

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT, SUITE 2000
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
 VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200
 FAX (415) 904-5400



RECORD PACKET COPY

W 14

August 22, 2001

To: Commissioners and Interested Persons

From: Tami Grove, Deputy Director
 Elizabeth Fuchs, Coastal Program Manager

Subject: LCP AND PERIODIC REVIEW STATUS- NORTH COAST DISTRICT.**I. LCP STATUS**

Within the Commission's North Coast District, there are currently 9 local jurisdictions with 20 LCP segments. Eighteen of the segments (90%) are effectively certified. Except for one segment of Mendocino County, all segments are overdue for Periodic Review.

LCP segment	Year effectively certified	No. of Yrs. Periodic Review Overdue
Del Norte County –County segment	1983	13
Del Norte County - Harbor segment	1987	9
Del Norte County - Lopez Creek segment	1987	9
Del Norte County - Pt. St. George segment	Not Yet Certified	
City of Crescent City segment	1983	13
City of Crescent City McNamara-Gillespie segment	1984	12
Humboldt Co. - Northcoast segment	1986	10
Humboldt Co. - Trinidad Area segment	1986	10
Humboldt Co.- McKinleyville segment	1986	10
Humboldt Co. - Humboldt Bay segment	1986	10
Humboldt Co.- Eel River segment	1986	10
Humboldt Co.- Southcoast segment	1986	10
City of Trinidad	1980	The Commission completed a Periodic Review in 1990; another review is now overdue by 6 years.
City of Arcata	1989	7
City of Eureka	1984	12
Mendocino Co.- Balance segment	1992	4
Mendocino Co. - Town segment	1996	Due 2001
Mendocino Co. - Pygmy Forest segment	Not Yet Certified	
City of Ft. Bragg	1983	13
City of Pt. Arena	1981	15

II. ONGOING LOCAL COASTAL PLANNING:

Several local governments are completing or updating LCPs under planning grants awarded by the Commission:

- Both Del Norte County and the City of Crescent City are undertaking comprehensive updates of their LCPs.
- The City of Trinidad is completing an updated Land Use Plan (LUP) and an LUP for the Trinidad Harbor Area of Deferred Certification (ADC). The City has completed a Public Hearing Draft of the LUP.
- The City of Fort Bragg received a grant to help fund the update of a portion of the City's Local Coastal Program for the 400-acre Georgia- Pacific shoreline site. Baseline data has been compiled and public workshops held.
- Mendocino County is undertaking a comprehensive update of their LCP that includes evaluation of the effectiveness of the LCP (certified in 1992) and preparation of updated policies and ordinances.

III. OTHER RELATED PLANNING EFFORTS

In addition to the above, staff is aware of other locally initiated planning efforts:

- ◆ Humboldt County is currently initiating a multi-year, comprehensive update of its LCP. The LCP as certified consists of separate Land Use Plans for different geographic areas of the County's coastal zone plus a Coastal Zoning Ordinance. The planned LCP update would potentially result in revisions to all components of the LCP.
- ◆ The City of Arcata plans to revise and update its Land Use Plan over approximately the next year.
- ◆ The City of Point Arena has undertaken a comprehensive update of its LCP, including both Land Use Plan and Zoning components, and has submitted a review draft for Commission staff comments.

IV. POST-CERTIFICATION ACTIONS

In the North Coast District, most post-certification appeals of local coastal permit decisions have been made from decisions of the County of Mendocino as indicated in Table 1 below. Of 72 appeals, the Commission found Substantial Issue in 29 of the appeals (40%). The issues raised most frequently have been Scenic and Visual Resources / Landform Alteration, Public Access and Hazards.

Table 1: Post-Certification Appeals¹

Jurisdiction	No. of Appeals	SI Found	Percentage of appeals
Mendocino County	31	18	58%
Humboldt County	13	3	23%
Fort Bragg	9	4	44%
Del Norte County	6	2	33%
Eureka	5	1	20%
Trinidad City	4	0	0%
Point Arena	2	0	0%
Crescent City	2	1	50%
Total	72	29	40%

Table 2: Issues Raised in Post Certification Appeals

Issues	Number of Appeals in which issue was Raised	Percentage of appeals
Scenic and Visual / Landform Alteration	44	61%
Access	31	43%
Hazards	27	38%
ESHA - Wetlands	16	22%
ESHA - Other	15	21%
Concentration / Location / Intensity of Development	15	21%
Water Quality/ Polluted Runoff	12	17%
Sewage / Water	12	17%
Archaeological / Paleontological	12	17%
Traffic / Transit / Road Capacity	7	10%
Other	7	10%
Recreation / Visitor Serving	5	7%
Shoreline and Streambank Structures / Alteration / Processes	4	6%
Agriculture	3	4%
Parking	2	3%

G:\LCPs\Periodic Review Status\PR Status North 8.21.01.doc

¹ The source of post certification data presented in Tables 1 and 2 is the Statewide Appeals Database of Appeals as reported on CCC Agendas, 12/80 through 7/01.

