45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 COICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



DATE: May 20, 1997

TO: COASTAL COMMISSIONERS AND INTERESTED PARTIES

FROM: MARK DELAPLAINE, FEDERAL CONSISTENCY SUPERVISOR

Tu 11

RE: NEGATIVE DETERMINATIONS ISSUED BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR [Note: Executive Director decision letters are attached]

PROJECT #:	ND-023-97
APPLICANT:	Postal Service
LOCATION:	Intersection of El Camino Real and Garden View Road,
	City of Encinitas, San Diego Co.
PROJECT:	Construction of a new post office
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	4/23/97
PROJECT #:	ND-024-97
APPLICANT:	Marine Corps
LOCATION:	Lower Santa Margarita River, Camp Pendleton Marine
	Corps Base, San Diego Co.
PROJECT:	Sewage Effluent Compliance Project
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	5/19/97
PROJECT #:	ND-041-97
APPLICANT:	Fish and Wildlife Service
LOCATION:	Bolsa Chica Wetlands, Orange Co.
PROJECT:	Amendment to previously approved wetland mitigation
	project
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	4/28/97

PROJECT #:	ND-043-97
APPLICANT:	Coast Guard
LOCATION:	Coast Guard Station Bodega Bay, Sonoma County
PROJECT:	Pier modifications
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	5/19/97
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PROJECT #:	ND-045-97
APPLICANT:	Coast Guard
LOCATION:	Coast Guard Communication Area Master Station, near
	Bolinas, Marin County
PROJECT:	Replace three existing antennas
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	5/1/97
PROJECT #:	ND-048-97
APPLICANT:	Navy
LOCATION:	San Clemente Island
PROJECT:	Construction of a wind farm
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	4/29/97
PROJECT #:	ND-051-97
APPLICANT:	Coast Guard
LOCATION:	South breakwater, Humboldt Bay entrance channel,
	Humboldt Co.
PROJECT:	Breakwater repair
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	4/18/97
PROJECT #:	ND-052-97
APPLICANT:	Navy
LOCATION:	Naval Station, San Diego
PROJECT:	Construction of Bachelor Enlisted Quarters
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	4/22/97

• •

PROJECT #:	ND-053-97
APPLICANT:	IBWC
LOCATION:	South Bay Ocean Outfall, offshore of Tijuana River, San
	Diego County
PROJECT:	Removal and disposal of concrete used for construction of
	ocean outfall
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	4/22/97
PROJECT #:	ND-054-97
APPLICANT:	Coast Guard
LOCATION:	Coast Guard navigation facility sites, statewide
PROJECT:	Removal of radiobeacon installations and supporting
FROJECT.	
ACTION	equipment
ACTION:	Concur 5/2/07
ACTION DATE:	5/2/97
PROJECT #:	ND-055-97
APPLICANT:	Navy
LOCATION:	Naval Station, San Diego
PROJECT:	Construction of a fleet support building
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	5/2/97
PROJECT #:	ND-057-97
APPLICANT:	Navy
LOCATION:	Naval Submarine Base, Point Loma, San Diego
PROJECT:	Renovation of existing administrative buildings and minor
	pier repairs
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	5/2/97
L	
PROJECT #:	ND-059-97
APPLICANT:	Navy
LOCATION:	Naval Construction Batallion Center, Port Hueneme,
	Ventura Co.
PROJECT:	Construction of a storage building
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	5/9/97
ACTION DATE:	

PAGE 3

PROJECT #:	NE-060-97
APPLICANT:	Caltrans
LOCATION:	Highway 1, approximately 1.1 miles south of Arroyo de la
	Cruz, San Luis Obispo County
PROJECT:	Erosion control by placement of rock rip-rap
ACTION:	No effect
ACTION DATE:	5/8/97

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 CE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



April 23, 1997

Kayode F. Kadara Federal Environmental Specialist 395 Oyster Point Blvd. South San Francisco, CA 94099-0300

Re: ND-023-97 Negative Determination, U.S. Postal Service, Post Office Modifications, Encinitas, San Diego County

Dear Mr. Kadara:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination from the U.S. Postal Service for the construction of a Post Office at the intersection of El Camino Real and Garden View Road, in the City of Encinitas, San Diego County. The Commission previously authorized a post office at this location in 1987, when it concurred with consistency determination CD-46-87. However, the Postal Service did not construct that facility, which has since been revised. The revised proposal expands the size of the post office from 21,895 square feet to 32,044 square feet. This negative determination is necessary due to the modifications from the originally-concurred-with facility.

The major issue raised by the original consistency determination for this project was protection of prime agricultural land. The previous land owner and the Postal Service resolved that issue through the use of a deed restriction protecting other agricultural land. Additionally, since the previous consistency determination, the City of Encinitas has annexed the project site, and has adopted a Local Coastal Program (LCP), which the Commission has certified and incorporated into the California Coastal Management Program (CCMP). That LCP zones this area for urban development, including commercial and residential uses. Additionally, the LCP identifies the Green Valley area (which includes the post office site) as an area for concentration of development, to preserve remaining agricultural lands. The City has allowed other urban development in this area and has developed the services necessary to support this type of development.

Under the federal consistency regulations (Section 930.35(d)), a negative determination can be submitted for an activity "which is the same as or similar to activities for which consistency determinations have been prepared in the past." This project is similar to a consistency determination which was previously determined by the Commission to be consistent with the CCMP.

ND-023-97 **Postal Service** Page 2

In conclusion, we agree with the Postal Service that this modified project would not entail any impacts to coastal resources different than the previously-concurred-with project. We therefore concur with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have questions.

Sincerely,

(for) PETER M. DOUGLAS

Executive Director

cc: San Diego Area Office NOAA Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services OCRM California Department of Water Resources Governors Washington D.C. Office

PMD/MPD/mcr

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000

FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



May 19, 1997

J.L. Caspers Lieutenant Colonel U.S. Marine Corps Environmental Security Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base Box 555010 Camp Pendleton, CA 920555-5010

RE: ND-024-97, Negative Determination, Santa Margarita River Area Sewage Effluent Compliance, Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, San Diego County

Dear Lieutenant Colonel Caspers:

The Commission has received the above referenced negative determination for a proposed sewage effluent compliance project along the Santa Margarita River at Camp Pendleton. We have concurred with negative determinations for two previous sewage effluent compliance projects in the San Mateo and Las Pulgas areas at Camp Pendleton. The current project includes construction, installation, and operation of a system of pumps, pipelines, and associated facilities to convey treated effluent from five existing on-Base sewage treatment plants to an existing ocean outfall in the City of Oceanside. Currently, Camp Pendleton discharges the secondary-treated effluent to the Santa Margarita River. The proposed action is the result of a cease and desist order issued by the Regional Water Quality Control Board; the order arose because the effluent currently being discharged into the Santa Margarita River does not comply with the water quality standards set forth in the Basin Plan and is therefore in violation of the Base's NPDES permit issued by the Regional Board.

The location of the pipeline has been designed to minimize impacts on sensitive resources, including wetlands. However, impacts to coastal sage scrub, wetlands, and riparian vegetation will still occur. In addition, the project will result in the loss of water to the Santa Margarita River basin, which may result in deterioration of riparian areas during drought periods. The Marine Corps has consulted with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and has incorporated into the proposed project mitigation measures which will reduce the impacts to coastal zone resources. The Marine Corps will mitigate losses to coastal sage scrub by restoring non-native grasslands to coastal sage scrub. Other mitigation measures include erosion control measures, revegetation and restoration of temporarily disturbed areas, and monitoring of restored areas and remediation, if necessary. The Marine Corps has also agreed to monitor riparian habitat for ten years and permanently monitor groundwater conditions near the Santa Margarita River, to assess if impacts are occurring to riparian vegetation from the loss of water to the

system. If the monitoring indicates an impact to riparian vegetation, the Marine Corps has agreed to work with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to mitigate those impacts.

Usually we distinguish between projects that minimize impacts through *avoidance* of impacts, versus those that have impacts but mitigation is being provided (such as for the coastal sage scrub impacts discussed above). The latter of these situations usually triggers us to request a consistency determination, whereas a negative determination is acceptable for the former. However, in this case, given the timing constraints, the need for action to satisfy the Regional Water Board's Cease and Desist order, and the Marine Corps' willingness to work with the Fish and Wildlife Service to mitigate habitat impacts, we accept your submittal as a negative determination. Given the mitigation incorporated into the project and the need for the project based on the Cease and Desist order, we concur with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Tania Pollak at (415) 904-5297 if you have any questions.

Peter M Douglas **Executive Director**

cc: Wayne Worthington, Camp Pendleton San Diego Coast Area Office NOAA Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services OCRM California Department of Water Resources Governors Washington D.C. Office

÷

2

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 AND TDD (415) 904-5200



April 28, 1997

Gail Kobetich Field Supervisor Carlsbad Field Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ATTN: Jack Fancher 2730 Loker Avenue West Carlsbad, CA 92008

Subject: Negative Determination ND-41-97 (Bolsa Chica Lowlands Project).

¢.

Dear Mr. Kobetich:

The Commission staff has reviewed your negative determination for modifications to the previously-concurred with Consistency Determination CD-115-96, which provided for the acquisition and restoration of 880 acres of wetlands at the Bolsa Chica lowlands on the northerm Orange County coastline. The purpose of this negative determination is to assure that adequate fiscal resources are available to construct the first phase of the project and to assure habitat restoration of the "Future Full Tidal" area as delineated in the Bolsa Chica Conceptual Restoration Plan. The negative determination also proposes to shift the predicted start of restoration construction from 1999 to 2000 in order to allow sufficient time for feasibility planning and alternatives analysis, environmental documentation, final design, and contaminants cleanup.

Under this negative determination, the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach would each contribute an additional \$6 million towards restoration of tidal influence in the Future Full Tidal area of Bolsa Chica; in return each Port would receive an additional 40 port landfill mitigation credits. Introduction of tidal influence to and restoration of habitat values within the Future Full Tidal area will be largely dependent on constructing a tidal inlet wide enough to convey tidal volumes for both the 384-acre Full Tidal area and the 275-acre Future Full Tidal area. This negative determination does not propose to change the potential inlet design from that concurred with by the Commission in CD-115-96, but instead assures funding of an inlet design that will maintain the engineering feasibility of assuring restoration of both the Full Tidal and Future Full Tidal areas of the Bolsa Chica wetland complex. Finally, this negative determination states that if at some future time additional restoration actions are determined to be desirable and feasible in the Future Full Tidal area and sufficient funds are not available to accomplish such actions, the

CD-41-97 (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) Page 2

Second Amendment to the Interagency Agreement provides that the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach will have the first right of refusal to fund those actions and receive appropriate mitigation credits calculated and approved at that time.

In conclusion, the actions proposed in this negative determination will not change the previouslyconcurred with Bolsa Chica wetland restoration concept plan, will assure adequate funding for that plan, will not adversely affect the coastal zone, and are consistent with previous Commission approvals regarding the Bolsa Chica wetlands conceptual restoration plan (CD-115-96; Port of Los Angeles Plan Amendment Nos. 15 and 17; Port of Long Beach Plan Amendment No. 8). The Commission will review a consistency determination from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service incorporating the final wetland restoration plan for Bolsa Chica in late 1998 or early 1999, after completion of an Environmental Impact Statement/Report. In the interim, the Commission staff will continue to participate in the multi-agency planning and design effort for Bolsa Chica wetlands restoration. We therefore concur with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Larry Simon at (415) 904-5288 should you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely PETER M. DOUGLAS **Executive Director**

South Coast Area Office
NOAA Assistant Administrator
Assistant General Counsel Ocean Services
OCRM
Governor's Washington, D.C., Office
California Department of Water Resources
State Lands Commission
Port of Los Angeles
Port of Long Beach

fednds.doc

cc:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - THE RESOURCES AGENCY

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION 45 FREMONT STREET. SUITE 2000

ANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 ND TDD (415) 904-5200

May 19, 1997

Dave Stalters Chief, Environmental Division U.S. Coast Guard 2000 Embarcadero Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94606-5337

RE: ND-043-97, Negative Determination, Dock Replacement and Extension, U.S. Coast Guard Station, Bodega Bay, Sonoma County

Dear Mr. Stalters:

The Commission has received the above referenced negative determination to replace three existing floating docks at the U.S. Coast Guard Station in Bodega Bay. The project is needed to accommodate two new boats which are necessary to carry out the Coast Guard's mission in and around the bay. As part of the project, Dock A will be increased in length by five ft. (55 ft. in total). Dock C will be replaced with a new 150 ft. by 10 ft. floating dock. Dock B will be replaced in kind. The project will result in a 25 percent increase in the existing covered water surface. The Coast Guard has coordinated with the National Marine Fisheries Service and has ensured that no marine resources will be affected from the minor additional shading associated with the project. The project will not adversely affect any coastal zone resources.

We therefore concur with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Tania Pollak at (415) 904-5297 if you have any questions.

Executive Directo

cc: North Coast Area Office NOAA Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services OCRM California Department of Water Resources Governors Washington D.C. Office

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - THE RESOURCES AGENCY

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION 45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



May 1, 1997

Dave Stalters Chief, Environmental Division U.S. Coast Guard 2000 Embarcadero Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94606-5337

RE: ND-045-97, Negative Determination, Antenna Replacement, Communication Area Maser Station Pacific transmitter site, Bolinas, Marin County

Dear Mr. Stalters:

The Commission has received the above referenced negative determination to replace three existing broadcasting antennas with one 150 foot vertical curtain antenna. The project is located at the existing antenna field, just south of Point Reyes National Seashore in Bolinas. The antennas to be replaced are two 130 foot vertical curtain antennae and one 41 foot inverted cone antenna. A six inch by twelve inch trench will be constructed to install the connecting coaxial cable for the new antenna. The project is needed to maintain modern communication operations in support of the Coast Guard's activities.

This project will not affect any resources of the coastal zone. The antenna will be located in an existing antenna field, and will therefore not result in any impacts to visual resources. No endangered species or sensitive habitat will be affected by the project.

We therefore concur with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Tania Pollak at (415) 904-5297 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Mark Dehlm

(For) Peter M. Douglas Executive Director

cc: North Coast Area Office NOAA Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services OCRM California Department of Water Resources Governors Washington D.C. Office

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - THE RESOURCES AGENCY

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 RANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 AND TDD (415) 904-5200



April 29, 1997

David Holmes Division Director Electrical Utilities and Controls Division Department of the Navy 1100 23rd Ave. Port Hueneme, CA 93043-4370

RE: ND-048-97, Negative Determination, Construction and operation of Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) Wind Farm, San Clemente Island, Los Angeles County

Dear Mr. Holmes:

The Commission has received the above referenced negative determination for construction and operation of the SERDP Wind Farm on San Clemente Island, near Wilson Cove. The project includes construction of four wind turbines, upgrading an existing graded access road (approximately 375 meters long), installing a substation, and installing approximately 375 meters of underground transmission line. The project would disturb approximately 3 acres of ground. The wind turbines would each be up to 195 feet in height. The purpose of the project is to reduce the Navy's dependence on fossil fuel on San Clemente Island.

Although they will not significantly degrade the visual resources of the coastal zone, the turbines will be visible to boaters approaching the island. Public use of waters around the island is restricted to 274 meters or more away from the shoreline. The Navy has looked at alternative sites for the project, but concluded that the proposed location was the most suitable site for the purpose of the project. While the Commission staff agrees that this project is too small and too far distant from shore to negatively impact visual resources of the coastal zone, we are aware of proposals for a future wind-power project of a larger scale on the island. We wish to inform the Navy that our concurrence with this negative determination is not intended to apply to future projects of this kind; it is quite possible that the magnitude of the future proposal (40 wind turbines) will require a consistency determination to address visual and other potential impacts to the coastal zone.

The project will not significantly impact any listed species in the project area, particularly the island night lizard and San Clemente loggerhead shrike. Mitigation measures, including relocation of the night lizard prior to construction, will further reduce potential impacts to the species. Further, the Navy agrees to conduct a post-construction survey to determine the rate of raptor or other sensitive bird species mortalities at the wind farm. The survey would be conducted during the first full migratory season following the initial operation of the wind turbines, from October through March. The survey results will be forwarded to Commission staff to assist in their monitoring of this project and their review of future wind projects. If the survey documents significant impacts to sensitive species, additional federal consistency review may be necessary.

We agree that the proposed project will not affect any resources of the coastal zone; we therefore concur with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Tania Pollak at (415) 904-5297 if you have any questions.

Jouglas

Executive Director

cc: Marcia Kingsbury, US Navy San Diego Area Office NOAA Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services OCRM California Department of Water Resources Governors Washington D.C. Office

AS FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 AN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 AOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200 PETE WILSON, Gover



April 18, 1997

Dave Stalters Chief, Environmental Division U.S. Coast Guard Civil Engineering Unit Oakland 2000 Embarcadero, Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94606-5337

RE: ND-51-97 Negative Determination, Coast Guard Breakwater Repair, Humboldt Bay

Dear Mr. Stalters:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination for the repair of the south breakwater at the Coast Guard Station at Samoa, on the east side of the north spit adjacent to Humboldt Bay. The repair work would be limited to a small portion of the existing breakwater at its tip (baywardmost end). The repair work consists of replacing damaged riprap and modifying the slope of the riprap from 2:1 to 1.5:1, to help avoid future riprap deterioration.

Under the federal consistency regulations a negative determination can be submitted for an activity "which is the same as or similar to activities for which consistency determinations have been prepared in the past." In 1983 the Commission concurred with the Coast Guard's consistency determination for the construction of the original breakwater (CD-33-83). While the new footprint of the breakwater will be slightly larger because of the modified slope, the work is limited to a very small portion (only the tip) of the breakwater. The impacts of the slightly wider footprint will be less than the impacts from the original breakwater. In concurring with the original project the Commission determined no mitigation was necessary for the placement of the riprap in this intertidal area of Humboldt Bay, because the site did not contain any particularly rare or sensitive habitat values. In addition, the Coast Guard has coordinated with the Dept. of Fish and Game, which has not identified any habitat concerns raised by this repair project. In conclusion, this project is similar to and would have less impact than the previous breakwater project at the site for which the Commission concurred with the Coast Guard's consistency determination. We therefore <u>concur</u> with your negative determination for the project made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have questions.

Sincere r. döug

Executive Director

cc: North Coast Area Office NOAA Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services OCRM California Department of Water Resources Governors Washington D.C. Office

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers (S.F. District)

-2-

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

April 22, 1997

F.P. Wiegand, Commander Civil Engineer Corps, U.S. Navy Commanding Officer Naval Station San Diego 3455 Senn Rd. San Diego, CA 92136-5084

RE: ND-52-97 Negative Determination, Bachelor Enlisted Quarter Facility, Naval Station San Diego

Dear Cmdr. Wiegand:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination for the construction of a Navy Bachelor Enlisted Quarter (BEQ) Facility, east of Harbor Drive and between Norman Scott Rd. and Main St., at the Naval Station San Diego. The project consists of the construction of a 10,608 sq. ft., 3-story building and an adjacent 34,873 sq. ft., 4-story building. The project would not create adverse visual impacts on public views, since the site is within a developed Navy compound and is surrounded by existing multi-story buildings of comparable heights or taller. No construction activities would occur in, near, or affecting marine resources or environmentally sensitive habitat, and the project would not otherwise affect coastal resources.

We **agree** with your assessment that the project will not affect any coastal zone resources. We therefore <u>concur</u> with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have questions.

Executive Director

cc: San Diego Area Office NOAA Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services OCRM California Department of Water Resources Governors Washington D.C. Office

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



April 22, 1997

Dion McMicheaux International Boundary & Water Commission U.S. Section 2225 Dairy Mart Rd. San Diego, CA 92173

RE: **ND-53-97** Negative Determination, Modifications to previously-concurred-with South Bay International Wastewater Treatment Plant/South Bay Ocean Outfall (IWTP/SBOO), San Diego

Dear Mr. McMicheaux:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination for modifications to the previously-concurred-with consistency determination for the above-referenced IWTP/SBOO project. The Commission originally authorized the project through consistency determination CD-2-94, with subsequent modifications authorized under consistency and negative determinations CD-31-95, ND-1-95, ND-77-96 and ND-120-96.

The need for the current modification arose when the contractor constructing the outfall discovered that the concrete being used was not sufficiently structurally sound, therefore needing to be replaced. The proposed modification would consist of removing the to-be-replaced concrete and sidecasting it around the tunnel riser, several miles offshore. The area that the concrete will be sidecast in has already been disturbed with excavated material, and in any event will subsequently be covered with armor stone to protect the riser. Therefore the impact of the additional concrete placement in this area will be indistinguishable from that of previous and future disturbance of the area. For this reason, the modified proposal does not raise any new issues with respect to project impacts on coastal zone marine resources or water quality that were not previously considered by the Commission.

In conclusion, we **agree** with your assessment that the resources of the coastal zone will not be adversely affected by the project as modified. We therefore **concur** with your

negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have questions.

Sincerely,

fur)

PETER M. DOUGLAS Executive Director

cc: San Diego Area Office
 NOAA
 Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services
 OCRM
 California Department of Water Resources
 Governors Washington D.C. Office

PMD/MPD/mcr

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION 45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200





May 2, 1997

Dave Stalters Chief, Environmental Division U.S. Coast Guard Civil Engineering Unit Oakland 2000 Embarcadero, Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94606-5337

RE: ND-54-97 Negative Determination, Coast Guard, Radiobeacon Removal, Statewide

Dear Mr. Stalters:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination for the removal of radiobeacon and supporting equipment used to guide ship traffic and navigation at various locations throughout California. The systems are unnecessary because newer Global Positioning Systems (GPS) have rendered them obsolete. A total of 25 existing systems will be removed, from Crescent City to Mission Beach. Each project consists of the removal of antennae, metal support structures, pedestals, associated equipment, and fences. The project will improve visual quality in the affected areas and otherwise avoid any effects on coastal zone resources.

Therefore, we **agree** with your conclusion that no adverse impact to coastal resources would result from the project, and we hereby <u>concur</u> with your negative determination for the project made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5280 if you have questions.

DOUGL PETER M.

Executive Director

cc: Coastal Commission Area Offices NOAA Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services OCRM

> California Department of Water Resources Governors Washington D.C. Office

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION 45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219

VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



PETE WILSON, Go

May 2, 1997

F.P. Wiegand, Commander Civil Engineer Corps, U.S. Navy **Commanding Officer** Naval Station San Diego 3455 Senn Rd. San Diego, CA 92136-5084

RE: ND-55-97 Negative Determination, Fleet Support Building, Naval Station San Diego

Dear Cmdr. Wiegand:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination for the construction of an 18,626 sq. ft., 2-story building for waterfront operations support at the Naval Station San Diego on the east side of San Diego Bay. The project includes demolition of 3 existing buildings at the site. The project would not have any adverse visual impacts on public views, since the site is within a developed Navy compound and is surrounded by existing multi-story buildings of comparable heights or taller. Construction activities would not affect marine resources or environmentally sensitive habitat, and the project would not otherwise affect coastal resources.

We agree with your assessment that the project will not affect any coastal zone remources. We therefore **concur** with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have questions.

Executive Director

cc: San Diego Area Office NOAA Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services OCRM California Department of Water Resources Governors Washington D.C. Office

CALIFORNIA COASTAL CON. AISSION 45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200

May 2, 1997

Pete Barbot Department of the Navy, Southwest Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command Planning and Real Estate Department 1220 Pacific Highway San Diego, CA 92132-5190

RE: **ND-57-97** Negative Determination, U.S. Navy, Renovation of existing buildings and minor pier repairs, Naval Submarine Base, Point Loma, San Diego

Dear Mr. Barbot:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination for the renovation of six existing buildings at the Naval Submarine Base (NSB) on the east side of Point Loma. The project also includes minor pier repairs at Pier 5002 (just north of Ballast Point at the NSB). The renovations would consist of mainly interior repairs, and the project area is predominantly developed. Therefore no coastal view protection issues are raised. The pier repairs would consist of replacing existing deteriorated fender piles and the construction of a steam supply pipe at Pier 5002. While the pier is located near a least tern nesting site, no construction would occur during the least tern nesting season. Therefore, the project will not affect any environmentally sensitive habitat. The project does not involve in-water construction or discharges into San Diego Bay, and public access and recreation will not be affected.

In conclusion, we **agree** with the Navy that this project will not adversely affect any coastal zone resources. We therefore **concur** with your negative determination for this project made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have questions.

M DOI

Executive Director

cc: San Diego Area Office
 NOAA
 Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services
 OCRM
 California Department of Water Resources
 Governors Washington D.C. Office

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION 45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 VOICE AND TOD (415) 904-5200



May 9, 1997

LCDR H.A. Bouika Environmental Officer Department of the Navy Naval Construction Battalion Center 1000 23rd Ave. Port Hueneme, CA 93043-4301

RE: ND-59-97 Negative Determination, Navy Storage Building, Naval Construction Battalion Center, Port Hueneme, Ventura County

Dear LCDR Bouika:

cc:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination for the construction of a storage building adjacent to Building 1357 at the Naval Construction Battalion Center (NCBC) in Port Hueneme. The building would be a small, one-story building located within an existing developed area of the NCBC. The project would not involve any discharges into marine waters. No scenic public views would be affected. The project site contains no environmentally sensitive habitat. Public access and recreation would not be affected by the project.

We **agree** with the Navy that the project will not affect coastal resources, and we therefore <u>concur</u> with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have questions.

Sincerely,

markoll

(f-) PETER M. DOUGLAS Executive Director

Ventura Area Office NOAA Assistant Counsel for Ocean Services OCRM Governors Washington D.C. Office California Department of Water Resources

45 FREMONT, SUITE 2000 FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219 E AND TDD (415) 904-5200



May 8, 1997

Aileen Loe Caltrans, District 5 P.O. Box 8114 San Luis Obispo, CA 93403-8114

Re: **NE-60-97** "No Effects" Determination, Caltrans Road Protection, Highway 1, Piedras Blancas, San Luis Obispo County Caltrans File No. 05-SLO-1-65.7 (05-455501)

Cear Ms. Loe:

The Coastal Commission staff has received Caltrans' request for federal consistency authorization of an emergency repair project located on the seaward side of Highway 1 in the Piedras Blancas area of San Luis Obispo County. The project consists of placement of rock slope protection along the snoreline to protect Highway 1. The project is partially within the Coastal Commission's original coastal development permit jurisdiction and partially within San Luis Obispo County's coastal development permit jurisdiction (and where such permits are appealable).

Caltrans has received a coastal development permit from San Luis Obispo County. Although within the appeals area, that County permit was not appealed, and the County determined the project that it reviewed was, as conditioned, consistent with the applicable Local Coastal Program policies. While the Commission retains federal consistency authority because the project uses federal funds (and may require one or more federal permits), after coordinating with your office and with our Santa Cruz Area Office, we are waiving federal consistency jurisdiction for the project, because:

(1) for the portion of the project within our original permit jurisdiction, Caltrans has agreed to apply for a Commission-issued permit or waiver; and

(2) for the portion of the project within the County's permit jurisdiction, as conditioned by the County the project would not cause adverse impacts to any coastal zone resources. We therefore <u>agree</u> with Caltrans' "No Effects" determination for this project with respect to the applicable federal consistency provisions. Please call Mark Delaplaine of my staff at (415) 904-5289 if you have any questions.

Sincerely, mark Deliplanic

(for) PETER DOUGLAS Executive Director

cc: Santa Cruz Area Office (Grove, Guiney)
San Luis Obispo County
NOAA
OCRM
California Dept. of Water Resources
Governors Washington, D.C. Office
RWQCB, Central Coast Region
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Ventura Field Office
CCC: Dickey, Bowers, Scholl, Fuchs, Raives

PMD/MD/mcr/1966p