Tue 10h





GRAY DAVIS, Governor

Filed:	12/3/02
49th Day:	1/21/03
180th Day:	6/1/03
Staff:	LRO-SD
Staff Report:	2/11/03
Hearing Date:	3/4-7/03

REGULAR CALENDAR STAFF REPORT AND PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION

Application No.: 6-02-164

Applicant: University of California, San Diego (UCSD)

Agent: Milt Phegley

Description: Construction of air-supported structure(s) to house Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) instrumentation consisting of either two, 80 ft. x 80 ft. structures or one, 80 ft. x 160 ft. structure (12,800 sq. ft. total), including removal of 105 parking spaces.

Lot Area	26,400 sq. ft.
Building Coverage	12,800sq. ft. (48%)
Pavement Coverage	1,200 sq. ft. (5%)
Landscape Coverage	12,400 sq. ft. (47%)
Zoning	Unzoned
Plan Designation	Academic
Ht abv fin grade	35 feet

- Site: South side of Muir College Drive, approximately 525 feet east of North Torrey Pines Road, UCSD Campus, La Jolla, San Diego, San Diego County. APN 342-010-24
- Substantive File Documents: University of California, San Diego "Draft" Long Range Development Plan

STAFF NOTES:

Summary of Staff's Preliminary Recommendation:

The staff recommends that the Commission approve the subject permit with conditions. The proposed development consists of the construction of an air-supported structure(s) to house Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) instrumentation resulting in the removal of 105 parking spaces. The primary issues raised by the proposed development relate to public access and water quality. To address water quality, all drainage will be directed through proposed landscaping. Relative to public access, while parking is being removed, adequate parking exists on campus to meet the needs of the new structure(s).

6-02-164 Page 2

I. PRELIMINARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The staff recommends the Commission adopt the following resolution:

<u>MOTION</u>: I move that the Commission approve Coastal Development Permit No. 6-02-164 pursuant to the staff recommendation.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL:

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. Passage of this motion will result in approval of the permit as conditioned and adoption of the following resolution and findings. The motion passes only by affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present.

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE PERMIT:

The Commission hereby approves a coastal development permit for the proposed development and adopts the findings set forth below on grounds that the development as conditioned will be in conformity with the policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act and will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction over the area to prepare a Local Coastal Program conforming to the provisions of Chapter 3. Approval of the permit complies with the California Environmental Quality Act because either 1) feasible mitigation measures and/or alternatives have been incorporated to substantially lessen any significant adverse effects of the development on the environment, or 2) there are no further feasible mitigation measures or alternatives that would substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts of the development on the environment.

II. Standard Conditions.

See attached page.

III. Special Conditions.

The permit is subject to the following conditions:

1. <u>Landscaping Plan</u>. PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall submit for the review and written approval of the Executive Director, a final landscaping plan. Said plan shall be in substantial conformance with the draft landscape plan submitted by Dale LaForest & Associates dated 9/20/00, and shall including the following:

a. A plan showing the type, size, extent and location of all trees on the site. The plan shall require the planting of trees (minimum 24-inch box) and shrubs to visually screen the proposed air-supported structure(s) from North Torrey Pines Road.

b. Drought tolerant native or non-invasive plant materials shall be utilized.

c. A planting schedule that requires the planting plan to be implemented within 60 days of completion residential construction

d. Five years from the date of issuance of the coastal development permit, the applicant shall submit for review and written approval of the Executive Director, a landscape monitoring report, prepared by a licensed Landscape Architect or qualified Resource Specialist, that certifies the on-site landscaping is in conformance with the landscape plan approved pursuant to this Special Condition. The monitoring report shall include photographic documentation of plant species and plant coverage.

If the landscape monitoring report indicates the landscaping is not in conformance with or has failed to meet the performance standards specified in the landscaping plan approved pursuant to this permit, the applicant, or successors in interest, shall submit a revised or supplemental landscape plan for the review and written approval of the Executive Director. The revised landscaping plan must be prepared by a licensed Landscape Architect or Resource Specialist and shall specify measures to remediate those portions of the original plan that have failed or are not in conformance with the original approved plan.

The permittee shall undertake the development in accordance with the approved landscape plans. Any proposed changes to the approved landscape plans shall be reported to the Executive Director. No changes to the landscape plans shall occur without a Coastal Commission approved amendment to this coastal development permit unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment is legally required.

2. <u>Final Plans</u>. PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall submit to the Executive Director for review and written approval, final plans for the proposed air-supported structure(s) illustrating either one or two structures. Said plans shall be in substantial conformance with the plans by Hodges & Hodges Architects dated 10/15/02 submitted with this application.

The permittee shall undertake development in accordance with the plans. Any proposed changes to the approved plans shall be reported to the Executive Director. No changes to the approved plans shall occur without an amendment to this coastal development permit unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment is legally required.

IV. Findings and Declarations.

The Commission finds and declares as follows:

1. <u>Detailed Project Description</u>. The proposed development involves the construction of an air-supported structure to house Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) instrumentation at the University of California, San Diego. The project may consist of

two 80 ft. x 80 ft. structures or one 80 ft. x 160 ft. (a total maximum of 12,800 sq.ft.) structure. As the University has not yet decided whether the development will consist of either one or two structures, Special Condition No. 2 requires submittal of final plans for the proposed structure indicating the design alternative they have chosen. If two smaller structures are built, the first structure may be relocated from an existing site in the University Center area of the campus located outside of the coastal zone. In either case, the maximum height of the structure will be 35 feet and the structures will be surrounded by a chain link fence. The proposed structures, known as air-supported structures ("bubbles"), are proposed for biochemistry research. An "air-supported" structure is a tent-like structure which is inflated and supported by air over a concrete slab. Supporting equipment such as air compressors, air conditioning units and a nitrogen tank will be installed adjacent to the building(s) within the fenced area. The proposed structure(s) will be located in an area of the campus that is presently a parking lot. As such, in order to accommodate the proposed development, 105 parking spaces will be removed from the parking lot. The project site is located on the main part of the campus near the southeast corner of North Torrey Pines Road and Muir College Drive. The proposed structure(s) will be constructed on the south side of Muir College Drive at the northwest corner of Parking Lot #207.

The project site is within the Commission's area of permit jurisdiction. Thus, the standard of review is the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act.

2. <u>Visual Resources</u>. Section 30251 of the Act states the following:

The scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas shall be considered and protected as a resource of public importance. Permitted development shall be sited and designed to protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas, to minimize the alteration of natural land forms, to be visually compatible with the character of surrounding areas,...

UCSD is a very large campus which is located within the geographic area of the community of La Jolla. While some portions of the campus are located nearshore (i.e., the Scripps Institution of Oceanography), other portions are located much further inland. For those areas of the campus that are nearshore, potential impacts on scenic views of the ocean are a concern. In addition, several of the streets that the campus adjoins are major coastal access routes and/or scenic roadways (as designated in the La Jolla-La Jolla Shores LCP Land Use Plan). In this particular case, the proposed new structure(s) are proposed to be located at the east side of North Torrey Pines road in an area that is presently a parking lot that adjoins North Torrey Pines Road. As such, the development as proposed will be visible from motorists traveling along North Torrey Pines Road, a major coastal access route. However, the applicant proposes landscaping. This will help to visually screen the new development, consistent with Section 30251 of the Act. Since the applicant has not included a detailed landscape plan, Special Condition No. 1 requires submittal of a final landscape plan with special emphasis on the placement of trees along the North Torrey Pines Road frontage.

In addition, given the location of the project site which is inland from the coast, no public views to the ocean will be affected. The proposed one-story buildings are 35 feet high and are much lower in height and scale than many of the other surrounding campus structures. To the north and east of the project site are other Extended Studies and Public Programs (ESPP) buildings (ref. Exhibit No. 2). The proposed structures are unique in their appearance as they are air-supported structures that resemble an inflated tent or bubble (ref. Exhibit No. 3). However, they will be sited at the far east end of the existing parking lot as opposed to right along the frontage of North Torrey Pines Road and this will minimize their visibility somewhat. Coupled with the required landscaping cited above, the proposed development will be buffered further from public views to the west, consistent with Section 30251 of the Act.

3. <u>Public Access/Parking</u>. Section 30252 of the Coastal Act states, in part:

The location and amount of new development should maintain and enhance public access to the coast by (1) facilitating the provision or extension of transit service, (2) providing commercial facilities within or adjoining residential development or in other areas that will minimize the use of coastal access roads, (3) providing nonautomobile circulation within the development, (4) providing adequate parking facilities or providing substitute means of serving the development with public transportation...

With respect to projects on UCSD's Main Campus, which is not between the sea and the first coastal roadway, nor within walking distance of shoreline recreational areas, the primary concern is maintaining free-flowing traffic on the major coastal access routes surrounding the campus. These include I-5, Genesee Avenue, North Torrey Pines Road and La Jolla Shores Drive. The Commission has taken the position that on-campus parking problems on the main campus, are not a Coastal Act issue unless they result in spill-over effects within the surrounding off-campus area, particularly North Torrey Pines Road and La Jolla Shores Drive, which serve as major coastal access routes. In the case of the subject proposal, the proposed development will not have any such effect.

With regard to parking, the proposed structure(s) will be located in an area of the campus that is presently a parking lot. As such, in order to accommodate the proposed development, 105 parking spaces will be removed from the parking lot which presently contains 537 spaces. The University provides ongoing parking surveys with current information with each coastal development permit application documenting the adequacy of on-site campus parking. Presently, the total parking inventory on the UCSD campus is 15,396 parking spaces (as of Jan. 1, 2003). The latest occupancy numbers for the Fall 2002 quarter revealed that the overall occupancy rate at peak use was at 82%. In this particular case, although 105 parking spaces will be removed from the parking lot, parking for the new structure will be accommodated in Lots 207 and 208 and 435 (Pangea Parking structure). The Pangea parking structure is located 1,300 feet north of the project site and has 971 parking spaces. The parking structure has a maximum occupancy rate last spring of 86% and as such, there is a balance of 136 parking spaces available for use associated with the new air-supported structures(s) which will offset the

loss of 105 parking spaces from Lot 207. As such, currently there is adequate parking to meet all existing uses on campus. Although it is difficult to determine an approximate parking ratio for the wide variety of campus uses and facilities, especially when a large percentage of students live on campus, there is no apparent shortage of parking to serve the University's existing and proposed needs. There is sufficient available parking space in the parking structures to provide for anticipated needs associated with the proposed structure(s).

Therefore, inasmuch as adequate parking will be provided for the new structure(s) and the proposed development will not result adversely impact public access or traffic circulation in the area, the Commission finds the proposed development consistent with the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act addressing protection of public access.

4. <u>Water Quality</u>. Sections 30230 and 30231 address water quality and state the following, in part:

Section 30230

Marine resources shall be maintained, enhanced, and where feasible, restored.....

Section 30231

The biological productivity and the quality of coastal waters, streams, wetlands, estuaries, and lakes appropriate to maintain optimum populations of marine organisms and for the protection of human health shall be maintained and, where feasible, restored through, among other means, minimizing adverse effects of waste water discharges and entrainment, controlling runoff,

The proposed project involves the construction of air-supported structure(s) to house Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMPR) instrumentation. The project will consist of two 80 ft. x 80 ft. (6,400 sq.ft.) structures or one 80 ft. x 160 ft. (12,800 sq.ft.) structure. As the new structures will be located in an existing parking lot, no new impervious surface will be created. The site is also well inland of the ocean. Although a detailed landscape plan has not been submitted with the subject application, the conceptual plan indicates that landscaping is proposed around the perimeter of the proposed structure(s). Existing runoff from the project site will remain unchanged and will continue to be directed toward proposed landscaped areas adjacent to the structures. Therefore, the Commission finds the proposed development consistent with the water and marine resource policies of the Coastal Act.

5. <u>Local Coastal Planning</u>. Section 30604(a) also requires that a coastal development permit shall be issued only if the Commission finds that the permitted development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare a Local Coastal Program (LCP) in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act. The University of California campus is not subject to the City of San Diego's certified Local Coastal program (LCP), although geographically the Scripps Institute of Oceanography (SIO)

campus is within the La Jolla Shores segment or the City's LCP. UCSD does, however, have the option of submitting an LRDP for Commission review and certification.

While UCSD has submitted a draft LDRP, its EIR and topographic maps to the Commission staff informally, as an aid in analyzing development proposals, the Coastal Commission has not yet formally reviewed the LRDP, and the University has not indicated any intention of submitting the LRDP for formal Commission review in the future. The proposed structure is consistent with the University's draft LRDP to accommodate campus growth.

As stated previously, Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act are the standard of review for UCSD projects, in the absence of a certified LRDP. Since the proposed development, as conditioned, has been found consistent with all applicable Chapter 3 policies, the Commission finds that approval of the proposed project, will not prejudice the ability of UCSD to prepare a certifiable Long Range Development Plan for its campus.

6. <u>Consistency with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)</u>. Section 13096 of the Commission's Code of Regulations requires Commission approval of Coastal Development Permits to be supported by a finding showing the permit, as conditioned, to be consistent with any applicable requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Section 21080.5(d)(2)(A) of CEQA prohibits a proposed development from being approved if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect which the activity may have on the environment.

The proposed project has been conditioned in order to be found consistent with the water quality and visual resource policies of the Coastal Act. Mitigation measures, including conditions addressing landscaping inclusive of planting of substantial tree elements to visually buffer the proposed development and installation of buffer strips to filter runoff, will minimize all adverse environmental impacts. As conditioned, there are no feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse impact which the activity may have on the environment. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project is the least environmentallydamaging feasible alternative and is consistent with the requirements of the Coastal Act to conform to CEQA.

STANDARD CONDITIONS:

1. <u>Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment</u>. The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.

6-02-164 Page 8

- 2. <u>Expiration</u>. If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date on which the Commission voted on the application. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.
- 3. <u>Interpretation</u>. Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.
- 4. <u>Assignment</u>. The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.
- 5. <u>Terms and Conditions Run with the Land</u>. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

(G:\San Diego\Reports\2002\6-02-164 UCSD stfrpt.doc)







