

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219

VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200

RECORD PACKET COPY

**W 11****DATE:** April 19, 2001**TO:** Coastal Commissioners And Interested Parties**FROM:** Mark Delaplaine, Federal Consistency Supervisor**RE:** Negative Determinations Issued By Executive Director

PROJECT #:	NE-133-00
APPLICANT:	San Luis Obispo County
LOCATION:	Cayucos Creek, San Luis Obispo Co.
PROJECT:	Bank Stabilization, Little Cayucos Creek
ACTION:	No effect
ACTION DATE:	04/05/2001

PROJECT #:	ND-008-01
APPLICANT:	Navy
LOCATION:	Offshore of San City, Monterey Bay
PROJECT:	Install oceanographic equipment
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	03/28/2001

PROJECT #:	ND-010-01
APPLICANT:	Coast Guard
LOCATION:	Ballast Point, Point Loma, San Diego
PROJECT:	Maintenance dredging, with nearshore disposal in Imperial Beach
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	04/05/2001

PROJECT #:	ND-011-01
APPLICANT:	Coast Guard
LOCATION:	Coast Guard Station, Humboldt Bay
PROJECT:	Placement of sand to protect shoreline
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	03/26/2001

PROJECT #:	ND-012-01
APPLICANT:	Corps of Engineers
LOCATION:	Surfside-Sunset Beaches, Orange Co.
PROJECT:	Beach Nourishment
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	03/28/2001

PROJECT #:	NE-013-01
APPLICANT:	City of Carlsbad
LOCATION:	Northern San Diego County (Oceanside – Del Mar)
PROJECT:	Coastal Rail Trail Project
ACTION:	No effect
ACTION DATE:	03/27/2001

PROJECT #:	NE-021-01
APPLICANT:	San Luis Obispo County
LOCATION:	Arroyo Grande Creek, San Luis Obispo Co.
PROJECT:	Emergency levee repair and sediment removal
ACTION:	No effect
ACTION DATE:	04/01/2001

PROJECT #:	ND-026-01
APPLICANT:	Coast Guard
LOCATION:	Doran Spit, Bodega Bay, Sonoma County
PROJECT:	Modification of vessel docking configuration
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	03/27/2001

PROJECT #:	ND-027-01
APPLICANT:	NOAA
LOCATION:	1000 ft. offshore of Cannery Row, Monterey
PROJECT:	Install temporary underwater camera and propulsion unit for interactive learning exhibit
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	03/23/2001

PROJECT #:	ND-032-01
APPLICANT:	National Park Service
LOCATION:	Redwood National Park
PROJECT:	Modification to radio antenna
ACTION:	Concur
ACTION DATE:	04/05/2001

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



April 5, 2001

Mark Hutchinson
San Luis Obispo County
Department of Planning and Building
County Government Center
San Luis Obispo County, CA 93408

RE: **NE-133-00**, No-Effects Determination for the Bank Stabilization at
Little Cayucos Creek, Cayucos, San Luis Obispo County

Dear Mr. Hutchinson:

The Coastal Commission has received and reviewed the above-referenced consistency submittal. The proposed project includes bank stabilization on Little Cayucos Creek at Ocean Avenue, Cayucos, San Luis Obispo County.

The proposed project is located in the coastal zone in area where the Commission has delegated coastal development permit jurisdiction to the County. However, since the proposed work is within 100 feet of the stream, the coastal development permit is appealable to the Commission. Pursuant to the California Coastal Management Program, the Commission's review of a permit appeal functions as a concurrence with a consistency certification. Although the proposed project raises potential impacts on federally listed threatened species, the California red-legged frog, southern steelhead, and southwestern pond turtle, the project will minimize and mitigate impacts to these species through consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service. In addition, the project will require a 1601 agreement with the California Department of Fish and Game. In conclusion, because the activity will be coordinated with the appropriate resource agencies and is located within the appeal area of the coastal zone, the Coastal Commission staff **agrees** to waive the requirement for a consistency certification pursuant to 15 C.F.R. Section 930.50. If you have any questions, please contact James R. Raives of the Coastal Commission staff at (415) 904-5292.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Deffen".

(for) PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: South Central Coast Area Office
Lisa Mangione, Corps of Engineers, Ventura Field Office



CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



March 28, 2001

Ed Thornton
Naval Post-Graduate School
Monterey, CA 93943-5000

RE: **ND-008-01**, Negative Determination for the installation of
oceanographic equipment in Monterey Bay, offshore of Sand City.

Dear Professor Thornton:

The Coastal Commission staff has received and reviewed the above-referenced negative determination. The Navy proposes to install oceanographic equipment into Monterey Bay. The equipment includes the following:

1. Precision pressure transducers and current meters;
2. An acoustic doppler profiler;
3. Video Cameras;
4. A video mounted tethered blimp (25 feet long by 8 feet diameter) at an elevation of 100 meters;
5. Underwater power and data terminus.

The equipment will be mounted on poles anchored into the sea floor extending 1.2 meters into the sea bed. The equipment will be placed in the near shore area off of Sand City, north of the City of Monterey. The project does not include any underwater cable extending off the project site. The objectives of the project are to measure wave transformation and set-up/down, rip currents, breaking waves, current induced turbulent bottom and surface boundary, and sediment flux in the surf zone. The equipment will be installed for a one-month period.

The project will not significantly affect coastal uses or resources. The project site does not contain any kelp or other vegetation and the substrate does not include any hard-rock areas. Therefore, the project will not affect any habitat resources. The project may be visible from the shoreline and will be located in an area used for water oriented recreation. However, the equipment will be removed after a month and will not significantly affect visual or recreational resources.

In conclusion, the Coastal Commission staff agrees that the proposed project will not significantly affect coastal uses or resources. We, therefore, concur with the

March 2, 2001
ND-008-01
Page 2

negative determination made pursuant to 15 CFR § 930.35. If you have any questions, please contact James R. Raives of the Coastal Commission staff at (415) 904-5292.

Sincerely,

 (for)
PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: Central Coast Area Office

PMD/JRR

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



April 5, 2001

Dave Stalters
U.S. Coast Guard
Attn: Roy Clark
Civil Engineering Unit Oakland
2000 Embarcadero, Suite 200
Oakland, CA 94606-5337

RE: **ND-010-01**, Negative Determination, maintenance dredging project, Moorings
Ballast Point, Point Loma, San Diego.

Dear Mr. Stalters:

The Coastal Commission staff has reviewed the above-referenced negative determination for 45,000 cu. yds. of maintenance dredging at Coast Guard Moorings Ballast Point, Point Loma, San Diego. The material contains sufficient sand to be suitable for beach or nearshore disposal and the Coast Guard proposes placement of the material at a nearshore area offshore of Imperial Beach. The disposal site is within the littoral system and placement of sand at this site will serve to replenish beach sand.

Under the federal consistency regulations, a negative determination can be submitted for an activity "which is the same as or similar to activities for which consistency determinations have been prepared in the past." In 1994 (CD-26-94), the Coastal Commission concurred with a consistency determination by the Coast Guard for dredging of the Point Loma facility with nearshore disposal offshore of Imperial Beach. The Commission has also concurred with a Navy project (CD-91-93) in the Point Loma area with disposal at the same site. The Coast Guard will survey for the area for kelp prior to the disposal and maintain a 333-foot buffer from the kelp beds. Therefore, the project will not affect kelp beds.

In conclusion, the Commission staff **agrees** with the Coast Guard that this project is similar to previously-authorized activities, including CD-26-94 and CD-91-93. We therefore **concur** with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact James R. Raives at (415) 904-5292 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mark Deleplomi".

(for) PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: San Diego Coast District Office
Army Corps, L.A. District (Russ Kaiser)

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



March 26, 2001

Dave Stalters
U.S. Coast Guard
Attn: Roy Clark
Civil Engineering Unit Oakland
2000 Embarcadero, Suite 200
Oakland, CA 94606-5337

RE: **ND-011-01**, Negative Determination for 10-year authorization to place sand for erosion protection, seaward of Coast Guard Station Humboldt Bay, north spit, Humboldt Co.

Dear Mr. Stalters:

On April 23, 1999, the Coastal Commission concurred with a negative determination for the placement of sand seaward of Coast Guard Station Humboldt Bay to prevent erosion damage to an existing parking lot and building (ND-073-99). The Coast Guard now requests authorization for annual placement of sand in the same place for the same purpose, over a 10-year period. The Coast Guard proposes to place up to 50,000 cubic yards of sand on an as needed basis along the 600 ft. stretch of bay shoreline in front (east) of the existing building. The sand will be placed between the existing structures and existing riprap. The proposal is to obtain sand from among a variety of sources (future dredging of the Coast Guard Boat Basin, the City of Eureka's upland dredged material disposal site, or other sources). The Coast Guard has agreed to consult with the Commission staff, prior to each disposal event, to determine whether the sand source or disposal operation raises any concerns, or whether new circumstances have arisen altering the activity's effects on coastal resources.

Under the federal consistency regulations, a negative determination can be submitted for an activity "which is the same as or similar to activities for which consistency determinations have been prepared in the past." On April 23, 1999, the Coastal Commission staff concurred with the above-mentioned Coast Guard negative determination (ND-24-99) for a similar activity. In that concurrence the Commission staff determined that intertidal habitat effects would be minor (and other habitat impacts non-existent), that the Coast Guard had incorporated measures to protect water quality, and that the project would reduce erosion in a non-structural manner and benefit sand supply along an eroding shoreline. We therefore **agree** with the Coast Guard that this project is similar to the previously-concurred with negative determination ND-24-99, and we hereby **concur** with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark Delaplaine".

(for) PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: North Coast Area Office
Department of Water Resources
Governor's Washington D.C. Office

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200
FAX (415) 904-5400



March 28, 2001

Ruth Bajza Villalobos
Chief, Planning Division
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: Larry Smith
P.O. Box 532711
Los Angeles, CA 90053-2325

Subject: Negative Determination ND-012-01 (Surfside-Sunset Beach Nourishment Project
Stage 11, Orange County)

Dear Ms. Villalobos:

The Coastal Commission staff has received and reviewed the above-referenced negative determination for beach replenishment at Surfside-Sunset Beach in Orange County. The Commission has previously authorized the Corps of Engineers to conduct beach replenishment at Surfside-Sunset Beach, most recently in its review of negative determination ND-58-95 (and subsequent negative determination and consistency determinations for time extensions and project modifications in ND-3-97, CD-28-97, and CD-67-97). The current proposal consists of placing 1.75 million cubic yards of clean sand at Surfside-Sunset Beach, between the Anaheim Bay East Jetty and a point approximately 4,500 feet downcoast. Sand will be placed between -13 feet and +13 feet mean lower low water, and the new beach will vary in width between 350 and 900 feet.

A ten-foot-deep layer of sand will be dredged from a 270-acre borrow site one mile offshore of Bolsa Chica State Beach in approximately 30 to 45 feet of water. Sand will be transported to shore via a floating, hydraulic pipeline and discharged behind a dike to minimize turbidity in the runoff water. Turbidity will be monitored by the project contractor on a weekly basis and results submitted to the Corps for evaluation within 24 hours. Sand discharge will occur in approximately 330-foot-long sections of the beach, commencing at the Anaheim Bay East Jetty and moving downcoast, in order to limit the amount of beach closed to the public at any one time. Construction is scheduled to start in May or June 2001 and last approximately 120 days. Disposal and construction activity on the beach will occur Monday through Friday during daylight hours.

In previous beach replenishment operations at Surfside-Sunset Beach concurred with by the Commission, the Corps has attempted to complete work outside of the California least tern nesting season and the California grunion spawning season. However, the Commission also concurred with two project time extensions through mid-June (CD-28-97) and through July 31 (CD-67-97) in order for the Corps to complete project operations that were delayed due to unexpected factors. In both extensions, while the Commission noted the potential adverse effects on public access and recreation and on biological resources, it also acknowledged that

adequate mitigation measures were incorporated into the project to prevent those impacts from becoming significant, and that those temporary impacts were outweighed by the substantial public benefits arising from beach nourishment.

Unlike the aforementioned beach nourishment projects at Surfside/Sunset Beach which were designed to occur during the winter and spring months, the proposed activity would not commence until late May or early June, well into the California least tern nesting and California grunion spawning seasons and at the beginning of the summer recreation season. However, the beach at present is extremely narrow, scoured, and cobbly in places, and provides little available area for public recreation, minimal habitat for marine or avian wildlife, and little in the way of storm protection for existing residential development located between the shoreline and Pacific Coast Highway. As a result, and notwithstanding the less-than-ideal timing of the Corps project, the need for beach nourishment at this time is critical and well-documented in the Corps of Engineers' Draft Environmental Assessment and by the Commission's South Coast District staff.

The Corps has incorporated mitigation measures into the proposed project in order to minimize potentially adverse environmental impacts on California least tern and Western snowy plover foraging, California grunion spawning, and recreational use of Surfside/Sunset Beach. Hydraulic discharge of dredged sand will be limited to a diked, single-point disposal site above mean higher high water, which will typically occupy approximately 330 feet of linear beach for a one to two week period. This will restrict the area of temporary turbidity and construction impacts while incrementally re-building the wide sandy beach. As in previous Corps disposal projects at this beach, return water from the diked disposal area will be monitored on a weekly basis by the project contractor. If the Corps determines, based on its evaluation of the monitoring data, that additional water quality mitigation measures are deemed necessary (e.g., the use of filter fabrics in the diked disposal areas), disposal operations will cease until those measures are in place. Recreational use will only be prohibited within that increment of beach being actively nourished; the balance of Surfside/Sunset Beach will be available for public use.

Under the federal consistency regulations, a negative determination can be submitted for an activity "which is the same as or similar to activities for which consistency determinations have been prepared in the past." This project is similar to previous consistency and negative determinations with which the Commission concurred. We therefore concur with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Larry Simon of the Commission staff at (415) 904-5288 should you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,



(for)

PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: South Coast District Office
California Department of Water Resources
Governor's Washington, D.C., Office

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



March 27, 2001

Steven Jantz
Associate Engineer
City of Carlsbad
Public Works - Engineering
1635 Faraday Ave.
Carlsbad, CA 92008-7314

RE: **NE-013-01**, No-Effects Determination, Coastal Rail Trail Project, from Oceanside to Del Mar, San Diego Co.

Dear Mr. Jantz:

The Coastal Commission has reviewed the above-referenced no-effects determination for the construction of the northern 24 miles of a larger 44 mile long bikeway/pathway within the existing San Diego Northern Railway (SDNR) railroad right-of-way from Oceanside to downtown San Diego. The project is partially federally funded, necessitating this federal consistency submittal. This 24-mile segment of the project is within the coastal development permitting jurisdictions of Oceanside, Carlsbad, Encinitas, and Del Mar (and, for the part within Solana Beach, which does not have a certified LCP, the Coastal Commission). In general, where federally-permitted projects are generally consistent with Coastal Act goals, and where subsequent coastal development permit (and appeal) reviews provide the Commission with the ability to address site-specific issues in greater detail, the Coastal Commission staff usually waives federal consistency review. In this case, the Coastal Commission staff declines to assert federal consistency jurisdiction due to the fact that: (1) this project is located in an area where it will need Oceanside-, Carlsbad-, Encinitas-, and Del Mar-issued coastal development permits where such permits are appealable to the Coastal Commission, and, in Solana Beach, a Coastal Commission-issued coastal development permit; and (2) if the Commission has concerns over this project (including those associated with the proposed bridge over Agua Hedionda Lagoon), it can address them through the coastal development permit/appeal process. This conclusion is based on the assumption that the resource protection provisions discussed in the Mitigated Negative Declaration prepared by the City of Carlsbad (the lead agency) will continue to be implemented and incorporated into the Cities' and Coastal Commission's permit actions.

We therefore **agree** with your "No Effects" letter and your conclusion that no consistency certification needs to be submitted for this project. If you have questions, please contact Mark Delaplaine, federal consistency supervisor, at (415) 904-5289.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mark Delaplaine".

(for) PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: San Diego Area Office



CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



April 2, 2001

Mark Hutchinson
Environmental Specialist
Dept. of Planning and Building
County Government Center
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Re: **NE-21-01** No Effects Determination, San Luis Obispo County, Arroyo Grande Creek, emergency repair activities, southwest of Oceano, San Luis Obispo Co.

Dear Mr. Hutchinson:


The Coastal Commission has received your request for a federal consistency authorization for emergency repairs (replacement-in-kind) of 150 ft. of a breached levy along the south side of Arroyo Grande Creek and removal of 3,000 cu. yds. of accumulated sediment along 400 ft. of the creek. The levy breached and the surrounding area flooded on March 5, 2001, and the County quickly notified the Coastal Commission staff of the need for replacement-in-kind of the breached levy. Several days later, the Commission staff granted the County a verbal authorization to proceed with the levy repairs, with the understanding that any applicable County or Coastal Commission coastal development permit requirements would be satisfied on an after-the-fact basis. (We also notified the Army Corps of this position at that time.)

The project was subsequently expanded as a result of consultation with the National Resources Conservation Service, which recommended relocating the low flow channel to the north to avoid continued weakening of the breached levee on the south side of the creek. Because creek provides steelhead and red-legged frog habitat, the County will maintain a biologist at the site to monitor the activities and train construction personnel on habitat avoidance measures. The County is also coordinating with the National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

With these measures and consultation, the Coastal Commission staff declines to assert federal consistency jurisdiction, due to the fact that: (1) this project is located in an area where it will need a County-issued emergency permit, and a follow-up regular coastal development permit, in an area where such permits are appealable to the Coastal Commission; and (2) if the Commission has concerns over this project it can address them through reviewing an appeal of a County coastal development permit.

We therefore **agree** with your "No Effects" letter and your conclusion that no consistency certification needs to be submitted for this project. If you have questions, please contact Mark Delaplaine, federal consistency supervisor, at (415) 904-5289.

Sincerely,



(for) PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: Santa Cruz Area Office
Army Corps, Ventura Field Office
Governors Washington D.C. Office

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



March 27, 2001

Dave Stalters
U.S. Coast Guard
Attn: Roy Clark
Civil Engineering Unit Oakland
2000 Embarcadero, Suite 200
Oakland, CA 94606-5337

RE: **ND-026-01**, Negative Determination, Dock Rehabilitation, Coast Guard Station
Bodega Bay, Sonoma Co.

Dear Mr. Stalters:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination for dock rehabilitation at the Coast Guard Station Bodega Bay. The project consists of removal of treated wood T-pier docks and two 3-pile timber dolphins, construction of a 74 ft. metal gangway from shore to a new 20 ft. by 10 ft. concrete floating dock, and minor relocation of existing floating docks. The project will benefit marine resources, in that it represents a decrease in square footage (from 1,932 sq. ft. to 570 sq. ft.) and because treated wood piles will be removed. The area has been surveyed for eelgrass and no eelgrass will be affected by the project. A silt curtain will be in place during demolition and removal activities to protect water quality. The Coast Guard has coordinated with the National Marine Fisheries Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Under the federal consistency regulations, a negative determination can be submitted for an activity "which is the same as or similar to activities for which consistency determinations have been prepared in the past." On May 19, 1997, the Coastal Commission concurred with a negative determination for a dock replacement and extension of the dock proposed for rehabilitation in this negative determination (ND-043-97). The Commission staff determined that that project posed no coastal zone resource impacts. We **agree** with the Coast Guard that this project is similar to a previously negative determination with which we concurred, and we hereby **concur** with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,


(for) PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: North Central Coast Area Office
Department of Water Resources
Governor's Washington D.C. Office
Corps of Engineers, S.F. District

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



March 23, 2001

Scott Kathey
Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary
U.S. Dept. of Commerce/NOAA
National Ocean Service
299 Foam St.
Monterey, CA 93940

RE: **ND-027-01** Negative Determination, Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary (MBNMS), Installation of Underwater Camera and propulsion unit, offshore of Cannery Row, Monterey

Dear Mr. Kathey:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination for the installation of an underwater camera and propulsion unit, 1000 ft. offshore of Cannery Row in Monterey. The project is part of an interactive learning exhibit to promote nationwide public awareness of the includes installation of the National Marine Sanctuary program. The project consists of suspending an underwater camera and propulsion unit 10 ft. above the seafloor, using 100 ft. long guidewires and two tripods. The tripods will be placed in water depths of 45 ft. and anchored by tensions wires attached to Danforth anchors embedded in the sand. A 0.6 inch diameter cable will provide power to the camera. The system will be deployed for 3 months, between July and September 2001. All materials will be removed upon termination of the project.

In order to operate freely, the camera and other components will necessarily be located away from underwater vegetation, thus avoiding effects on kelp and other aquatic vegetation. No petrochemical lubricants or hydraulic fluids are contained in any equipment, avoiding any risks of fluid leaks or spills. The material will be installed and removed by hand.

We **agree** with the MBNMS that the project will not adversely affect coastal zone resources, and we therefore **concur** with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark Delaplaine".

(for) PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: Santa Cruz Area Office
Governors Washington D.C. Office
Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District
Gerald Wheaton, NOAA

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200



April 5, 2001

Andrew Ringgold, Superintendent
U.S. Dept. of the Interior
California Dept. of Parks and Recreation
Redwood National and State Parks
1111 Second Street
Crescent City, CA 95531

RE: **ND-032-01** Negative Determination, National Park Service, Antenna installation,
Redwood Information Center, Redwood National Park, Humboldt Co.

Dear Mr. Ringgold:

The Coastal Commission staff has received the above-referenced negative determination for the installation of a small (less than three ft. tall) antenna on top of an existing building (the Redwood Information Center), near the town of Orick in Redwood National Park. The antenna would be used by the Coast Guard to locate marine and coastal distress calls and improve its emergency response capabilities. The antenna would extend 4.5 ft. above the roofline of the building, which already contains two other existing small antennas. We agree with the Park Service that the impact on scenic public views would be inconsequential, and that the project is consistent with the overall framework of the General Management Plan for the park (which the Commission concurred with through the federal consistency process in CD-11-00). We **agree** with the Park Service that the antenna project will not adversely affect coastal zone resources, and we therefore **concur** with your negative determination made pursuant to Section 15 CFR 930.35(d) of the NOAA implementing regulations. Please contact Mark Delaplaine at (415) 904-5289 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Mark Delaplaine".

(for) PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: North Coast Area Office
California Department of Water Resources
Governors Washington D.C. Office
Aida Parkinson (Redwood National Park
Arcata Office, 1125 16th St.
Arcata CA 95521)

