

MEMORANDUM

WED 126

TO: Commissioners/Interested Persons DATE: July 22, 1998

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limits for City of Imperial Beach LCP
Amendments #1-98 & #2-98 for the Commission Meeting of
August 11-14, 1998

On June 30, 1998, the City of Imperial Beach's proposed LCP Amendment #s1-98 & 2-98 were received in the San Diego District. The proposed amendments seek to rezone several properties in the Seacoast Commercial Zone. The submittal was deemed complete, as submitted, pursuant to Section 30510(b) of the Coastal Act as of June 30, 1998.

Pursuant to Section 30514 of the Coastal Act, an implementation plan amendment must be acted on by the Commission within 60 days of a complete submittal. In order to be heard within the allotted time period, the amendments would have to be agendaized for Commission hearing at the scheduled August 11-14, 1998 hearing. Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535(c) of the Regulations state, however, that the Commission may extend for good cause the 60 day time limit for a period not to exceed one year. Commission staff is requesting this extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the submittal and prepare a recommendation. The City of Imperial Beach has been consulted and they have agreed, in writing, to the time extension. Commission staff has committed to rescheduling both amendments for the September 8-11, 1998 hearing in Eureka; however, the City has indicated that rescheduling of the amendments for either the September or October meeting is acceptable.

Summary of Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Commission extend the 60 day time limit for a period not to exceed one year. However, it is anticipated that the amendment request will be scheduled for the September 8-11, hearing in Eureka.

MOTION:

I move that the Commission extend the 60 day time limit to act on the City of Imperial Beach's Local Coastal Program Amendments No. 1-98 and 2-98 for a period not to exceed one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends a YES vote. An affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.