CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AREA OUTH CALIFORNIA ST., SUITE 200 INTURA, CA 93001 (805) 641 - 0142 

 Filed:
 5/21/99

 49th Day:
 7/9/99

 180th Day:
 11/17/99

 Staff:
 MB-V

 Staff Report:
 9/17/99

 Hearing Date:
 8/12-15/99

 Commission Action:



Tu4a

**APPLICATION NO.:** 4-99-016

APPLICANT: Bob and Kelley Persson AGENT: Terrey Valente

PROJECT LOCATION: 801 Crater Camp Drive, Monte Nido (Los Angeles County)

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** Construct two story, 30 ft. high, 4,598 sq. ft. single family residence with attached 965 sq. ft. garage, 628 ft. long retaining wall, pool, septic system, and grading of 1600 cu. yds. (800 cu. yds. cut and 800 cu. yds. fill)

Lot area:	2.83 acres
Building coverage:	3,118 sq. ft.
Pavement coverage:	1,280 sq. ft.
Landscape coverage:	20,000 sq. ft.
Parking spaces:	4 covered

LOCAL APPROVALS RECEIVED: County of Los Angeles: Fire Department approval in concept, dated 1/21/99; Department of Regional Planning, Approval in Concept, dated 2/12/99.

**SUBSTANTIVE FILE DOCUMENTS:** Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains certified Land Use Plan; Parmelee-Schick and Associates, Inc., Geologic and Soils Engineering Exploration, October 25, 1996 and addendum letter report, November 11, 1998; Pacific Geology Consultants, Inc., Engineering Geologic Memorandum, Evaluation of Percolation Test Hole, March 1, 1999.

## SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The development is proposed on a lot in a rural residential area southwest of the Monte Nido small lot subdivision. Although the parcel is outside of the LCP-designated disturbed significant oak woodland, it contains a stand of mature oak trees along a natural swale. The proposed development is set back from the canopy and will not disturb the oak trees. Staff recommends <u>approval</u> of the project with special conditions relating to: *future improvements restriction, conformance to geologic recommendations, landscape and erosion control, removal of natural vegetation, protection of oak trees during construction, and wild fire waiver of liability.* 

٨. ۵

٠,

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

The staff recommends that the Commission adopt the following resolution:

## I. Approval with Conditions

The Commission hereby <u>grants</u>, subject to the conditions below, a permit for the proposed development on the grounds that the development, as conditioned, will be in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act of 1976, will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction over the area to prepare a Local Coastal Program conforming to the provisions of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act, and will not have any significant adverse effects on the environment within the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act.

## II. Standard Conditions

1. <u>Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment</u>. The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.

2. <u>Expiration</u>. If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date on which the Commission voted on the application. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.

3. <u>Compliance</u>. All development must occur in strict compliance with the proposal as set forth below. Any deviation from the approved plans must be reviewed and approved by the staff and may require Commission approval.

4. <u>Interpretation</u>. Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.

5. <u>Inspections</u>. The Commission staff shall be allowed to inspect the site and the development during construction, subject to 24-hour advance notice.

6. <u>Assignment</u>. The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.

7. <u>Terms and Conditions Run with the Land</u>. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to

#### 4-99-016 (Persson) Page 3 of 16

bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

## III. Special Conditions

### 1. Future Development Deed Restriction

- a. This permit is only for the development described in coastal development permit No. 4-99-016. Pursuant to Title 14 California Code of Regulations Sections 13250 (b)(6), the exemptions otherwise provided in Public Resources Code Section 30610 (a) shall not apply to the entire parcel. Accordingly, any future improvements to the permitted structures, including but not limited to clearing of vegetation or grading, other than as provided for in the approved fuel modification, landscape and erosion control plan prepared pursuant to Special Conditon number three (3), shall require an amendment to Permit No. 4-99-016 from the Commission or shall require an additional coastal development permit from the Commission or from the applicable certified local government.
- b. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall execute and record a deed restriction in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director, reflecting the above restrictions on development in the restricted area. The deed restriction shall include legal descriptions of both the applicant's entire parcel and the restricted area. The deed restriction shall run with the land, binding all successors and assigns, and shall be recorded free of prior liens that the Executive Director determines may affect the enforceability of the restriction. This deed restriction shall not be removed or changed without a Commission amendment to this coastal development permit.

## 2. Plans Conforming to Geologist's and Engineer's Recommendations

PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall submit, for the review and approval by the Executive Director, evidence of the Geologist and Geotechnical Engineer consultant's review and approval of all project plans. All recommendations contained in the Parmelee-Schick and Associates, Inc., Geologic and Soils Engineering Exploration, October 25, 1996 and addendum letter report, November 11, 1998 including issues related to grading, retaining walls, foundations, waterproofing, floor slabs, decking, paving, sewage disposal, and drainage shall be incorporated in the final project plans. All plans must be reviewed and approved by the geologic consultants.

The final plans approved by the consultant shall be in substantial conformance with the plans approved by the Commission relative to construction, grading and drainage. Any substantial changes in the proposed development approved by the Commission which may be required by the consultant shall require an amendment to the permit or a new coastal permit.

## 3. Revised Landscaping and Erosion Control Plans

Prior to issuance of a coastal development permit, the applicant shall submit a revised landscaping and erosion control plan, prepared by a licensed landscape architect or a qualified resource specialist, for review and approval by the Executive Director. The landscaping and erosion control plans shall be reviewed and approved by the consulting engineering geologist to ensure that the plans are in conformance with the consultants' recommendations. The plans shall incorporate the following criteria:

## A) Landscaping Plan

- All graded & disturbed areas on the subject site shall be planted and maintained for erosion control purposes within (60) days of receipt of the certificate of occupancy for the residence. To minimize the need for irrigation all landscaping shall consist primarily of native/drought resistant plants as listed by the California Native Plant Society, Santa Monica Mountains Chapter, in their document entitled <u>Recommended List of Plants for Landscaping in the Santa Monica Mountains</u>, dated October 4, 1994. Invasive, non-indigenous plan species which tend to supplant native species shall not be used.
- 2) All cut and fill slopes shall be stabilized with planting at the completion of final grading. Planting should be of native plant species indigenous to the Santa Monica Mountains using accepted planting procedures, consistent with fire safety requirements. Such planting shall be adequate to provide 90 percent coverage within two (2) years, and this requirement shall apply to all disturbed soils;
- Plantings will be maintained in good growing condition throughout the life of the project and, whenever necessary, shall be replaced with new plant materials to ensure continued compliance with applicable landscape requirements;
- 4) The Permittee shall undertake development in accordance with the final approved plan. Any proposed changes to the approved final plan shall be reported to the Executive Director. No changes to the approved final plan shall occur without a Coastal Commission - approved amendment to the coastal

development permit, unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment is required.

5) Vegetation, with exception of oak trees, within 50 feet of the proposed house may be removed to mineral earth, vegetation within a 200 foot radius of the main structure may be selectively thinned in order to reduce fire hazard. However, such thinning shall only occur in accordance with an approved longterm fuel modification plan submitted pursuant to this special condition. The fuel modification plan shall include details regarding the types, sizes and location of plant materials to be removed, and how often thinning is to occur. In addition, the applicant shall submit evidence that the fuel modification plan has been reviewed and approved by the Forestry Department of Los Angeles County. Irrigated lawn, turf and ground cover planted within the fifty foot radius of the proposed house shall not be within the oak canopy and shall be selected from the most drought tolerant species or subspecies, or varieties suited to the Mediterranean climate of the Santa Monica Mountains.

# B) Interim Erosion Control Plan

- 1) The plan shall delineate the areas to be disturbed by grading or construction activities and shall include any temporary access roads, staging areas and stockpile areas. The natural areas on the site shall be clearly delineated on the project site with fencing or survey flags.
- 2) The plan shall specify that should grading take place during the rainy season (November 1 March 31) the applicant shall install or construct temporary sediment basins (including debris basins, desilting basins or silt traps), temporary drains and swales, sand bag barriers, silt fencing, stabilize any stockpiled fill with geofabric covers or other appropriate cover, install geotextiles or mats on all cut or fill slopes and close and stabilize open trenches as soon as possible. These erosion measures shall be required on the project site prior to or concurrent with the initial grading operations and maintained through out the development process to minimize erosion and sediment from runoff waters during construction. All sediment should be retained on-site unless removed to an appropriate approved dumping location either outside the coastal zone or to a site within the coastal zone permitted to receive fill.
- 3) The plan shall also include temporary erosion control measures should grading or site preparation cease for a period of more than 30 days, including but not limited to: stabilization of all stockpiled fill, access roads, disturbed soils and cut and fill slopes with geotextiles and/or mats, sand bag barriers, silt fencing; temporary drains and swales and sediment basins. The plans shall also specify that all disturbed areas shall be seeded with native grass species and

include the technical specifications for seeding the disturbed areas. These temporary erosion control measures shall be monitored and maintained until grading or construction operations resume.

# C) Monitoring.

Five years from the date of the receipt of the Certificate of Occupancy for the residence the applicant shall submit for the review and approval of the Executive Director, a landscape monitoring report, prepared by a licensed Landscape Architect or qualified Resource Specialist, that certifies the on-site landscaping is in conformance with the landscape plan approved pursuant to this Special Condition. The monitoring report shall include photographic documentation of plant species and plant coverage.

If the landscape monitoring report indicates the landscaping is not in conformance with or has failed to meet the performance standards specified in the landscaping plan approved pursuant to this permit, the applicant, or successors in interest, shall submit a revised or supplemental landscape plan for the review and approval of the Executive Director. The revised landscaping plan must be prepared by a licensed Landscape Architect or a qualified Resource Specialist and shall specify measures to remediate those portions of the original plan that have failed or are not in conformance with the original approved plan.

## 4. Removal of Natural Vegetation

Removal of natural vegetation for the purpose of fuel modification within the 50 foot zone surroundings the proposed structure(s) shall not commence until the local government has issued a building or grading permit for the development approved pursuant to this permit. Vegetation thinning within the 50-200 foot fuel modification zone shall not occur until commencement of construction of the structure(s) approved pursuant to this permit.

## 5. Protection of Oak Trees During Construction

During constuction, all oak trees shall be protected by temporary fencing five feet minimum from the drip line. No grading, construction, access, or storage of construction materials and debris shall be allowed in this are.

## 6. Wild Fire Waiver of Liability

PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall submit a signed document which shall indemnify and hold harmless the California Coastal Commission, its officers, agents and employees against any and all claims, demands, damages, costs, expenses, of liability arising out of the

acquisition, design, construction, operations, maintenance, existence, or failure of the permitted project in an area where an extraordinary potential for damage or destruction from wild fire exists as an inherent risk to life and property.

## IV. Findings and Declarations

The Commission hereby finds and declares:

### A. Project Description

The applicant proposes to construct a two story, 30 ft. high, 4,598 sq. ft. single family residence with attached 965 sq. ft. attached garage, 628 ft. long retaining wall, pool, septic system, and grading of 1600 cu. yds. (800 cu. yds. cut and 800 cu. yds. fill). The project is located southwest of the Monte Nido small lot subdivision in an area of rural residential development and equestrian facilities interspersed with a number of stands of California live oaks (Quercus sp.). The project site was previously subject to a coastal development permit (5-85-315 (Glatt)) for construction of a two story single family residence with septic system which was approved as an administrative permit with no conditions. The permit was issued but not activated i.e. construction was not initiated.

The subject building site is located in the vicinity of other single family residences set back from Crater Camp Road. The driveway parallels a driveway serving residential development to the east. Although the parcel is outside of the LCP-designated disturbed significant oak woodland, it contains a stand of mature oak trees along a natural swale. The proposed development is set back from the canopy and will not disturb the oak trees. The relation of the project to the oak trees is discussed in greater detail below.

The project location is approximately one mile south of the Stunt High Trail and one-half mile north of the Backbone Trail. Because the project is located in an area of low hills and oak trees, protected under Coastal Act policies, and similar in character to adjacent development, it will not impact upon coastal views or views from public lands and trails.

## B. Environmentally Sensitive Resources

Section 30230 of the Coastal Act states that:

Marine resources shall be maintained, enhanced, and where feasible, restored. Special protection shall be given to areas and species of special biological or economic significance. Uses of the

#### 4-99-016 (Persson) Page 8 of 16

marine environment shall be carried out in a manner that will sustain the biological productivity of coastal waters and that will maintain healthy populations of all species of marine organisms adequate for long-term commercial, recreational, scientific, and educational purposes.

#### Section 30231 states:

The biological productivity and the quality of coastal waters, streams, wetlands, estuaries, and lakes appropriate to maintain optimum populations of marine organisms and for the protection of human health shall be maintained and, where feasible, restored through, among other means, minimizing adverse effects of waste water discharges and entrainment, controlling runoff, preventing depletion of ground water supplies and substantial interference with surface water flow, encouraging waste water reclamation, maintaining natural vegetation buffer areas that protect riparian habitats, and minimizing alteration of natural streams.

#### Section 30240 states:

(a) Environmentally sensitive habitat areas shall be protected against any significant disruption of habitat values, and only uses dependent on such resources shall be allowed within such areas.

(b) Development in areas adjacent to environmentally sensitive habitat areas and parks and recreation areas shall be sited and designed to prevent impacts which would significantly degrade such areas, and shall be compatible with the continuance of such habitat areas.

Sections 30230 and 30231 of the Coastal Act require that the biological productivity and the quality of coastal waters and streams be maintained and, where feasible, restored through among other means, minimizing adverse effects of waste water discharge and entrainment, controlling runoff, preventing depletion of ground water supplies and substantial interference with surface water flows, maintaining natural buffer areas that protect riparian habitats, and minimizing alteration of natural streams. In addition, Section 30240 of the Coastal Act states that environmentally sensitive habitat areas must be protected against disruption of habitat values.

To assist in the determination of whether a project is consistent with Section 30230, 30231, and 30240 of the Coastal Act, the Commission has, in past Malibu coastal development permit actions, looked to the certified Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains LUP for guidance. The Malibu LUP has been found to be consistent

with the Coastal Act and provides specific standards for development along the Malibu coast and within the Santa Monica Mountains. For instance, in concert with Sections 30230, 30231, and 30240 of the Coastal Act, Policy 60 of the LUP provides that oak woodlands (Non-riparian) shall be considered as significant resources. In addition, Policy 63 provides that development shall be permitted in ESHAs, DSRs, significant watersheds, and significant oak woodlands, and wildlife corridors in accordance with Table 1 and all other policies of the LUP.

The subject site is not located within either the nearby disturbed significant oak woodland or the Malibu/Cold Creek Resource Management Area as designated in the certified Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains Land Use Plan (LUP). However, the southwest corner of the parcel adjacent to the project site contains a stand of mature oak trees located in a natural swale. The swale is not designated as a blue line stream. The nearest blue line stream is Cold Creek which is approximately one-eighth mile south and downstream of the project site. The area surrounding Cold Creek is the previously noted disturbed significant oak woodland.

The proposed development is in the vicinity of the drip line of the oak trees i.e. the most further extent of the canopy which represents the root pattern which should not be disturbed by development in order to preserve the oak trees' natural viability. Development is proposed at 30 ft. away from the drip line for the swimming pool and 30 to 40 ft. for the single family residence. Grading is proposed to within ten feet of the drip line.

Although the oaks on the site are not designated as either a disturbed significant oak woodland along a creek or in other locations by policies P59 and P60 of the Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains LUP, they are protected under LUP (policy P57) and Coastal Act policies. LUP policies have been used as guidance in past Commission decisions in the unincorporated, Los Angeles County portion of the Santa Monica Mountains.

The applicant has submitted plans for the septic system which show the septic system and leach field as far as practicable away from the oak trees. The septic tank is located under the driveway and west of the garage. The leach fields are located in the southeast corner of the property approximately twenty feet from the center of the natural swale running through the oak grove.

In order to determine whether the effects on the habitat value of the subject site could be further minimized or eliminated, staff has analyzed the project and alternative building sites. Due to the location of the existing road and the presence of undisturbed natural vegetation further to the north, and the oak tree location, and the need for a septic system, the proposed building site and septic system is the most feasible and least environmentally damaging alternative. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed building site and septic system is the preferred location for the construction of a residence on the subject property.

The Commission notes that although the proposed project site will be located near several oak trees, the applicant has submitted a Fuel Modification Plan approved by the Los Angeles County Fire Department which will minimize any clearance of undisturbed habitat located on site. Vegetation clearance will primarily consist of "deadwooding" the oak trees and clearing underbrush beneath the tree canopies.

Regarding future developments or improvements, the type of development to the property normally associated with a single family residence which might otherwise be exempt may have the potential to impact the oak woodland resources in this area. It is necessary to ensure that future development or improvements normally associated with the residence, which might otherwise be exempt, are reviewed by the Commission for compliance with the coastal resource protection policies including the scenic resources addressed by Section 30251 of the Coastal Act. Special Condition number one (1), the Future Development Deed Restriction, will ensure the Commission will have the opportunity to review future projects for compliance with the Coastal Act. Further, special condition five (5) is necessary to ensure that the oak trees are protected during construction including access through the oak tree area, storage of materials, grading and other construction processes.

The Commission also notes that increased erosion on site would subsequently result in a potential increase in the sedimentation of the downslope Cold Canyon Creek. . The Commission has found that uncontrolled storm water runoff associated with projects such as proposed could create significant erosion and sedimentation impacts offsite, unless controlled and conveyed in a non-erosive manner, increases the volume and velocity of storm water runoff. In turn, this runoff will increase erosion on and off the site, which may increase the erosion and sedimentation of the nearby streams. The Commission has found that this can result in degradation to riparian systems in the following manner:

- Eroded soil contains nitrogen, phosphorous, and other nutrients which, when carried into water bodies, trigger algal blooms that reduce water clarity and deplete oxygen which leads to fish kills and creates odors.
- Excessive deposition of sediments in streams blankets the bottom fauna, paves stream bottoms, and destroys fish spawning areas.
- Turbidity from sediment reduces in-stream photosynthesis, which leads to reduced food supply and habitats.
- Suspended sediment abrades and coats aquatic organisms.

#### 4-99-016 (Persson) Page 11 of 16

- Erosion removes the smaller and less dense constituents of topsoil. These constituents, clay and fine silt particles and organic material hold nutrients that plants require. The remaining subsoil is often hard, rocky, infertile, and droughty. Thus, reestablishment of vegetation is difficult and the eroded soil produces less growth.
- Introduction of pollution, sediments, and turbidity into marine waters and the nearshore bottom has similar effects to the above on marine life. Pollutants in offshore waters, especially heavy metals, are taken up into the food chain and concentrated (bioaccumulated) to the point where they may be harmful to humans, as well as lead to the decline of marine species.

The proposal includes a drainage plan including swales, berms, and an energy (flow) dissipator. The applicant has also submitted a Fuel Modification, Landscape, Vegetation Management and Oak Tree Plan. As typically required by the Commission, no non-native or invasive plant species will be used for landscaping on the subject site. These measures avoid or mitigate potential runoff and sedimentation problems.

In addition, although the applicant has submitted a landscaping and fuel modification plan, further landscaping and erosion control measures are necessary. Special condition two (2), as discussed in greater detail in the following section, is necessary to protect the stability of the site and ensure that adverse impacts on the habitat area downstream will not result from implementation of the recommended measures. Erosion can best be minimized by requiring the applicant to landscape all disturbed areas of the site with native plants, compatible with the surrounding environment and oak tree habitat. These measures both minimize site erosion and the project's potential individual and cumulative contribution to sedimentation of Cold Canyon Creek.

In summary, the disturbance to the natural terrain and creation of additional impermeable surfaces increases water velocity and sedimentation. Therefore, approval with the recommended special conditions will protect and enhance the biological productivity of the oak trees on the site and downslope environmentally sensitive habitat stream corridors, consistent with the requirements of the Coastal Act. For the reasons stated above, the Commission finds that only as conditioned is the proposed project consistent with the habitat and coastal resource protection policies of Sections 30231 and 30240 of the Coastal Act.

### C. Geologic Stability and Hazards

Section 30253 of the Coastal Act states in part that new development shall:

(1) Minimize risks to life and property in areas of high geologic, flood, and fire hazard.

# (2) Assure stability and structural integrity, and neither create nor contribute significantly to erosion, instability, or destruction of the site or surrounding area or in any way require the construction of protective devices that would substantially alter natural landforms along bluffs and cliffs.

The proposed development is located in the Santa Monica Mountains, an area which is generally considered to be subject to an unusually high amount of natural hazards. Geologic hazards common to the Santa Monica Mountains include landslides, erosion, and flooding. In addition, fire is an inherent threat to the indigenous chaparral community of the coastal mountains. Wild fires often denude hillsides in the Santa Monica Mountains of all existing vegetation, thereby contributing to an increased potential for erosion and landslides on property.

The prominent geomorphic features in the area are Malibu Creek to the west and the crest of the Santa Monica Mountains to the south. The project is located in the southwest to northeast trending Cold Canyon. The site is located on a moderately descending natural slope becoming steeper to the rear (north) of the building site. Slope drainage is by sheet flow runoff directed toward the south via the existing contours.

#### 1. Geology

The applicant has submitted a Parmelee-Schick and Associates, Inc., Geologic and Soils Engineering Exploration, October 25, 1996 and addendum letter report, November 11, 1998.

The geologic stability of the site is favorable to the project, according to these reports, and no potentially active and/or active faults, adversely oriented geologic structure, or other hazards were observed by the consultants. The geotechnical consultant's and engineering geologists have provided recommendations to address the specific geotechnical conditions on the site as incorporated into the condition recommended below. In conclusion, the engineering geologic investigation states that:

Based upon the exploration and review of the referenced preliminary development plan, it is the finding of PSA that construction of the proposed project is feasible from a geologic and soils engineering standpoint provided the advice and recommendations contained in this report are included in the plans and are implemented during construction. ... Providing the recommendations contained in this report are properly implemented, the site will be safe from landslide hazard, differential settlement.and slippage. The proposed construction will not adversely affect any of the offsite properties. All specific elements of the Los Angeles

#### 4-99-016 (Persson) Page 13 of 16

County Department of Building and Safety Code shall be followed in conjunction with design and future construction work.

Given the findings and recommendations of the consulting engineering geologists, the Commission finds that the development is consistent with Section 30253 of the Coastal Act so long as all recommendations regarding the proposed development are incorporated into the project plans. Therefore, the Commission finds it necessary to require the applicant to submit project plans that have been certified in writing by the consulting engineering geologists as conforming to their recommendations, as noted in special condition number two (2) for the final project plans for the proposed project.

#### 2. Erosion

Surface drainage on site is by sheet flow to the noted natural swale and oak stand and then to Cold Canyon Creek, a USGS designated blue line stream. The Creek and the surrounding disturbed oak woodland are LCP-designated Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas. The consulting geologist has stated that drainage should be dispersed in a non-erosive manner and preclude concentration of runoff and erosion.

The Commission finds that the project will significantly increase the amount of impervious surfaces on the site, which increases both the volume and velocity of storm water runoff. If not controlled and conveyed off the site in a non-erosive manner, this runoff will result in increased erosion on and off the site and affect site stability. Increased erosion may also result in sedimentation and degradation of riparian systems.

In the case of this project, the submittal has been amended to include a drainage plan with the previously described erosion control measures. These convey runoff to an energy control device upstream of the stand of oaks. Although the applicant has submitted a drainage plan for permanent drainage control, the Commission finds it necessary to require the applicant to submit an interim erosion control and landscaping plan for several reasons. A landscaping component, review and approval by the consulting engineering geologist, measures for replanting, soil stabilization, maintenance, sedimentation control, and monitoring are all necessary parts of this plan to minimize the potential for erosion and disturbed soils and thereby ensure site stability and stream protection. Approval with Special Condition Number three (3) is necessary, therefore, to ensure site stability and avoidance of the potentially adverse impacts of erosion and sedimentation on the blue line stream in a manner consistent with PRC Section 30253, as well as Sections 30240 and 30231, relative to protection of ESHAs and the biological productivity and quality of coastal waters and streams, previously discussed above.

In addition, Special Condition Number four (4) is necessary to ensure that removal of natural vegetation for fuel modification purposes does not take place prior to consruction of the proposed single family residence. Unnecessary fuel modification should be avoided as it is contrary to the provisions of PRC Section 30253 including ensuring site stability and avoiding adverse impacts of erosion and sedimentation.

## 3. Fire

The Coastal Act also requires that new development minimize the risk to life and property in areas of high fire hazard. The Coastal Act recognizes that new development may involve the taking of some risk. Coastal Act policies require the Commission to establish the appropriate degree of risk acceptable for the proposed development and to establish who should assume the risk. When development in areas of identified hazards is proposed, the Commission considers the hazard associated with the project site and the potential cost to the public, as well as the individual's right to use his property.

Vegetation in the coastal areas of the Santa Monica Mountains consists mostly of coastal sage scrub and chaparral. Many plant species common to these communities produce and store terpenes, which are highly flammable substances (Mooney in Barbour, <u>Terrestrial Vegetation of California</u>, 1988). Chaparral and sage scrub communities have evolved in concert with, and continue to produce the potential for frequent wild fires. The typical warm, dry summer conditions of the Mediterranean climate combine with the natural characteristics of the native vegetation to pose a risk of wild fire damage to development that cannot be completely avoided or mitigated.

Due to the fact that the proposed project is located in an area subject to an extraordinary potential for damage or destruction from wild fire, the Commission can only approve the project if the applicant assumes the liability from these associated risks. Through the waiver of liability, the applicant acknowledges and appreciates the nature of the fire hazard which exists on the site and which may affect the safety of the proposed development, as incorporated by condition number five (5).

The Commission finds that only as conditioned above is the proposed project consistent with Section 30253 of the Coastal Act.

#### D. Septic System

The Commission recognizes that the potential build-out of lots in Malibu, and the resultant installation of septic systems, may contribute to adverse health effects

and geologic hazards in the local area. Section 30231 of the Coastal Act states that:

The biological productivity and the quality of coastal waters, streams, wetlands, estuaries, and lakes appropriate to maintain optimum populations of marine organisms and for the protection of human health shall be maintained and, where feasible, restored through, among other means, minimizing adverse effects of waste water discharges and entrainment, controlling runoff, preventing depletion of ground water supplies and substantial interference with surface water flow, encouraging waste water reclamation, maintaining natural vegetation buffer areas that protect riparian habitats, minimizing alteration of natural streams.

The proposal includes an evaluation of the potential for the lot to adequately accommodate a private sewage system (Pacific Geology Consultants, Inc., Engineering Geologic Memorandum, Evaluation of Percolation Test Hole, March 1, 1999). The evaluation confirmed that an on-site effluent disposal system was feasible and will not adversely affect the stability of the site, or off-site properties, provided the recommendations of the report were followed.

Based upon the above assessment, the Commission finds that the installation of septic systems on the proposed lots will not contribute to adverse health effects and geologic hazards in the local area. The Commission has found in past permit actions that favorable percolation test results, in conjunction with adequate setbacks from streams and other water resources, and/or review by local health departments ensures that the discharge of septic effluent from the proposed project will not have adverse effects upon coastal resources. Therefore, the Commission finds that with regard to septic systems, the proposed project is consistent with PRC Section 30231.

The proposed septic system includes a 1,000 gallon septic tank with seepage pits. The installation of a private sewage disposal system was review by the consulting geologist, and found not to create or cause adverse conditions to the site or adjacent properties. A percolation test was performed on the subject property indicating that the percolation rate meets Uniform Plumbing Code requirements and is sufficient to serve the proposed single family residence.

The Commission has found in past permit actions that compliance with the health and safety codes will minimize any potential for wastewater discharge that could adversely impact coastal waters. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed septic system is consistent with Section 30231 of the Coastal Act.

### E. Local Coastal Program

Section 30604(a) of the Coastal Act states that:

Prior to certification of the local coastal program, a coastal development permit shall be issued if the issuing agency, or the commission on appeal, finds that the proposed development is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 30200) of this division and that the permitted development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare a local program that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 30200).

Section 30604(a) of the Coastal Act provides that the Commission shall issue a Coastal Permit only if the project will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction to prepare a Local Coastal Program which conforms with Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act. The preceding sections provide findings that the proposed project will be in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 if certain conditions are incorporated into the project and accepted by the applicant. As conditioned, the proposed development will not create adverse effects and is found to be consistent with the applicable policies contained in Chapter 3.

Therefore, the Commission finds that approval of the proposed development, as conditioned, will not prejudice the County's ability to prepare a Local Coastal Program for Malibu which is also consistent with the policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act as required by Section 30604(a).

### F. California Environmental Quality Act

Section 13096(a) of the Commission's administrative regulations requires Commission approval of a Coastal Development Permit application to be supported by a finding showing the application, as conditioned, to be consistent with any applicable requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Section 21080.5(d)(2)(A) of CEQA prohibits a proposed development from being approved if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse effects which the activity would have on the environment.

The proposed development would not cause significant, adverse environmental effects which would not be adequately mitigated by the conditions imposed by the Commission. Therefore, the proposed project, as conditioned, is found consistent with CEQA and with the policies of the Coastal Act.











