APPLICATION NUMBER: 5-99-458

APPLICANT: Community Corporation of Santa Monica and City of Santa Monica

AGENT: Pamela Gach

PROJECT LOCATION: 1136-1144 Fourth Street, Santa Monica

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Demolition of an existing 165 space public metered parking lot and construction of a 40-foot high, 66-unit low-income senior citizen housing development with 30 residential parking spaces, and 293 public parking spaces within a subterranean parking garage; and creation of 42 temporary on-street metered parking spaces by restriping Third and Fourth streets between Wilshire Boulevard and California Avenue.

Lot Area 45,000 square feet
Building Coverage 25,857 square feet
Pavement Coverage 9,836 square feet
Landscape Coverage 13,664 square feet
Parking Spaces 293 public/30 residential
Zoning R-3—Northwest Overlay
Ht above final grade 40 feet

SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The proposed project is consistent with existing surrounding development, will provide additional public parking and will not adversely impact public access. Staff recommends approval with no special conditions.
LOCAL APPROVALS RECEIVED: Approval in Concept; Variance #95-015; Conditional Use Permit #97-002; Development Review #95-002

SUBSTANTIVE FILE DOCUMENTS: City of Santa Monica certified Land Use Plan; CDPs #5-96-229(Community Corp. of Santa Monica), #5-88-187(Santa Monica RHF Housing Inc.), #5-91-325(Community Corp. of Santa Monica), #5-91-843(Step Up Housing, 5-96-062(Project New Hope); 5-97-182(Community Corp. of Santa Monica/City of Santa Monica)

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

1. Staff recommends that the Commission make the following motion and adopt the following resolution:

   **MOTION:** I move that the Commission approve Coastal Development Permit #5-99-458 pursuant to the staff recommendation.

   **STAFF RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL:**

   Staff recommends a YES vote. Passage of this motion will result in approval of the permit and adoption of the following resolution and findings. The motion passes only by affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present.

   **RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE PERMIT:**

   The Commission hereby approves a permit for the proposed development and adopts the findings set forth below on grounds that the development will be in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act and will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction over the area to prepare a Local Coastal Program conforming to the provisions of Chapter 3. Approval of the permit complies with the California Environmental Quality Act because either 1) feasible mitigation measures and/ or alternatives have been incorporated to substantially lessen any significant adverse effects of the development on the environment, or 2) there are no further feasible mitigation measures or alternative that would substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts of the development on the environment.
II. STANDARD CONDITIONS:

1. Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment. The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.

2. Expiration. If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date this permit is reported to the Commission. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.

3. Compliance. All development must occur in strict compliance with the proposal as set forth in the application for permit, subject to any special conditions set forth below. Any deviation from the approved plans must be reviewed and approved by the staff and may require Commission approval.

4. Interpretation. Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.

5. Inspections. The Commission staff shall be allowed to inspect the site and the project during its development, subject to 24-hour advance notice.

6. Assignment. The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.

7. Terms and Conditions Run with the Land. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

III. SPECIAL CONDITIONS: None

IV. FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS:

The Commission hereby finds and declares:
A. Project Description and Location

The applicant proposes to demolish an existing 165 public metered surface parking lot and construct a 40-foot high, 66-unit low-income senior citizen housing development above a 323 subterranean parking garage, with 30 spaces reserved for residents. The applicant also proposes to create 42 temporary on-street metered parking spaces by restriping Third and Fourth Streets between Wilshire Boulevard and California Avenue.

The senior citizen units will be deed-restricted by the City for rental to seniors whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the area median income. The median income is currently $17,944 for a one-person household and $20,520 for a two-person household based on 1996 HUD median income estimates.

The proposed site is located on Fourth Street between Wilshire Boulevard and California Avenue (see Exhibit #1 & 2). The proposed project will occupy approximately 25,857 square feet of the 45,000 square foot lot, or approximately 57% of the site.

The site is currently improved with a City owned public metered surface parking lot with 165 parking spaces. The surrounding area consists of a mixture of residential, office, and retail uses. Immediately to the west of the site, across the alley, is a six-story senior citizen housing project above a parking structure, built in 1990.

The proposed project was previously approved by the Commission as CDP#5-97-182, in November 1997. CDP#5-97-182 was valid until November 1999. In August 1999, the applicant submitted an amendment to provide an additional subterranean level of public parking to increase the total proposed parking from 195 to 323 spaces. Because of local opposition to the project, the amendment was to be scheduled as a material amendment; however, prior to scheduling the amendment for a Commission hearing the original permit expired. Because the applicant did not commence construction or request a permit extension prior to the expiration of the permit, a new permit application has been submitted.

B. Public Comments

Staff has received three letters objecting to the City's approval of the project. The letters state that the project will adversely impact parking and congestion in the area and will impact the adjacent building's light and air (shadowing).

The project's impact on parking is addressed below. Issues regarding a project's shadowing of adjacent buildings is an issue the Commission has not generally
addressed since it does not raise any Coastal Act issues. Such an issue is a local issue.

C. Development

Section 30250 of the Coastal Act states in part that:

(a) New residential, commercial, or industrial development, except as otherwise provided in this division, shall be located within, contiguous with, or in close proximity to, existing developed areas able to accommodate it or, where such areas are not able to accommodate it, in other areas with adequate public services and where it will not have a significant adverse effects, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources.

Section 30251 of the Coastal Act states in part that:

The scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas shall be considered and protected as a resource of public importance. Permitted development shall be sited and designed to protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas, to minimize the alteration of natural landforms, to be visually compatible with the character surrounding areas, and, where feasible, to restore and enhance visual quality in visually degraded areas.

The proposed project is located on the western side of Fourth Street and is over 1/4 mile from the beach. In this portion of Santa Monica, Fourth Street is the Coastal Zone boundary. In the general vicinity of the project site the area is developed with a mix of residential, commercial and office development. The immediate neighborhood is a transition area between the commercial retail uses along Wilshire Boulevard to the south, and the multi-family residential area just north of Wilshire Boulevard.

Height of surrounding development varies from one to six stories. The certified LUP states that development shall not exceed four stories, 45-feet in height. The proposed project will be four-stories, 40-feet high, as measured from existing grade.

The project was granted a variance allowing parcel coverage of excess of 50%. The variance conforms to State density bonus guidelines that allow encroachments into no more than 15% of one side yard setback, into no more than 15% of either the front or rear yard, and an increase in parcel coverage by no more than 10% of the parcel area. The variance allows the project to increase parcel coverage by 6% with sideyard setback of 19.5 feet in lieu of the required 23-foot setback.
The proposed parking garage, with three below ground and one above ground parking levels, is conditionally permitted within the subject district. The City has granted a Conditional Use Permit for the project.

The proposed project will provide uses which are compatible to the area. The proposed public parking structure will replace an existing 165-space surface public parking lot with 293 public spaces and will continue to provide parking in support of the nearby commercial uses. The proposed residential portion of the project will also be compatible with the surrounding residential uses.

The construction of 66 additional residential units in the area will not significantly add to traffic congestion. According to the EIR the surrounding streets are capable of handling the additional traffic generated by the project. Furthermore, the addition of a public parking structure will help provide alternative parking and disperse the parking supply for the downtown area. The Commission, therefore, finds that the proposed project will be located in an area that is able to accommodate it and will be compatible with the character and scale of the surrounding uses and with the certified LUP.

The Commission notes that any change from the proposed low-income rental units to higher income rentals or to a market rate residential project would constitute "development", as defined in Section 30106 to include any change in the intensity of use of land or water, "or access thereto". Therefore, pursuant to Section 30600 requirements that a coastal permit is needed for any development, any such conversion of these units to a higher income requirement would require a coastal permit, with approval findings that demonstrate that parking impacts on coastal access recreation are mitigated.

D. Parking

Section 30252 of the Coastal Act states in Part:

The location and amount of new development should maintain and enhance public access to the coast by... (4) providing adequate parking facilities or providing substitute means of serving the development with public transportation...

In addition, policy #20 of the Santa Monica certified Land Use Plan states in part that:

New development shall provide adequate parking to meet all demands created by the development...
The applicant proposes to replace the existing 165 public metered spaces with 293 public parking spaces located within a proposed parking structure plus provide 30 parking spaces for residential use by the 66-unit senior citizen project proposed above the parking structure.

Through past Commission permit action the Commission has established for multi-family residential development a parking ratio of 2 parking spaces per unit, plus 1 guest parking space per every seven units. Based on this parking ratio the 66-unit project would require 141 parking spaces. However, because the project will be a very low-income housing project (less than 59% of average median income) it is expected that the parking demand will be less than market rate residential.

The Commission, in past permit actions, has found that under certain circumstances the parking demand generated by residential projects that provide low-income housing is less than that generated by market-rate units. The Commission has found that with the location of bus stops, service routes, shopping areas, and medical facilities within close proximity to senior and low income housing project there would be greater use of public transportation and less demand for car ownership for such development.

In past Commission permit actions, the Commission has approved five housing projects over the past eight years in the City of Santa Monica with reduced parking. These past projects include two senior citizen housing project, single-room occupancy housing projects, and one housing project for tenants with HIV/AIDS.

In 1988 the Commission approved a senior citizen housing project [#5-88-187 (Santa Monica RHF Housing Inc.)]. The project was located at 1121-1135 3rd Street. The project consisted of 72-unit senior citizen units with 27 parking spaces reserved for tenants. The project was deficient 127 parking spaces based on the parking standard of 2 spaces per unit established by the Commission through past Commission permit action. The project provided .37 parking spaces per unit. The Commission found that the low-income senior housing project was in close proximity to the downtown area and main public transit lines and the low-income tenants would require less parking than market rate residential.

In 1991 the Commission approved two single room occupancy (SRO) housing projects [#55-91-325 (Community Corp.); #5-91-843 (Step Up Housing)]. Permit #5-91-325 was for a mixed use project with 43 SRO units. Permit #5-91-843 was for a 36 unit SRO project. Both projects were located in the City’s Downtown Parking District. Parking within the Parking District is provided by six parking structures. Projects within the District are not required to provide on-site parking. However, the parking supply within the district must be adequate to support the demand generated by existing and new development that do not provide on-site parking. Because of the proximity of the District to the beach and other coastal recreational destinations, such as Palisades Park and the Pier, the adequate provision of parking within the District is
important in maintaining available parking in the area for beach and recreational users. In both projects the Commission found that single-room occupancy units, because of their small size, are rented to one person and typically are rented to persons with low or moderate incomes and to senior citizens. As such, the parking demand is less than that of full size market rate residential units. Therefore, the Commission approved the two SRO projects with a parking ratio of .5 parking spaces per unit, consistent with the City’s approval.

In 1995 the Commission approved a 25-unit affordable housing project serving HIV positive/AIDS challenged individuals, with 25 parking spaces (5-96-062 (Project New Hope)). The applicant submitted evidence showing that similar housing projects required less parking than typical market rate housing projects. Furthermore, the project was within close proximity to bus stops, shopping areas and medical facilities which would help ensure greater use of public transportation.

In its most recent permit approval the Commission approved a 20-unit low income residential building, with a 34 car subterranean parking garage (5-96-229 (Community Corporation of Santa Monica), a parking ration of 1.7 parking spaces per unit. The project was located on Pico Boulevard and over a 1/2 mile from the beach. The project was within close proximity to major bus routes and shopping areas and medical facilities were within close proximity or within easy access that would reduce the need for vehicle ownership.

In this particular permit application the applicant has submitted parking studies showing that low income senior citizens are less likely to own cars than higher income persons that would generate additional parking needs in the area. One of the studies was conducted by the Housing Division of the City of Santa Monica (February 21, 1989. See Exhibit #6). The City surveyed their own 585 affordable senior citizen and disabled housing units located throughout the City of Santa Monica. The ratio of vehicles per unit varied from .26 to .43, with an average of .31. The report also indicated that among various senior HUD-assisted projects in southern California, the average number of spaces per unit was .32. Furthermore, a survey conducted by the City of Los Angeles Housing Department (April 1993) of senior housing developments in Los Angeles indicates that the average parking occupancy is .31 for senior housing. The developer of the project, Menorah Housing Foundation, has also submitted a survey of 9 separate senior housing developments, a total of 613 units, that they developed throughout Los Angeles County. The survey shows that the average number of spaces per unit is .36 (see Exhibit #7).

In the proposed senior housing project the applicant will provide parking at a total ratio of .45 (30 spaces per 66 units). The amount of parking provided by this housing project exceeds the average parking ratio for similar projects approved by the
Commission in this area and other senior citizen housing projects located in Santa
Monica and the surrounding Los Angeles area.

The City of Santa Monica’s zoning ordinance (revised 9/96) requires .25 spaces per
unit, plus 1 guest parking per every five units. The proposed 30 parking spaces is
consistent with the City’s parking requirements. If this project’s parking exceeds the
30 parking spaces assigned to the project the City will not usurp the public parking
spaces within the public lot to meet the higher demand. Residents will have to secure
private parking elsewhere within private lots and not within any of the City owned
public lots. However, based on the surveys of numerous other senior citizen projects
submitted by the applicant and the City, a greater demand is not likely. If the parking
demand does exceed the supply the impact to the public lots and to beach access will
not be significant.

Furthermore, the project is located near public transportation lines and is within close
proximity to the City’s downtown commercial area. Therefore, necessary shopping
areas, medical facilities and transportation facilities for tenants of this project are
within close proximity or within easy access which will help reduce the need of
vehicle ownership. Based on the parking studies submitted, the location of the project
site, and on past Commission permit action, the proposed 30 parking spaces for the
66-unit very low-income housing project will be sufficient to support the demand.

To mitigate the short term parking impacts caused by the temporary loss of public
parking and construction activity, the applicants (Community Corporation and the City
of Santa Monica) are proposing to restrip Third and Fourth Street between Wilshire
Boulevard and California Avenue for additional metered parking. In addition, the
displaced permit parkers, who are currently parking on the surface lot on the proposed
site, will be relocated by the City within alternative parking sites.

The City will restripe 13 parallel parking spaces for diagonal parking along Third
Street, creating 24 diagonal spaces, for a net gain of 11 new spaces. Along Fourth
Street, 17 new curb-side parallel parking spaces will be created along the west side of
the street and 14 parallel spaces on the east side. The addition of parking on Fourth
Street will be accomplished by maintaining two southbound lanes and elimination of
one northbound land during construction to accommodate the street parking.

For permit parkers the City will re-issue 85 permits to those displaced during
construction for use within three nearby City parking structures and within a private
lot located at 606 Wilshire Boulevard or other selected lot within close proximity to
the site. According to the Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration the three
nearby City parking structures have an adequate supply of available parking spaces to
accommodate the temporary increase in demand.
According to the EIR the proposed project's long-term and short-term parking impacts will be adequately mitigated. The Commission, therefore, finds that the proposed project will not adversely impact access and will be consistent with Section 30252 of the Coastal Act.

Furthermore, according to the City, after construction the new street parking and restriping may or may not be removed depending upon future traffic conditions. This permit allows the placement of the meters and restriping of the street, however, if the City decides to remove the meters and striping after construction of the parking structure/residential project, an amendment or new permit will be required.

E. Local Coastal Program

Section 30604(a) of the Coastal Act states that:

Prior to certification of the Local Coastal Program, a Coastal Development Permit shall be issued if the issuing agency, or the Commission on appeal, finds that the proposed development is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 30200) of this division and that the permitted development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare a Local Coastal Program that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 30200).

In August 1992, the Commission certified, with suggested modifications, the land use plan portion of the City of Santa Monica's Local Coastal Program, excluding the area west of Ocean Avenue and Neilson Way (Beach Overlay District), and the Santa Monica Pier. On September 15, 1992, the City of Santa Monica accepted the LUP with suggested modifications.

The subject site is suitable for parking structure/residential development. As proposed the project will not adversely impact coastal resources or access. The Commission, therefore, finds that the proposed project will be consistent with the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act and will not prejudice the ability of the City to prepare implementation for a Local Coastal Program consistent with the policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act as required by Section 30604(a).

F. CEQA

Section 13096(a) of the Commission's administrative regulations requires Commission approval of Coastal Development Permit applications to be supported by a finding showing the application, as conditioned by any conditions of approval, to be
consistent with any applicable requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Section 21080.5(d)(2)(i) of CEQA prohibits a proposed development from being approved if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse impact which the activity may have on the environment.

There are no feasible alternatives or mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse impact which the activity may have on the environment. Therefore, the proposed project is found consistent with CEQA and the policies of the Coastal Act.
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## CARS PER UNIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Cars Per Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROGRAM: Rental Housing Construction Program - Family Units</strong>&lt;br&gt;Owned and operated by Housing Authority of County of Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1855 5th Street : 11 units, 12 cars</td>
<td>1.05 cars per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450 14th Street : 19 units, 10 cars</td>
<td>0.53 cars per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 20th Street : 11 units, 8 cars</td>
<td>0.73 cars per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.73 cars per unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Cars Per Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROGRAM: Public Housing - Senior and Family Units</strong>&lt;br&gt;Owned and operated by Housing Authority of County of Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175 Ocean Park Boulevard : 22 units, 15 cars</td>
<td>0.58 cars per unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Cars Per Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROGRAM: Section 202 &amp; 236 - Senior and Disabled Units</strong>&lt;br&gt;Owned and operated by private companies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;The Rotary Plaza&quot;&lt;br&gt;Culver City (202) : 100 units, 43 cars</td>
<td>0.43 cars per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Westminster Towers&quot;&lt;br&gt;Santa Monica (202) : 285 units, 73 cars</td>
<td>0.25 cars per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Neilson Villas&quot;&lt;br&gt;Santa Monica (236) : 100 units, 40 cars</td>
<td>0.40 cars per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Geneva Plaza&quot;&lt;br&gt;Santa Monica (202) : 100 units, 28 cars</td>
<td>0.28 cars per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.31 cars per unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPACES PROVIDED PER UNIT

SURVEY OF SENIOR HUD-ASSISTED PROJECTS

Various Southern California Projects: 1,709 total units. 543 spaces = .32 spaces per unit
(see attached)

Various Projects Nationwide: 3,169 total units. 1,231 spaces = .39 spaces per unit
(see attached)
## Menorah Housing Foundation

### Parking / Population Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>No of Units</th>
<th>Total Spaces</th>
<th>Assigned Spaces</th>
<th>Open Spaces</th>
<th>Building Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Menorah House</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19206 Sherman Way, Reseda, CA 91335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menorah Terrace</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1123 N. Fuller Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverly Hills Senior Housing</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225 N. Crescent Dr, Beverly Hills, CA 90210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pico Wooster Senior Housing</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1425 Wooster St, Los Angeles, CA 90035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman Way Senior Housing</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15864 Sherman Way, Van Nuys, CA 91406</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuller Avenue Senior Housing</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1627 N. Fuller Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miracle Mile Senior Housing</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402 Cochran Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman Oaks Senior Housing</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14567 Dickens Street, Sherman Oaks, CA 91403</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culver City Senior Housing</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5166 Sepulveda Blvd, Culver City, CA 90230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>