports	January 29, 2016
2.3 Stakeholder outreach reports	January 29, 2016
2.4 Vulnerability Assessment draft	April 30, 2016
2.4 GIS layer for hazard zones	April 30, 2016
2.5 Risk Assessment draft	April 30, 2016
2.6 STAC and community meeting reports	April 30, 2016
2.6 Stakeholder outreach reports	April 30, 2016
Task 3. Adaptation Plan Development	May 2, 2016 to August 31, 2016
3.1 Determine Sea Level Rise Strategies and Adaptation Plan Development	July 29, 2016
3.2 STAC and Community/Stakeholder Meetings – Adaptation Plan	August 21, 2016
<u>Deliverables</u>	
3.1 Adaptation Plan draft	August 31, 2016
3.1 GIS layer for selected adaptation strategies	August 31, 2016
3.1 Draft framework for incorporation into the LCP	August 31, 2016
3.1 Final Planning Area GIS layers, if modified	August 31, 2016
3.1 Final Vulnerability and/or Risk Assessment, if modified	August 31, 2016
2.5 STAC and community meeting reports	August 31, 2016
2.5 Stakeholder outreach reports	August 31, 2016
Task 4. Amendment Drafting	September 1, 2016 to March 31, 2017
4.1 Draft Amendments to the Del Mar LCP	March 31, 2017
4.2 Identify and Prepare Corollary Amendments to the Del Mar Zoning Code and Community (General) Plan	March 31, 2017
<u>Deliverables</u>	
4.1 Draft LCP amendment, including an amended Post-LCP Permit and Appeal Jurisdiction Map (if applicable)	March 31, 2017
4.2 Amended LCP overlay zone maps	March 31, 2017
Task 5. City Public Hearings	May 9, 2017 – September 18, 2017
5.1 Planning Commission	May 9 and June 13, 2017
5.2 City Council	July 17, September 5, and September 18, 2017

EXHIBIT B1

<u>Deliverables</u> 5.1 Planning Commission Resolution to City Council 5.2 City Council Resolution approving the LCPA	June 20, 2017 September 25, 2017
Task 6. LCPA Submittal to the CCC	October 31, 2017 to TBD
6.1 Submit LCPA package to CCC for review and certification <i>Formal LCPA submittal to the CCC prior to the grant deadline of May 2017. Additional information may be requested by CCC staff prior to completing the LCPA review and scheduling for hearing</i>	October 31, 2017
6.2 CCC certification hearing <i>Note that additional time may be needed in the event of the following actions by the CCC: 1) follow-up City Council meeting to consider any suggested modifications to the LCPA; 2) resubmittal to the CCC; and 3) follow-up CCC certification hearing</i>	TBD
Task 7. CCC Coordination	April 16, 2015 to October 31, 2017
Coordination with CCC and bi-monthly meetings with CCC San Diego Coast District staff	April 16, 2015 to October 31, 2017
Task 8. Expenses	April 16, 2015 to October 31, 2017
Reimbursable non-labor expenses expended by the subconsultant	April 16, 2015 to October 31, 2017

D. BENCHMARK SCHEDULE

ACTIVITY	COMPLETION DATE
Project Commencement – RFP; STAC; Consultant	September 30, 2015
Prepare Gaps Analysis	December 11, 2015
Define Planning Area	December 11, 2015
Community/Stakeholder Meetings – Planning Area/Assessments	December 11, 2015
Prepare Vulnerability and Risk Assessments	March 14, 2016
Community/Stakeholder Meeting – Assessments	April 15, 2016
Determine SLR Strategies and Develop Adaptation Plan	July 29, 2016
Community/Stakeholder Meeting – Adaptation Plan	August 21, 2016
Draft LCP Amendment Package	March 31, 2017
Planning Commission Hearing	June 13, 2017
City Council Hearing	September 18, 2017
CCC Amendment Submittal	October 31, 2017
CCC Certification Hearing	TBD

EXHIBIT B1

E. EVALUATION AND REPORTING

- a. The Grantee shall promptly provide Project reports with payment requests at least every three months, and upon request by the Commission. Project reports are subject to the Coastal Commission Executive Director's review and approval. The Project report shall include a description of work tasks and deliverables completed to date, and a description of completed benchmarks, or progress toward completing benchmarks. In any event Grantee shall provide the Commission a report showing total final Project expenditures with the final Request for Funds and required closing documents. Grantee shall submit all documentation for Project completion, as applicable, and final reimbursement by the Termination Date
- b. Final payment is contingent upon Commission verification that Project is consistent with the Scope of Work as described in Exhibit B1, together with any Commission approved amendments.
- c. Grantee must report to the Commission in the Project Budget all sources of other funds for the Project.

EXHIBIT B1

F. BUDGET

LABOR COSTS					
<i>Position Title</i>	Hourly Rate (salary plus benefits, incl. fringe benefits- see guidelines [1])	<i># of Hours</i>	<i>OPC Total (# of hours x rate per hour)</i>	<i>Match/ Other Funds</i>	<i>Total (LCP Grant Funds + Match/ Other Funds)</i>
Task 1 – Project Commencement					
Senior Planner	\$49.63	48	\$0	\$2,382	\$2,382
Planning Manager	\$65.35	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Planning Director	\$81.77	1	\$0	\$82	\$82
City Engineer	\$186.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Task 1		49	\$0	\$2,464	\$2,464
Task 2 – Assessment Preparation					
Senior Planner	\$49.63	54	\$0	\$2,680	\$2,680
Planning Manager	\$65.35	8	\$0	\$523	\$523
Planning Director	\$81.77	10	\$0	\$818	\$818
City Engineer	\$186.00	5	\$0	\$930	\$930
Total Task 2		77	\$0	\$4,951	\$4,951
Task 3 – Adaptation Plan Development					
Senior Planner	\$49.63	55	\$0	\$2,730	\$2,730
Planning Manager	\$65.35	7	\$0	\$457	\$457
Planning Director	\$81.77	9	\$0	\$736	\$736
City Engineer	\$186.00	5	\$0	\$930	\$930
Total Task 3		76	\$0	\$4,853	\$4,853
Task 4 – Amendment Drafting					
Senior Planner	\$49.63	48	\$0	\$2,382	\$2,382
Planning Manager	\$65.35	4	\$0	\$261	\$261
Planning Director	\$81.77	1	\$0	\$82	\$82
City Engineer	\$186.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Task 4	-	53	\$0	\$2,725	\$2,725
Task 5 – City Public Hearings					
Senior Planner	\$49.63	48	\$0	\$2,382	\$2,382
Planning Manager	\$65.35	5	\$0	\$327	\$327
Planning Director	\$81.77	5	\$0	\$409	\$409
City Engineer	\$186.00	0.95	\$0	\$177	\$177
Total Task 5	-	58.95	\$0	\$3,295	\$3,295
Task 6 – LCPA Submittal to the CCC					
Senior Planner	\$49.63	48	\$0	\$2,382	\$2,382

EXHIBIT B1

Planning Manager	\$65.35	5	\$0	\$327	\$327
Planning Director	\$81.77	5	\$0	\$409	\$409
City Engineer	\$186.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Task 6	-	58	\$0	\$3,118	\$3,118
Task 7—CCC Coordination					
Senior Planner	\$49.63	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Planning Manager	\$65.35	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Planning Director	\$81.77	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
City Engineer	\$186.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Task 7		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Task 8—Expenses					
Senior Planner	\$49.63	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Planning Manager	\$65.35	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Planning Director	\$81.77	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
City Engineer	\$186.00	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Task 8		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Labor Costs		371.95	\$0	\$21,406	\$21,406
		202		\$12,268	\$12,268
DIRECT COSTS					
<i>Expense</i>	<i>Unit Rate/Cost</i>	<i># of Units</i>	<i>OPC Total (# of hours x rate per hour)</i>	<i>Match/Other Funds (Source #2)</i>	<i>Total (LCP Grant Funds + Match/Other Funds)</i>
Project Supplies					
Postage/Shipping			\$0	\$300	\$300
Supplies/Materials			\$0	\$500	\$500
Total			\$0	\$800	\$800
Travel In State[2]					
Mileage			\$0	\$0	\$0
Hotel, etc.			\$0	\$0	\$0
Total			\$0	\$0	\$0
SUBCONTRACTORS [3]					
Task 1 – Project Commencement					
Subcontractor			\$0	\$11,884	\$11,884
Total Task 1			\$0	\$11,884	\$11,884
Task 2 – Assessment Preparation					
Task 2.1 Compile Data and Existing Analyses, Determine Data and Information Gaps					
Subcontractor			\$5,165	\$2,525	\$7,690

EXHIBIT B1

<i>Total task 2.1</i>			\$5,165	\$2,525	\$7,690
Task 2.2 Define the Planning Area					
Subcontractor			\$20,243	\$0	\$20,243
<i>Total task 2.2</i>			\$20,243	\$0	\$20,243
Task 2.3 Comm/Stakeholder Meetings					
Subcontractor			\$3,482	\$0	\$3,482
<i>Total task 2.3</i>			\$3,482	\$0	\$3,482
Task 2.4 Vulnerability Assessment					
Subcontractor			\$18,928	\$0	\$18,928
<i>Total task 2.4</i>			\$18,928	\$0	\$18,928
Task 2.5 Risk Assessment					
Subcontractor			\$13,360	\$0	\$13,360
<i>Total task 2.5</i>			\$13,360	\$0	\$13,360
Task 2.6 Comm/Stakeholder Meetings					
Subcontractor			\$2,767	\$0	\$2,767
<i>Total task 2.6</i>			\$2,767	\$0	\$2,767
Total Task 2			\$63,945	\$2,525	\$66,470
Task 3 – Adaptation Plan Development					
Task 3.1 SLR Strategies and Adaptation Plan					
Subcontractor			\$33,335	\$0	\$33,335
<i>Total task 3.1</i>			\$33,335	\$0	\$33,335
Task 3.2 Comm/Stakeholder Meetings					
Subcontractor			\$2,720	\$0	\$2,720
<i>Total task 3.2</i>			\$2,720	\$0	\$2,720
Total Task 3			\$36,055	\$0	\$36,055
Task 4 – Amendment Drafting					
Task 4.1 Draft Amendments to the Del Mar LCP					
Subcontractor			\$0	\$18,615	\$18,615
<i>Total task 4.1</i>			\$0	\$18,615	\$18,615
Task 4.2 Identify and Prepare Corollary Amendments to the Del Mar Zoning Code and Community (General) Plan					
Subcontractor			\$0	\$8,170	\$8,170
<i>Total task 4.2</i>			\$0	\$8,170	\$8,170
Total Task 4	-	-	\$0	\$26,785	\$26,785
Task 5 – City Public Hearings					
Task 5.1 Planning Comm	-	-	-	-	-
Subcontractor	-	-	\$0	\$6,485	\$6,485
<i>Total task 5.1</i>	-	-	\$0	\$6,485	\$6,485
Task 5.2 City Council	-	-	-	-	-
Subcontractor	-	-	\$0	\$2,905	\$2,905

EXHIBIT B1

Total task 5.2	-	-	\$0	\$2,905	\$2,905
-Total Task 5	-	-	\$0	\$9,390	\$9,390
OTHER DIRECT COSTS (con't)					
<i>Expense</i>	<i>Unit Rate/Cost</i>	<i># of Units</i>	<i>OPC Total (# of hours x rate per hour)</i>	<i>Match/Other Funds (Source #2)</i>	<i>Total (LCP Grant Funds + Match/Other Funds)</i>
Task 6—LCPA Submittal to the CCC					
Task 6.1 LCPA Package and CCC Requests	-	-	-	-	-
Subcontractor	-	-	\$0	\$10,135	-\$10,135
Total task 6.1	-	-	\$0	\$10,135	\$10,135
Task 6.2 CCC Hearing	-	-	-	-	-
Subcontractor	-	-	\$0	\$10,030	\$10,030
Total task 6.2	-	-	\$0	\$10,030	\$10,030
-Total Task 6	-	-	\$0	\$20,165	\$20,165
Task 7—CCC Coordination					
Task 7.1 CCC Coordination					
Subcontractor			\$0	\$4,940	\$4,940
-Total Task 7			\$0	\$4,940	\$4,940
Task 8—Expenses					
Task 8.1 Expenses					
Subcontractor			\$0	\$2,105	\$2,105
-Total Task 8			\$0	\$2,105	\$2,105
Total subcontractors			\$100,000	\$77,794	\$177,794
Total Direct Costs			\$100,000	\$78,594	\$178,594
				\$14,409	\$114,409
				\$15,209	\$115,209
<u>OVERHEAD / INDIRECT COSTS[4]</u>			\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJECT COST			\$100,000	\$100,800	\$200,000
				\$27,477	\$127,477

[1] Amount requested for benefits not to exceed 40% of amount requested for salary or wage.

[2] Travel reimbursement rates are the same as similarly situated state employees.

[3] All subcontractors must be selected pursuant to a competitive bidding process that seeks at least three (3) bids from responsible bidders.

[4] Indirect costs include, for example, a pro rata share of rent, utilities, and salaries for certain positions indirectly supporting the proposed project but not directly staffing it. Amount requested for indirect costs should be capped at 10% of amount requested for "Total Personnel."