

MEMORANDUM

TO: Commissioners and  
Interested Persons

DATE: August 26, 1999

FR 7a

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limit for Oceanside LCP Amendment No. 1-99  
for the Commission Meeting of September 14 - 17, 1999

On May 24, 1999, the City of Oceanside's proposed Local Coastal Program Amendment (LCPA) #1-99 was received in the San Diego District office. Additional submittal material was received on June 25, 1999. The amendment consists of the Oceanside Harbor Precise Plan, and includes parking lot and recreational facility improvements, construction of a marine research and public education facility, and expansion of the boat launch facilities.

Pursuant to Section 30512 of the Coastal Act, a land use plan/implementation plan amendment must be acted on by the Commission within 90 days of complete submittal. To be heard within the allotted time period, the amendment request would have to be agendaized for the scheduled September 1999 hearing.

However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535 of the Code of Regulations state that the Commission may for good cause extend a time limit for a period not to exceed one year, after consultation with the local government and by a majority vote of the Commissioners present. Commission staff is requesting the time extension to allow the project, which has a great deal of public interest, to be held at the October hearing, which is scheduled to be held in the City of Oceanside. The City has indicated its agreement to postponing the item until the October 1999 hearing in San Diego County, provided the Commission grants the waiver of the applicable time limits.

Summary of Staff Recommendation. Staff recommends the Commission extend the 90-day time limit for a period not to exceed one year. However, it is anticipated that the amendment request will be scheduled for the October 12-15, 1999 hearing in Oceanside.

MOTION:

I move that the Commission extend the 90-day time limit to Oceanside LCP Amendment No. 1-99 for a period not to exceed one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends a YES vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.