

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

South Coast Area Office
200 OceanGate, Suite 1000
Long Beach, CA 90802-4302
(562) 590-5071



Filed: 6-14-99
49th Day: 8-2-99
180th Day: 12-11-99
Staff: JLR-LB *xLR*
Staff Report: 6-22-99
Hearing Date: July 13-16, 1999
Commission Action:

STAFF REPORT: APPEAL
SUBSTANTIAL ISSUE

LOCAL GOVERNMENT: City of Los Angeles
LOCAL DECISION: Approval with Conditions
APPEAL NUMBER: A-5-PPL-99-225
APPLICANT: Mt. Holyoke Homes, Ltd. et.al.
PROJECT LOCATION: 425 Mount Holyoke Avenue, Pacific Palisades
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Subdivision of one lot into three parcels.
APPELLANTS: Barbara Schelbert c/o Robert J. Glushon, Esq., Richman, Luna, Kichaven and Glushon

SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommends that the Commission open and continue the public hearing to determine whether a substantial issue exists with respect to the grounds on which the appeal has been filed for the following reasons:

Pursuant to Section 30621 of the Coastal Act, a hearing on a coastal development permit appeal shall be set no later than 49 days after the date on which the appeal is filed with the Commission. An appeal of the above-described decision was filed on June 14, 1999. The 49th day falls on August 2, 1999. The only Coastal Commission meeting scheduled between the date the appeal was filed and the 49 day limit is the July 13-16, 1999 meeting.

In accordance with Section 13112 of the California Code of Regulations, staff requested on June 17, 1999, that the City of Los Angeles forward all relevant documents and materials regarding the subject permit to the Commission's South

Coast District office in Long Beach. The documents and materials relating to the City's approval of the project are necessary to analyze the project's consistency with the Coastal Act in relation to the grounds of the appeal.

In order to be ready for the Commission's July 1999 meeting, the staff report and recommendation for the appeal would have to be completed by June 23, 1999. As of June 23, 1999, the City's documents and materials relating to the local approval have not been received in the Commission's Long Beach office. Therefore, it is not possible to thoroughly analyze the appealed project and City approval in time to prepare a staff recommendation in time for the Commission's July 1999 meeting.

Therefore, pursuant to Section 13112 of the California Code of Regulations, the staff recommends that the Commission open and continue the Substantial Issue hearing at the July 13-16, 1999 meeting.

Section 13112 of the California Code of Regulations (Effect of Appeal) states:

(a) Upon receipt in the Commission office of a timely appeal by a qualified appellant, the executive director of the Commission shall notify the permit applicant and the affected local government that the operation and effect of the development permit has been stayed pending Commission action on the appeal by the Commission as required by Public Resources Code Section 30623. Upon receipt of a Notice of Appeal the local government shall refrain from issuing a development permit for the proposed development and shall, within five (5) working days, deliver to the executive director all relevant documents and materials used by the local government in its consideration of the coastal development permit application. If the Commission fails to receive the documents and materials, the Commission shall set forth the matter for hearing and the hearing shall be left open until all relevant materials are received.

As required by the above stated regulation, the Substantial Issue Hearing will be reopened at a subsequent Commission after staff fully analyzes the local approval of the appealed project.

JLR:

G:\Staff Reports\July 1999\A-5-PPL-99-225 Jones staff report.doc