

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

South Coast Area Office
 200 Oceangate, Suite 1000
 Long Beach, CA 90802-4302
 Phone: (562) 590-5071
 Fax: (562) 590-5084

RECORD PACKET COPY

Filed: November 29, 1999
 49th Day: January 17, 2000
 180th Day: May 27, 2000
 Staff: KFS-LB
 Staff Report: January 27, 2000
 Hearing Date: February 12-15, 2000
 Commission Action:

**STAFF REPORT: REGULAR CALENDAR**

APPLICATION NUMBER: 5-99-386

APPLICANT: Brian Straight

AGENT: None

PROJECT LOCATION: A-99 Surfside Avenue, Seal Beach, Orange County

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Demolition of an existing single-family residence and construction of a new 3,411 square foot, 35' high (plus 4 foot covered stairwell), 3-story single-family residence with an attached 399 square foot 2-car garage, a 395 square foot roof deck and 299 square feet of seaside deck/patio areas. The decks and patio will extend 10-feet seaward, beyond the property boundary, into land that is leased by the Surfside Colony to the applicant.

LOCAL APPROVALS RECEIVED: City of Seal Beach Approval-in-Concept dated October 15, 1999; Surfside Colony, Ltd. Architectural Committee approval dated November 19, 1999.

SUBSTANTIVE FILE DOCUMENTS: Coastal Development and Administrative Permits P-73-1861, P-75-6364, 5-86-676, 5-87-813, 5-95-276, 5-97-380, 5-98-098, 5-98-412 and 5-99-356-A1; Consistency Determinations CD-028-97 and CD-067-97; and Preliminary Foundation Soils Exploration prepared by Geo-Etka, Inc. (Job No. F-8942-99) dated July 29, 1999.

SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Commission **APPROVE** the proposed development subject to three special conditions. The major issue of this staff report concerns development on a beach that could be affected by geologic hazards and flooding. Special Condition No. 1 requires the recordation of assumption-of-risk deed/lease restrictions. Special Condition No. 2 requires the recordation of future improvements deed/lease restrictions. Special Condition No. 3 requires conformance of the design and construction plans to all recommendations contained in the preliminary foundation soils exploration. Commission staff does not recommend a special condition related to future shoreline protective devices because an existing revetment and a wide sandy beach protect the subject site.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The staff recommends that the Commission **APPROVE** the permit with special conditions.

MOTION:

I move that the Commission approve CDP No. 5-99-386 pursuant to the staff recommendation.

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. Passage of this motion will result in adoption of the following resolution and findings. The motion passes only by affirmative vote of majority of the Commissioners present.

RESOLUTION:

I. APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS

The Commission hereby **GRANTS** a permit, subject to the conditions below, for the proposed development on the grounds that the development will be in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act of 1976, will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction over the area to prepare a Local Coastal Program conforming to the provisions of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act, is located between the sea and first public road nearest the shoreline and is in conformance with the public access and public recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act, and will not have any significant adverse effects on the environment within the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act.

II. STANDARD CONDITIONS

1. Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment. The permit amendment is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit amendment, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit amendment and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.
2. Expiration. If development has not commenced, the permit amendment will expire two years from the date this permit is reported to the Commission. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit amendment must be made prior to the expiration date.
3. Compliance. All development must occur in strict compliance with the proposal as set forth in the permit amendment, subject to any special conditions set forth below. Any deviation from the approved plans must be reviewed and approved by the staff and may require Commission approval.
4. Interpretation. Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.

5. Inspections. The Commission staff shall be allowed to inspect the site and the project during its development, subject to 24-hour advance notice.
6. Assignment. The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.
7. Terms and Conditions Run with the Land. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

III. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Assumption-of-Risk, Waiver of Liability, and Indemnity Deed Restriction

- A) By acceptance of this permit, the applicant and any landowner acknowledges and agrees (i) that the site may be subject to hazards from waves, storm waves, flooding and erosion; (ii) to assume the risks to the applicant and the property, that is the subject of this permit, of injury and damage from such hazards in connection with this permitted development; (iii) to unconditionally waive any claim of damage or liability against the Commission, its officers, agents, and employees for injury or damage from such hazards, (iv) to indemnify and hold harmless the Commission, its officers, agents, and employees with respect to the Commission's approval of the project against any and all liability, claims, demands, damages, costs (including costs and fees incurred in defense of such claims), expenses, and amounts paid in settlement arising from injury or damage due to such hazards; (v) to agree to include a provision in any subsequent sublease or assignment of the development authorized by this permit requiring the sublessee or assignee to submit a written agreement to the Commission for the review and approval of the Executive Director, incorporating all of the foregoing restrictions identified in (i) through (iv).
- B) **PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT**, the applicant and landowner shall execute and record a deed restriction and/or lease restriction as applicable, in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director incorporating all of the above terms of subsection A of this condition. The deed restriction and lease restriction shall include a legal description of the applicant's and landowner's parcels. The deed restriction and lease restriction shall run with the land, binding all successors and assigns, and shall be recorded free of prior liens that the Executive Director determines may affect the enforceability of the restriction. The deed restriction and lease restriction shall not be removed or changed without a Commission amendment to this coastal development permit.

2. Future Development

- A) This permit amendment is only for the development described in Coastal Development Permit No. 5-99-386. Pursuant to Title 14, California Code of Regulations, section 13250(b)(6), the exemptions otherwise provided in Public Resources Code, section 30610(a) shall not apply. Accordingly, any future improvements to the single family

house described in this permit, including but not limited to repair and maintenance identified as requiring a permit in Public Resources Code, section 30610(d) and Title 14, California Code of Regulations, sections 13252(a)-(b), shall require an amendment to Permit No. 5-99-386 from the Commission or shall require an additional coastal development permit from the Commission or from the applicable certified local government.

- B) **PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT**, the applicant and landowner shall execute and record a deed restriction and/or lease restriction as applicable, in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director, reflecting the above restrictions on development. The deed restriction and lease restriction shall include legal descriptions of the applicant's and landowner's parcels. The deed restriction and lease restriction shall run with the land, binding all successors and assigns, and shall be recorded free of prior liens that the Executive Director determines may affect the enforceability of the restriction. The deed restriction and lease restriction shall not be removed or changed without a Commission amendment to this coastal development permit.

3. **Conformance of Design and Construction Plans to Foundation Soils Exploration**

- A. All final design and construction plans, including foundations, grading and drainage plans, shall be consistent with all recommendations contained in the Preliminary Foundation Soils Exploration prepared by Geo-Etka, Inc. dated July 29, 1999. **PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT**, the applicant shall submit, for the Executive Director's review and approval, evidence that an appropriately licensed professional has reviewed and approved all final design and construction plans and certified that each of those final plans is consistent with all of the recommendations specified in the above-referenced geologic evaluation approved by the California Coastal Commission for the project site.
- B. The permittee shall undertake development in accordance with the approved final plans. Any proposed changes to the approved final plans shall be reported to the Executive Director. No changes to the approved final plans shall occur without a Commission amendment to this coastal development permit unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment is required.

IV. FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS:

The Commission hereby finds and declares:

A. **PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION**

The lot is located at A-99 Surfside Avenue in the private community of Surfside Colony, in the City of Seal Beach, Orange County, California (Exhibit 1). The subject site is a beachfront lot located between the first public road and the sea. The proposed development is in an existing private, gated residential community, located south of the Anaheim Bay east jetty. The proposed project is consistent with development in the vicinity and prior Commission actions

in the area. There is a wide, sandy beach between the subject property and the mean high tide line.

The proposed project includes the demolition of an existing single-family residence and construction of a new 3,411 square foot, 35' high (plus 4 foot covered stairwell), 3-story single-family residence with an attached 399 square foot 2-car garage, a 395 square foot roof deck and 299 square feet of seaside deck/patio areas. The decks and patio will extend 10-feet seaward, beyond the property boundary, into land that is leased by the Surfside Colony to the applicant.

B. HAZARDS

Section 30253 of the Coastal Act states, in part:

New development shall:

(1) Minimize risks to life and property in areas of high geologic, flood, and fire hazard.

(2) Assure stability and structural integrity, and neither create nor contribute significantly to erosion, geologic instability, or destruction of the site or surrounding area or in any way require the construction of protective devices that would substantially alter natural landforms along bluffs and cliffs.

Flooding and Wave Uprush

The subject site is located at the southern end of Surfside Colony, a private beachfront community in the City of Seal Beach (Exhibit 1). The northern end of Surfside is subject to uniquely localized beach erosion due to the reflection of waves off the adjacent Anaheim Bay east jetty. These reflected waves combine with normal waves to create increased wave energy that erodes the beach in front of Surfside Colony more quickly than is typical at an unaltered natural beach. Since the erosion is the result of the federally owned jetty, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has periodically replenished the beach. The beach nourishment provides Surfside a measure of protection from wave hazards. However, when the beach erodes, development at Surfside Colony may be exposed to wave uprush and subsequent wave damage.

The especially heavy wave action generated during the 1982-83 El Nino winter storms caused Surfside Colony to apply for a coastal development permit for a revetment to protect the homes at Surfside's northern end. The Commission approved Coastal Development Permit No. 5-82-579 for this revetment, and Coastal Development Permit No. 5-95-276 for the repair of the revetment. The Commission also approved Consistency Determinations CD-028-97 and CD-67-97 for the most recent beach nourishment at Surfside performed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers completed in July 1997.

The revetment and widened beach protect the northern end of Surfside Colony from wave uprush. The subject site, A-99 Surfside, is protected by this existing revetment and widened beach (Exhibit 1, Page 2). South of the subject site (i.e. units A-1 through A-91) are not protected by the existing revetment, thus, the wide sandy beach provides the only protection for these central and southern areas of Surfside Colony.

Though beach erosion occurs at Surfside Colony, the existing revetment and the continuing federal sand replenishment program maintains a beach that is very wide (i.e. at least 750 feet) at the proposed project site. Consequently, the site is already protected from wave damage from the reflection of waves off the Anaheim Bay jetty to the north of the site. Therefore, the Commission finds that a future shoreline protective device special condition is not required for the development approved by permit number 5-99-386.

Even though the site is protected by a revetment and a wide sandy beach, this does not preclude wave uprush damage and flooding from occurring at Surfside during extraordinary circumstances. Strong storm events like those that occurred in 1994 and 1997 can cause large waves to flood Surfside. However, the Foundation Soils Report prepared by Geo-Etka, Inc. did not identify wave uprush or flooding as a potential development concern at the subject site.

The proposed project has decks and a patio area which encroach ten feet seaward beyond the subject site's seaward property line onto a ten foot wide strip of land owned by Surfside Colony, Ltd. (which serves as the homeowners' association). Surfside Colony leases its property to the applicant and adjacent homeowners for construction of patios. The proposed development is consistent with existing development in Surfside Colony. However, while the proposed project will not be located any further seaward than other residences in the area, the subject site is still subject to significant wave hazards, as described previously. Therefore, the Commission finds it necessary to require the recordation of an assumption-of-risk deed restriction and lease restriction by the applicant and Surfside Colony, Ltd. (Special Condition No. 1). With this standard waiver of liability condition, the applicant and Surfside Colony, Ltd. are notified that the lot and improvements are located in an area that is potentially subject to flooding and wave uprush hazards that could damage the applicant's property. The applicant and Surfside Colony, Ltd. are also notified that the Commission is not liable for such damage as a result of approving the permit for development. In addition, the condition insures that future owners and lessors of the property will be informed of the risks and the Commission's immunity of liability.

The assumption-of-risk condition is consistent with prior Commission actions for homes in Surfside since the 1982-83 El Nino storms. For example, the Executive Director issued Administrative Permits 5-97-380, 5-98-098, and more recently Coastal Development Permits 5-98-412 (Cox) and 5-99-356A1 (Mattingly) with assumption-of-risk deed restrictions for improvements to existing homes. In addition, the Commission has consistently imposed assumption-of-risk deed restrictions on construction of new homes throughout Surfside, whether on vacant lots or in conjunction with the demolition and replacement of an existing home (Exhibit 4).

Therefore, the Commission finds that extraordinary hazards exist from wave up-rush and flooding at the subject site and requires, per Special Condition No. 1, that assumption-of-risk deed and lease restrictions be recorded by the applicant and Surfside Colony, Ltd. for the development that is approved by this permit on the applicant's and Surfside Colony, Ltd.'s property.

Foundation Design

The proposed project requires construction of a foundation system. The proposed structure will be supported by new concrete caissons or piles tied together with grade beams. The approximate pile depth is expected to be 20 feet. A Preliminary Foundation Soils Exploration prepared by Geo-Etka, Inc. (Job No. F-8942-99) dated July 29, 1999 was submitted by the applicant. The report indicates that the site is suitable for the proposed development. The Preliminary Foundation Soils Exploration includes certain recommendations to increase the degree of stability of the proposed development. The recommendations included in the Soils Exploration address foundation design, earth pressure, seismic conditions, demolition and tree removal, and grading.

In order to assure that risks are minimized, the geotechnical consultant's recommendations must be incorporated into the design of the project. As a condition of approval (Special Condition No. 3), the applicant shall submit grading and foundation plans signed by the geotechnical consultant indicating that the recommendations contained in the Preliminary Foundation Soils Exploration have been incorporated into the design of the proposed project.

As conditioned by both Special Conditions No. 1 and No. 3, the Commission finds that the proposed project is consistent with Section 30253 of the Coastal Act which requires that geologic and flood hazards be minimized, and that stability and structural integrity be assured.

C. PUBLIC ACCESS

Section 30212 of the Coastal Act states, in relevant part:

(a) Public access from the nearest public roadway to the shoreline and along the coast shall be provided in new development projects except where:

(2) adequate access exists nearby...

The subject site is a beachfront lot located between the nearest public roadway and the shoreline in the private community of Surfside (Exhibit 2). A pre-Coastal (1966) boundary agreement between Surfside Colony and the California State Lands Commission fixes the boundary between state tide and submerged lands and private uplands in Surfside (Exhibit 3). As a result of this boundary agreement, Surfside Colony, Ltd. owns a strip of the beach, up to 80-feet in width, adjacent to the homes fronting the ocean. The beach seaward of this area is available for lateral public access.

The proposed project has decks and a patio area which encroach ten feet seaward beyond the subject site's seaward property line onto a ten foot wide strip of land owned by Surfside Colony, Ltd. (which serves as the homeowners' association). Surfside Colony leases its property to the adjacent homeowners for construction of patios. Enclosed living area is not allowed to encroach past the individual homeowner's seaward property line onto Surfside Colony land. The applicant has obtained a lease from Surfside Colony, Ltd. for the proposed encroachment (Exhibit 5).

In past permits, the Commission has consistently allowed the seaward property line of individually owned beachfront lots in Surfside to serve as the enclosed living area stringline. The Commission has also consistently allowed the seaward edge of the ten-foot wide strip of

land owned by Surfside Colony, Ltd. to serve as the deck stringline. These stringlines serve to limit encroachment of development onto the beach. The proposed development would conform to these stringlines.

The proposed project would not result in direct adverse impacts, either individually or cumulatively, on vertical or lateral public access. In addition to the beach seaward of the fixed boundary between State and private lands, public access, public recreation opportunities and public parking exist nearby in Sunset Beach, an unincorporated area of Orange County at the southeastern end of Surfside. In addition, the proposed project provides parking consistent with the standard of two parking spaces per residential dwelling unit, which the Commission has regularly used for development in Surfside.

To guarantee that the future development of the property can be evaluated for consistency with Section 30212 of the Coastal Act, the Commission finds it necessary that the applicant and landowner, prior to issuance of this permit, record a future improvement deed and lease restriction per Special Condition No. 2.

Therefore, as conditioned, the Commission finds that the proposed development would not result in significant adverse impacts on public access nor public recreation. Thus, the Commission finds that the proposed development, as conditioned, would be consistent with Section 30212 of the Coastal Act.

D. HEIGHT AND VIEWS

Section 30251 of the Coastal Act states, in relevant part:

The scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas shall be considered and protected as a resource of public importance. Permitted development shall be sited and designed to protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas, to minimize the alteration of natural land forms, to be visually compatible with the character of surrounding areas, and, where feasible, to restore and enhance visual quality in visually degraded areas...

The proposed development will be 35 feet high with a 4 foot high covered stairwell (Exhibit 2). The City of Seal Beach approved the proposed development in concept. The Commission typically has limited residential development in Surfside, except for chimneys and roof access staircase enclosures, to a 35-foot height limit. This is to minimize the visual effect of a large wall of buildings along the beach that results when homes are constructed to maximize use of the City established building envelope. The approved project would be consistent with the 35-foot height limit and with heights of other homes in Surfside.

A fence surrounding Surfside Colony, as well as several rows of existing homes, currently block public views from Pacific Coast Highway (State Route 1), the first public road paralleling the beach. The subject site is not visible from the highway. Thus, the approved development on the subject site would not further degrade views from Pacific Coast Highway. In addition, since the approved development will not encroach seaward past existing homes in Surfside Colony, no existing public views along the shoreline would be blocked by the approved development. Therefore, the approved development is consistent with Section 30251 of the Coastal Act.

E. LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM

Section 30604 of the Coastal Act provides for the issuance of coastal development permits directly by the Commission in regions where the local government having jurisdiction does not have a certified local coastal program. The permit may only be issued if the Commission finds that the proposed development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare a Local Coastal Program, which conforms with the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act.

On July 28, 1983, the Commission denied the City of Seal Beach Land Use Plan (LUP) as submitted and certified it with suggested modifications. The City did not act on the suggested modifications within six months from the date of Commission action. Therefore, pursuant to Section 13537(b) of the California Code of Regulations, the Commission's certification of the land use plan with suggested modifications expired. The LUP has not been resubmitted for certification since that time.


The proposed development, as conditioned, is consistent with the Chapter Three policies of the Coastal Act. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed development as conditioned would not prejudice the ability of the City to prepare a certified coastal program consistent with the Chapter Three policies of the Coastal Act.

F. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

Section 13096 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations requires Commission approval of Coastal Development Permits to be supported by a finding showing the permit, as conditioned by any conditions of approval, to be consistent with any applicable requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Section 21080.5(d)(2)(A) of CEQA prohibits a proposed development from being approved if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect which the activity may have on the environment.

The proposed project is located in an urban area. All infrastructures necessary to serve the site exist in the area. As conditioned, the proposed project has been found consistent with the hazard, public access and scenic view policies of Chapter Three of the Coastal Act. Mitigation measures requiring assumption-of-risk and future improvement deed/lease restrictions and conformance with geotechnical recommendations will minimize any significant adverse effects that the activity may have on the environment.

As conditioned, no feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures are known, beyond those required, which would substantially lessen any identified significant effect which the activity may have on the environment. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned is consistent with CEQA and the policies of the Coastal Act.



PACIFIC

COASTAL COMMISSION
5-99-386

EXHIBIT # 1


PAGE 1 OF 2

BREAKWATER

SECTION V MAP

MAIN ENTRY WITH GUARDGATE


MATCH LINE



ENTRY WITH KEY CONTROLLED GATE

EXTENT OF REVETMENT

Site



MATCH LINE

COASTAL COMMISSION

N-COMMUNITY BEACH ACCESSWAY


EXHIBIT # 1

STRAIGHT
RESIDENCE
JAMES E. MARLAN
CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION
7111 16th Street
San Diego, CA 92161-1600
619-594-8976

RECEIVED
South Coast Region

NOV 29 1999

CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION



SEE PLAN 16-03

COASTAL COMMISSION

57-33 330

EXHIBIT # 2

PAGE 1 OF 6


STRAIGHT
RESIDENCE

174 A STREET
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90404
JAMES R. HARLAN
ARCHITECT
310-300-4198

RECEIVED
South Coast Region

NOV 29 1999

CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION



COASTAL COMMISSION

EXHIBIT # 2
PAGE 2 OF 6


Handwritten notes on the right margin:
①
②
③
④
⑤
⑥
⑦
⑧
⑨
⑩
⑪
⑫
⑬
⑭
⑮
⑯
⑰
⑱
⑲ = 0000 Plan
⑳
㉑
㉒
㉓
㉔
㉕
㉖
㉗
㉘
㉙
㉚
㉛
㉜
㉝
㉞
㉟
㊱
㊲
㊳
㊴
㊵
㊶
㊷
㊸
㊹
㊺
㊻
㊼
㊽
㊾
㊿
①
②
③
④
⑤
⑥
⑦
⑧
⑨
⑩
⑪
⑫
⑬
⑭
⑮
⑯
⑰
⑱
⑲ = 0000 Plan
⑳
㉑
㉒
㉓
㉔
㉕
㉖
㉗
㉘
㉙
㉚
㉛
㉜
㉝
㉞
㉟
㊱
㊲
㊳
㊴
㊵
㊶
㊷
㊸
㊹
㊺
㊻
㊼
㊽
㊾
㊿

STRAIGHT
RESIDENCE


99 A STREET
STROSDORF, CA 90743
562-493-0106

JAMES R. HARLAN
ARCHITECT
310-106-4936


RECEIVED
South Coast Region
NOV 29 1999
CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION



1st FLOOR PLAN 1/4" = 1'-0"



2nd FLOOR PLAN 1/4" = 1'-0"



ROOF PLAN 1/4" = 1'-0"



ASTAL COMMISSION

EXHIBIT # 2
PAGE 3 OF 6

STRAIGHT
RESIDENCE

90 A STREET
SANTA MONICA 90403
818-497-0108

JAMES R. MARLAN
ARCHITECT
110-104-1038



RECEIVED
 South Coast Region
 NOV 29 1999
 CALIFORNIA
 COASTAL COMMISSION

A 5.1

SECTION A

SECTION B

SECTION C

SECTION D



COASTAL COMMISSION

EXHIBIT # 2
PAGE 4 OF 6

STRAIGH
RESIDEN



19 A STREET
SUNSHINE CA 9
562-992-8188

JAMES B. HARI
ARCHITECT
310-306-4970



WEST ELEVATION

EAST ELEVATION



RECEIVED

South Coast
NOV 29 1999

CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION

WEST ELEVATION

EAST ELEVATION

COASTAL COMMISSION

EXHIBIT # 2
PAGE 5 OF 6

STRAIGHT RESIDENCE
99 A STREET
SURFSIDE, CALIFORNIA


FOUNDATION/FIRST FLOOR
FRAMING PLAN

PARKER-RESNICK

RECEIVED
South Coast Region
NOV 29 1999
CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION


NOTE:
CONCRETE WALLS ARE
TO BE CAST IN PLACE
WITH REINFORCING
BARS AND BOLT
ANCHORS.

FOUNDATION/
FIRST FLOOR FRAMING PLAN



GENERAL NOTES:

1. FOUNDATION SHALL BE CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE WITH REINFORCING BARS AND BOLT ANCHORS.
2. ALL FOUNDATION WALLS SHALL BE CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE WITH REINFORCING BARS AND BOLT ANCHORS.
3. ALL FOUNDATION WALLS SHALL BE CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE WITH REINFORCING BARS AND BOLT ANCHORS.
4. ALL FOUNDATION WALLS SHALL BE CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE WITH REINFORCING BARS AND BOLT ANCHORS.
5. ALL FOUNDATION WALLS SHALL BE CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE WITH REINFORCING BARS AND BOLT ANCHORS.



SECOND FLOOR
FRAMING PLAN

GENERAL NOTES:

1. FLOOR JOISTS SHALL BE CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE WITH REINFORCING BARS AND BOLT ANCHORS.
2. ALL FLOOR JOISTS SHALL BE CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE WITH REINFORCING BARS AND BOLT ANCHORS.
3. ALL FLOOR JOISTS SHALL BE CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE WITH REINFORCING BARS AND BOLT ANCHORS.
4. ALL FLOOR JOISTS SHALL BE CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE WITH REINFORCING BARS AND BOLT ANCHORS.
5. ALL FLOOR JOISTS SHALL BE CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE WITH REINFORCING BARS AND BOLT ANCHORS.

NO.	DESCRIPTION	THICKNESS	REINFORCING	NOTES
1	WALL 1	12"	4#4 @ 12"	
2	WALL 2	12"	4#4 @ 12"	
3	WALL 3	12"	4#4 @ 12"	
4	WALL 4	12"	4#4 @ 12"	
5	WALL 5	12"	4#4 @ 12"	
6	WALL 6	12"	4#4 @ 12"	
7	WALL 7	12"	4#4 @ 12"	
8	WALL 8	12"	4#4 @ 12"	
9	WALL 9	12"	4#4 @ 12"	
10	WALL 10	12"	4#4 @ 12"	

NO.	DIAMETER	VERTICAL SPACING	YES	REMARKS
1	18"	4' @ 12"		
2	18"	4' @ 12"		
3	18"	4' @ 12"		
4	18"	4' @ 12"		
5	18"	4' @ 12"		
6	18"	4' @ 12"		
7	18"	4' @ 12"		
8	18"	4' @ 12"		
9	18"	4' @ 12"		
10	18"	4' @ 12"		

NO.	SECTION	REINFORCING	YES	REMARKS
1	1	4#4 @ 12"		
2	2	4#4 @ 12"		
3	3	4#4 @ 12"		
4	4	4#4 @ 12"		
5	5	4#4 @ 12"		
6	6	4#4 @ 12"		
7	7	4#4 @ 12"		
8	8	4#4 @ 12"		
9	9	4#4 @ 12"		
10	10	4#4 @ 12"		

NO.	BASE PLATE	ANCHOR BOLTS	YES	REMARKS
1	1	4#4 @ 12"		
2	2	4#4 @ 12"		
3	3	4#4 @ 12"		
4	4	4#4 @ 12"		
5	5	4#4 @ 12"		
6	6	4#4 @ 12"		
7	7	4#4 @ 12"		
8	8	4#4 @ 12"		
9	9	4#4 @ 12"		
10	10	4#4 @ 12"		

NO.	PAINT ANCHOR	REINFORCING	YES	REMARKS
1	1	4#4 @ 12"		
2	2	4#4 @ 12"		
3	3	4#4 @ 12"		
4	4	4#4 @ 12"		
5	5	4#4 @ 12"		
6	6	4#4 @ 12"		
7	7	4#4 @ 12"		
8	8	4#4 @ 12"		
9	9	4#4 @ 12"		
10	10	4#4 @ 12"		

COASTAL COMMISSION

STATE LANDS DIVISION

1807 13TH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814
(916) 445-3271

*Mike D
Dave*



RECEIVED

NOV 6 1975

November 3, 1975

South Coast Regional Commission

File Ref.: YC-75

South Coast Regional
Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 1450
Long Beach, CA 90801

Attention: Mr. David Gould

Dear Mr. Gould

In reply to your phone request for State boundary line data along the Pacific Ocean at Surfside, Orange County, I refer you to a Record of Survey filed August 23, 1966, in Book 86 R.S., pages 35, 36 and 37, Orange County Recorder's Office.

A copy of the State Lands Commission Minute Item #33, meeting of April 28, 1966, is enclosed for your information.

Sincerely,

Donald J. Brittnacher

DONALD J. BRITTNACHER
Senior Boundary
Determination Officer

DJB:ls

Enclosure

EXHIBIT No. 3
Application Number: 5-77-386
California Coastal Commission

PAGE 1 of 3

33. APPROVAL OF BOUNDARY AGREEMENT BETWEEN STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND SURFSIDE COLONY, LTD., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, ALONG THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK OF THE PACIFIC OCEAN, VICINITY OF SURFSIDE, ORANGE COUNTY - W.O. 5850, B.L.A. 74.

After consideration of Calendar Item 11 attached, and upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, the following resolution was adopted:

THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER IS AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE SURFSIDE COLONY, LTD., FIXING THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK AS THE PERMANENT BOUNDARY ALONG THE PACIFIC OCEAN BETWEEN STATE TIDE AND SUBMERGED LANDS AND PRIVATE UPLANDS, SAID BOUNDARY LINE BEING DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK A, AS SHOWN ON "RECORD OF SURVEY SURFSIDE COLONY", FILED IN BOOK 4, PAGE 19 OF RECORD OF SURVEYS, COUNTY OF ORANGE, SAID BLOCK A BEING IN FRACTIONAL SECTION 24, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 12 WEST, S.B.M.; THENCE S. 49° 26' 59" W. 77.55 FEET TO A POINT ON THE MEAN HIGH TIDE LINE OF 1937, WHICH POINT IS THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING OF THIS BOUNDARY LINE AND WHICH IS ALSO SHOWN ON "MAP OF EXISTING HIGH TIDE LINE SURVEYS OF THE PACIFIC OCEAN" PREPARED FOR SURFSIDE COLONY, LTD., BY PETERSEN & HENSTRIDGE, LAND SURVEYORS, IN MARCH 1966; THENCE FROM SAID TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING ALONG THE FOLLOWING COURSES: N. 43° 45' 11" W. 1069.03 FEET, N. 48° 53' 37" W. 1004.50 FEET, N. 49° 52' 36" W. 957.14 FEET AND N. 56° 15' 04" W. 6.74 FEET TO THE END OF THIS BOUNDARY LINE, WHICH ENDING POINT BEARS S. 00° 02' 00" E. 358.85 FEET AND S. 56° 15' 04" E. 20.32 FEET FROM THE QUARTER CORNER BETWEEN SECTIONS 13 AND 24, T. 5 S., R. 12 W., S.B.M.



Attachment
Calendar Item 11 (1 page)

COASTAL COMMISSION

EXHIBIT # 3
PAGE 2 OF 3

SURFSIDE COLONY LTD.
MAP OF EXISTING HIGH TIDE LINE SURVEYS OF THE PACIFIC OCEAN
 IN THE UNINCORPORATED TERRITORY OF ORANGE COUNTY, PART OF
 FRACTIONAL SECTION 24, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 12 WEST, S. B. M.

PETERSEN & WINDS, INC.
 LAND SURVEYORS
 1000 S. GARDEN ST.
 ANAHEIM, CALIF.
 1950



COASTAL COMMISSION


**Surfside Permits with Assumption-of-Risk Deed Restrictions
As of January 27, 2000**

Site	Permit #	Project Description	Exceeds Height*
A-2	5-92-450	New SFD on vacant lot	Yes
A-6	5-86-676	Addition to existing SFD	Yes
A-20	5-90-860	Demo. SFD, Construct new SFD	Yes
A-21	5-87-813	Addition to existing SFD	
A-24	5-87-045	Demo. SFD, Construct new SFD	Yes
A-26	5-87-115	Construct new SFD	Yes
A-36	5-92-165	Demo. SFD, Construct new SFD	
A-44	5-88-152	Demo. SFD, Construct new SFD	
A-45	5-99-356-A1	Addition to existing SFD	Yes
A-47	5-98-412	New SFD on vacant lot	No
A-62	5-87-436	New SFD on vacant lot	Yes
A-62	5-84-068	New SFD on vacant lot	Yes
A-64	5-85-441	Demo. SFD, Construct new SFD	No
A-71	5-82-714	Demo. SFD, Construct new SFD	
A-86	5-85-474	New SFD on vacant lot	Yes
A-87	5-85-474	New SFD on vacant lot	Yes
A-88	5-85-474	New SFD on vacant lot	Yes
A-98	5-98-098	New SFD on vacant lot	Yes
A-100	5-84-790	Demo. SFD, Construct new SFD	Yes

* Where it is known that the plans on file indicate that a chimney or covered roof access structure exceeds the 35 foot height limit.

SFD = Single-Family Dwelling

EXHIBIT No. 4
Application Number: 5-99-386
 California Coastal Commission



Surfside Colony, Ltd.

P.O. BOX 235 • SURFSIDE, CALIFORNIA 90743
OFFICE (562) 592-2352 • FAX (562) 592-2687

E-Mail: surfside90743@aol.com

November 19, 1999

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION


To whom it may concern:

Subject: STRAIGHT RESIDENCE/SURFSIDE COLONY

Please be advised that the Board of Directors and the Architectural Committee of Surfside Colony, Ltd. have reviewed and approved the plans for construction of a new home, with a 10-foot oceanside deck, at A-99, Surfside Colony.

Please do not hesitate to let us know if there is any additional information you may require.

Very truly yours,


Judith Norton
Administrative Manager

cc: Board of Directors
Architectural Committee

RECEIVED
South Coast Region

NOV 29 1999

CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION

COASTAL COMMISSION

EXHIBIT # 5

PAGE 1 OF 1