CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT, SUITE 2000 AN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 OICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200

Tu 24



April 30, 1997

TO:

Coastal Commissioners, Interested Persons

FROM:

Peter M. Douglas, Executive Director

Steve Scholl, Deputy Director

SUBJECT:

Strategic Plan: Draft Goals and Objectives

Attached is a discussion draft of the agency's Strategic Plan. This draft includes the following components:

- I. Mission Statement
- II. Vision Statement
- III. Principles and Values
- IV. Internal/External Assessment (Strengths and Weaknesses)
- V. Goals, Objectives, Management Measures

The first four components were discussed previously at a Commission workshop on March 12 in Carmel. **Component V. Goals, Objectives and Management Measures** will be the main topic of a second public workshop which will occur on:

Tuesday, May 13, 1997, 10:00 a.m. (workshop is agenda item # 24) Radisson Hotel - Santa Barbara 1111 E. Cabrillo Boulevard Santa Barbara, California

The purpose of the workshop on May 13 is to facilitate discussion of the goals and objectives which the Strategic Plan will include for the next 3 to 5 years. The public will have an opportunity to comment on the goals and objectives after discussion by the Commission.

Questions or comments, including written comments, may be directed to the Steve Scholl, Strategic Plan Coordinator, at the Commission's San Francisco office (415-904-5260).

attachment

strat10.doc:D

California Coastal Commission Strategic Plan

D R A F T

April, 1997

Introduction

This draft Strategic Plan has been prepared by the staff of the Coastal Commission, with contributions and assistance from Commissioners and members of the public. The Plan has been prepared pursuant to the *Strategic Planning Guidelines* published by the California State Department of Finance (September 1996, Budget Letter 96-16). When in final form, the Plan will be submitted by July 1, 1997 to the Secretary for Resources who will in turn submit it to the Governor's Office for approval.

The Strategic Plan is intended to guide the activities and programs of the Commission and its staff over the next 3 to 5 years and to guide the formulation of future Commission budget requests. The Plan is also a means to inform the public and members of the Legislature and the Executive Branch about the responsibilities of the Coastal Commission and the activities of the coastal management program. The Plan will be reviewed annually to evaluate the Commission's progress toward implementation of stated goals and objectives and to incorporate any necessary modifications.

The Commission invites comments and suggestions regarding the Plan. Please direct any correspondence to:

California Coastal Commission 45 Fremont Street #2000 San Francisco, CA 94105 Attn: Strategic Planning Coordinator

The Strategic Plan, as well as any future revisions to it, will be available at the Commission's Website:

http://ceres.ca.gov/coastalcomm/index.html

Table of Contents

		Page
I .	Mission Statement	1
II.	Vision Statement	1
III.	Principles and Values	4
IV.	Internal/External Assessment	5
V.	Goals/Objectives/Performance Measures	
	Coastal Management Program Goals: On-Going	12
	Strategic Plan Goals and Objectives	14

DRAFT

Strategic Plan California Coastal Commission April, 1997

I. The **Mission** of the California Coastal Management Program administered by the Coastal Commission is based on the mandates of the California Coastal Act of 1976. This **Mission** is to:

Protect, conserve, restore, and enhance environmental and human-based resources of the California coast and ocean for sustainable and prudent use by current and future generations.

II. Vision Statement

The Coastal Management Program's vision for the California coast and ocean in the future is one in which:

A. PUBLIC EDUCATION AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

- 1. People of all backgrounds consider protection of the coast and ocean to be important.
- 2. Schoolchildren throughout California grow up learning about the values of marine life and the resources of the coast and ocean, and persons of all ages have opportunities to learn about, participate in the conservation of, and explore the resources of the coastal zone.
- 3. Additional coastal and marine science research institutions and educational facilities are located along the coast and inland to expand understanding and knowledge of coastal and ocean resources, dynamics, and systems.

B. COASTAL ACCESS AND RECREATION

 Accessways for the public to get to the shoreline and state waters are attractive, inexpensive, well-signed, readily accessible to visitors, and abundant.

- 5. A continuous coastal trail extends from Oregon to Mexico and connects to inland public lands and recreational open space.
- 6. Hotels, restaurants, campgrounds, and other private commercial and public recreational facilities which serve coastal visitors are attractive, located near points of visitation if environmentally appropriate, and accessible to those of all income levels.

C. CULTURAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

- 7. Ecologically viable tidepools, kelp beds, streams, riparian corridors, and wetlands, including but not limited to the habitats of rare or endangered organisms, are protected and restored, in order to maximize biological values.
- 8. Water in coastal streams, lagoons, and the sea supports a diversity of biological, educational, and recreation-oriented uses including fishing and water sports, as well as healthy and diverse populations of marine organisms.
- 9. Wherever possible, wastewater is reclaimed for beneficial use and polluted runoff is prevented or minimized.
- 10. The coastal zone has clean air and clean water.
- 11. Archaeological and paleontological resources are protected against adverse impacts of development and human activities.

D. COASTAL ECONOMY/PORTS AND INDUSTRY

- 12. Coastal tourism is robust and growing, while maintaining the quality of the visitor's experience and environmental protection of the coast and ocean.
- A robust and environmentally sound aquaculture industry provides food for the country and for export while protecting natural habitat values.
- 14. Productive farmlands are preserved and the agricultural economy in the coastal zone is strong and sustainable.
- 15. Commercial fisheries are restored to a healthy and sustainable state.

- 16. Existing ports and port facilities are efficient, adapted to modern needs, and competitive with those in other states.
- 17. Coastal-dependent activities and industries, including commercial fishing, are not precluded by other land uses on waterfront sites.
- 18. Oil and gas developments, refineries, and other energy facilities are consolidated and are designed to minimize the risk of spillage and adverse impacts to coastal and ocean resources.
- 19. Petrochemical products are transported by pipeline rather than by marine vessels.
- Coastal and ocean environmental resources are effectively protected in a way that promotes and maintains a strong coastal zone economy.

E. COMMUNITY CHARACTER

- 21. The natural beauty of the coastal zone and the special character of historic, scenic, and unique coastal communities and places are preserved for the benefit of future generations.
- 22. Significant public views of the ocean and scenic coastal resources are preserved.
- 23. In rural areas, coastal communities are adequately buffered against incompatible nearby development.
- 24. New urban growth is accommodated within existing urban areas and stable urban-rural boundaries are maintained.
- 25. Residential, commercial and other urban developments minimize energy use and facilitate alternative modes of transportation.

F. HAZARDS

- 26. The potential for catastrophic damage and loss of life and property resulting from natural hazards in the coastal zone is minimized.
- 27. Shoreline protective works such as seawalls are avoided, and non-structural alternatives are encouraged.

G. ORGANIZATIONAL FACTORS

- 28. The Coastal Commission works effectively and efficiently in partnership with other local, state, and federal agencies to carry out the coastal management program.
- 29. A stable source of funding exists to adequately carry out the mission of the coastal management program.
- 30. Public support and participation is a fundamental part of California's coastal management program.

III. Principles and Values of California's Coastal Management Program

The members and staff of the California Coastal Commission espouse the following principles and values:

We recognize and respect the humanity and individuality in each of us.

We strive to be responsible, honest, and cooperative in interactions with others.

We are always sensitive to and aware of the mission of the Coastal Commission.

Our primary obligations as coastal managers are to carry out the Coastal Act and to serve the public interest.

We treat members of the public and permit applicants courteously and with respect, mindful of the time and costs and expectations they have invested in their work.

We recognize that public service is a privilege, and not a right; we value excellence, efficiency, creativity, and integrity in all we do, and we take pride in our work.

Personal and professional growth of all participants in the coastal program is of high importance.

We base our regulatory and planning decisions on information which is as complete and accurate as possible.

Personal preferences or bias cannot be a basis for our work-related recommendations and decisions.

We recognize the diversity of perspectives applicable to coastal management issues, and we are respectful of the views of others.

We strive for equitable and meaningful access to the planning process for all interested parties; helping the general public to understand and participate in the coastal management program is of high importance.

We respect the legal and Constitutional rights of all persons including the rights of private property owners and the rights of the public to reach and use public trust lands and waters.

We are especially sensitive to and respectful of private property rights while recognizing that the public also has important rights and interests in the protection of human and natural community values, and that it is against those public rights and interests that private rights must, at times and under appropriate circumstances, be measured.

IV. Internal/External Assessment

This assessment includes a discussion of management policies, resource constraints, organizational structure, automation, personnel, and operational procedures, which together influence the success of the Coastal Commission in carrying out its mission. This section may also be thought of as addressing "strengths" and "weaknesses" of the coastal management program. The assessment reflects comments made at public workshops on October 9, 1996 and March 12, 1997, as well as on other occasions. The views expressed here are those of stakeholders both within and outside the agency.

A. Legislative and Legal Framework

The Coastal Commission's geographic jurisdiction is a specifically mapped land and water area of the state, known as the coastal zone. The Commission also has review authority over federal activities or federally licensed or funded activities outside the coastal zone that may affect resources in the coastal zone.

- The California Coastal Act provides a comprehensive planning approach that integrates regulatory activities, long-range planning (Local Coastal Programs, or LCPs), and educational efforts in order to preserve, protect and enhance coastal zone resources and public access to beaches and the ocean. California's coastal management program provides a unique, multi-disciplinary statewide program, based on strong public participation, partnerships with local governments, strong resource protection policies, and the goal of balancing sound conservation of coastal resources with their use for the benefit of current and future generations.
- 3) No other agency has the broad authority to accomplish this mission, and this fact instills pride within the agency's staff.
- 4) The Commission was created by voter initiative and made permanent by state law, the California Coastal Act. The Commission is also subject to the federal coastal management program (Coastal Zone Management Act). The Commission has regulatory review authority over federal projects or projects needing federal permits that may affect coastal resources. This "federal consistency review" authority is a **strength** for the coastal management program; it provides the only state regulatory review of offshore oil and gas activities in federal waters, military projects affecting the coastal zone, and other activities receiving federal licenses or permits.
- There is uncertainty about the direction and consequences of judicial rulings regarding land use planning and regulatory decisions. Some court decisions have substantially eroded the ability of environmental agencies, including local governments implementing local coastal programs, to protect human and natural community values of significance to the public, such as opportunities for public access and protection of environmentally sensitive habitat, and have had a substantial chilling effect on the willingness of public land use management agencies to fully exercise their responsibilities to carry out Coastal Act policies. This situation is viewed by many as a weakness or impediment to the realization of many long-term goals of the coastal management program.

B. Composition of the Commission

6) The Commission includes an equal number of locally elected officials and public members who represent a diversity of views and

- backgrounds and are appointed by a governmental cross-section of key public policy decision-makers. This composition and appointment process is a **strength** for the program.
- 7) Frequent turnover of members on the Commission can impede longrange planning, continuity, and consistency in decision-making.

C. Staffing and Organization

- 8) The Commission staff is highly skilled, motivated, creative, professional, competent, and dedicated. Team work, critical thinking, and innovative problem-solving approaches are highly valued.
- While the Commission makes decisions for the entire Coastal Zone, the staff is located in five district offices and a headquarters office. The district office structure is a program strength, because the offices are staffed by knowledgeable individuals who live in and are part of the local communities and are better able to be sensitive to and take into account the special needs of each particular coastal region in carrying out statewide Coastal Act policies.
- The absence of a Commission office in the northern part of the state's coastal zone has hampered service to the public and local governments in that area; this is a weakness for the program.
- 11) The Commission has been unable, for budgetary reasons, to provide adequate professional training for staff. As a result, staff members have been forced either to undertake their own personal efforts to stay current with the latest developments in the field or else to fall behind in maintaining the skills and expertise that are essential to the Commission's work. This is a weakness for the program.
- 12) The Commission lacks sufficient permanent staff with expertise in specific areas such as geology, water quality, transportation, and wetlands biology. The increasing technical complexity of many environmental management issues makes such expertise increasingly important; this fact and the resulting reliance by the Commission on applicants' consultants or other outside experts is a **weakness**.

D. Budgetary Factors

13) The Commission relies on a mix of state and federal funds to carry out its program.

- 14) There is inadequate and unstable funding to support the coastal program at the level necessary to carry out Coastal Act requirements. This key factor has been compounded by past budget cuts. In the last 15 years, the Commission staff has been reduced by approximately 40% and the individual workload for remaining staff has increased substantially. This is a serious program weakness.
- 15) The Commission has been very successful in identifying innovative funding approaches to address important coastal resource and use issues with support from state, federal, and private grants (such as grants addressing wetlands, cumulative impacts, non-point source water pollution, geographic information systems, shoreline erosion, public shoreline access, and public education). This resourcefulness is a program strength but comes at the cost of diverting staff from core program responsibilities.
- 16) Competition for scarce fiscal resources among public agencies and public programs at all levels is intense.
- 17) Uncertainties in coastal program budgeting have often made it difficult to attract and retain highly skilled and qualified staff. At the same time, Commission staff is adept at doing "more with less and doing it better" through staff task forces, special projects, and volunteers. Examples include the Coastal Access Program, Adopt-A-Beach program, Santa Monica Mountains Enforcement Task Force, and Beach Erosion and Response Task Force.
- 18) Governor Wilson has proposed a Coastal Initiative for 1997-98, and there currently is strong support in the Legislature for the coastal program.
- 19) The economy of California is strong, a fact which is a **strength** for the coastal management program in the long-run.

E. Public Participation

20) There is strong public support, involvement, and activism in California's coastal management program, and the Commission has a long history of encouraging broad public participation. These factors are **strengths** in the coastal management program, although opportunities may exist for increasing public participation even more.

- 21) The Commission's workforce and its most active constitutency do not adequately represent the cultural diversity of California; in the long-run, this fact is a **weakness**.
- 22) Society seems less willing to find common ground in solving complex problems. There is widespread cynicism about the role of government in resource management.
- 23) Partisan politics can introduce negative, counter-productive and divisive elements into environmental resource planning and management programs.

F. Public Education

- 24) The Commission has successfully promoted public stewardship of the coast through the Adopt-A-Beach program, the Save Our Seas school curriculum, Coastal Clean-up Days, California Coastal Resource Guide and California Coastal Access Guide, worldwide-web site on the internet, and other public education programs.
- 25) The new "whale-tail" license plate program will enhance the Commission's resources for coastal and ocean public education and conservation efforts.

G. Regulatory Program

- The Commission successfully brokers solutions to complex development problems which frequently involve numerous federal, state, and local reviews and/or permits, and which often include a wide range of public opinions.
- The Coastal Act includes strong law enforcement provisions, including penalties and the ability of the Commission to issue cease and desist and restoration orders; this is a program **strength**. Due to staff limitations, review and monitoring capabilities have been inadequate to ensure that Commission-approved coastal development permits are carried out as intended. This is a program **weakness**.
- 28) Instances where the Commission has worked cooperatively with local governments to enforce the requirements of the Coastal Act, such as in regional task forces, are a program **strength**. The Commission's

lack of resources to initiate additional regional enforcement task forces is a **weakness**.

H. Local Coastal Programs

- 29) The process of preparation of Local Coastal Programs by cities and counties has suffered without adequate funding.
- There are no strong incentives or sanctions to encourage LCP completion, resulting in the Commission's continuing involvement in routine matters and "neighborhood" permit decisions in certain local jurisdictions. The mandate to prepare and implement LCPs has been suspended; this is a program weakness.
- 31) Review and monitoring capabilities have been inadequate to ensure that certified Local Coastal Programs have been implemented as intended, or modified to address changed circumstances. There is no Coastal Act mandate to require local governments to review their LCPs or to make changes, when new information and changing circumstances warrant it. This a program weakness.

I. Coastal Access Program

- With limited resources, the Coastal Commission (in cooperation with other agencies), has been relatively successful in securing and protecting opportunities for creation of new public accessways to the coast. Many such opportunities have been created in the form of "offers-to-dedicate" easements for public accessways.
- There has been a slow rate of acceptance of offers-to-dedicate for accessways and of opening such accessways to the public. This is a program weakness.
- 34) The California Coastal Access Guide and California Coastal Resources Guide have sold over 100,000 copies and are key tools in increasing the public's enjoyment and knowledge of the coast.

J. Long-Range Issues

35) Long-range planning efforts are typically deferred, due to time

pressures and demands to meet short-term needs. This is a program weakness.

- 36) Continued population growth in California will increase the need for long-range planning. Furthermore, the natural resource base of the coastal zone continues to be depleted, agricultural lands continue to be converted to non-agricultural use, and commercial fisheries continue in decline.
- 37) California is recognized among coastal states as a leader in coastal management and education. This is a **strength** of the program.

K. Information Technology

- The Commission's information technology equipment is outdated and clearly deficient. The lack of staff training also contributes to inefficient service to Commissioners, local governments, applicants, and the general public, as well as creating unnecessary and/or unproductive work for staff. Approximately 70% of the Commission's work is performed on antiquated Wang computer systems, due to a lack of modern networked personal computers. This is a significant program weakness.
- 39) With very limited resources, the Commission has developed a Web page that provides information quickly and efficiently about the Commission's work to the public. The Commission has also taken initial steps in developing a basic geographic information system which is compatible with those of other state agencies and which provides critical information for the work of the Commission and for the public.

sp5.doc:c

V. GOALS, OBJECTIVES, PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Coastal Management Program Goals: On-Going

The California Coastal Management Program is comprised of two segments: one for the bulk of California's coast, administered by the California Coastal Commission, and the other for San Francisco Bay, administered by the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. The basic goals for coastal management for the Pacific Coast segment are expressed in Chapter 1 of the California Coastal Act. These goals are to:

- Protect, maintain, and where feasible enhance and restore the overall quality of the coastal environment and its natural and manmade resources.
- Assure orderly, balanced use, and conservation of coastal resources taking into account the social and economic needs of the people of the State.
- Maximize public access to and along the coast and maximize public recreational opportunities in the coastal zone consistent with sound resource conservation principles and constitutionally protected rights of private property owners.
- Assure priority for coastal -dependent development over other development on the coast.
- Encourage State-local initiatives and cooperation in preparing procedures to implement coordinated planning and development for mutually beneficial uses, including educational uses, in the coastal zone.

The Coastal Act also contains specific policies pertaining to public access, recreation, marine resources, land resources, residential and industrial development, and port development. These policies are implemented through the coastal development permit process and the development and certification of Local Coastal Programs which the Coastal Act requires all coastal cities and counties to prepare.

When a Local Coastal Program (LCP) is certified by the Coastal Commission as being consistent with the goals and policies of the Coastal Act, coastal permit authority for that area is assumed by the local government. After LCP

certification, however, development in state tidelands, submerged lands, and public trust lands continues to require a permit from the Coastal Commission. Furthermore, certain types of local government decisions on coastal permits made under certified LCPs may be appealed to the Coastal Commission. In addition to Local Coastal Programs prepared by cities and counties, Port Master Plans have been prepared by four ports in the coastal zone.

The third partner agency which implements California's Coastal Management Program is the State Coastal Conservancy. The Coastal Conservancy carries out a variety of programs in the coastal zone directed at preservation of coastal agriculture, resolution of coastal land use issues, natural resource restoration and enhancement, urban water front development, acquisition of significant coastal sites, public access to and along the shoreline, and assistance to local governments and nonprofit organizations. The Coastal Conservancy is responsible with the Coastal Commission for the Joint Access Program which includes measures to identify, acquire, develop, and manage public accessways to the coast.

In addition to the coastal permit, LCP, and public access programs described above, the Commission has the following on-going responsibilities:

- Reviews the consistency of federal activities with the California Coastal Management Program;
- Maintains a Coastal Resources Information Center as a central clearinghouse for information relating to the coast;
- Reviews and certifies Long Range Development Plans for colleges and universities and Public Works Plans prepared by public agencies, utilities, special districts, and community colleges;
- Monitors local government implementation of certified LCPs and periodically reviews such implementation.

Strategic Plan Goals and Objectives

This section of the draft plan contains numerous blanks which remain to be filled-in prior to completion of the plan; comments on these items are welcome.

efficient, and user-friendly.

Strive to make the Commission's regulatory

and planning processes more effective,

Prepare and implement means of streamlining the Commission's coastal permit and Local Coastal Program procedures (FY 1997-98)
Streamlining Task Force recommendations regarding LCPs and permits to be prepared by fall 1997
Recommendations to be implemented during 1997-98
Pursue information system strategy that: puts computer on every desk; results in an effective, networked system; implements a statewide coastal permit tracking system; provides staff training, e-mail, usable databases; puts in place necessary technical computer service staff; includes GIS spatial information components (FY 1997-98) ¹
by
Undertake an audit of the Commission's performance (FY 1997-98) ²
Prepare draft criteria for audit by Commission review and adopt criteria by Select auditor by

² Ditto

Goal A

¹ Funding not yet included in approved budget

Objective 4	Explore means to enhance staff communications with the public and with other offices (FY)
Action a)	Prepare report on options such as new phone systems to allow voice mail in all offices, video conferencing, improved speaker phones, relationship to potential new computers to be acquired; report to be prepared by
Objective 5	Implement reform of Commission's regulations (FY 1997-98)
Action a)	Legal Division review existing regulations for possible changes by
Action b)	Prepare possible changes for public review by
Objective 6	Get feedback from permit applicants, members of the public, and other "customers" regarding the Commission's services (FY 1997-98)
Action a)	Develop a customer service survey to be distributed to applicants and others by
Action b)	Implement improvements based on the customer service survey by
Objective 7	Improve efficiency in management of the Commission's files (FY 1998-99) ³
Action a)	Establish Task Force on files management by
Action b)	Task Force to propose criteria for what to keep, what to throw, what to archive, etc. by
Action c)	Undertake a preliminary cost-benefit analysis of efforts to convert paper records to microfiche or electronic form by
Action d)	Prepare a BCP to gain funding to implement measures to improve management of files, such as scanning paper files, by
Objective 8	Enhance the decentralized organizational structure of the agency) ⁴
Action a)	Prepare a BCP by July, 1997 to gain necessary funding to re- open a North Coast office (FY)

³ Funding not yet included in approved budget ⁴ Ditto

Objective 9	Pursue conversion of certified LCPs into digital format (FY)
Action a)	District managers to make priority list of LCPs for conversion by July 1, 1997
Action b)	LCPs assembled and sent to CERES for conversion by

Performance Measure 1
Performance audit report
Revised Commission regulations
Performance Measure 3
Performance Measure 3
North Coast Office in operation

Goal B **Enhance the Coastal Commission's** leadership role in coastal zone management and in the provision of information regarding coastal and ocean resources.

Objective 1	Develop program to educate young people about coastal management and marine sciences (National Sea Camp) (FY)
Action a) Action b) Action c)	Conduct workshop in fall, 1997 Conclude an agreement with site operator by
Objective 2	Create public information officer position (FY) ⁵
Action a)	Prepare BCP by July, 1997 to establish the position
Objective 3	Develop education program that addresses water quality programs (FY 1997-1999) ⁶
Action a) Action b) Action c)	Develop a work program and budget by September 1997 Explore sources of funding to carry out the program Implement the work program during 1998-99 and 1999-2000
Objective 4	Complete and update series of Coastal and Marine Educational Resource Directories (FY 1997-2000) ⁷
Action a) Action b) Action c) Action d) Action e)	Seek funding for Southern California directory by January 1998 Prepare directory for Southern California by July 1999 Seek funding for a North Coast directory by January 1999 Prepare directory for North Coast by July 2000 Update San Francisco and Monterey Bay directory and South Central Coast directory by July 2000

⁵ Funding not yet included in approved budget ⁶ Ditto

⁷ Ditto

Objective 5	Maximize benefit to Commission programs from "Whale-Tail' license plate program (FY 1997-2000)
Action a)	Prepare plan by July, 1997 to utilize funds generated by license plate sales for appropriate Commission, State Coastal Conservancy, and Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary programs
Action b) Action c)	Sell 25,000 license plates by 2000
Objective 6	Develop plan to expand understanding of coastal zone management among local, state, and federal program managers (FY 1999-2000)
Action a)	during 3-5 year period
Objective 7	Develop agency newsletter for local governments (like "Local Assistance Notes") and/or others interested in the Commission's programs (FY)
Action a)	by
Objective 8	Prepare "25-year report" on the Commission's work and what has been accomplished (FY 1997-98)
Action a) Action b)	Prepare draft report by Distribute report to the public by June, 1998
Objective 9	Establish agency speaker's bureau (FY 1999-2000)
Action a)	within 3 years
Objective 10	Provide information to staff of the Commission and of local governments on "takings" law (FY 1997-98)
Action a)	Conduct training workshops for Commission and local government staff by December, 1997
Objective 11	Create Coastal Atlas of mapped information for the use of the public and of staff (FY 1998-2000)
Action a) Action b)	Seek additional funding and cartographic staff

Objective 12 Expand information about the Commission's programs and coastal management available on the Internet (CRIC) (FY 1997-2000) Add the following items (Federal consistency database, "Federal Action a) Consistency in a Nutshell," others) to the Commission's Website by Objective 13 Increase and enhance public participation and outreach efforts for the agency's planning and regulatory programs. Action a) **Performance Measure 1** Sea Camp in operation Coastal/Marine Educational Resource Performance Measure 2 directories Performance Measure 3 Agency newsletter Performance Measure 4 25-year report Performance Measure 5 Coastal Atlas **Expanded and continually updated Website** Performance Measure 6

Goal C Enhance staff capabilities and expertise on technical and other subjects.

Objective 1	Expand the technical expertise available to the Commission for its regulatory and planning work (FY 1997-1999) ⁸
Action a)	Seek funding for additional biologist by
Action b)	Hire water quality planner, geologist by
Action c)	Explore contract with Division of Mines and Geology and prepare a report by
Action d)	Convert limited-term cartographic staff positions to permanent status by
Objective 2	Provide one staff counsel in each district office (FY)
Action a)	Prepare BCP by for additional positions
Objective 3	Provide a training budget for Commission staff of no less than \$300/person (\$30,000) (FY 1998-99) ⁹
Action a)	Prepare a BCP by July, 1997
Action b)	Investigate alternative methods of training, including use of video tapes by
Objective 4	Conduct an all-staff conference once each year (FY 1997-98)
Action a)	Prepare tentative agenda for first conference to be held during 1997-98 by (to include training on critical challenges facing the Commission, past lessons learned, etc.)
Action b)	————
Objective 5	Expand resources of the Administration Division (FY 1998-99) ¹⁰
Action a)	Determine specific staff needs and prepare BCPs for up to 3.5 additional PYs by July, 1997

Funding not yet included in approved budget
Ditto
Ditto

Objective 6	Ensure each district office has access to GIS information, aerial photography, and land parcel information at usable scales (FY 1997-98)
Action a)	Purchase needed computers for district offices by(dependent on 1997-98 budget)
Action b)	
Objective 7	Improve Commission's ability to respond to proposed legislation which affects the coastal management program (FY)
Action a)	
Objective 8	Enhance the enforcement program in each office.
Action a)	BCPs for new positions by
Performance Performance Performance	Measure 2 Training opportunities for staff

Goal D Develop innovative approaches to carrying out the Commission's programs, including inter-agency, inter-disciplinary, and volunteer approaches.

Objective 1	Create multi-agency Enforcement Task Forces in additional regions of the coastal zone, modelled on the Santa Monica Mountains Task Force (FY 1997-2000)
Action a)	Create Task Force in Monterey Bay Area during 1997-9811
Action b) Action c)	Create Task Force in during 1998-99 Create Task Force in during 1999-2000
Objective 2	Develop a strategy to enhance teamwork on various issues, modelled on the BEAR task force (FY 1997-98)
Action a) Action b)	Re-activate Wetlands Task Force by
Objective 3	Develop strategy to target local assistance efforts where they will do the most good (FY)
Action a)	Review past requests from local governments concerning what assistance would be most useful to them, during 1997-98
Action b)	Consider survey of local governments to ask what they need most, during 1997-98
Objective 4	Conduct at least two annual meetings with coastal and ocear managers (National Marine Sanctuaries, National Estuarine Research Reserves, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management) (FY)
Action a)	Federal Programs Manager to develop general "agenda" and list of relevant managers by
Action b)	Each district, in coordination with headquarters technical staff, to conduct first meeting with relevant managers by

¹¹ Funding not yet included in approved budget

Objective 5	Develop student internship program with focus on cultural diversity (FY 1998-99) ¹²
Action a)	Prepare a BCP for necessary funding by July, 1997
Objective 6	Develop oil spill outreach program for oil spill contingency planning, in concert with district offices (FY 1997-98)
Action a)	by June, 1998
Objective 7	Actively participate on special task forces such as Seismic Survey, Energy Facility Abandonment, Pipeline Transportation, and Wetlands Mitigation Banking task force (FY 1997-2000)
Action a)	Assure that adequate staff and travel funds are available for these duties through steps such as

Additional Enforcement Task Forces

Student Internship Program

Performance Measure 1

Performance Measure 2

 $^{^{12}}$ Funding not yet included in approved budget

Goal E Complete uncertified LCPs.

Objective 1	Reinstate mandate to complete LCPs (FY)
Action a)	Prepare draft legislation by July 1, 1997
Objective 2	Develop a strategy for targeting staff assistance to complete uncertified LCPs (FY)
Action a)	Each district office to develop priority list of LCPs where assistance is likely to be most productive, by
Action b)	Develop or update guidance mechanisms to assist local governments in LCP planning by
Action c)	Explore and identify needs by for additional staff to assist targeted local governments in completing LCPs
Action d)	Prepare BCPs for additional staff by July, 1998

Performance Measure 1 Local Assistance Program

Goal F Improve assessment and management of cumulative impacts of development in the coastal zone.

Objective 1	Conduct at least one Regional Cumulative Assessment Program (ReCAP) review each year (FY 1997-2000)
Action a) Action b)	Select site for review during 1997-98 by April, 1997 Initiate issue identification, public outreach, and resource assessment by September 1997
Action c)	Complete review and develop recommendations by May 1998
Objective 2	Develop recommendations for information system improvements to facilitate future ReCAP reviews (FY)
Action a)	Conduct training sessions with district staff concerning post- certification data collection, by August, 1997
Action b)	Monitor use of existing Permit Tracking System
Action c)	Written recommendations to improve information systems
Action d)	Implement alternative data collection/data sharing methods
Action e)	Work with Resources Agency to develop/expand Permit Tracking System

Performance Measure 1 ReCAP reviews

Goal G Improve protection of coastal and ocean resources.

Objective 1	Develop strategy to improve Commission's efforts to promote environmentally sustainable development and consider environmental and social equity in the agency's work (FY)
Action a)	
Objective 2	Pursue polluted run-off strategy (FY 1997-2002)
Action a)	over next 5 years
Objective 3	Improve efforts to restore wetlands and other degraded coastal resources in consultation with Coastal Conservancy (FY)
Action a)	Define program by
Objective 4	Strengthen oil spill program (FY)
Action a)	Develop strategy to address possible Southern California spills, including inland spills
Action b)	

Objective 5	Enhance efforts to ensure follow-up and compliance on all major permit conditions (FY1997-2000)
Action a)	Compile lessons learned and continue to improve future permit requirements to prevent impacts to marine and coastal resources by
Action b)	Develop strategy to ensure independent, science-based monitoring for all major mitigation projects by
Action c)	Develop strategy to enhance involvement of university scientists in mitigation or restoration design and monitoring by
Action d)	Explore additional grant and funding alternatives to support enhanced monitoring efforts and determine need for improved efficiency and additional staffing by

Performance Measure 1
Performance Measure 2

Polluted Runoff Strategy Wetland Restoration Program

×	œ	333			ı	20		w		**			333				88			**	w	**	ж	×			**	**		***	w		w		w	88		**			**			***	***	***						w		**			w					×		88	Š
Œ.	ф.	σ.	w	-3	18	8 ×	۰ 8		333	**	**	***	Ë.	7	٧ė	*	т.	r.	X	v.	12	200	80	Э.	ы			æ	71	×	8	m	N	7	Ж	20	T.	€.	æ	97,	w	200			8	×	~~	٧.	œ	3	€~;	7.7	-8	m	73	n.	3	37	33	æ	88	- 2	38	œ	ķ
×	φ,	3.7	73	• 1	18	88				88			18	В		8)	ь.	Ų.	A	٧.	. *	٠.	×	٠,	18	и	2	ш	a٠	=	¥	8 1	8 6		ж	12	3.	ey;	. **	χ٠	٠Ř	•	~	ж	k e	7.8	Ю.	8.8	7 &	₩,	8 8	8 8		я	83		и	3 -	÷	•		E.	w.	æ	ě
***	₩			₩	***					***			***		a.	7							33				SS:	***				833		833				333		***	888					888	.88	8.3	***					***	₩		***								٥
**		×	***	***	***		***		***	***		₩		***	8	*	***			8		w		×		***	₩	w	30	***	w	888	w		w	w		**	***		**	***		***	***		***	888	***	888	::::	***	***	88	888		888	888	***		***	88	***	æ	٥
ж				88						***		œ۱	ŖΒ	280	22			w		9 5		Ŀч	æ		888		***				•	***	333						333	**		200						200						***			::::			***					ä
	:			*				***	**:				8 8	: :	œ.		•	я		8	8 9	3	и.	80				888	888		::::		***	***	***				888	***	333	333	888	***	888		**	888	888	888	333	888		***	888					***					ä
	**	***	**	***		₩			::::				100	×	×	w	٠.	2	Ň	S.		a.	ъ.				:::				883					***	333			***			388				***	***	***	***						***				883		***			á

Objective 1	Prepare and implement a Public Access Action Plan that includes (1) mapping and field checking of all Offers-to-Dedicate (OTDs), deed restrictions, and other legal documents that secure public access; (2) prioritization of all OTDs; (3) methods to achieve opening of the accessways by the year 2000; (4) priority links in the Coastal Trail (FYs 1997-2000)
Action a)	Prepare draft plan by July, 1998
Objective 2	Develop improved Commission findings, for use in conjunction with seawalls, supporting public access requirements and long-term needs for mitigation of impacts (FY 1997-98)
Action a)	by
Objective 3	Seek innovative ways to reduce costs for the public to get to the shoreline (FY 1997-98)
Action a)	Pursue legislation by January 1998 to provide funding to open and operate accessays
Action b)	Pursue legislation by January 1998 to reduce litigation costs for non-profit organizations accepting accessways
Objective 4	Explore legal tools to assist in opening and maintaining public shoreline accessways (FY)
Action a)	
Performance	Measure 1 Public Access Action Plan

strat8.doc:d