

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SAN DIEGO AREA

3111 CAMINO DEL RIO NORTH, SUITE 200

DIEGO, CA 92108-1725

521-8036

RECORD PACKET COPY



State of California

California Coastal Commission
San Diego District

MEMORANDUM

TO: Commissioners and
Interested Persons

DATE: June 12, 2000

Tue 8a

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limit for Oceanside LCP Amendment No. 1-2000
for the Commission Meeting of July 11 - 14, 2000

On May 5, 2000, the City of Oceanside's proposed Local Coastal Program Amendment (LCPA) #1-2000 was filed in the San Diego District office. The amendment amends the City's certified LCP Land Use Plan and Implementation Plan to accommodate the Oceanside Beach Resort.

Pursuant to Section 30512 of the Coastal Act, a land use plan and implementation plan amendment must be acted on by the Commission within 90 days of complete submittal. To be heard within the allotted time period, the amendment request would have to be agendaized for the scheduled July 2000 hearing.

However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535 of the Code of Regulations state that the Commission may for good cause extend a time limit for a period not to exceed one year, after consultation with the local government and by a majority vote of the Commissioners present. Commission staff is requesting the time extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the amendment and prepare a recommendation. The City has indicated the item could be postponed until the August 2000 hearing in Huntington Beach, provided the Commission grants the waiver of the applicable time limits.

Summary of Staff Recommendation. Staff recommends the Commission extend the 90-day time limit for a period not to exceed one year. However, it is anticipated that the amendment request will be scheduled for the August 2000 hearing in Huntington Beach.

MOTION:

I move that the Commission extend the 90-day time limit to Oceanside LCP Amendment No. 1-2000 for a period not to exceed one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends a YES vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.

(G:\San Diego\Reports\LCP's\2000\Ocsn 1-2000timeext.Manchester.doc)