

**CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION**

SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AREA  
89 SOUTH CALIFORNIA ST., SUITE 200  
VENTURA, CA 93001  
(805) 585-1800

**RECORD PACKET COPY**

Filed: 5/31/05  
49th Day: 7/19/05  
180th Day: 11/27/05  
Staff: MH  
Staff Report: 9/28/05  
Hearing Date: 10/13/05

**STAFF REPORT: REGULAR CALENDAR****APPLICATION NO.:** 4-04-121**APPLICANT:** Miran Enterprises, LLC**AGENT:** Majid Amirani**PROJECT LOCATION:** 1510 Las Virgenes Road, Calabasas (Los Angeles County)

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** Construction of a two story, 34 ft. high 4,452 sq. ft. single-family residence with attached 595 sq. ft. garage, septic system, retaining walls, paved driveway, access stairway, and 3,713 cu. yds. of grading (3,650 cu. yds. cut; 63 cu. yds. fill; 3,587 cu. yds. export). The application also includes after-the-fact approval of the subject parcel that was created pursuant to Certificate of Compliance # 88-0083 and restoration of an unpermitted dirt road back to natural conditions.

<b>Lot area:</b>	1.94 acres
<b>Building coverage:</b>	1,954 sq. ft.
<b>Pavement coverage:</b>	5,643 sq. ft.
<b>Landscape coverage:</b>	25,757 sq. ft.
<b>Height:</b>	34 ft. above existing grade
<b>Parking spaces:</b>	3

**LOCAL APPROVALS RECEIVED:** Los Angeles County Geotechnical and Materials Engineering Division Geologic and Soils Engineering Review Sheets recommendations of approval; L.A. County "Approval in Concept;" L.A. County Health Department conceptual approval for private sewage disposal system; L.A. County Fire Department approval of Final Fuel Modification Plan and approval of driveways and turnarounds.

**SUBSTANTIVE FILE DOCUMENTS:** Conditional Certificate of Compliance #88-0083 recorded as document 88-1189731 on July 28, 1988 and corrected in recordation 88-1245384 on August 8, 1988; Certificate of Compliance #88-0083 Clearance of Conditions recorded as document 91-567668 on April 22, 1991; "Biological Study, 1510 Las Virgenes Road," by Andrew McGinn Forde, January 25, 2005; "Preliminary Geologic and Soils Engineering Investigation, Proposed Single Family Residence, APN 4455-034-035, Las Virgenes Road" by GeoConcepts, Inc, October 5, 2004; "Percolation Feasibility Study and Hydrogeological Evaluation Report for Proposed Sewage Disposal System via Seepage Pit, APN 4455-034-035, 1520 Las Virgenes Road" by John Helms, August 6, 2004; "Response to Review Comments for Percolation Feasibility Study for a Proposed Waste Disposal System" by John Helms, September 14, 2004; and "Supplemental Report No. 1, 1510 Las Virgenes Road, Los Angeles County, CA" by Geoconcepts, Inc, March 25, 2005.

### **SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends **APPROVAL** of the proposed project with **THIRTEEN (13) SPECIAL CONDITIONS** regarding (1) geologic recommendations, (2) drainage and polluted runoff control, (3) landscaping and erosion control plans, (4) assumption of risk, (5) removal of natural vegetation, (6) future development, (7) habitat impact mitigation, (8) lighting restrictions, (9) structural appearance, (10) removal of excess excavated material, (11) deed restriction, (12) cumulative impact mitigation, and (13) condition compliance.

The project site is a vacant 1.95-acre parcel (APN 4455-034-035) located on Las Virgenes Road in the Santa Monica Mountains. The property is located in chaparral habitat considered to be environmentally sensitive habitat area (ESHA). However, a portion of the site has been disturbed by past development that occurred prior to the effective date of the Coastal Act including a 30-foot wide area adjacent to Las Virgenes Road held in easement by Los Angeles County. The past development also includes a dirt road, which spans from Las Virgenes Road to the northern property line of the subject parcel, which does not predate the Coastal Act, but was not authorized in a CDP.

The applicant proposes to construct a two story, 34 ft. high 4,452 sq. ft. single-family residence with attached 595 sq. ft. garage, septic system, retaining walls, paved driveway, access stairway, and 3,713 cu. yds. of grading (3,650 cu. yds. cut; 63 cu. yds. fill; 3,587 cu. yds. export). Additionally, the applicant proposes to restore the existing unpermitted dirt road on the property back to natural conditions. Construction of the residence, driveway, septic system, access stairway, and fuel modification required for the structure for fire protection purposes requires the removal of chaparral and coastal sage scrub ESHA. Additionally, the residence will be highly visible from Las Virgenes Road and neighboring parkland.

Standing alone, Section 30240 would require the denial of the proposed development to prevent adverse impacts to ESHA from the construction of the proposed residence. However, Section 30010 provides that the Commission cannot construe the Coastal Act as authorizing the denial of a permit in a manner that will take private property for public use. To avoid a "taking" of private property, the Commission must allow a reasonable residential development on the applicant's parcel. The total proposed development area for the project is approximately 4,800 sq. ft. The development has been sited and designed to minimize landform alteration, removal of ESHA, and visual impacts to the maximum extent possible, while still providing residential use of the site.

The proposal also includes after-the-fact approval of Certificate of Compliance #88-0083 to legalize the subject lot. The subject 1.94-acre lot was created in 1961 by deed in a two-lot subdivision. Prior to this, the underlying lot of the 1961 subdivision had been created by deed in 1958 through a five-lot subdivision. The 1958, five-lot subdivision was not properly permitted pursuant to the requirements of the Subdivision Map Act and Los Angeles County Planning and Zoning Codes. The Commission has previously approved permits for development on three of the other parcels involved in the original 1958 five-lot subdivision. In addition, the subject parcel is not in common ownership with the other contiguous parcel created from the parent parcel.

The standard of review for the proposed permit application is the Chapter Three policies of the Coastal Act. As conditioned, the proposed project is consistent with all applicable Chapter Three policies of the Coastal Act.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

**I. Approval with Conditions**

The staff recommends that the Commission adopt the following resolution:

**MOTION:**        *I move that the Commission approve Coastal Development Permit No. 4-04-121 pursuant to the staff recommendation.*

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL:**

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. Passage of this motion will result in approval of the permit as conditioned and adoption of the following resolution and findings. The motion passes only by affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present.

**RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE PERMIT:**

The Commission hereby approves a coastal development permit for the proposed development and adopts the findings set forth below on grounds that the development as conditioned will be in conformity with the policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act and will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction over the area to prepare a Local Coastal Program conforming to the provisions of Chapter 3. Approval of the permit complies with the California Environmental Quality Act because either 1) feasible mitigation measures and/or alternatives have been incorporated to substantially lessen any significant adverse effects of the development on the environment, or 2) there are no further feasible mitigation measures or alternatives that would substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts of the development on the environment.

**Standard Conditions**

- 1. Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment.** The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.
- 2. Expiration.** If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date on which the Commission voted on the application. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.
- 3. Interpretation.** Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.
- 4. Assignment.** The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.

5. **Terms and Conditions Run with the Land.** These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

### **III. Special Conditions**

#### **1. Plans Conforming to Geologic Recommendations**

By acceptance of this permit, the applicant agrees to comply with the recommendations contained in the submitted geologic reports: "Preliminary Geologic and Soils Engineering Investigation, Proposed Single Family Residence, APN 4455-034-035, Las Virgenes Road, Malibu, CA" prepared by GeoConcepts, Inc on October 5, 2004 and "Percolation Feasibility Study and Hydrogeological Evaluation Report for Proposed Sewage Disposal System via Seepage Pit, APN 4455-034-035, 1520 Las Virgenes Road, Malibu Area" prepared by John Helms in August 6, 2004 and subsequent addendums. These recommendations, including those concerning foundations, grading, site design, sewage disposal, and drainage, shall be incorporated into all final design and construction, and must be reviewed and approved by the consultant prior to commencement of development.

The final plans approved by the consultant shall be in substantial conformance with the plans approved by the Commission relative to construction, grading, sewage disposal, and drainage. Any substantial changes in the proposed development approved by the Commission that may be required by the consultant shall require amendment(s) to the permit(s) or new Coastal Development Permit(s).

#### **2. Drainage and Polluted Runoff Control Plans**

Prior to the issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall submit to the Executive Director for review and written approval, two sets of final drainage and runoff control plans, including supporting calculations. The final plans shall be prepared by a licensed engineer and shall incorporate structural and non-structural Best Management Practices (BMPs) designed to control the volume, velocity and pollutant load of stormwater leaving the developed site. The plans shall be reviewed and approved by the consulting engineering geologist to ensure the plan is in conformance with geologist's recommendations. In addition to the specifications above, the plan shall be in substantial conformance with the following requirements:

- (a) Selected BMPs (or suites of BMPs) shall be designed to treat, infiltrate or filter the amount of stormwater runoff produced by all storms up to and including the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile, 24-hour runoff event for volume-based BMPs, and/or the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile, 1-hour runoff event, with an appropriate safety factor (i.e., 2 or greater), for flow-based BMPs.
- (b) Runoff shall be conveyed off site in a non-erosive manner.

- (c) Energy dissipating measures shall be installed at the terminus of outflow drains.
- (d) The plan shall include provisions for maintaining the drainage system, including structural BMPs, in a functional condition throughout the life of the approved development. Such maintenance shall include the following: (1) BMPs shall be inspected, cleaned and repaired when necessary prior to the onset of the storm season, no later than September 30<sup>th</sup> each year and (2) should any of the project's surface or subsurface drainage/filtration structures or other BMPs fail or result in increased erosion, the applicant/landowner or successor-in-interest shall be responsible for any necessary repairs to the drainage/filtration system or BMPs and restoration of the eroded area. Should repairs or restoration become necessary, prior to the commencement of such repair or restoration work, the applicant shall submit a repair and restoration plan to the Executive Director to determine if an amendment or new coastal development permit is required to authorize such work.

### 3. Landscaping and Erosion Control Plans

Prior to issuance of a Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall submit two sets of final landscaping and erosion control plans, prepared by a licensed landscape architect or a qualified resource specialist, for review and approval by the Executive Director. The plans shall identify the species, extent, and location of all plant materials and shall incorporate the criteria set forth below. All development, including the landslide remediation area, shall conform to the approved landscape and erosion control plans.

#### A. Landscaping Plan

- (1) All graded and disturbed areas on the subject site shall be planted and maintained for erosion control purposes within (60) days of receipt of the certificate of occupancy for the residence. To minimize the need for irrigation, all landscaping shall consist primarily of native/drought resistant plants as listed by the California Native Plant Society, Santa Monica Mountains Chapter, in their document entitled *Recommended List of Plants for Landscaping in the Santa Monica Mountains*, dated February 5, 1996. No plant species listed as problematic and/or invasive by the California Native Plant Society, the California Exotic Pest Plant Council, or as may be identified from time to time by the State of California shall be employed or allowed to naturalize or persist on the site. No plant species listed as a "noxious weed" by the State of California or the U.S. Federal Government shall be utilized within the property.
- (2) All cut and fill slopes shall be stabilized with planting at the completion of final grading. All areas previously disturbed during creation of the existing dirt access road or temporarily disturbed during construction shall be weeded of non-native plants and planted with native plants in accordance with the densities permitted

by the fire department approved Final Fuel Modification Plan, dated July 15, 2005, for the residence. Plantings should be of native plant species indigenous to the Santa Monica Mountains using accepted planting procedures, consistent with fire safety requirements. Native seeds used for revegetation shall be collected from areas as close to the restoration and landscaping sites as possible. During grading and remediation activities, topsoil, where possible, shall be separated from other soil and, upon completion of grading or remediation activities, replaced or used on other restoration or revegetation sites. Revegetation and planting shall be adequate to provide 90 percent coverage within two (2) years, and this requirement shall apply to all disturbed soils. Temporary irrigation systems may be used until the plants are established, as determined by the habitat restoration consultant, and as approved by the consulting civil and geotechnical engineers, but in no case shall the irrigation systems be in place longer than two (2) years.

- (3) Plantings will be maintained in good growing condition throughout the life of the project and, whenever necessary, shall be replaced with new plant materials to ensure continued compliance with applicable landscape requirements.
- (4) The permittee shall undertake development in accordance with the final approved plan. Any proposed changes to the approved final plan shall be reported to the Executive Director. No changes to the approved final plan shall occur without a Coastal Commission approved amendment to the coastal development permit, unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment is required.
- (5) Vegetation within 50 feet of the proposed house may be removed to mineral earth, vegetation within a 200-foot radius of the main structure may be selectively thinned in order to reduce fire hazard. However, such thinning shall only occur in accordance with the approved long-term Final Fuel Modification Plan, dated July 15, 2005. Pursuant to this approved plan, no thinning shall occur southwest of Las Virgenes Canyon Road. Irrigated lawn, turf and ground cover planted within the fifty foot radius of the proposed house shall be selected from the most drought tolerant species or subspecies, or varieties suited to the Mediterranean climate of the Santa Monica Mountains.
- (6) Fencing of the entire property is prohibited. Fencing shall extend no further than the building pad area as generally shown on **Exhibit 5**. The fencing type and location shall be illustrated on the landscape plan. Fencing shall also be subject to the color requirements outlined in Special Condition Nine (9) below.
- (7) The use of rodenticides containing any anticoagulant compounds (including, but not limited to, Warfarin, Brodifacoum Bromadiolone or Diphacinone) shall not be used.
- (8) Vertical landscape elements shall be planted around the proposed residence to soften views of the development from Las Virgenes Canyon Road. All landscape elements shall be native/drought resistant plants.

## **B. Interim Erosion Control Plan**

- (1) The plan shall delineate the areas to be disturbed by grading or construction activities and shall include any temporary access roads, staging areas and stockpile areas. The natural areas on the site shall be clearly delineated on the project site with fencing or survey flags.
- (2) The plan shall specify that grading shall take place only during the dry season (April 1 – October 31). This period may be extended for a limited period of time if the situation warrants such a limited extension, if approved by the Executive Director. The applicant shall install or construct temporary sediment basins (including debris basins, desilting basins, or silt traps), temporary drains and swales, sand bag barriers, silt fencing, and shall stabilize any stockpiled fill with geofabric covers or other appropriate cover, install geotextiles or mats on all cut or fill slopes, and close and stabilize open trenches as soon as possible. These erosion control measures shall be required on the project site prior to or concurrent with the initial grading operations and maintained throughout the development process to minimize erosion and sediment from runoff waters during construction. All sediment should be retained on-site, unless removed to an appropriate, approved dumping location either outside of the coastal zone or within the coastal zone to a site permitted to receive fill.
- (3) The plan shall also include temporary erosion control measures should grading or site preparation cease for a period of more than 30 days, including but not limited to: stabilization of all stockpiled fill, access roads, disturbed soils and cut and fill slopes with geotextiles and/or mats, sand bag barriers, silt fencing; temporary drains and swales and sediment basins. The plans shall also specify that all disturbed areas shall be seeded with native grass species and include the technical specifications for seeding the disturbed areas. These temporary erosion control measures shall be monitored and maintained until grading or construction operations resume.

## **C. Monitoring**

Five (5) years from the date of occupancy, the applicant shall submit for the review and approval of the Executive Director a landscape monitoring report, prepared by a licensed Landscape Architect or qualified Resource Specialist, that assesses the on-site landscaping and certifies whether it is in conformance with the landscape plan approved pursuant to this special condition. The monitoring report shall include photographic documentation of plant species and plant coverage. Failure to comply with deadlines to submit the landscape monitoring reports will result in a violation of the subject permit and the commencement of enforcement proceedings, including potential judicial action and administrative orders, as well as the recordation of a notice of violation in the chain of title for the property.

If the landscape monitoring report indicates the landscaping is not in conformance with or has failed to meet the performance standards specified in the landscaping plan approved pursuant to these permits, the applicant, or successors in interest, shall submit a revised or supplemental landscape plan for the review and approval of the Executive Director. The supplemental landscaping plan must be prepared by a licensed landscape architect or qualified resource specialist and shall specify measures to remediate those portions of the original plan that have failed or are not in conformance with the original approved plan. The permittee shall implement the remedial measures specified in the approved supplemental landscape plan.

#### **4. Assumption of Risk**

By acceptance of this permit, the applicant acknowledges and agrees (i) that the site may be subject to hazards from landslide, erosion, earth movement, and wildfire; (ii) to assume the risks to the applicant and the property that is the subject of this permit of injury and damage from such hazards in connection with this permitted development; (iii) to unconditionally waive any claim of damage or liability against the Commission, its officers, agents, and employees for injury or damage from such hazards; and (iv) to indemnify and hold harmless the Commission, its officers, agents, and employees with respect to the Commission's approval of the project against any and all liability, claims, demands, damages, costs (including costs and fees incurred in defense of such claims), expenses, and amounts paid in settlement.

#### **5. Removal of Natural Vegetation**

Removal of natural vegetation for the purpose of fuel modification for the development approved pursuant to this permit shall not commence until the local government has issued a building or grading permit(s) for the development approved pursuant to this Coastal Development Permit.

#### **6. Future Development Restriction**

This permit is only for the development described in Coastal Development Permit 4-04-121. Pursuant to Title 14 California Code of Regulations Section 13250(b)(6), the exemptions otherwise provided in Public Resources Code Section 30610(a) shall not apply to the development governed by Coastal Development Permit 4-04-121. Accordingly, any future structures, future improvements, or change of use to the permitted structures authorized by these permits, including but not limited to any grading, clearing or other disturbance of vegetation and fencing, other than as provided for in the approved fuel modification/landscape plan prepared pursuant to Special Condition Three (3), shall require an amendment to Coastal Development Permit 4-04-121 from the Commission or shall require additional coastal development permits from the Commission or from the applicable certified local government.



## **7. Habitat Impact Mitigation**

Prior to the issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall submit for the review and approval of the Executive Director, a map delineating all areas of chaparral habitat (ESHA) that will be disturbed by the proposed development, including by fuel modification requirements on the project site (based on the final fuel modification plan approved by the Los Angeles County Fire Department). The chaparral areas on the site shall be delineated on a detailed map, to scale, illustrating the subject parcel boundaries. The delineation map shall indicate the total acreage for all chaparral on site that will be impacted by the proposed development, including the fuel modification areas. The existing Las Virgenes Road easement area is excluded from the total acreage of ESHA impacted. A qualified resource specialist or biologist familiar with the ecology of the Santa Monica Mountains shall prepare the delineation.

Mitigation shall be provided for impacts to the chaparral ESHA from the proposed development and fuel modification requirements by one of the three following habitat mitigation methods:

### **A. Habitat Restoration**

#### **1) Habitat Restoration Plan**

Prior to the issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall submit a habitat restoration plan, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, for an area of degraded chaparral habitat equivalent to the area of chaparral and coastal sage scrub ESHA impacted by the proposed development and fuel modification area. The habitat restoration area may either be onsite or offsite within the coastal zone in the City of Malibu or in the Santa Monica Mountains. The habitat restoration area shall be delineated on a detailed site plan, to scale, that illustrates the parcel boundaries and topographic contours of the site. The habitat restoration plan shall be prepared by a qualified resource specialist or biologist familiar with the ecology of the Santa Monica Mountains, and shall be designed to restore the area in question for habitat function, species diversity and vegetation cover. The restoration plan shall include a statement of goals and performance standards, revegetation and restoration methodology, and maintenance and monitoring provisions. If the restoration site is offsite the applicant shall submit written evidence to the Executive Director that the property owner agrees to the restoration work, maintenance and monitoring required by this condition and agrees not to disturb any native vegetation in the restoration area.

The applicant shall submit, on an annual basis for five years, a written report, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, prepared by a qualified resource specialist, evaluating compliance with the performance standards outlined in the restoration plan and describing the revegetation, maintenance and monitoring that was conducted during the prior year. The annual report shall include recommendations for mid-course corrective measures. At the end of the five-year

period, a final detailed report shall be submitted for the review and approval of the Executive Director. If this report indicates that the restoration project has been in part, or in whole, unsuccessful, based on the approved goals and performance standards, the applicant shall submit a revised or supplemental restoration plan with maintenance and monitoring provisions, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, to compensate for those portions of the original restoration plan that were not successful. A report shall be submitted evaluating whether the supplemental restoration plan has achieved compliance with the goals and performance standards for the restoration area. If the goals and performance standards are not met within 10 years, the applicant shall submit an amendment to the coastal development permit for an alternative mitigation program. Failure to comply with deadlines to submit the Habitat Restoration Monitoring Reports will result in a violation of the subject permit and the commencement of enforcement proceedings, including potential judicial action and administrative orders, as well as the recordation of a notice of violation in the chain of title for the property.

The habitat restoration plan shall be implemented prior to occupancy of the residence.

## 2) Open Space Deed Restriction

No development, as defined in Section 30106 of the Coastal Act shall occur in the habitat restoration area, as shown on the habitat restoration site plan, required pursuant to (A)(1) above.

Prior to the issuance of the coastal development permit, the owner of the habitat restoration area shall execute and record a deed restriction in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director, reflecting the above restriction on development and designating the habitat restoration area as open space. The deed restriction shall include a graphic depiction and narrative legal descriptions of both the parcel and the open space area/habitat restoration area. The deed restriction shall run with the land, binding all successors and assigns, and shall be recorded free of prior liens that the Executive Director determines may affect the enforceability of the restriction. This deed restriction shall not be removed or changed without a Commission amendment to this coastal development permit.

## 3) Performance Bond

Prior to the issuance of the permit, the applicant shall post performance bonds to guarantee implementation of the restoration plan as follows: a) one equal to the value of the labor and materials; and b) one equal to the value of the maintenance and monitoring for a period of 5 years. Each performance bond shall be released upon satisfactory completion of items (a) and (b) above. If the applicant fails to either restore or maintain and monitor according to the approved plans, the Coastal Commission may collect the security and complete the work on the property.

## **B. Habitat Conservation**

Prior to issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall execute and record an open space deed restriction in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director, over a parcel or parcels containing chaparral and coastal sage scrub ESHA. The chaparral and coastal sage scrub ESHA located on the mitigation parcel or parcels must be of equal or greater area than the ESHA area impacted by the proposed development, including the fuel modification/brush clearance areas. No development, as defined in Section 30106 of the Coastal Act, shall occur on the mitigation parcel(s) and the parcel(s) shall be preserved as permanent open space. The deed restriction shall include a graphic depiction and narrative legal descriptions of the parcel or parcels. The deed restriction shall run with the land, binding all successors and assigns, and shall be recorded free of prior liens that the Executive Director determines may affect the enforceability of the restriction.

Prior to occupancy of the residence the applicant shall submit evidence, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, that the recorded documents have been reflected in the Los Angeles County Tax Assessor Records.

If the mitigation parcel is larger in size than the impacted habitat area, the excess acreage may be used to provide habitat impact mitigation for other development projects that impact like ESHA.

## **C. Habitat Impact Mitigation Fund**

Prior to the issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall submit evidence, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, that compensatory mitigation, in the form of an in-lieu fee, has been paid to the Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority to mitigate adverse impacts to chaparral and coastal sage scrub habitat ESHA. The fee shall be calculated as follows:

### **1) Development Area, Irrigated Fuel Modification Zones**

The in-lieu fee for these areas shall be \$12,000 per acre within the development area and any required irrigated fuel modification zones. The total acreage shall be based on the map delineating these areas required by this condition.

### **2) Non-irrigated Fuel Modification Zones**

The in-lieu fee for non-irrigated fuel modification areas shall be \$3,000 per acre. The total acreage shall be based on the map delineating these areas required by this condition.

Prior to the payment of any in-lieu fee to the Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, the applicant shall submit, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, the calculation of the in-lieu fee required to mitigate adverse impacts to

chaparral and coastal sage scrub habitat ESHA, in accordance with this condition. After review and approval of the fee calculation, the fee shall be paid to the Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority. The fee shall be used for the acquisition or permanent preservation of chaparral habitat in the Santa Monica Mountains coastal zone.

## **8. Lighting Restrictions**

- A. The only outdoor night lighting allowed on the subject parcel is limited to the following:
- 1) The minimum necessary to light walkways used for entry and exit to the structures, including parking areas on the site. This lighting shall be limited to fixtures that do not exceed two feet in height above finished grade, are directed downward and generate the same or less lumens equivalent to those generated by a 60 watt incandescent bulb, unless a greater number of lumens is authorized by the Executive Director.
  - 2) Security lighting attached to the residence and garage shall be controlled by motion detectors and is limited to same or less lumens equivalent to those generated by a 60-watt incandescent bulb.
  - 3) The minimum necessary to light the entry area to the driveway with the same or less lumens equivalent to those generated by a 60-watt incandescent bulb.
- B. No lighting around the perimeter of the site and no lighting for aesthetic purposes is allowed.

## **9. Structural Appearance**

Prior to the issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall submit for the review and approval of the Executive Director, a color palette and material specifications for the outer surface of all structures authorized by the approval of coastal development permit 4-04-121. The palette samples shall be presented in a format not to exceed 8 1/2" x 11" in size. The palette shall include the colors proposed for the roof, trim, exterior surfaces, retaining walls, or other structures authorized by this permit. Acceptable colors shall be limited to colors compatible with the surrounding environment (earth tones). Including shades of green, brown and gray with no white or light shades, galvanized steel, and no bright tones. All windows shall be comprised of non-glare glass.

The approved structures shall be colored with only the colors and materials authorized pursuant to this special condition. Alternative colors or materials for future repainting, resurfacing, or new windows may only be applied to the structures authorized by Coastal Development Permit 4-04-121 if such changes are specifically authorized by the Executive Director as complying with this special condition.

## **10. Removal of Excess Excavated Material**

Prior to the issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall provide evidence to the Executive Director of the location of the disposal site for all excess excavated material from the site. If the disposal site is located in the Coastal Zone, the disposal site must have a valid coastal development permit for the disposal of fill material. If the disposal site does not have a coastal permit, such a permit will be required prior to the disposal of material.

## **11. Deed Restriction**

Prior to the issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall submit to the Executive Director for review and approval documentation demonstrating that the applicant has executed and recorded a deed restriction, in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director: (1) indicating that, pursuant to these permits, the California Coastal Commission has authorized development on the subject property, subject to terms and conditions that restrict the use and enjoyment of that property (hereinafter referred to as the "Standard and Special Conditions"); and (2) imposing all Standard and Special Conditions of these permits as covenants, conditions and restrictions on the use and enjoyment of the Property. The deed restriction shall include a legal description of the applicant's entire parcel or parcels. The deed restriction shall also indicate that, in the event of an extinguishment or termination of the deed restriction for any reason, the terms and conditions of this permit shall continue to restrict the use and enjoyment of the subject property so long as either this permit or the development it authorizes, or any part, modification, or amendment thereof, remains in existence on or with respect to the subject property.

## **12. Cumulative Impact Mitigation**

The applicant shall mitigate the cumulative impacts of the subject development with respect to build-out of the Santa Monica Mountains by ensuring that development rights for residential use have been extinguished on the equivalent of one (1) building site in the Santa Monica Mountains Coastal Zone through a Transfer of Development Credit (TDC) transaction.

Prior to the issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall complete the following steps to ensure that the development rights are extinguished on the lot(s) equivalent to one Transfer of Development Credit (TDC):

- 1) The applicant shall provide, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, evidence that the TDC lot(s) to be extinguished qualify with the criteria for TDC donor lots established in past Commission actions.
- 2) No development, as defined in Section 30106 of the Coastal Act, grazing, or agricultural activities shall occur on the TDC lot(s) except for:

Brush clearance required by Los Angeles County for permitted structures on adjacent parcels; planting of native vegetation and other restoration activities, if approved by the Commission in a coastal development permit; construction and maintenance of public hiking trails, if approved by the Commission in a coastal development permit; and existing easements for roads, trails, and utilities

- 3) The applicant shall execute and record a document in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director, granting or irrevocably offering to dedicate, an open space easement over the TDC lot(s) to be restricted for TDC credit for the purpose of development right extinguishment. The recorded easement document shall include a formal legal description and graphic depiction, prepared by a licensed surveyor, of the entire parcel(s). The recorded document shall reflect that development in the parcel(s) is restricted as set forth in this permits condition. The grant of easement, or irrevocable offer to dedicate, shall be recorded free of prior liens and encumbrances which the Executive Director determines may affect the interest being conveyed. Such grant of easement or offer to dedicate shall run with the land in favor of the People of the State of California, binding all successors and assigns, and any such offer to dedicate shall be irrevocable.
- 4) The applicant shall provide evidence, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, that the TDC lot(s) extinguished in Section 3 above have been combined with an adjacent lot(s) that is developed or developable and held in common ownership. The extinguished lot(s) shall be combined with the developed or developable lot(s) through a lot merger consistent with applicable local government lot merger ordinances. The combined lot shall be considered and treated as a single parcel of land for all purposes with respect to the lands included therein, including but not limited to sale, conveyance, taxation, or encumbrance.
- 5) The applicant shall submit, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, a title report for the combined lot created by merging the TDC lot(s) and the developed or developable lot(s) that demonstrates that the open space easement grant or offer to dedicate required in Section 3 above is on the title.

### **13. Condition Compliance**

Within 180 days of Commission action on this coastal development permit application, or within such additional time as the Executive Director may grant for good cause, the applicant shall satisfy all requirements specified in the conditions hereto that the applicant is required to satisfy prior to issuance of this permit. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the institution of enforcement action under the provisions of Chapter 9 of the Coastal Act.

Failure to comply with deadlines to submit the landscape monitoring and habitat restoration reports, or any other requirement and condition of this permit, will result in a

violation of the subject permit and the commencement of enforcement proceedings, including potential judicial action and administrative orders, as well as the recordation of a notice of violation in the chain of title for the property.

#### **IV. Findings and Declarations**

The Commission hereby finds and declares:

##### **A. Project Description and Background**

The applicant proposes to construct a two story, 34 ft. high 4,452 sq. ft. single-family residence with attached 595 sq. ft. garage, septic system, retaining walls, paved driveway, access stairway, and 3,713 cu. yds. of grading (3,650 cu. yds. cut; 63 cu. yds. fill; 3,587 cu. yds. export) (**Exhibit 4-8**). The application also includes after-the-fact approval of the subject parcel that was created pursuant to Certificate of Compliance # 88-0083 (**Exhibit 3**) and restoration of an unpermitted dirt road back to natural conditions.

The subject lot is a vacant 1.94-acre parcel (Assessor's Parcel Number 4455-034-035) located on the northeast side of Las Virgenes Road in the Santa Monica Mountains (**Exhibit 1**). The areas surrounding the parcel are characterized by natural hillside covered predominantly with undisturbed chaparral and coastal sage scrub vegetation. Undeveloped lots located to the west of the subject parcel across Las Virgenes Road are owned by the Santa Monica Mountains Recreation Conservation Authority and border Malibu Creek State Park. Several densely developed structures associated with a Hindu temple, Soka University, and single family residences are located approximately 150 feet northwest of the subject property (**Exhibit 9**). Additionally, mobile homes occupy a predominantly undeveloped lot northeast of the site. The areas east and south of the site are undeveloped.

The subject property is comprised of moderate to very steep sloping hillside terrain situated on the south flank of a northwest trending ridge. The parcel is covered with relatively undisturbed chaparral vegetation, with the exception of a 30-foot wide corridor adjacent to Las Virgenes Road and a dirt access road that leads from Las Virgenes Road to the northern property line of the parcel (**Exhibits 9 and 10**). The 30-foot wide area immediately adjacent to Las Virgenes Road has been cleared for the construction and maintenance of Las Virgenes Road and is held in easement by the County of Los Angeles. Commission staff note that aerial photographs of the site taken in 1977 show the property to be undeveloped and fully vegetated with chaparral vegetation, with the exception of the 30-foot wide Las Virgenes Road easement area. Subsequent aerial photos from 1994 and 2001 show the 30-foot wide easement area, as well as the dirt road that spans the property today. This dirt road is approximately 5 feet wide in most locations and overgrown with non-native grasses and thistle. A branch of this road leads to the proposed building site, where an approximately 20 to 30-foot wide area has been graded and cleared. The applicant has not provided an estimate of the amount of



grading that was carried out to create the road. However, staff would note that there are no significant cut or fill slopes associated with the road, so it appears that only a minor amount of grading (along with vegetation removal) was necessary to construct it. The landowners at the time of construction never secured a coastal development permit for the dirt access road. The applicant is proposing, as part of the subject application, to restore the dirt road back to natural conditions by weeding all non-native vegetation associated with the road and replanting the area with native vegetation as outlined in the approved Final Fuel Modification Plan for the proposed residence.

The proposed residence will be located on the existing 30-foot wide cleared flat area approximately 100 feet from Las Virgenes Road. The residence will be cut into the hillside and will require 1,440 cu. yds of grading (all cut) to construct the residence and remediate slopes behind the residence. The area between the proposed building pad and Las Virgenes Road is very steep. The applicant, therefore, will be required by the Fire Department to provide an emergency access stairway from the residence to Las Virgenes Road. The applicant is proposing an approximately 270-foot long winding driveway to the residence from Las Virgenes Road that follows the existing unpermitted dirt access road on the property. This driveway will require 1,913 cu. yds of grading (1850 cu. yds. cut; 63 cu. yds fill), several retaining walls, and remediation (360 cu yds of grading) of steep slopes surrounding the driveway. The applicant proposes to replant all slope remediation areas with native vegetation following construction. In addition to the residence and driveway, a septic tank is proposed immediately adjacent to the house. The proposed septic seepage pit system will be located 100 feet upslope of the house on the upper portion of the existing unpermitted dirt road. According to the applicant's geologist, this location is the closest area to the house suitable for a seepage pit system.

The applicant has submitted a Final Fuel Modification Plan for the proposed residence that has been approved by the Los Angeles County Fire Department (**Exhibit 4**). This plan calls for clearance and thinning of vegetation 200 feet south, east, and north of the proposed residence. To the west, vegetative thinning and clearance will only occur from the residence to Las Virgenes Road. No vegetative thinning will be required across Las Virgenes Road on State parkland. Due to the retaining wall surrounding the residence and driveway, the fire department has allowed the applicant to limit vegetative clearance and irrigation (Zone A and B) to within 50 feet of the residence. Thinning of vegetation only will, therefore, occur from this 50 foot zone out to 200 feet from the residence. Due to the small size of the subject parcel, fuel modification for the proposed residence will cover all but a small section of the northeast corner of the property.

The subject 1.94-acre parcel was created by deed in 1961 as part of a two lot subdivision (**Exhibits 2 and 3**). Prior to this, the underlying lot of the 1961 subdivision had been created by deed in 1958 through a five-lot subdivision. The 1958 five lot subdivision was not properly permitted pursuant to the requirements of the Subdivision Map Act and Los Angeles County Planning and Zoning Codes in effect at the time. In 1988, the County of Los Angeles issued a Conditional Certificate of Compliance (CC



88-0083) on the property to "legalize" the lot pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act after investigations of the 1958 subdivision showed it to be a "major violation" of the Subdivision Map Act. The 1988 Certificate of Compliance which "legalized" this lot pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act is considered a form of land division and, therefore, requires a coastal development permit, pursuant to the provisions of the Coastal Act. However, the landowners at the time failed to secure a coastal development permit for the underlying subdivision which created the parcel subject to the above referenced Certificate of Compliance. The applicant is now requesting after-the-fact approval for the creation of the subject parcel through this coastal development permit, which is discussed in detail below (Section E Cumulative Impacts).

## **B. Geologic and Wildfire Hazard**

The proposed development is located in the Santa Monica Mountains, an area that is generally considered to be subject to an unusually high amount of natural hazards. Geologic hazards common to the Santa Monica Mountains area include landslides, erosion, and flooding. In addition, fire is an inherent threat to the indigenous chaparral community of the coastal mountains. Wildfires often denude hillsides in the Santa Monica Mountains of all existing vegetation, thereby contributing to an increased potential for erosion and landslides on property.

Section 30253 of the Coastal Act states in pertinent part that new development shall:

- (1) Minimize risks to life and property in areas of high geologic, flood, and fire hazard.***
- (2) Assure stability and structural integrity, and neither create nor contribute significantly to erosion, instability, or destruction of the site or surrounding area or in any way require the construction of protective devices that would substantially alter natural landforms along bluffs and cliffs.***

## **Geology**

Section 30253 of the Coastal Act mandates that new development be sited and designed to provide geologic stability and structural integrity, and minimize risks to life and property in areas of high geologic, flood, and fire hazard. The applicant has submitted the "Preliminary Geologic and Soils Engineering Investigation, Proposed Single Family Residence, APN 4455-034-035, Las Virgenes Road, Malibu, CA" prepared by GeoConcepts, Inc on October 5, 2004 and the "Percolation Feasibility Study and Hydrogeological Evaluation Report for Proposed Sewage Disposal System via Seepage Pit, APN 4455-034-035, 1520 Las Virgenes Road, Malibu Area" prepared by John Helms in August 6, 2004 and subsequent addendums. These reports address the geologic conditions on the site, including drainage, subsurface conditions, groundwater, landslides, faulting, and seismicity.

The subject property is situated on the south flank of a northwest trending ridge within the northeast portion of the Santa Monica Mountains. The property consists of ascending slopes to the north and east that have a general gradient of 1.5:1 or less. Drainage at the site is by sheet flow. The site is primarily underlain by volcanic bedrock and native soils.

The geologic consultant, GeoConcepts, Inc., has found the geology of the proposed project site to be suitable for the construction of a single-family residence. The geologic and geotechnical engineering consultants in their geologic and engineering report that:

*It is the finding of this corporation, based upon the subsurface data, that the proposed project will be safe from landslide, settlement or slippage and will not adversely affect adjacent property, provided this corporation's recommendations and those of the County of Los Angeles and Uniform Building Code are followed and maintained.*

The engineering geologic and geotechnical consultant conclude that the proposed developments are feasible and will be free from geologic hazard provided their recommendations are incorporated into the proposed development. The geologic and geotechnical reports contains several recommendations to be incorporated into project construction, design, drainage, foundations, and sewage disposal to ensure the stability and geologic safety for the proposed project site and adjacent properties.

In order to ensure that the recommendations of the geologic consultant have been incorporated into all proposed development, the Commission, as specified in **Special Condition One (1)**, requires the applicant to incorporate the recommendations cited in the geotechnical reports into all final design and construction plans. Final plans approved by the consultant shall be in substantial conformance with the plans approved by the Commission. Any substantial changes to the proposed developments, as approved by the Commission, which may be recommended by the consultant, shall require an amendment to the permit or a new coastal development permit.

The Commission finds that controlling and diverting run-off in a non-erosive manner from the proposed structures, impervious surfaces, and building pad will also add to the geologic stability of the project site. Therefore, in order to minimize erosion and ensure stability of the project site, and to ensure that adequate drainage and erosion control is included in the proposed development, the Commission requires the applicants to submit drainage and erosion control plans certified by the geotechnical engineer, as specified in **Special Conditions Two (2) and Three (3)**.

Further, the Commission finds that landscaping of graded and disturbed areas on the subject site will serve to stabilize disturbed soils, reduce erosion and thus enhance and maintain the geologic stability of the site. Therefore, **Special Condition Three (3)** requires the applicant to submit landscaping plans certified by the consulting geotechnical engineer as in conformance with their recommendations for landscaping

of the project site. **Special Condition Three (3)** also requires the applicant to utilize and maintain native and noninvasive plant species compatible with the surrounding area for landscaping the project site.

Invasive and non-native plant species are generally characterized as having a shallow root structure in comparison with their high surface/foliage weight. The Commission notes that non-native and invasive plant species with high surface/foliage weight and shallow root structures do not serve to stabilize slopes and that such vegetation results in potential adverse effects to the stability of the project site. Native species, alternatively, tend to have a deeper root structure than non-native and invasive species, and once established aid in preventing erosion. Therefore, the Commission finds that in order to ensure site stability, all slopes and disturbed and graded areas of the site shall be landscaped with appropriate native plant species, as specified in **Special Condition Three (3)**. Additionally, the restoration of the unpermitted dirt road spanning the property, shall, according to Special Condition Three (3), include weeding of non-native plants and planting of native species as allowed in the approved Final Fuel Modification Plan for the proposed residence.

In addition, to ensure that excess excavated material is moved off site so as not to contribute to unnecessary landform alteration, the commission finds it necessary to require the applicant to dispose of the material at an appropriate disposal site or to a site that has been approved to accept material, as specified in **Special Condition Ten (10)**.

Furthermore, in order to ensure that vegetation clearance for fire protection purposes does not occur prior to commencement of grading or construction of the proposed structures, the Commission finds that it is necessary to impose a restriction on the removal of natural vegetation as specified in **Special Condition Five (5)**. This restriction specifies that natural vegetation shall not be removed until grading or building permits have been secured and construction of the permitted structures has commenced. The limitation imposed by **Special Condition Five (5)** avoids loss of natural vegetative coverage resulting in unnecessary erosion in the absence of adequately constructed drainage and run-off control devices and implementation of the landscape and interim erosion control plans.

The Commission notes that because there remains some inherent risk in building in the Santa Monica Mountains, which are prone to landslides and destruction from wildfire. The Commission can only approve the project if the applicant assumes the liability from the associated risks as required by **Special Condition Four (4)**. The assumption of risk will show that the applicant is aware of and appreciates the nature of the hazards which exist on the site and which may adversely affect the stability or safety of the proposed development and agrees to assume any liability for the same.

**Special Condition Eleven (11)** requires the applicant to record a deed restriction that imposes the terms and conditions of this permit as restriction on use and enjoyment of

the property and provides any prospective purchaser of the site with recorded notice that the restriction are imposed on the subject property.

The Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned, will serve to minimize potential geologic hazards of the project site and adjacent properties, as outlined in §30253 of the Coastal Act

### **Wildfire**

The proposed project is located in the Santa Monica Mountains, an area subject to an extraordinary potential for damage or destruction from wild fire. Typical vegetation in the Santa Monica Mountains consists mostly of coastal sage scrub and chaparral. Many plant species common to these communities produce and store terpenes, which are highly flammable substances (Mooney in Barbour, *Terrestrial Vegetation of California*, 1988). Chaparral and sage scrub communities have evolved in concert with, and continue to produce the potential for, frequent wild fires. The typical warm, dry summer conditions of the Mediterranean climate combine with the natural characteristics of the native vegetation to pose a risk of wild fire damage to development that cannot be completely avoided or mitigated.

Due to the fact that the proposed project is located in an area subject to an extraordinary potential for damage or destruction from wild fire, the Commission can only approve the project if the applicant assumes the liability from these associated risks. Through **Special Condition Four (4)**, the assumption of risk, the applicant acknowledges the nature of the fire hazard which exists on the site and which may affect the safety of the proposed development. Moreover, through acceptance of Special Condition No. 4, the applicant also agrees to indemnify the Commission, its officers, agents and employees against any and all expenses or liability arising out of the acquisition, design, construction, operation, maintenance, existence, or failure of the permitted project.

For the reasons set forth above, the Commission finds that, as conditioned, the proposed project is consistent with §30253 of the Coastal Act.

### **C. Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas**

Section 30230 of the Coastal Act states that:

***Marine resources shall be maintained, enhanced, and where feasible, restored. Special protection shall be given to areas and species of special biological or economic significance. Uses of the marine environment shall be carried out in a manner that will sustain the biological productivity of coastal waters and that will maintain healthy populations of all species of marine organisms adequate for***

**long-term commercial, recreational, scientific, and educational purposes.**

Section 30231 states:

**The biological productivity and the quality of coastal waters, streams, wetlands, estuaries, and lakes appropriate to maintain optimum populations of marine organisms and for the protection of human health shall be maintained and, where feasible, restored through, among other means, minimizing adverse effects of waste water discharges and entrainment, controlling runoff, preventing depletion of ground water supplies and substantial interference with surface water flow, encouraging waste water reclamation, maintaining natural vegetation buffer areas that protect riparian habitats, and minimizing alteration of natural streams.**

Section 30240 states:

- (a) Environmentally sensitive habitat areas shall be protected against any significant disruption of habitat values, and only uses dependent on such resources shall be allowed within such areas.**
- (b) Development in areas adjacent to environmentally sensitive habitat areas and parks and recreation areas shall be sited and designed to prevent impacts which would significantly degrade such areas, and shall be compatible with the continuance of such habitat areas.**

Section 30107.5 of the Coastal Act, defines an environmentally sensitive area as:

**"Environmentally sensitive area" means any area in which plant or animal life or their habitats are either rare or especially valuable because of their special nature or role in an ecosystem and which could be easily disturbed or degraded by human activities and developments.**

Sections 30230 and 30231 of the Coastal Act require that the biological productivity and the quality of coastal waters and streams be maintained and, where feasible, restored through, among other means, controlling runoff, preventing depletion of ground water supplies and substantial interference with surface water flows, maintaining natural buffer areas that protect riparian habitats, and minimizing alteration of natural streams. In addition, Sections 30107.5 and 30240 of the Coastal Act state that environmentally sensitive habitat areas must be protected against disruption of habitat values. Therefore, when considering any area, such as the Santa Monica Mountains, with regard to an ESHA determination one must focus on three main questions:

- 1) Is a habitat or species rare or especially valuable?
- 2) Does the habitat or species have a special nature or role in the ecosystem?
- 3) Is the habitat or species easily disturbed or degraded by human activities and developments?

The Coastal Commission has found that the Mediterranean Ecosystem in the Santa Monica Mountains is itself rare and valuable because of its relatively pristine character, physical complexity, and resultant biological diversity. Therefore, habitat areas that provide important roles in that ecosystem are especially valuable and meet the second criterion for the ESHA designation. In the Santa Monica Mountains, coastal sage scrub and chaparral have many important roles in the ecosystem, including the provision of critical linkages between riparian corridors, the provision of essential habitat for species that require several habitat types during the course of their life histories, the provision of essential habitat for local endemics, the support of rare species, and the reduction of erosion, thereby protecting the water quality of coastal streams. For these and other reasons discussed in **Exhibit 11**, which is incorporated herein, the Commission finds that large contiguous, relatively pristine stands of coastal sage scrub and chaparral in the Santa Monica Mountains meet the definition of ESHA. This is consistent with the Commission's past findings on the Malibu LCP<sup>1</sup>.

For any specific property within the Santa Monica Mountains, it is necessary to meet three tests in order to assign the ESHA designation. First, is the habitat properly identified, for example as coastal sage scrub or chaparral? Second, is the habitat undeveloped and otherwise relatively pristine? Third, is the habitat part of a large, contiguous block of relatively pristine native vegetation?

The subject site is a 1.94-acre hillside lot located on the south flank of a northwest trending ridge within the northeast portion of the Santa Monica Mountains. Large areas of undisturbed chaparral habitat surround the subject parcel, particularly to the west and south. Large open space areas owned by Santa Monica Mountains Recreation Conservation Authority border the property to the west, across Las Virgenes Road. Development associated with a Hindu temple, Soka University, mobile homes, and a single family residence are located north and northeast of the site.

The applicant has submitted a Biological Study for the property, prepared by Andrew McGinn Forde in February 2005. In this report, the biological consultant describes the subject parcel as primarily vegetated with a native *Ceanothus* series of chamise chaparral that has been disturbed due to fire within the last 10 to 20 years. Vegetation on the site is a patchwork of non-native and native plants, with "non-native plants [primarily thistle and grasses] on the property generally limited to the unpaved roads" onsite. As discussed previously, these roads were created after 1977 without approval or coastal development permit from the Coastal Commission. Aerial photographs taken in 1977 show the site to be fully vegetated in what appears to be chaparral vegetation similar to the native species currently found on most portions of the property. The

---

<sup>1</sup> Revised Findings for the City of Malibu Local Coastal Program (as adopted on September 13, 2002) adopted on February 6, 2003.

photograph also shows evidence of vegetative clearance at the 30-foot wide Las Virgenes Road easement area on the property. This clearance area appears to pre-date the Coastal Act.

Due to the important ecosystem role of chaparral in the Santa Monica Mountains (detailed in **Exhibit 11**), and the fact that the subject parcel is relatively undisturbed, with the exception of the existing access road and cleared road easement area, and part of a large, unfragmented block of habitat, the Commission finds that the chaparral habitat on and surrounding the subject site meets the definition of ESHA under the Coastal Act. The Commission also finds that had grading and vegetative clearance not occurred to create the existing unpermitted road on the property, these areas would also be vegetated with native chaparral vegetation and likely meet the definition of ESHA under the Coastal Act.

As explained above, the project site and the surrounding area (excluding the existing 30-foot wide Las Virgenes Road easement area that was cleared prior to the effective date of the Coastal Act) constitute an environmentally sensitive habitat area (ESHA) pursuant to Section 30107.5. Section 30240 requires that "environmentally sensitive habitat areas shall be protected against any significant disruption of habitat values, and only uses dependent on those resources shall be allowed within those areas." Section 30240 restricts development on the parcel to only those uses that are dependent on the resource. The applicant proposes to construct a single-family residence on the parcel. The majority of the development, with the exception of a small portion of the lower driveway, is proposed to be located in areas currently supporting chaparral ESHA or on the existing unpermitted dirt road on the property, which likely supported chaparral ESHA before creation of the road. As single-family residences do not have to be located within ESHAs to function, the Commission does not consider single-family residences to be a use dependent on ESHA resources. Application of Section 30240, by itself, would require denial of the project, because the project would result in significant disruption of habitat values and is not a use dependent on those sensitive habitat resources.

However, the Commission must also consider Section 30010, and the Supreme Court decision in *Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council* (1992) 505 U.S. 1003, 112 S.Ct. 2886. Section 30010 of the Coastal Act provides that the Coastal Act shall not be construed as authorizing the Commission to exercise its power to grant or deny a permit in a manner that will take private property for public use. Application of Section 30010 may overcome the presumption of denial in some instances. The subject of what government action results in a "taking" was addressed by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council*. In *Lucas*, the Court identified several factors that should be considered in determining whether a proposed government action would result in a taking. For instance, the Court held that where a permit applicant has demonstrated that he or she has a sufficient real property interest in the property to allow the proposed project, and that project denial would deprive his or her property of all economically viable use, then denial of the project by a regulatory agency might result in a taking of the property for public use unless the proposed project would



constitute a nuisance under State law. Another factor that should be considered is the extent to which a project denial would interfere with reasonable investment-backed expectations.

The Commission interprets Section 30010, together with the *Lucas* decision, to mean that if Commission denial of the project would deprive an applicant's property of all reasonable economic use, the Commission may be required to allow some development even where a Coastal Act policy would otherwise prohibit it, unless the proposed project would constitute a nuisance under state law. In other words, Section 30240 of the Coastal Act cannot be read to deny all economically beneficial or productive use of land because Section 30240 cannot be interpreted to require the Commission to act in an unconstitutional manner.

In the subject case, the applicant purchased the property in May, 2003 for approximately \$250,000. The parcel was designated in the County's certified Land Use Plan in 1986 for residential use as Rural Land I, which allows for residential development at a maximum density of one dwelling unit per 10 acres. At the time the applicant purchased the parcel, the County's certified Land Use Plan did not designate the vegetation on the site as ESHA. Based on this fact, along with the presence of existing and approved residential development on nearby parcels, the applicant had reason to believe that they had purchased a parcel on which they would be able to build a residence.

The Commission finds that in this particular case, other allowable uses for the subject site, such as a recreational park or a nature preserve, are not feasible and would not provide the owner an economic return on the investment. The parcel is 1.94 acres and there are other residential developments to the northwest of the site. Public parkland and open space has been acquired in the vicinity but there is currently not an offer to purchase the property from any public park agency. The Commission thus concludes that in this particular case there is no viable alternative use for the site other than residential development. The Commission finds, therefore, that outright denial of all residential use would interfere with reasonable investment-backed expectations and deprive the property of all reasonable economic use.

Next the Commission turns to the question of nuisance. There is no evidence that construction of a residence would create a nuisance under California law. Other houses have been constructed in similar situations in chaparral habitat in Los Angeles County, apparently without the creation of nuisances. The County's Health Department has not reported evidence of septic system failures. In addition, the County has reviewed and approved the applicant's proposed septic system, ensuring that the system will not create public health problems. Furthermore, the use that is proposed is residential, rather than, for example, industrial, which might create noise or odors or otherwise create a public nuisance. In conclusion, the Commission finds that a residential project can be allowed to permit the applicant a reasonable economic use of their property consistent with Section 30010 of the Coastal Act.



While the applicant is entitled under Section 30010 to an assurance that the Commission will not act in such a way as to take their property, this section does not authorize the Commission to avoid application of the policies of the Coastal Act, including Section 30240, altogether. Instead, the Commission is only directed to avoid construing these policies in a way that would take property. Aside from this instruction, the Commission is still otherwise directed to enforce the requirements of the Act. Therefore, in this situation, the Commission must still comply with Section 30240 by avoiding impacts that would disrupt and/or degrade environmentally sensitive habitat, to the extent this can be done without taking the property.

As discussed above, the proposed development will be approved within ESHA in order to provide an economically viable use. Siting and design alternatives have been considered in order to identify the alternative that can avoid and minimize impacts to ESHA to the maximum extent feasible. In this case, very steep terrain and a County road easement on the property limit the potential locations for siting of a residence. The proposed building site is located on a 30-foot wide area that has been cleared and graded in association with the unpermitted road on the subject property. This site is 100 feet from Las Virgenes Road on the only relatively flat portion of the site adjacent to Las Virgenes Road that is not located in the County road easement. Any feasible alternative location on the site for a residence would include additional grading and the removal of more native vegetation.

The proposed residence, garage, septic system, septic tank, access stairway, a portion of the driveway, and the fuel modification area required for the residence will be located in chaparral habitat considered ESHA or within the unpermitted dirt road area that would have been considered ESHA if it had not been cleared without the benefit of a Coastal Development Permit. The garage is incorporated into the main residence, thereby minimizing the total fuel modification area necessary for the project. The proposed driveway also follows the existing dirt road. Additionally, the applicant has secured Los Angeles Fire Department Fuel Modification Plans for the residence that limit the irrigated Zone B, which would normally extend to 100 feet from the residence, to 50 feet from the residence. Zone C, where vegetation is thinned, but not cleared, would be extended to 150 feet from Zone B instead of the normal 100 feet. Expansion of Zone C and reduction of Zone B reduces impacts to native vegetation from fuel modification. Additionally, the applicant has proposed restoration of those portions of the unpermitted dirt road outside of the building pad area once construction is complete. These areas will be weeded of non-native plants and planted with native vegetation according to the approved Fuel Modification Plan for the residence.

In past permit actions, the Commission has limited development within or adjacent to chaparral ESHA to a 10,000 sq. ft. development area, excluding driveways and fire turn around areas. In this case, not including the area of the driveway and stairway, or the proposed slope remediation, the proposed development area for the residence and associated improvements is approximately 4,800 sq. ft. This estimate does not include that portion of the existing dirt road on the property outside of the main building pad as the applicant has proposed restoration of these areas to natural conditions. **Special**

**Condition Three (3)** ensures completion of these restoration activities consistent with other landscaping requirements for the property. Therefore, the development area proposed by the applicant conforms to the maximum development area of 10,000 sq. ft. that the Commission has typically allowed in similar situations on sites containing ESHA. However, given the location of ESHA on the site, there will still be significant impacts to ESHA resulting from construction of the residence, septic system, garage, stairway, driveway, and fuel modification area around the residence. The following discussion of ESHA impacts from new development and fuel modification is based on the findings of the Malibu LCP<sup>2</sup>.

Fuel modification is the removal or modification of combustible native or ornamental vegetation. It may include replacement with drought tolerant, fire resistant plants. The amount and location of required fuel modification would vary according to the fire history of the area, the amount and type of plant species on the site, topography, weather patterns, construction design, and siting of structures. There are typically three fuel modification zones applied by the Fire Department:

Zone A (Setback Zone) is required to be a minimum of 20 feet beyond the edge of protected structures. In this area native vegetation is cleared and only ground cover, green lawn, and a limited number of ornamental plant species are allowed. This zone must be irrigated to maintain a high moisture content.

Zone B (Irrigated Zone) is usually required to extend from the outermost edge of Zone A to a maximum of 80 feet. In this area ground covers may not extend over 18 inches in height. Some native vegetation may remain in this zone if they are adequately spaced, maintained free of dead wood and individual plants are thinned. This zone must be irrigated to maintain a high moisture content.

Zone C (Thinning Zone) is usually required to extend from the outermost edge of Zone B up to 100 feet. This zone would primarily retain existing native vegetation, with the exception of high fuel species such as chamise, red shank, California sagebrush, common buckwheat and sage. Dead or dying vegetation must be removed and the fuel in existing vegetation reduced by thinning individual plants.

Thus, the combined required fuel modification area around structures can extend up to a maximum of 200 feet. If there is not adequate area on the project site to provide the required fuel modification for structures, then brush clearance may also be required on adjacent parcels.

Notwithstanding the need to protect structures from the risk of wildfire, fuel modification results in significant adverse impacts that are in excess of those directly related to the development itself. Within the area next to approved structures (Zone A), all native vegetation must be removed and ornamental, low-fuel plants substituted. In Zone B, most native vegetation will be removed or widely spaced. Finally, in Zone C, native

---

<sup>2</sup> Revised Findings for the City of Malibu Local Coastal Program (as adopted on September 13, 2002) adopted on February 6, 2003.

vegetation may be retained if thinned, although particular high-fuel plant species must be removed (Several of the high fuel species are important components of the coastal sage scrub community). In this way, for a large area around any permitted structures, native vegetation will be cleared, selectively removed to provide wider spacing, and thinned.

Obviously, native vegetation that is cleared and replaced with ornamental species, or substantially removed and widely spaced will be lost as habitat and watershed cover. Additionally, thinned areas will be greatly reduced in habitat value. Even where complete clearance of vegetation is not required, the natural habitat can be significantly impacted, and ultimately lost. For instance, in coastal sage scrub and chaparral habitat, the natural soil coverage of the canopies of individual plants provides shading and reduced soil temperatures. When these plants are thinned, the microclimate of the area will be affected, increasing soil temperatures, which can lead to loss of individual plants and the eventual conversion of the area to a dominance of different non-native plant species. The areas created by thinning between shrubs can be invaded by non-native grasses that will over time out-compete native species.

For example, undisturbed coastal sage scrub and chaparral vegetation typical of coastal canyon slopes, and the downslope riparian corridors of the canyon bottoms, ordinarily contains a variety of tree and shrub species with established root systems. Depending on the canopy coverage, these species may be accompanied by understory species of lower profile. The established vegetative cover, including the leaf detritus and other mulch contributed by the native plants, slows rainfall runoff from canyon slopes and staunches silt flows that result from ordinary erosional processes. The native vegetation thereby limits the intrusion of sediments into downslope creeks. Accordingly, disturbed slopes where vegetation is either cleared or thinned are more directly exposed to rainfall runoff that can therefore wash canyon soils into down-gradient creeks. The resultant erosion reduces topsoil and steepens slopes, making revegetation increasingly difficult or creating ideal conditions for colonization by invasive, non-native species that supplant the native populations.

The cumulative loss of habitat cover also reduces the value of the sensitive resource areas as a refuge for birds and animals, for example by making them—or their nests and burrows—more readily apparent to predators. The impacts of fuel clearance on bird communities was studied by Stralberg who identified three ecological categories of birds in the Santa Monica Mountains: 1) local and long distance migrators (ash-throated flycatcher, Pacific-slope flycatcher, phainopepla, black-headed grosbeak), 2) chaparral-associated species (Bewick's wren, wrentit, blue-gray gnatcatcher, California thrasher, orange-crowned warbler, rufous-crowned sparrow, spotted towhee, California towhee) and 3) urban-associated species (mourning dove, American crow, Western scrub-jay, Northern mockingbird)<sup>3</sup>. It was found in this study that the number of migrators and chaparral-associated species decreased due to habitat fragmentation while the

---

<sup>3</sup> Stralberg, D. 2000. Landscape-level urbanization effects on chaparral birds: a Santa Monica Mountains case study. Pp. 125–136 in Keeley, J.E., M. Baer-Keeley, and C.J. Fotheringham (eds.). *2nd interface between ecology and land development in California*. U.S. Geological Survey, Sacramento, California.

abundance of urban-associated species increased. The impact of fuel clearance is to greatly increase this edge-effect of fragmentation by expanding the amount of cleared area and "edge" many-fold. Similar results of decreases in fragmentation-sensitive bird species are reported from the work of Bolger et al. in southern California chaparral<sup>4</sup>.

Fuel clearance and habitat modification may also disrupt native arthropod communities, and this can have surprising effects far beyond the cleared area on species seemingly unrelated to the direct impacts. A particularly interesting and well-documented example with ants and lizards illustrates this point. When non-native landscaping with intensive irrigation is introduced, the area becomes favorable for the invasive and non-native Argentine ant. This ant forms "super colonies" that can forage more than 650 feet out into the surrounding native chaparral or coastal sage scrub around the landscaped area<sup>5</sup>. The Argentine ant competes with native harvester ants and carpenter ants displacing them from the habitat<sup>6</sup>. These native ants are the primary food resource for the native coast horned lizard, a California "Species of Special Concern." As a result of Argentine ant invasion, the coast horned lizard and its native ant food resources are diminished in areas near landscaped and irrigated developments<sup>7</sup>. In addition to specific effects on the coast horned lizard, there are other Mediterranean habitat ecosystem processes that are impacted by Argentine ant invasion through impacts on long-evolved native ant-plant mutualisms<sup>8</sup>. The composition of the whole arthropod community changes and biodiversity decreases when habitats are subjected to fuel modification. In coastal sage scrub disturbed by fuel modification, fewer arthropod predator species are seen and more exotic arthropod species are present than in undisturbed habitats<sup>9</sup>.

Studies in the Mediterranean vegetation of South Africa (equivalent to California shrubland with similar plant species) have shown how the invasive Argentine ant can disrupt the whole ecosystem.<sup>10</sup> In South Africa the Argentine ant displaces native ants as they do in California. Because the native ants are no longer present to collect and bury seeds, the seeds of the native plants are exposed to predation, and consumed by seed eating insects, birds and mammals. When this habitat burns after Argentine ant invasion the large-seeded plants that were protected by the native ants all but

<sup>4</sup> Bolger, D. T., T. A. Scott and J. T. Rotenberry. 1997. Breeding bird abundance in an urbanizing landscape in coastal Southern California. *Conserv. Biol.* 11:406-421.

<sup>5</sup> Suarez, A.V., D.T. Bolger and T.J. Case. 1998. Effects of fragmentation and invasion on native ant communities in coastal southern California. *Ecology* 79(6):2041-2056.

<sup>6</sup> Holway, D.A. 1995. The distribution of the Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile*) in central California: a twenty-year record of invasion. *Conservation Biology* 9:1634-1637. Human, K.G. and D.M. Gordon. 1996. Exploitation and interference competition between the invasive Argentine ant, (*Linepithema humile*), and native ant species. *Oecologia* 105:405-412.

<sup>7</sup> Fisher, R.N., A.V. Suarez and T.J. Case. 2002. Spatial patterns in the abundance of the coastal horned lizard. *Conservation Biology* 16(1):205-215. Suarez, A.V. J.Q. Richmond and T.J. Case. 2000. Prey selection in horned lizards following the invasion of Argentine ants in southern California. *Ecological Applications* 10(3):711-725.

<sup>8</sup> Suarez, A.V., D.T. Bolger and T.J. Case. 1998. Effects of fragmentation and invasion on native ant communities in coastal southern California. *Ecology* 79(6):2041-2056. Bond, W. and P. Slingsby. Collapse of an Ant-Plant Mutualism: The Argentine Ant (*Iridomyrmex humilis*) and Myrmecochorous Proteaceae. *Ecology* 65(4):1031-1037.

<sup>9</sup> Longcore, T.R. 1999. Terrestrial arthropods as indicators of restoration success in coastal sage scrub. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.

<sup>10</sup> Christian, C. 2001. Consequences of a biological invasion reveal the importance of mutualism for plant communities. *Nature* 413:635-639.

disappear. So the invasion of a non-native ant species drives out native ants, and this can cause a dramatic change in the species composition of the plant community by disrupting long-established seed dispersal mutualisms. In California, some insect eggs are adapted to being buried by native ants in a manner similar to plant seeds<sup>11</sup>.

While these impacts resulting from fuel modification can be reduced through siting and design alternatives for new development, they cannot be completely avoided, given the high fire risk and the extent of ESHA on the site. The Commission finds that the loss of chaparral ESHA resulting from the removal, conversion, or modification of natural habitat for new development including fuel modification and brush clearance must be mitigated. The acreage of habitat that is impacted must be determined based on the size of the required fuel modification zone.

In this case, the applicant's fuel modification plan (approved by the Los Angeles County Fire Department) shows the use of the standard three zones of vegetation modification, with adjustments made due to the proximity of neighboring parkland and retaining walls on the property. Zones "A" (setback zone) is shown in a radius extending approximately 20 feet from the proposed structure. A "B" Zone (irrigation zone) extends 50 feet from the proposed structure. The "C" Zone (thinning zone) extends for a distance of 150 feet beyond the "A" and "B" zones north, east, and south of the proposed structure. Zone C extends west of the residence to Las Virgenes Canyon Road. No fuel modification will occur on parkland properties located west of the subject property across Las Virgenes Road.

The ESHA area affected by the proposed development does not include the existing disturbed 30-foot wide easement area for Las Virgenes Road that was previously graded and denuded of ESHA prior to the effective date of the Coastal Act. As such, the ESHA areas that will be permanently impacted by the proposed project include a portion of the fuel modification area for the project and the residence, garage, driveway, slope remediation, septic system and stairway areas. The precise area of ESHA that will be impacted by the proposed development has not been calculated. Therefore, the Commission finds that it is necessary to require the applicant to delineate the ESHA both on and offsite that will be impacted by the proposed development including the areas affected by fuel modification and brushing activities, as required by **Special Condition Seven (7)**.

The Commission has identified three methods for providing mitigation for the unavoidable and permanent loss of ESHA resulting from development, including habitat restoration, habitat conservation, and an in-lieu fee for habitat conservation. The Commission finds that these measures are appropriate in this case to mitigate the loss of chaparral habitat on and offsite. These three mitigation methods are provided as three available options for compliance with **Special Condition Seven (7)**. The first method is to provide mitigation through the restoration of an area of degraded habitat (either on the project site, or at an off-site location) that is equivalent in size to the area

---

<sup>11</sup> Hughes, L. and M. Westoby. 1992. Capitula on stick insect eggs and elaiosomes on seeds: convergent adaptations for burial by ants. *Functional Ecology* 6:642-648.



of habitat impacted by the development. A restoration plan must be prepared by a biologist or qualified resource specialist and must provide performance standards, and provisions for maintenance and monitoring. The restored habitat must be permanently preserved through the recordation of an open space easement. This mitigation method is provided for in **Special Condition Seven (7), subpart A.**

The second habitat impact mitigation method is habitat conservation. This includes the conservation of an area of intact habitat equivalent to the area of the impacted habitat. The parcel containing the habitat conservation area must be restricted from future development and permanently preserved. If the mitigation parcel is larger in size than the impacted habitat area, the excess acreage could be used to provide habitat impact mitigation for other development projects that impact ESHA. This mitigation method is provided for in **Special Condition Seven (7), subpart B.**

The third habitat impact mitigation option is an in-lieu fee for habitat conservation as provided for in **Special Condition Seven (7), subpart C.** The fee is based on the habitat types in question, the cost per acre to restore or create the comparable habitat types, and the acreage of habitat affected by the project. In order to determine an appropriate fee for the restoration or creation of chaparral and coastal sage scrub habitat, the Commission's biologist contacted several consulting companies that have considerable experience carrying out restoration projects. Overall estimates varied widely among the companies, because of differences in the strategies employed in planning the restoration (for instance, determining the appropriate number of plants or amount of seeds used per acre) as well as whether all of the restoration planting, monitoring and maintenance was carried out by the consultant or portions are subcontracted. Additionally, the range of cost estimates reflect differences in restoration site characteristics including topography (steeper is harder), proximity to the coast (minimal or no irrigation required at coastal sites), types of plants (some plants are rare or difficult to cultivate), density of planting, severity of weed problem, condition of soil, etc. Larger projects may realize some economy of scale.

Staff has determined that the appropriate mitigation for loss of coastal sage scrub or chaparral ESHA should be based on the actual installation of replacement plantings on a disturbed site, including the cost of acquiring the plants (seed mix and container stock) and installing them on the site (hydroseeding and planting). Three cost estimates were obtained for the installation of plants and seeds for one-acre of restoration. These estimates were \$9,541, \$12,820, and \$13,907 per acre of plant installation. The Commission finds it appropriate to average the three estimates of plant installation to arrive at the reasonable in-lieu fee to mitigate for the loss of ESHA associated with the approval of development within an ESHA. Based on this averaging, the required in-lieu fee for habitat mitigation is \$12, 000 (rounded down from the average figure of \$12,089 to simplify administration) per acre of habitat.

The Commission finds that the in-lieu fee of \$12,000 per acre is appropriate to provide mitigation for the habitat impacts to ESHA areas where all native vegetation will be removed (building site and the "A" zone required for fuel modification), and where

vegetation will be significantly removed and any remaining vegetation will be subjected to supplemental irrigation (the "B" zone or any other irrigated zone required for fuel modification). In these areas, complete removal or significant removal of ESHA, along with irrigation completely alters the habitat and eliminates its value to the native plant and animal community.

ESHA modified for the "C" zone that is thinned but non-irrigated (required for fuel modification) is certainly diminished in habitat value, but unlike the building site, "A" zone, "B" zone, and any other irrigated zone, habitat values are not completely destroyed. Native vegetation in the "C" zone is typically required to be thinned, and shrubs must be maintained at a certain size to minimize the spread of fire between the individual plants. This area is not typically required to be irrigated. As such, the Commission finds that it is not appropriate to require the same level of in-lieu fee mitigation for impacts to ESHA within a non-irrigated "C" zone required for fuel modification. Although the habitat value in the "C" zone (or any other non-irrigated zone) is greatly reduced, it is not possible to precisely quantify the reduction. The Commission's biologist believes that the habitat value of non-irrigated fuel modification zones is reduced by at least 25 percent (and possibly more) due to the direct loss of vegetation, the increased risk of weed invasion, and the proximity of disturbance. The Commission finds that it is also less costly difficult to restore chaparral habitat when some of the native vegetation remains, rather than when the entire native habitat is removed. Because of the uncertainty and the inability to precisely quantify the reduction in habitat value, the Commission concludes that it is warranted to impose a mitigation fee of \$3,000 per acre (one quarter of the cost of full restoration) for the "C" zone or other non-irrigated fuel modification zone.

In this case, the applicant's approved fuel modification plan shows the use of the three zones of vegetation modification. Zones "A" (setback zone) is shown extending 20 feet from the structure. Zone "B" (irrigation zone) extends 30 beyond Zone "A." Zone "C" (thinning zone) is provided for a distance of 150 feet beyond Zone "B," except to the west where it extends to Las Virgenes Road. Brush clearance will not be required on adjacent properties. As discussed above, the ESHA area affected by the proposed development does not include the existing disturbed County owned road easement area previously denuded of ESHA prior to the effective date of the Coastal Act. As such, the ESHA areas that will be impacted by the proposed project are the required fuel modification area and the areas where the residence, garage, septic tank, stairway, and a portion of the driveway will be sited. The appropriate in-lieu fee calculation would then be based on \$12,000 per acre for any irrigated fuel modification area (the "A" and "B" Zones) or developed area and \$3,000 per acre of un-irrigated fuel modification area (zone "C") or brush clearance area.

Should the applicant choose the in-lieu fee mitigation method, the fee shall be provided to the Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority for the acquisition or permanent preservation of natural habitat areas within the coastal zone. This mitigation method is provided for in **Special Condition Seven (7), subpart C.**

The Commission finds that the use of non-native and/or invasive plant species for residential landscaping results in both direct and indirect adverse effects to native plants species indigenous to the Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains area. Adverse effects from such landscaping result from the direct occupation or displacement of native plant communities by new development and associated non-native landscaping. Indirect adverse effects include offsite migration and colonization of native plant habitat by non-native/invasive plant species (which tend to outcompete native species) adjacent to new development. The Commission notes that the use of exotic plant species for residential landscaping has already resulted in significant adverse effects to native plant communities in the Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains area. Therefore, in order to minimize adverse effects to the indigenous plant communities of the Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains area, **Special Condition Three (3)** requires that all landscaping consist primarily of native plant species and that invasive plant species shall not be used. Additionally, **Special Condition Three (3)** ensures that the applicant proposed revegetation of the unpermitted dirt road is not only completed, but includes use of native plant communities.

The Commission notes that the use of rodenticides containing anticoagulant compounds have been linked to the death of sensitive predator species, including mountain lions and raptors, in the Santa Monica Mountains. These species are a key component of chaparral and coastal sage scrub communities in the Santa Monica Mountains considered ESHA. Therefore, in order to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive predator species, **Special Condition Three (3)**, disallows the use of rodenticides containing any anticoagulant compounds on the subject property.

Furthermore, in order to ensure that vegetation clearance for fire protection purposes does not occur prior to commencement of grading or construction of the proposed structures, the Commission finds that it is necessary to impose a restriction on the removal of natural vegetation as specified in **Special Condition Five (5)**. This restriction specifies that natural vegetation shall not be removed until grading or building permits have been secured and construction of the permitted structures has commenced. The limitation imposed by Special Condition Five (5) avoids loss of natural vegetative coverage resulting in unnecessary erosion in the absence of adequately constructed drainage and run-off control devices and implementation of the landscape and interim erosion control plans.

The Commission notes that streams and drainages, such as streams located downslope of the property, provide important habitat for plant and animal species. Section 30231 of the Coastal Act provides that the quality of coastal waters and streams shall be maintained and restored whenever feasible through means such as: controlling runoff, preventing interference with surface water flows and alteration of natural streams, and by maintaining natural vegetation buffer areas. In past permit actions the Commission has found that new development adjacent to or upslope of coastal streams and natural drainages results in potential adverse impacts to riparian habitat and marine resources from increased erosion, contaminated storm runoff,



introduction of non-native and invasive plant species, disturbance of wildlife, and loss of riparian plant and animal habitat.

The Commission finds that potential adverse effects of the proposed development on riparian and aquatic habitats of these streams may be further minimized through the implementation of a drainage and polluted runoff control plan, which will ensure that erosion is minimized and polluted run-off from the site is controlled and filtered before it reaches natural drainage courses within the watershed. Therefore, the Commission requires **Special Condition Two (2)**, the Drainage and Polluted Runoff Control Plan, which requires the applicant to incorporate appropriate drainage devices and Best Management Practices (BMPs) to ensure that run-off from the proposed structures, impervious surfaces, and building pad area is conveyed offsite in a non-erosive manner and is treated/filtered to reduce pollutant load before it reaches coastal waterways. Special Condition Two (2) will ensure implementation of these and other BMPs to reduce polluted runoff.

In addition, the Commission has found that night lighting of areas in the Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains area creates a visual impact to nearby scenic roads, parks, and trails. In addition, night lighting may alter or disrupt feeding, nesting, and roosting activities of native wildlife species. The subject site contains environmentally sensitive habitat. Therefore, **Special Condition Eight (8)** limits night lighting of the site in general; limits lighting to the developed area of the site; and specifies that lighting be shielded downward. The restriction on night lighting is necessary to protect the night time rural character of this portion of the Santa Monica Mountains consistent with the scenic and visual qualities of this coastal area. In addition, low intensity security lighting will assist in minimizing the disruption of wildlife traversing this area at night that are commonly found in this rural and relatively undisturbed area. Thus, the lighting restrictions will attenuate the impacts of unnatural light sources and reduce impacts to sensitive wildlife species.

Furthermore, fencing of the site would adversely impact the movement of wildlife through the chaparral ESHA on this parcel. Therefore, the Commission finds it is necessary to limit fencing to the building pad area as required in **Special Condition Three (3)**.

Finally, the Commission finds that the amount and location of any new development that may be proposed in the future on the subject site is significantly limited by the unique nature of the site and the environmental constraints discussed above. Therefore, to ensure that any future structures, additions, change in landscaping or intensity of use at the project site, that may otherwise be exempt from coastal permit requirements, are reviewed by the Commission for consistency with the resource protection policies of the Coastal Act, **Special Condition Six (6)**, the future development restriction, has been required. **Special Condition Eleven (11)** requires the applicant to record a deed restriction that imposes the terms and conditions of this permit as restrictions on use and enjoyment of the property and provides any

prospective purchaser of the site with recorded notice that the restrictions are imposed on the subject property.

For the reasons set forth above, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with Sections 30230, 30231, 30240, and 30107.5 of the Coastal Act.

#### **D. Water Quality**

The Commission recognizes that new development in the Santa Monica Mountains has the potential to adversely impact coastal water quality through the removal of native vegetation, increase of impervious surfaces, increase of runoff, erosion, and sedimentation, and introduction of pollutants such as petroleum, cleaning products, pesticides, and other pollutant sources, as well as effluent from septic systems. Section 30231 of the Coastal Act states:

***The biological productivity and the quality of coastal waters, streams, wetlands, estuaries, and lakes appropriate to maintain optimum populations of marine organisms and for the protection of human health shall be maintained and, where feasible, restored through, among other means, minimizing adverse effects of waste water discharges and entrainment, controlling runoff, preventing depletion of ground water supplies and substantial interference with surface water flow, encouraging waste water reclamation, maintaining natural vegetation buffer areas that protect riparian habitats, minimizing alteration of natural streams.***

The project site is located in the upper Las Virgenes Canyon watershed. Las Virgehes Creek and Malibu Creek are located downstream of the property. While no development is proposed in drainages onsite, the proposed development will result in an increase in impervious surface, which in turn decreases the infiltrative function and capacity of existing permeable land on site. The reduction in permeable space leads to an increase in the volume and velocity of stormwater runoff that can be expected to leave the site. Further, pollutants commonly found in runoff associated with residential use include petroleum hydrocarbons including oil and grease from vehicles; heavy metals; synthetic organic chemicals including paint and household cleaners; soap and dirt from washing vehicles; dirt and vegetation from yard maintenance; litter; fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides; and bacteria and pathogens from animal waste. The discharge of these pollutants to coastal waters can cause cumulative impacts such as: eutrophication and anoxic conditions resulting in fish kills and diseases and the alteration of aquatic habitat, including adverse changes to species composition and size; excess nutrients causing algae blooms and sedimentation increasing turbidity which both reduce the penetration of sunlight needed by aquatic vegetation which provide food and cover for aquatic species; disruptions to the reproductive cycle of aquatic species; and acute and sublethal toxicity in marine organisms leading to

adverse changes in reproduction and feeding behavior. These impacts reduce the biological productivity and the quality of coastal waters, streams, wetlands, estuaries, and lakes and reduce optimum populations of marine organisms and have adverse impacts on human health.

Therefore, in order to find the proposed development consistent with the water and marine resource policies of the Coastal Act, the Commission finds it necessary to require the incorporation of Best Management Practices designed to control the volume, velocity and pollutant load of stormwater leaving the developed site. Critical to the successful function of post-construction structural BMPs in removing pollutants in stormwater to the Maximum Extent Practicable (MEP), is the application of appropriate design standards for sizing BMPs. The majority of runoff is generated from small storms because most storms are small. Additionally, storm water runoff typically conveys a disproportionate amount of pollutants in the initial period that runoff is generated during a storm event. Designing BMPs for the small, more frequent storms, rather than for the large infrequent storms, results in improved BMP performance at lower cost.

The Commission finds that sizing post-construction structural BMPs to accommodate (infiltrate, filter or treat) the runoff from the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile storm runoff event, in this case, is equivalent to sizing BMPs based on the point of diminishing returns (i.e. the BMP capacity beyond which, insignificant increases in pollutants removal (and hence water quality protection) will occur, relative to the additional costs. Therefore, the Commission requires the selected post-construction structural BMPs be sized based on design criteria specified in **Special Condition No. Two (2)**, and finds this will ensure the proposed development will be designed to minimize adverse impacts to coastal resources, in a manner consistent with the water and marine policies of the Coastal Act.

Furthermore, interim erosion control measure implemented during construction and post construction landscaping will serve to minimize the potential for adverse impacts to water quality resulting from drainage runoff during construction and in the post-development stage. Therefore, the Commission finds that **Special Condition No. Three (3)** is necessary to ensure the proposed development will not adversely impact water quality or coastal resources.

Finally, the proposed development includes the installation of an onsite private sewage disposal system to serve the residence. The County of Los Angeles Environmental Health Department has given in-concept approval of the proposed septic system, determining that the system meets the requirements of the plumbing code. The Commission has found that conformance with the provisions of the plumbing code is protective of resources. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with Section 30231 of the Coastal Act.

## E. Visual Resources

Section 30251 of the Coastal Act states:

***The scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas shall be considered and protected as a resource of public importance. Permitted development shall be sited and designed to protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas, to minimize the alteration of natural land forms, to be visually compatible with the character of surrounding areas, and, where feasible, to restore and enhance visual quality in visually degraded areas. New development in highly scenic areas such as those designated in the California Coastline Reservation and Recreation Plan prepared by the Department of Parks and Recreation and by local government shall be subordinate to the character of its setting.***

Section 30251 of the Coastal Act requires scenic and visual qualities to be considered and preserved. Section 30251 also requires that development be sited and designed to protect views of scenic areas, minimize alteration of landforms, and be visually compatible with the surrounding area. The Commission is required to review the publicly accessible locations where the proposed development is visible to assess potential visual impacts to the public.

The subject site is located within a rural area characterized by expansive, naturally vegetated mountains. The site is located on a hillside extending up from Las Virgenes Road. The proposed residence will be visible from Las Virgenes Road and State park lands west of the property, across Las Virgenes Road. Areas surrounding the property to the west, south, and east are undeveloped. Dense development associated with a hindu temple, Soka University, and a single family exists to the north of the property.

The applicant proposes to construct a two story, 34 ft. high 4,452 sq. ft. single-family residence with attached 595 sq. ft. garage, septic system, retaining walls, paved driveway, access stairway, and 3,713 cu. yds. of grading (3,650 cu. yds. cut; 63 cu. yds. fill; 3,587 cu. yds. export). The applicant also proposes restoration of an unpermitted dirt road on the property back to natural conditions. Due to the steepness of terrain on the project site and existing road easements on the property, construction of the residence will require grading and landform alteration wherever it is sited. The applicant has proposed the building on a relatively flat area adjacent to Las Virgenes Road. This location minimizes the need for grading for the residence and reduces the length of the driveway necessary to access the residence. Despite this siting, the project will still involve 3,713 cu. yds of grading (3,650 yds. cut; 63 cu. yds fill), of which 1,440 cu. yds is for the house, 1,913 cu yds is for the driveway, and 360 cu yds. is for remediation of steep slopes surrounding the driveway and residence. The Commission notes that all siting and design alternatives for the house and driveway are either geologically infeasible or would require additional grading or vegetation removal. The residence will be 25 feet high from finished grade and clearly visible from Las Virgenes

Road and public parkland. The structures will not block public views of the ocean or mountains, though, due to the siting of the structures and the orientation of the property.

Given its location on a hillside adjacent to Las Virgenes Road and public parkland, the proposed development will impact views from public roads and public parks not matter where it is located on the subject property. As discussed in Section IV.B. Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas, outright denial of all residential use of the property would interfere with reasonable investment-backed expectations of the applicant and deprive the property of all reasonable economic use as described in Section 30010 of the Coastal Act. In chaparral ESHA areas in the Santa Monica Mountains, the Commission has required, through past permit actions, that development be clustered on a lot and the building pad size not exceed 10,000 sq. ft. to minimize impacts on the sensitive habitat. In this case, the proposed project has been sited and designed such that the proposed building development area (excluding the road, access stairway, and slope remediation) is approximately 4,800 sq. ft. Additionally, the development has been sited on a relatively flat area adjacent to Las Virgenes Road so as to reduce landform alternation and removal of native vegetation. As such, the proposed structures will be sited to minimize impacts to coastal resources to the extent feasible, while still providing adequate residential use of the site.

The visual impact of the proposed structure, water tank, and retaining walls can be minimized by requiring these structures be finished in a color consistent with the surrounding natural landscape and, further, by requiring that windows on the proposed residence be made of non-reflective glass. To ensure visual impacts associated with the colors of the structure and the potential glare of the window glass are minimized, the Commission requires the applicant to use colors compatible with the surrounding environment and non-glare glass, as detailed in **Special Condition Nine (9)**.

Visual impacts associated with proposed grading, and the structures themselves, can be further reduced by the use of appropriate and adequate landscaping. Therefore, **Special Condition Three (3)** requires the applicant to ensure that the vegetation on site remains visually compatible with the native flora of surrounding areas. Implementation of Special Condition Three (3) will soften the visual impact of the development from public view areas. To ensure that the final approved landscaping plans are successfully implemented, Special Condition Three (3) also requires the applicant to revegetate all disturbed areas, including the slope remediation areas and unpermitted dirt road, in a timely manner and includes a monitoring component to ensure the successful establishment of all newly planted and landscaped areas over time. Special Condition Three (3) also requires native vertical landscaping elements around the proposed residence to soften views of the residence from Las Virgenes Road.

In addition, the Commission has found that night lighting of areas in the Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains area creates a visual impact to nearby scenic roads and trails. In addition, night lighting may alter or disrupt feeding, nesting, and roosting activities of

native wildlife species. The subject site contains environmentally sensitive habitat. Therefore, **Special Condition Eight (8)** limits night lighting of the site in general; limits lighting to the developed area of the site; and specifies that lighting be shielded downward. The restriction on night lighting is necessary to protect the nighttime rural character of this portion of the Santa Monica Mountains consistent with the scenic and visual qualities of this coastal area.

Finally, regarding future developments or improvements, certain types of development on the property, normally associated with a single-family residence, which might otherwise be exempt, have the potential to impact scenic and visual resources in this area. It is necessary to ensure that any future development or improvements normally associated with the entire property, which might otherwise be exempt, is reviewed by the Commission for compliance with the scenic resource policy, Section 30251 of the Coastal Act. **Special Condition Six (6)**, the Future Development Restriction, will ensure that the Commission will have the opportunity to review future projects for compliance with the Coastal Act. Further, **Special Condition Eleven (11)** requires the applicant to record a deed restriction that imposes the terms and conditions of this permit as restrictions on use and enjoyment of the subject property and provides any prospective purchaser with recorded notice that the restrictions are imposed on the subject property.

Therefore, the Commission finds that the project, as conditioned, minimizes adverse effects to public views to and along the coast and minimizes the alternation of natural landforms. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with Section 30251 of the Coastal Act.

#### **F. Cumulative Impacts**

The Commission has consistently emphasized the need to address the cumulative impacts of new development in the Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains area. Section 30250(a) of the Coastal Act states:

***New residential, commercial, or industrial development, except as otherwise provided in this division, shall be located within, contiguous with, or in close proximity to, existing developed areas able to accommodate it or, where such areas are not able to accommodate it, in other areas with adequate public services and where it will not have significant adverse effects, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources. In addition, land divisions, other than leases for agricultural uses, outside existing developed areas shall be permitted only where 50 percent of the usable parcels in the area have been developed and the created parcels would be no smaller than the average size of surrounding parcels.***



Section 30105.5 of the Coastal Act defines the term "cumulatively" as it is used in Section 30250(a) to mean:

***[T]he incremental effects of an individual project shall be reviewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.***

The applicant is requesting after-the-fact approval of subdivisions which created the subject 1.94-acre parcel. In 1958, an approximately 22-acre parcel was divided into five lots, which included the area encompassed by the subject lot. As a result of the subdivision, an approximately 8-acre lot was created that included the entire subject lot. Following this subdivision, in 1961, a two lot subdivision occurred of the 8-acre lot creating the existing 1.94-acre lot subject to this application (Assessor's Parcel Number 4455-034-035) and a remaining 6.36-acre lot, which was further subdivided and recombined with other lots following 1961. The earlier 1959 five-lot subdivision did not comply with the applicable requirements of the Subdivision Map Act and/or Los Angeles County Planning and Zoning ordinances. According to Los Angeles County, the properties with the following assessor's parcel numbers were originally included in the area encompassed by the unpermitted five-lot subdivision: 4455-034-035; 4455-034-050; 4455-034-019; 4455-034-020; 4455-034-043; 4455-034-059; and 4462-030-903.

In 1984, the County of Los Angeles issued a Conditional Certificate of Compliance (CC 88-0083) on the property to "legalize" the parcel pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act. In April 1991 the County recorded a Certificate of Compliance Clearance of Conditions for CC 88-0083 (**Exhibit 3**). Although the 1958 (five-lot) subdivision and the 1961 (two-lot) subdivision occurred prior the effective date of the Coastal Act in 1977, because these lots were created in non-compliance with the requirements of the Subdivision Map Act and Los Angeles County Planning and Zoning Ordinances in place at the time, this development is not considered to be vested. The 1988 Certificate of Compliance which "legalized" this lot pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act is considered the first legal authorization for the land division and, therefore, requires a coastal development permit. However, the landowners at the time failed to secure a coastal development permit for the Certificate of Compliance. The applicant is requesting after-the-fact authorization for the subject site that was created pursuant to the 1988 Certificate of Compliance #88-0083.

The Commission typically reviews the creation of lots through a subdivision of land in a comprehensive manner and not on a piecemeal basis. The Commission review typically entails an analysis of the individual and cumulative impacts of the subdivision on coastal resources. To accomplish this the Commission reviews the proposed lot sizes and lot configurations to ensure consistency with minimum lot size requirements of the LUP, surrounding lot sizes, and to ensure each lot can be developed consistent with Chapter Three Policies of the Coastal Act. To adequately analyze the environmental impacts of a subdivision and determine consistency with Chapter Three Policies of the Coastal Act the applicant is required to submit detailed grading plans,

geology reports, percolation tests, biological studies, viewshed analysis and other studies that encompass the entire subdivision.

In this case, a comprehensive analysis of the land divisions, which created a total of seven separate parcels, is not possible because the lots have been sold to multiple owners and the Commission has permitted development on three of those parcels. In 1977, the Commission approved Coastal Development Permit 1602 for construction of a single-family residence on APN 4455-034-019. In 1977 and 1995, the Commission approved Coastal Development Permits 5814, 4-95-172, and 4-95-225 for a Hindu temple and associated improvements on APN 4455-034-050. In 1982 the Commission approved retention of a mobile home on APN 4455-034-043. Because of the separate ownerships and past permit actions, the Commission review, in this case, is limited to impacts of recognizing the creation of the subject 1.94-acre parcel.

The subject parcel and adjacent parcels that were subject to the underlying subdivision are in separate ownerships and the current landowners were not involved in the original subdivision of the original parent parcel. The Commission recently addressed this specific situation in the approval of the Malibu Local Coastal Program (LCP). Although the Malibu LCP is not the standard of review for development in Los Angeles County, the LCP provides policy guidance regarding the certificate of compliance issue in this particular case. The Commission found in the approval of the Malibu LCP that:

***A land division for which a certificate of compliance is requested may be approved where the land division complies with all requirements of Section 15.2 except the minimum parcel size, in two situations: 1) where the Coastal Commission previously approved a permit for development on one of the parcels created from the same parent parcel, those parcels do not have a common owner, and the owner requesting the certificate of compliance acquired the parcel prior to certification of the LCP in a good-faith, arm's length transaction and 2) where the parcel for which the certificate is requested is not in common ownership with any other contiguous parcels created from the same parent parcel and the owner acquired the parcel prior to certification of the LCP in a good-faith, arm's length transaction. (Sections 15.3 (C) and (D)). These provisions will prevent hardship to a subsequent purchaser, who was not the one who illegally subdivided the property and did not know or have reason to know that the parcel was created without compliance with the Coastal Act, if applicable, or other state laws or local ordinances. For all certificates of compliance that require a coastal development permit, a transfer of development credit is required to mitigate the cumulative impacts on coastal resources from creating a new parcel.***

In this case, the Commission has approved a permit for development on three of the parcels created from the same parent parcel, the applicant purchased the property in a good faith, arm's length transaction, and the subject parcel is not in current ownership



with any other contiguous parcels created from the parent parcel. Therefore, the Commission finds that given the above set of facts in this particular case, approval of the certificate of compliance is appropriate. Given the facts of this particular case, denial of the coastal development permit would result in an unreasonable hardship to the applicant who purchased this property in good faith without knowing the subject parcel was created without the benefit of a coastal development permit. However, the creation of an additional parcel in the Santa Monica Mountains will result in potential adverse cumulative impacts to coastal resources and therefore mitigation is required as discussed below.

The Commission has repeatedly emphasized the need to address the cumulative impacts of new development in the Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains area in past permit actions. The cumulative impact problem stems from the existence of thousands of undeveloped and poorly sited parcels in the mountains along with the potential for creating additional parcels and/or residential units through subdivisions and multi-unit projects. Because of the large number of existing undeveloped lots and potential future development, the demands on road capacity, services, recreational facilities, and beaches could be expected to grow tremendously. In addition, future build-out of many lots located in environmentally sensitive areas would create adverse cumulative impacts on coastal resources.

As a means of addressing the cumulative impact problem in past actions, the Commission has consistently required, as a special condition to development permits for land divisions and multi-unit projects, participation in the Transfer Development Credit (TDC) program as mitigation, such as has been done in past actions including CDPs P-78-155 (Zal), P-78-158 (Eide), P-81-182 (Malibu Deville), 5-83-43 (Heathercliff), 5-83-591 (Sunset-Regan), 5-85-748 (Ehrman & Coombs), 4-98-281 (Cariker), 4-00-028 (Layman), 4-00-044 (Blank Par-E, LLC) and 4-01-046 (PCH-Tyler Associates, Inc.). The TDC program has resulted in the retirement from development of existing, poorly sited, and non-conforming parcels at the same time new parcels or units were created. The intent of the program is to insure that no net increase in residential units results from the approval of land divisions or multi-family projects while allowing development to proceed consistent with the requirements of §30250(a). In summary, the Commission has found that the TDC program, or a similar technique to retire development rights on selected lots, remains a valid means of mitigating cumulative impacts. Without some means of mitigation, the Commission would have no alternative but to deny such projects, based on the provisions of §30250(a) of the Coastal Act.

The applicant is requesting approval to legalize the 1.94-acre subject parcel, which was created through an unpermitted five-lot subdivision and a subsequent two-lot subdivision. Staff's review indicates that the incremental contribution to cumulative impacts would be the creation, in this case, of one additional lot. As described above, the subject parcel and the other parcels that were part of the two previous subdivisions are held in separate ownerships. At such time as development is proposed on one or more of the other parcels, the Commission will consider the cumulative impacts

associated with the creation of each individual lot and determine the appropriate mitigation that should be required. Impacts such as traffic, sewage disposal, recreational uses, visual scenic quality, and resource degradation are associated with the development of an additional parcel in this area. Therefore, the Commission finds it necessary to impose cumulative impact mitigation requirements as a condition of approval of this permit in order to insure that the cumulative impacts of the creation of an additional buildable lot is adequately mitigated.

Therefore, **Special Condition No. Twelve (12)** requires the applicant to mitigate the cumulative impacts of the development of this property, either through purchase of one (1) TDC or participation along with a public agency or private nonprofit corporation in retiring habitat or watershed land in amounts that the Executive Director determines will retire the equivalent potential building site. The Commission finds that, as conditioned, the proposed project is consistent with §30250 of the Coastal Act.

#### **G. Unpermitted Development**

Unpermitted development occurred on the subject parcel prior to submission of this permit application involving creation of the subject lot and grading and clearing of a dirt road through the property. The subject lot was created as part of a five lot subdivision in 1958 and subsequent two lot subdivision in 1961. The 1958 subdivision that created the subject lot did not comply the requirements of the Subdivision Map Act and/or Los Angeles County Planning and Zoning ordinances. In 1988, the County of Los Angeles issued a Conditional Certificate of Compliance (#88-0083) for the property to "legalize" the pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act. The 1988 Certificate of Compliance which "legalized" this lot pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act is the first legal recognition of the subdivision and, therefore, requires a coastal development permit. However, the landowners at the time failed to secure a coastal development permit for the Certificate of Compliance. The applicant is now requesting after-the-fact approval to authorize the subject parcel as it was created pursuant to the 1988 Certificate of Compliance in order to address the unpermitted development. **Special Condition Twelve (12)** requires the applicant to mitigate the cumulative developments associated with creation of a new lot by extinguishing development rights on one building site in the Santa Monica Mountains.

Development in the form of a dirt road has also occurred on the subject site without the required coastal development permits. Creation of the road involved grading of land up to the currently proposed building site and clearing of vegetation from Las Virgenes Road to the northern property line of the parcel. The applicant is proposing, as part of this application, restoration of that portion of the road not included in the proposed building pad back to natural conditions. This will involve weeding of non-native plants in the road area and planting of native plants according to the approved Final Fuel Modification Plan for the property. **Special Condition Three (3)** requires the application to submit final landscaping plans that will include the road restoration for

review by the Executive Director. These plans shall include use of native drought resistant plants and monitoring for a period no less than five years.

In order to ensure that the matter of unpermitted development is resolved in timely manner, **Special Condition Thirteen (13)** requires the applicant satisfy all conditions of this permit, which are prerequisite to the issuance of this permit within 180 days of commission action, or within such additional time as the Executive Director may grant for good cause.

Consideration of this application by the Commission has been based solely upon the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act. Review of this permit does not constitute a waiver of any legal action with regard to the alleged violation nor does it constitute an admission as to the legality of any development undertaken on the subject site without a coastal permit.

#### **H. Local Coastal Program**

Section 30604 of the Coastal Act states:

*a) Prior to certification of the local coastal program, a coastal development permit shall be issued if the issuing agency, or the commission on appeal, finds that the proposed development is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 30200) of this division and that the permitted development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare a local program that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 30200).*

Section 30604(a) of the Coastal Act provides that the Commission shall issue a Coastal Development Permit only if the project will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction to prepare a Local Coastal Program, which conforms to Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act. The preceding sections provide findings that the proposed project will be in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 if certain conditions are incorporated into the projects and are accepted by the applicant. As conditioned, the proposed developments will not create adverse impacts and is found to be consistent with the applicable policies contained in Chapter 3. Therefore, the Commission finds that approval of the proposed developments, as conditioned, will not prejudice the County of Los Angeles' ability to prepare a Local Coastal Program for this area which is also consistent with the policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act, as required by Section 30604(a).

#### **G. California Environmental Quality Act**

Section 13096(a) of the Commission's administrative regulations requires Commission approval of a Coastal Development Permit application to be supported by a finding showing the application, as conditioned by any conditions of approval, to be consistent

with any applicable requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Section 21080.5(d)(2)(A) of CEQA prohibits a proposed development from being approved if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect that the activity may have on the environment.

The Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned, will not have significant adverse effects on the environment within the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970. Therefore, the proposed project, as conditioned, has been adequately mitigated and is determined to be consistent with CEQA and the policies of the Coastal Act.




4455 34  
SCALE 1" = 400'

1995

670525/110  
670802

Revised:  
9-30-57  
12-26-58  
1-13-59  
1-14-59  
8-31-60  
7-28-61  
3-2-62  
12-17-62  
12-18-62  
10-21-63  
11-16-63  
3-10-64  
4-5-64  
6-10-64  
9-21-64  
690718419  
720126501  
720315415  
760719607  
801231014  
84031306-84  
94052807002001-02



DETAIL  
SCALE 1" = 60'

CODE  
4971

T. 1S, R. 17W.

JUL 12 1994

ASSESSOR'S MAP  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIF.

SEE:  
Exhibit 2  
CDP 4-04-121  
2005 Parcel  
Map



## RECORDING REQUESTED BY

Department of Regional Planning  
320 West Temple Street  
Room 1195, Hall of Records  
Los Angeles, California 90017

## AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO

ALBERT N. DUKOW  
10200 RIVERSIDE DRIVE #202  
TOLUCA LAKE, CA 91602

Name

Street

City

88 1189731

RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS  
RECORDER'S OFFICE  
LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
CALIFORNIA

31 MIN. PAST 9 A.M. JUL 28 1988

FEE \$9

3

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE CC88-0083

## REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I We the undersigned, request of record (and its recording) pursuant to a contract of sale of the following described interest property within the unincorporated territory of the County of Los Angeles, hereby RECALLS the County of Los Angeles to determine if said property described below complies with the provisions of the Subdivision Map Act (Sec. 66410 et seq., Government Code, State of California) and the Los Angeles Code, Title 21 (Subdivisions).

*Albert N. Dukow*  
Signature

ALBERT N. DUKOW

(Name Typed or Printed)

*Michael E. Rosen*  
Signature

MICHAEL E. ROSEN

(Name Typed or Printed)

*Carol Rosen*  
Signature

CAROL ROSEN

(Name Typed or Printed)

*Henrietta Dukow*  
Date

HENRIETTA DUKOW

LEGAL DESCRIPTION  
(TYPED)

## PARCEL 1:

THAT PORTION OF LOT 3 IN SECECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 1 SOUTH, RANGE 17 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ACCORDING to the official plat of said land filed in the district LAND OFFICE ON AUGUST 31, 1896, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT IN THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 3 THAT IS DISTANT THEREON SOUTH 0 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 25 SECONDS EAST, 149.13 FEET FROM THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 3; THENCE SOUTH 77 DEGREES 04 MINUTES 55 SECONDS EAST, 548.97 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENT TO SAID CURVE, SOUTH 59 DEGREES 32 MINUTES 25 SECONDS EAST, 147.31 FEET TO A POINT DESIGNATED FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS DESCRIPTION AS POINT "A"; THENCE FROM SAID POINT "A" CONTINUING SOUTH 59 DEGREES 32 MINUTES 25 SECONDS EAST, 177.13 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 0 DEGREES 05 MINUTES 48 SECONDS WEST, 525.28 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING OF THIS DESCRIPTION; THENCE FROM SAID TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING, NORTH 89 DEGREES 54 MINUTES 12 SECONDS WEST, 152.83 FEET TO THE POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH A LINE BEARING SOUTH 0 DEGREES 05 MINUTES 48 SECONDS WEST AND WHICH PASSES THROUGH THE POINT HEREINABOVE DESIGNATED AS POINT "A", SAID POINT OF INTERSECTION BEING DISTANT ALONG SAID LINE, SOUTH 0 DEGREES 05 MINUTES 48 SECONDS WEST, 614.81 FEET FROM SAID POINT "A"; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERNLY PROLONGATION OF SAID LAST MENTIONED LINE, SOUTH 0 DEGREES 05 MINUTES 48 SECONDS WEST, 85.65 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 52 DEGREES 35 MINUTES 50 SECONDS WEST, 185.65 FEET TO AN INTERSECTION WITH THAT CERTAIN CURVE IN THE CENTER LINE OF LA VIRGENES ROAD, DESCRIBED IN DEGREE OF CONDEMNATION RECORDED ON APRIL 4, 1950, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2572, IN BOOK 32760 PAGE 105, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY, AS BEING CONCAVE NORTHEASTERLY HAVING A RADIUS OF 200.00 FEET AND A LENGTH OF 485.78 FEET, A RADIAL LINE OF SAID CURVE TO SAID INTERSECTION BEARS SOUTH 52 DEGREES 35 MINUTES 50 SECONDS WEST; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID CURVE, AN ARC DISTANCE OF 151.01 FEET TO THE INTERSECTION OF SAID CENTER LINE WITH THE

62-01-10-76 Revised 6-85

76R592P

RECEIVED

JAN 9 2005

CALIFORNIA  
COASTAL COMMISSION  
SOUTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT

Exhibit 3

CDP 4-04-121

Certificate of  
Compliance

88-0083



# CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE CONTINUATION

SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID LOT3, A RADIAL LINE CURVE OF SAID LAND MENTIONED INTERSECTION BEARS SOUTH 48 DEGREES 16 MINUTES 25 SECONDS WEST; THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE, SOUTH 89 DEGREES 54 MINUTES 12 SECONDS EAST, 203.7 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE SOUTHERLY PROLONGATION OF THAT CERTAIN COURSE HEREINABOVE DESCRIBED AS HAVING A BEARING AND LENGTH OF "SOUTH 0 DEGREES 05 MINUTES 48 SECONDS WEST, 525.28 FEET"; THENCE ALONG SAID PROLONGATION, NORTH 0 DEGREES 05 MINUTES 48 SECONDS EAST, 284.89 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

EXCEPT THAT PORTION OF SAID LAND, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHERLY TERMINUS OF THAT CERTAIN COURSE HEREINABOVE DESCRIBES AS HAVING A BEARING AND LENGTH OF "SOUTH 0 DEGREES 05 MINUTES 48 SECONDS 48 SECONDS WEST, 85.65 FEET"; THENCE ALONG THE WESTERNLY AND NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE HEREINABOVE DESCRIBED LAND AS FOLLOWS:

SOUTH 0 DEGREES 05 MINUTES 48 SECONDS WEST, 85.65 FEET AND SOUTH 52 DEGREES 35 MINUTES 58 SECONDS WEST, 185.65 FEET TO THE MOST WESTERLY CORNER OF THE HEREINABOVE DESCRIBES LAND; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE HEREINABOVE DESCRIBED LAND TO A LINE PARALLEL WITH AND DISTANT SOUTHEASTERLY 15.00 FEET, MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES FROM THAT CERTAIN COURSE HEREINABOVE DESCRIBED AS HAVING A BEARING AND LENGTH OF "SOUTH 52 DEGREES 35 MINUTES 58 SECONDS WEST, 185.65 FEET"; THENCE ALONG SAID PARALLEL LINE AND ITS PROLONGATION, NORTH 52 DEGREES 35 MINUTES 58 SECONDS EAST TO A LINE PARALLEL WITH AND DISTANT EASTERLY 15.00 FEET, MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES FROM THAT CERTAIN COURSE HEREINABOVE DESCRIBED AS HAVING A BEARING AND LENGTH OF "SOUTH 0 DEGREES 05 MINUTES 48 SECONDS WEST, 85.65 FEET" AND ITS SOUTHERLY PROLONGATION; THENCE ALONG SAID MENTIONED PARALLEL LINE, NORTH 0 DEGREES 05 MINUTES 48 SECONDS EAST TO THE NORTHERLY LINE OF THE HEREINABOVE DESCRIBED LAND; THENCE ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE, NORTH 89 DEGREES 54 MINUTES 12 SECONDS WEST, 15.00 FEET TO SAID POINT OF BEGINNING.

88-1189731

22-1189731

RECEIVED

JAN 13 2005

SOUTHERLY DISTRICT

# CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

CONTINUATION

CC-88-0083

## NOTES:

Prospective purchasers should check site conditions and applicable development codes to determine whether the property is suitable for their intended use.

Prior to authorization to build on this property, the applicant will be required to conform to the County building regulations. Such regulations include, but are not limited to, programs for appropriate sanitary sewage disposal and water supply for domestic use and fire suppression.

GEOLOGIC, soils and/or drainage conditions on the subject property may limit development or necessitate that remedial measures be taken in order to obtain a Building Permit.

88-1189731

## DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE (E)

I hereby certify that the above described parcel complies with the applicable provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the County Subdivision Ordinance, having been exempt from said act and ordinance at the time of its creation and may therefore be sold, financed, leased or transferred.

### NOTE

This determination DOES NOT GUARANTEE that the subject property meets current design and engineering standards for such elements as: seismic safety, fire protection, flood control, etc. It is the responsibility of the applicant to determine whether the property is suitable for their intended use.



AMS 4455-34-35

DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING  
County of Los Angeles, State of California

nfs

DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING

By [Signature]

Title Administrator, Land Use Regulation Division

Date Jan 9 1985

52-27-3778

RECEIVED

JAN - 9 2005

ON  
SOUTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT

## RECORDING REQUESTED BY

Department of Regional Planning  
320 West Temple Street  
Room 1281, Hall of Records  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO

Name: Albert N. Dukov

Address: 10200 Riverside Drive #202

City: Toluca Lake, CA 91602

88 1245384

RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS  
RECORDER'S OFFICE  
LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
CALIFORNIA

1 MIN. PAST 9 A.M. AUG 8 1988

FEE \$7 B

2

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

## CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

CC-88-0083

## CORRECTION

The ORIGINAL CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE, Recorded as Document No. 88-1189731,  
July 28, 1988, which listed as OWNER(S) DUKOW, Albert N. & Henrietta;  
ROSEN, Michael E. & ROSEN, Carol  
is hereby corrected as follows:

Page 3 was inadvertently excluded when recorded.

AMB:4455:34(35)

ACMC-02-2-379

RECEIVED

JAN 13 2005

CALIFORNIA  
COASTAL COMMISSION  
SOUTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT

APPLICANT. DUKOW, Albert N. & Henrietta  
rosen, Michael E. & Carol

PAGE 3/3

## CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

CONTINUATION

CC 88-0083

### DETERMINATION OF CONDITIONAL COMPLIANCE

The above described parcel was not created in compliance with State and County Subdivision regulations. Under current State law, THE PROPERTY MAY BE SOLD, LEASED, FINANCED OR OTHERWISE CONVEYED WITHOUT RESTRICTION. HOWEVER, THE CONDITIONS LISTED BELOW MUST BE FULFILLED BEFORE ISSUANCE OF A BUILDING PERMIT OR OTHER DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL. These conditions are in addition to any permit requirements which may be imposed.

#### CONDITION(S):

1. OFFER for Road-Right-of-Way any portion of the subject property within 50 feet of the center-line for Las Virgenes Road, the NorthWest, West & South 30 feet of the subject property, the radius at the intersection of said right(s)-of-way and Slope-Easements adjacent thereto, to the SATISFACTION of County Public Works Officials.
2. OFFER said Right-of-Way as Easements to other property owners in Section 7, Township 1 South, Range 17 West.
3. DEDICATE to the County the Right-to-Restrict-Access from the subject property to Las Virgenes Road.

88-1245384

#### NOTES:

Prospective purchasers should check site conditions and applicable development codes to determine whether the property is suitable for their intended use.

Prior to authorization to build on this property, the applicant will be required to conform to the County building regulations. Such regulations include, but are not limited to, programs for appropriate sanitary sewage disposal and water supply for domestic use and fire and suppression.

Geologic, soil and/or drainage conditions on the subject property may limit development or necessitate that remedial measures be taken in order to obtain a Building Permit.

Projects which may affect an endangered species, wetlands, a stream bed or any other waters of the United States, will require a permit from the Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers.

A.M.B. 4455-34-35

DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING



DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING  
County of Los Angeles, State of California

By: *[Signature]*  
Title: Administrator, Land Use Reg. Div.  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Lr

764182-00 11-03

RECEIVED

JAN 13 2005

COASTAL COMMISSION  
SOUTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT

APR 22 1991

RECORDING REQUESTED BY

Department of Regional Planning  
320 West Temple Street  
Room 1125, Hall of Records  
Los Angeles, California 90013

AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO

Name: JERRY L. WILLIAMS

Street: 3723 WEST 60th STREET

City: LOS ANGELES, CA 90043

91- 567668

RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS  
RECORDERS OFFICE  
LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
CALIFORNIA

31 MIN. 8 A.M. APR 22 1991  
PAST.

FEE  
\$5  
P

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

88-0083

CLEARANCE OF CONDITIONS

The owner(s) and/or holder(s) of a title interest in the real property within the unincorporated territory of the County of Los Angeles, having satisfied the conditions as enumerated in the CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE, Recorded as document No. 91-340719, on MARCH 11, 1991; Complies with the provisions of the Subdivision Map Act (Sec. 66410 et seq., Government Code, State of California) and the County Subdivision Ordinance (Ord. 4478, County of Los Angeles.)

OWNER(S): WILLIAMS, JERRY L. AND JOYCE B.

NOTES:

Prospective purchasers should check site conditions and applicable development codes to determine whether the property is suitable for their intended use.

Prior to authorization to build on this property, the applicant will be required to conform to the County building regulations. Such regulations include, but are not limited to, programs for appropriate sanitary sewage disposal and water supply for domestic use and fire suppression.

Geologic, soil and/or drainage conditions on the subject property may limit development or necessitate that remedial measures be taken in order to obtain a Building Permit.

Projects which may affect an endangered species, wetlands, a stream bed or any other waters of the United States, will require a permit from the Department of the Army Corps of Engineers.

DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that the subject parcel complies with the applicable provisions of the Subdivision Map Act and of the County Subdivision Ordinance and may be developed and/or sold, financed, leased or transferred in full compliance with all applicable provisions of the Subdivision Map Act and of the County Subdivision Ordinance.

AMB: 4455:34(35)

DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING



DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING  
County of Los Angeles, State of California  
James E. Hartl, AICP  
Planning Director

By: [Signature]  
Title: Administrator, Land Use Reg. Div.  
Date: APR 22 1991

706180 - 06-10-11/92 - PG 11-02

RECEIVED

JAN - 9 2005

CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DISTRICT

PARCEL 2

PARCEL 1


← Fuel Modification Zone C

← Fuel Modification Zone B

### EASEMENTS:

- ▲ ROAD EASEMENT PER 32780-105, D.R. TO LA COUNTY
- ▲ SLOPE EASEMENT PER 32780-105, D.R. TO LA COUNTY
- ▲ ROAD EASEMENT PER 4417,4-18-83, D.R. (D1983-785) TO LA COUNTY
- ▲ ROAD AND SLOPE EASEMENTS PER NO. 91-552755, 4-18-91, D.R. TO LA COUNTY

THE PROPOSED WORK WITHIN THE EASEMENTS PER APPROVAL OF THE CONSTRUCTION PERMITS SECTION DATED 08/04/05.



### PLOT PLAN

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

MIRAN ENTERPRISES  
1510 LAS VIRGENES ROAD  
CALABASAS, CA 91302



NO	REVISIONS	REVISED BY	APPROVED BY	DATE

RECEIVED LAND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

BY


SUBMITTER'S PLAN CHECKER'S REVIEW DATE

ONE ENGINEERS  
STATE DR. SUITE 5  
DE, CA 92501  
1511 222-2110 - Fax: (951) 222-2188  
NECVIL@SBCGLOBAL.NET

Exhibit 4  
CDP 4-04-121  
Site Map









Project Name (Client - Address - City)		Sheet
MAYOR'S PROJECT LAS VEGAS ROAD		2 of ?
Use Description:	Date: 02/28/2003	Drawn by: CDB STAFF
Revision Date:		
Drawn by:		
FLOOR PLANS		

Exhibit 6  
CDP 4-04-121  
Floor Plan



RIGHT SIDE ELEVATION

SCALE 1/4"=1'-0"



FRONT ELEVATION

SCALE 1/4"=1'-0"



Project Name: Plant - Address - City

Use Description:

FLOOR PLANS

Sheet 3 of ?

Exhibit 7  
CDP 4-04-121  
Elevations




LOT SECTION B-B  
SCALE 1/8"=1'-0"

LOT SECTION A-A  
SCALE 1/8"=1'-0"

Sheet <b>1</b> of <b>?</b>		Project Name (Client - Address - City) MADIS PROJECT LAS VEGAS ROAD	Order 04-04-121
			Revision Date 04-04-121
User Description SITE PLAN		Drawing By CDB STAFF	

**Exhibit 8**  
**CDP 4-04-121**  
**Elevations**





**2001 Aerial Photo of Project Site**



**1977 Aerial Photo of Project Site**

**Exhibit 9**

**CDP 4-04-121**

**Aerial Photos**





**Proposed driveway and building sites.**



**Existing dirt road on property.**

**Exhibit 10**

**CDP 4-04-121**

**Site Photos**





## CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT, SUITE 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219  
VOICE AND TDD (415) 904-5200  
FAX (415) 904-5400



## MEMORANDUM

FROM: John Dixon, Ph.D.  
Ecologist / Wetland Coordinator

TO: Ventura Staff

SUBJECT: Designation of ESHA in the Santa Monica Mountains

DATE: March 25, 2003

In the context of the Malibu LCP, the Commission found that the Mediterranean Ecosystem in the Santa Mountains is rare, and especially valuable because of its relatively pristine character, physical complexity, and resultant biological diversity. Therefore, areas of undeveloped native habitat in the Santa Monica Mountains that are large and relatively unfragmented may meet the definition of ESHA by virtue of their valuable roles in that ecosystem, regardless of their relative rarity throughout the state. This is the only place in the coastal zone where the Commission has recognized chaparral as meeting the definition of ESHA. The scientific background presented herein for ESHA analysis in the Santa Monica Mountains is adapted from the Revised Findings for the Malibu LCP that the Commission adopted on February 6, 2003.

For habitats in the Santa Monica Mountains, particularly coastal sage scrub and chaparral, there are three site-specific tests to determine whether an area is ESHA because of its especially valuable role in the ecosystem. First, is the habitat properly identified, for example as coastal sage scrub or chaparral? The requisite information for this test generally should be provided by a site-specific biological assessment. Second, is the habitat largely undeveloped and otherwise relatively pristine? Third, is the habitat part of a large, contiguous block of relatively pristine native vegetation? This should be documented with an aerial photograph from our mapping unit (with the site delineated) and should be attached as an exhibit to the staff report. For those habitats that are absolutely rare or that support individual rare species, it is not necessary to find that they are relatively pristine, and are neither isolated nor fragmented.

**Designation of Environmentally Sensitive Habitat in the  
Santa Monica Mountains**

The Coastal Act provides a definition of "environmentally sensitive area" as: "Any area in which plant or animal life or their habitats are either rare or especially valuable because of their special nature or role in an ecosystem and which could be easily disturbed or degraded by human activities and developments" (Section 30107.5).

Exhibit 11

CDP 4-04-028

Findings  
Regarding  
ESHA

There are three important elements to the definition of ESHA. First, a geographic area can be designated ESHA either because of the presence of individual species of plants or animals or because of the presence of a particular habitat. Second, in order for an area to be designated as ESHA, the species or habitat must be either rare or it must be especially valuable. Finally, the area must be easily disturbed or degraded by human activities.

The first test of ESHA is whether a habitat or species is rare. Rarity can take several forms, each of which is important. Within the Santa Monica Mountains, rare species and habitats often fall within one of two common categories. Many rare species or habitats are globally rare, but locally abundant. They have suffered severe historical declines in overall abundance and currently are reduced to a small fraction of their original range, but where present may occur in relatively large numbers or cover large local areas. This is probably the most common form of rarity for both species and habitats in California and is characteristic of coastal sage scrub, for example. Some other habitats are geographically widespread, but occur everywhere in low abundance. California's native perennial grasslands fall within this category.

A second test for ESHA is whether a habitat or species is especially valuable. Areas may be valuable because of their "special nature," such as being an unusually pristine example of a habitat type, containing an unusual mix of species, supporting species at the edge of their range, or containing species with extreme variation. For example, reproducing populations of valley oaks are not only increasingly rare, but their southernmost occurrence is in the Santa Monica Mountains. Generally, however, habitats or species are considered valuable because of their special "role in the ecosystem." For example, many areas within the Santa Monica Mountains may meet this test because they provide habitat for endangered species, protect water quality, provide essential corridors linking one sensitive habitat to another, or provide critical ecological linkages such as the provision of pollinators or crucial trophic connections. Of course, all species play a role in their ecosystem that is arguably "special." However, the Coastal Act requires that this role be "especially valuable." This test is met for relatively pristine areas that are integral parts of the Santa Monica Mountains Mediterranean ecosystem because of the demonstrably rare and extraordinarily special nature of that ecosystem as detailed below.

Finally, ESHAs are those areas that could be easily disturbed or degraded by human activities and developments. Within the Santa Monica Mountains, as in most areas of southern California affected by urbanization, all natural habitats are in grave danger of direct loss or significant degradation as a result of many factors related to anthropogenic changes.

### **Ecosystem Context of the Habitats of the Santa Monica Mountains**

The Santa Monica Mountains comprise the largest, most pristine, and ecologically complex example of a Mediterranean ecosystem in coastal southern California.

California's coastal sage scrub, chaparral, oak woodlands, and associated riparian areas have analogues in just a few areas of the world with similar climate. Mediterranean ecosystems with their wet winters and warm dry summers are only found in five localities (the Mediterranean coast, California, Chile, South Africa, and south and southwest Australia). Throughout the world, this ecosystem with its specially adapted vegetation and wildlife has suffered severe loss and degradation from human development. Worldwide, only 18 percent of the Mediterranean community type remains undisturbed<sup>1</sup>. However, within the Santa Monica Mountains, this ecosystem is remarkably intact despite the fact that it is closely surrounded by some 17 million people. For example, the 150,000 acres of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area, which encompasses most of the Santa Monica Mountains, was estimated to be 90 percent free of development in 2000<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, this relatively pristine area is both large and mostly unfragmented, which fulfills a fundamental tenet of conservation biology<sup>3</sup>. The need for large contiguous areas of natural habitat in order to maintain critical ecological processes has been emphasized by many conservation biologists<sup>4</sup>.

In addition to being a large single expanse of land, the Santa Monica Mountains ecosystem is still connected, albeit somewhat tenuously, to adjacent, more inland ecosystems<sup>5</sup>. Connectivity among habitats within an ecosystem and connectivity among ecosystems is very important for the preservation of species and ecosystem integrity. In a recent statewide report, the California Resources Agency<sup>6</sup> identified wildlife corridors and habitat connectivity as the top conservation priority. In a letter to governor Gray Davis, sixty leading environmental scientists have endorsed the

<sup>1</sup> National Park Service. 2000. Draft general management plan & environmental impact statement. Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area - California.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

<sup>3</sup> Harris, L. D. 1988. Edge effects and conservation of biotic diversity. *Conserv. Biol.* 330-332. Soule, M. E., D. T. Bolger, A. C. Alberts, J. Wright, M. Sorce and S. Hill. 1988. Reconstructed dynamics of rapid extinctions of chaparral-requiring birds in urban habitat islands. *Conserv. Biol.* 2: 75-92. Yahner, R. H. 1988. Changes in wildlife communities near edges. *Conserv. Biol.* 2:333-339. Murphy, D. D. 1989. Conservation and confusion: Wrong species, wrong scale, wrong conclusions. *Conservation Biol.* 3:82-84.

<sup>4</sup> Crooks, K. 2000. Mammalian carnivores as target species for conservation in Southern California. p. 105-112 *in*: Keeley, J. E., M. Baer-Keeley and C. J. Fotheringham (eds), 2<sup>nd</sup> Interface Between Ecology and Land Development in California, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-62. Sauvajot, R. M., E. C. York, T. K. Fuller, H. Sharon Kim, D. A. Kamradt and R. K. Wayne. 2000. Distribution and status of carnivores in the Santa Monica Mountains, California: Preliminary results from radio telemetry and remote camera surveys. p 113-123 *in*: Keeley, J. E., M. Baer-Keeley and C. J. Fotheringham (eds), 2<sup>nd</sup> Interface Between Ecology and Land Development in California, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-62. Beier, P. and R. F. Noss. 1998. Do habitat corridors provide connectivity? *Conserv. Biol.* 12:1241-1252. Beier, P. 1996. Metapopulation models, tenacious tracking and cougar conservation. *in*: Metapopulations and Wildlife Conservation, ed. D. R. McCullough. Island Press, Covelo, California, 429p.

<sup>5</sup> The SMM area is linked to larger natural inland areas to the north through two narrow corridors: 1) the Conejo Grade connection at the west end of the Mountains and 2) the Simi Hills connection in the central region of the SMM (from Malibu Creek State Park to the Santa Susanna Mountains).

<sup>6</sup> California Resources Agency. 2001. Missing Linkages: Restoring Connectivity to the California Landscape. California Wilderness Coalition, Calif. Dept of Parks & Recreation, USGS, San Diego Zoo and The Nature Conservancy. Available at: <http://www.calwild.org/pubs/reports/linkages/index.htm>

conclusions of that report<sup>7</sup>. The chief of natural resources at the California Department of Parks and Recreation has identified the Santa Monica Mountains as an area where maintaining connectivity is particularly important<sup>8</sup>.

The species most directly affected by large scale connectivity are those that require large areas or a variety of habitats, e.g., gray fox, cougar, bobcat, badger, steelhead trout, and mule deer<sup>9</sup>. Large terrestrial predators are particularly good indicators of habitat connectivity and of the general health of the ecosystem<sup>10</sup>. Recent studies show that the mountain lion, or cougar, is the most sensitive indicator species of habitat fragmentation, followed by the spotted skunk and the bobcat<sup>11</sup>. Sightings of cougars in both inland and coastal areas of the Santa Monica Mountains<sup>12</sup> demonstrate their continued presence. Like the "canary in the mineshaft," an indicator species like this is good evidence that habitat connectivity and large scale ecological function remains in the Santa Monica Mountains ecosystem.

The habitat integrity and connectivity that is still evident within the Santa Monica Mountains is extremely important to maintain, because both theory and experiments over 75 years in ecology confirm that large spatially connected habitats tend to be more stable and have less frequent extinctions than habitats without extended spatial structure<sup>13</sup>. Beyond simply destabilizing the ecosystem, fragmentation and disturbance

<sup>7</sup> Letters received and included in the September 2002 staff report for the Malibu LCP.

<sup>8</sup> Schoch, D. 2001. Survey lists 300 pathways as vital to state wildlife. Los Angeles Times. August 7, 2001.

<sup>9</sup> Martin, G. 2001. Linking habitat areas called vital for survival of state's wildlife Scientists map main migration corridors. San Francisco Chronicle, August 7, 2001.

<sup>10</sup> Noss, R. F., H. B. Quigley, M. G. Hornocker, T. Merrill and P. C. Paquet. 1996. Conservation biology and carnivore conservation in the Rocky Mountains. *Conserv. Biol.* 10: 949-963. Noss, R. F. 1995. Maintaining ecological integrity in representative reserve networks. World Wildlife Fund Canada.

<sup>11</sup> Sauvajot, R. M., E. C. York, T. K. Fuller, H. Sharon Kim, D. A. Kamradt and R. K. Wayne. 2000. Distribution and status of carnivores in the Santa Monica Mountains, California: Preliminary results from radio telemetry and remote camera surveys. p 113-123 in: Keeley, J. E., M. Baer-Keeley and C. J. Fotheringham (eds), 2nd Interface Between Ecology and Land Development in California, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-62. Beler, P. 1996. Metapopulation models, tenacious tracking and cougar conservation. In: *Metapopulations and Wildlife Conservation*, ed. D. R. McCullough. Island Press, Covelo, California, 429p.

<sup>12</sup> Recent sightings of mountain lions include: Temescal Canyon (pers. com., Peter Brown, Facilities Manager, Calvary Church), Topanga Canyon (pers. com., Marti Witter, NPS), Encinal and Trancas Canyons (pers. com., Pat Healy), Stump Ranch Research Center (pers. com., Dr. Robert Wayne, Dept. of Biology, UCLA). In May of 2002, the NPS photographed a mountain lion at a trip camera on the Back Bone Trail near Castro Crest - Seth Riley, Eric York and Dr. Ray Sauvajot, National Park Service, SMMNRA.

<sup>13</sup> Gause, G. F. 1934. The struggle for existence. Baltimore, William and Wilkins 163 p. (also reprinted by Hafner, N.Y. 1964). Gause, G. F., N. P. Smaragdova and A. A. Witt. 1936. Further studies of interaction between predators and their prey. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 5:1-18. Huffaker, C. B. 1958. Experimental studies on predation: dispersion factors and predator-prey oscillations. *Hilgardia* 27:343-383. Luckinbill, L. S. 1973. Coexistence in laboratory populations of *Paramecium aurelia* and its predator *Didinium nasutum*. *Ecology* 54:1320-1327. Allen, J. C., C. C. Brewster and D. H. Slone. 2001. Spatially explicit ecological models: A spatial convolution approach. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*. 12:333-347.

can even cause unexpected and irreversible changes to new and completely different kinds of ecosystems (habitat conversion)<sup>14</sup>.

As a result of the pristine nature of large areas of the Santa Monica Mountains and the existence of large, unfragmented and interconnected blocks of habitat, this ecosystem continues to support an extremely diverse flora and fauna. The observed diversity is probably a function of the diversity of physical habitats. The Santa Monica Mountains have the greatest geological diversity of all major mountain ranges within the transverse range province. According to the National Park Service, the Santa Monica Mountains contain 40 separate watersheds and over 170 major streams with 49 coastal outlets<sup>15</sup>. These streams are somewhat unique along the California coast because of their topographic setting. As a "transverse" range, the Santa Monica Mountains are oriented in an east-west direction. As a result, the south-facing riparian habitats have more variable sun exposure than the east-west riparian corridors of other sections of the coast. This creates a more diverse moisture environment and contributes to the higher biodiversity of the region. The many different physical habitats of the Santa Monica Mountains support at least 17 native vegetation types<sup>16</sup> including the following habitats considered sensitive by the California Department of Fish and Game: native perennial grassland, coastal sage scrub, red-shank chaparral, valley oak woodland, walnut woodland, southern willow scrub, southern cottonwood-willow riparian forest, sycamore-alder woodland, oak riparian forest, coastal salt marsh, and freshwater marsh. Over 400 species of birds, 35 species of reptiles and amphibians, and more than 40 species of mammals have been documented in this diverse ecosystem. More than 80 sensitive species of plants and animals (listed, proposed for listing, or species of concern) are known to occur or have the potential to occur within the Santa Monica Mountains Mediterranean ecosystem.

The Santa Monica Mountains are also important in a larger regional context. Several recent studies have concluded that the area of southern California that includes the Santa Monica Mountains is among the most sensitive in the world in terms of the number of rare endemic species, endangered species and habitat loss. These studies have designated the area to be a local hot-spot of endangerment in need of special protection<sup>17</sup>.

Therefore, the Commission finds that the Santa Monica Mountains ecosystem is itself rare and especially valuable because of its special nature as the largest, most pristine,

<sup>14</sup> Scheffer, M., S. Carpenter, J. A. Foley, C. Folke and B. Walker. 2001. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. *Nature* 413:591-596.

<sup>15</sup> NPS. 2000. op.cit.

<sup>16</sup> From the NPS report (2000 op. cit.) that is based on the older Holland system of subjective classification. The data-driven system of Sawyer and Keeler-Wolf results in a much larger number of distinct "alliances" or vegetation types.

<sup>17</sup> Myers, N. 1990. The biodiversity challenge: Expanded hot-spots analysis. *Environmentalist* 10:243-256. Myers, N., R. A. Mittermeier, C. G. Mittermeier, G. A. B. da Fonseca and J. A. Kent. 2000. Biodiversity hot-spots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403:853-858. Dobson, A. P., J. P. Rodriguez, W. M. Roberts and D. S. Wilcove. 1997. Geographic distribution of endangered species in the United States. *Science* 275:550-553.



physically complex, and biologically diverse example of a Mediterranean ecosystem in coastal southern California. The Commission further finds that because of the rare and special nature of the Santa Monica Mountains ecosystem, the ecosystem roles of substantially intact areas of the constituent plant communities discussed below are "especially valuable" under the Coastal Act.

### **Major Habitats within the Santa Monica Mountains**

The most recent vegetation map that is available for the Santa Monica Mountains is the map that was produced for the National Park Service in the mid-1990s using 1993 satellite imagery supplemented with color and color infrared aerial imagery from 1984, 1988, and 1994 and field review<sup>18</sup>. The minimum mapping unit was 5 acres. For that map, the vegetation was mapped in very broad categories, generally following a vegetation classification scheme developed by Holland<sup>19</sup>. Because of the mapping methods used the degree of plant community complexity in the landscape is not represented. For example, the various types of "ceanothus chaparral" that have been documented were lumped under one vegetation type referred to as "northern mixed chaparral." Dr. Todd Keeler-Wolf of the California Department of Fish and Game is currently conducting a more detailed, quantitative vegetation survey of the Santa Monica Mountains.

The National Park Service map can be used to characterize broadly the types of plant communities present. The main generic plant communities present in the Santa Monica Mountains<sup>20</sup> are: coastal sage scrub, chaparral, riparian woodland, coast live oak woodland, and grasslands.

#### Riparian Woodland

Some 49 streams connect inland areas with the coast, and there are many smaller drainages as well, many of which are "blue line." Riparian woodlands occur along both perennial and intermittent streams in nutrient-rich soils. Partly because of its multi-layered vegetation, the riparian community contains the greatest overall biodiversity of all the plant communities in the area<sup>21</sup>. At least four types of riparian communities are discernable in the Santa Monica Mountains: walnut riparian areas, mulefat-dominated riparian areas, willow riparian areas and sycamore riparian woodlands. Of these, the

<sup>18</sup> Franklin, J. 1997. Forest Service Southern California Mapping Project, Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area, Task 11 Description and Results, Final Report. June 13, 1997, Dept. of Geography, San Diego State University, USFS Contract No. 53-91S8-3-TM45.

<sup>19</sup> Holland R. F. 1986. Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California. State of California, The Resources Agency, Dept. of Fish and Game, Natural Heritage Division, Sacramento, CA. 95814.

<sup>20</sup> National Park Service. 2000. Draft: General Management Plan & Environmental Impact Statement, Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area, US Dept. of Interior, National Park Service, December 2000. (Fig. 11 in this document.)

<sup>21</sup> *Ibid*.



sycamore riparian woodland is the most diverse riparian community in the area. In these habitats, the dominant plant species include arroyo willow, California black walnut, sycamore, coast live oak, Mexican elderberry, California bay laurel, and mule fat. Wildlife species that have been observed in this community include least Bell's vireo (a State and federally listed species), American goldfinches, black phoebes, warbling vireos, bank swallows (State listed threatened species), song sparrows, belted kingfishers, raccoons, and California and Pacific tree frogs.

Riparian communities are the most species-rich to be found in the Santa Monica Mountains. Because of their multi-layered vegetation, available water supply, vegetative cover and adjacency to shrubland habitats, they are attractive to many native wildlife species, and provide essential functions in their lifecycles<sup>22</sup>. During the long dry summers in this Mediterranean climate, these communities are an essential refuge and oasis for much of the areas' wildlife.

Riparian habitats and their associated streams form important connecting links in the Santa Monica Mountains. These habitats connect all of the biological communities from the highest elevation chaparral to the sea with a unidirectional flowing water system, one function of which is to carry nutrients through the ecosystem to the benefit of many different species along the way.

The streams themselves provide refuge for sensitive species including: the coast range newt, the Pacific pond turtle, and the steelhead trout. The coast range newt and the Pacific pond turtle are California Species of Special Concern and are proposed for federal listing<sup>23</sup>, and the steelhead trout is federally endangered. The health of the streams is dependent on the ecological functions provided by the associated riparian woodlands. These functions include the provision of large woody debris for habitat, shading that controls water temperature, and input of leaves that provide the foundation of the stream-based trophic structure.

The importance of the connectivity between riparian areas and adjacent habitats is illustrated by the Pacific pond turtle and the coast range newt, both of which are sensitive and both of which require this connectivity for their survival. The life history of the Pacific pond turtle demonstrates the importance of riparian areas and their associated watersheds for this species. These turtles require the stream habitat during the wet season. However, recent radio tracking work<sup>24</sup> has found that although the Pacific pond turtle spends the wet season in streams, it also requires upland habitat for refuge during the dry season. Thus, in coastal southern California, the Pacific pond turtle requires both streams and intact adjacent upland habitats such as coastal sage

<sup>22</sup> Walter, Hartmut. Bird use of Mediterranean habitats in the Santa Monica Mountains, Coastal Commission Workshop on the Significance of Native Habitats in the Santa Monica Mountains. CCC Hearing, June 13, 2002, Queen Mary Hotel.

<sup>23</sup> USFWS. 1989. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; animal notice of review. Fed. Reg. 54:554-579. USFWS. 1993. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; notice of 1-year petition finding on the western pond turtle. Fed. Reg. 58:42717-42718.

<sup>24</sup> Rathbun, G.B., N.J. Scott and T.G. Murphy. 2002. Terrestrial habitat use by Pacific pond turtle in a Mediterranean climate. *Southwestern Naturalist*. (in Press).

scrub, woodlands or chaparral as part of their normal life cycle. The turtles spend about four months of the year in upland refuge sites located an average distance of 50 m (but up to 280 m) from the edge of the creek bed. Similarly, nesting sites where the females lay eggs are also located in upland habitats an average of 30 m (but up to 170 m) from the creek. Occasionally, these turtles move up to 2 miles across upland habitat<sup>25</sup>. Like many species, the pond turtle requires both stream habitats and the upland habitats of the watershed to complete its normal annual cycle of behavior. Similarly, the coast range newt has been observed to travel hundreds of meters into upland habitat and spend about ten months of the year far from the riparian streambed<sup>26</sup>. They return to the stream to breed in the wet season, and they are therefore another species that requires both riparian habitat and adjacent uplands for their survival.

Riparian habitats in California have suffered serious losses and such habitats in southern California are currently very rare and seriously threatened. In 1989, Faber estimated that 95-97% of riparian habitat in southern California was already lost<sup>27</sup>. Writing at the same time as Faber, Bowler asserted that, "[t]here is no question that riparian habitat in southern California is endangered."<sup>28</sup> In the intervening 13 years, there have been continuing losses of the small amount of riparian woodlands that remain. Today these habitats are, along with native grasslands and wetlands, among the most threatened in California.

In addition to direct habitat loss, streams and riparian areas have been degraded by the effects of development. For example, the coast range newt, a California Species of Special Concern has suffered a variety of impacts from human-related disturbances<sup>29</sup>. Human-caused increased fire frequency has resulted in increased sedimentation rates, which exacerbates the cannibalistic predation of adult newts on the larval stages.<sup>30</sup> In addition impacts from non-native species of crayfish and mosquito fish have also been documented. When these non-native predators are introduced, native prey organisms are exposed to new mortality pressures for which they are not adapted. Coast range newts that breed in the Santa Monica Mountain streams do not appear to have adaptations that permit co-occurrence with introduced mosquito fish and crayfish<sup>31</sup>. These introduced predators have eliminated the newts from streams where they previously occurred by both direct predation and suppression of breeding.

<sup>25</sup> Testimony by R. Daglt, Resource Conservation District of the Santa Monica Mountains at the CCC Habitat Workshop on June 13, 2002.

<sup>26</sup> Dr. Lee Kats, Pepperdine University, personal communication to Dr J. Allen, CCC.

<sup>27</sup> Faber, P.A., E. Keller, A. Sands and B.M. Massey. 1989. The ecology of riparian habitats of the southern California coastal region: a community profile. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 85(7.27) 152pp.

<sup>28</sup> Bowler, P.A. 1989. Riparian woodland: An endangered habitat in southern California. Pp 80-97 in Schoenherr, A.A. (ed.) Endangered plant communities of southern California. Botanists Special Publication No. 3.

<sup>29</sup> Gamradt, S.C., L.B. Kats and C.B. Anzalone. 1997. Aggression by non-native crayfish deters breeding in California newts. Conservation Biology 11(3):793-796.

<sup>30</sup> Kerby, L.J., and L.B. Kats. 1998. Modified interactions between salamander life stages caused by wildfire-induced sedimentation. Ecology 79(2):740-745.

<sup>31</sup> Gamradt, S.C. and L.B. Kats. 1996. Effect of introduced crayfish and mosquitofish on California newts. Conservation Biology 10(4):1155-1162.

Therefore, because of the essential role that riparian plant communities play in maintaining the biodiversity of the Santa Monica Mountains, because of the historical losses and current rarity of these habitats in southern California, and because of their extreme sensitivity to disturbance, the native riparian habitats in the Santa Monica Mountains meet the definition of ESHA under the Coastal Act.

### Coastal Sage Scrub and Chaparral

Coastal sage scrub and chaparral are often lumped together as "shrublands" because of their roughly similar appearance and occurrence in similar and often adjacent physical habitats. In earlier literature, these vegetation associations were often called soft chaparral and hard chaparral, respectively. "Soft" and "hard" refers to differences in their foliage associated with different adaptations to summer drought. Coastal sage scrub is dominated by soft-leaved, generally low-growing aromatic shrubs that die back and drop their leaves in response to drought. Chaparral is dominated by taller, deeper-rooted evergreen shrubs with hard, waxy leaves that minimize water loss during drought.

The two vegetation types are often found interspersed with each other. Under some circumstances, coastal sage scrub may even be successional to chaparral, meaning that after disturbance, a site may first be covered by coastal sage scrub, which is then replaced with chaparral over long periods of time.<sup>32</sup> The existing mosaic of coastal sage scrub and chaparral is the result of a dynamic process that is a function of fire history, recent climatic conditions, soil differences, slope, aspect and moisture regime, and the two habitats should not be thought of as completely separate and unrelated entities but as different phases of the same process<sup>33</sup>. The spatial pattern of these vegetation stands at any given time thus depends on both local site conditions and on history (e.g., fire), and is influenced by both natural and human factors.

In lower elevation areas with high fire frequency, chaparral and coastal sage scrub may be in a state of flux, leading one researcher to describe the mix as a "coastal sage-chaparral subclimax."<sup>34</sup> Several other researchers have noted the replacement of chaparral by coastal sage scrub, or coastal sage scrub by chaparral depending on fire history.<sup>35</sup> In transitional and other settings, the mosaic of chaparral and coastal sage

<sup>32</sup> Cooper, W.S. 1922. The broad-sclerophyll vegetation of California. Carnegie Institution of Washington Publication 319. 124 pp.

<sup>33</sup> Longcore, T and C. Rich. 2002. Protection of environmentally sensitive habitat areas in proposed local coastal plan for the Santa Monica Mountains. The Urban Wildlands Group, Inc., P.O. Box 24020 Los Angeles, CA 90024. (See attached comment document in Appendix).

<sup>34</sup> Hanes, T.L. 1965. Ecological studies on two closely related chaparral shrubs in southern California. Ecological Monographs 41:27-52.

<sup>35</sup> Gray, K.L. 1983. Competition for light and dynamic boundary between chaparral and coastal sage scrub. Madrono 30(1):43-49. Zedler, P.H., C.R. Gautier and G.S. McMaster. 1983. Vegetation change in response to extreme events: The effect of a short interval between fires in California chaparral and coastal sage scrub. Ecology 64(4): 809-818.

scrub enriches the seasonal plant resource base and provides additional habitat variability and seasonality for the many species that inhabit the area.

*Relationships Among Coastal Sage Scrub, Chaparral and Riparian Communities*

Although the constituent communities of the Santa Monica Mountains Mediterranean ecosystem can be defined and distinguished based on species composition, growth habits, and the physical habitats they characteristically occupy, they are not independent entities ecologically. Many species of plants, such as black sage, and laurel sumac, occur in more than one plant community and many animals rely on the predictable mix of communities found in undisturbed Mediterranean ecosystems to sustain them through the seasons and during different portions of their life histories.

Strong evidence for the interconnectedness between chaparral, coastal scrub and other habitats is provided by "opportunistic foragers" (animals that follow the growth and flowering cycles across these habitats). Coastal scrub and chaparral flowering and growth cycles differ in a complimentary and sequential way that many animals have evolved to exploit. Whereas coastal sage scrub is shallow-rooted and responds quickly to seasonal rains, chaparral plants are typically deep-rooted having most of their flowering and growth later in the rainy season after the deeper soil layers have been saturated<sup>36</sup>. New growth of chaparral evergreen shrubs takes place about four months later than coastal sage scrub plants and it continues later into the summer<sup>37</sup>. For example, in coastal sage scrub, California sagebrush flowers and grows from August to February and coyote bush flowers from August to November<sup>38</sup>. In contrast, chamise chaparral and bigpod ceanothus flower from April to June, buck brush ceanothus flowers from February to April, and hoaryleaf ceanothus flowers from March to April.

Many groups of animals exploit these seasonal differences in growth and blooming period. The opportunistic foraging insect community (e.g., honeybees, butterflies and moths) tends to follow these cycles of flowering and new growth, moving from coastal sage scrub in the early rainy season to chaparral in the spring<sup>39</sup>. The insects in turn are followed by insectivorous birds such as the blue-gray gnatcatcher<sup>40</sup>, bushtit, cactus wren, Bewick's wren and California towhee. At night bats take over the role of daytime insectivores. At least 12 species of bats (all of which are considered sensitive) occur in

<sup>36</sup> DeSimone, S. 2000. California's coastal sage scrub. *Fremontia* 23(4):3-8. Mooney, H.A. 1988.

Southern coastal scrub. Chap. 13 in Barbour, M.G. and J. Majors; Eds. 1988. *Terrestrial vegetation of California*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Calif. Native Plant Soc. Spec. Publ. #9.

<sup>37</sup> Schoenherr, A. A. 1992. *A natural history of California*. University of California Press, Berkeley. 772p.

<sup>38</sup> Dale, N. 2000. Flowering plants of the Santa Monica Mountains. California Native Plant Society, 1722 J Street, Suite 17, Sacramento, CA 95814.

<sup>39</sup> Ballmer, G. R. 1995. What's bugging coastal sage scrub. *Fremontia* 23(4):17-26.

<sup>40</sup> Root, R. B. 1967. The niche exploitation pattern of the blue-gray gnatcatcher. *Ecol. Monogr.* 37:317-350.

the Santa Monica Mountains<sup>41</sup>. Five species of hummingbirds also follow the flowering cycle<sup>42</sup>.

Many species of 'opportunistic foragers', which utilize several different community types, perform important ecological roles during their seasonal movements. The scrub jay is a good example of such a species. The scrub jay is an omnivore and forages in coastal sage scrub, chaparral, and oak woodlands for insects, berries and notably acorns. Its foraging behavior includes the habit of burying acorns, usually at sites away from the parent tree canopy. Buried acorns have a much better chance of successful germination (about two-fold) than exposed acorns because they are protected from desiccation and predators. One scrub jay will bury approximately 5000 acorns in a year. The scrub jay therefore performs the function of greatly increasing recruitment and regeneration of oak woodland, a valuable and sensitive habitat type<sup>43</sup>.

Like the scrub jay, most of the species of birds that inhabit the Mediterranean ecosystem in the Santa Monica Mountains require more than one community type in order to flourish. Many species include several community types in their daily activities. Other species tend to move from one community to another seasonally. The importance of maintaining the integrity of the multi-community ecosystem is clear in the following observations of Dr. Hartmut Walter of the University of California at Los Angeles:

"Bird diversity is directly related to the habitat mosaic and topographic diversity of the Santa Monicas. Most bird species in this bio-landscape require more than one habitat for survival and reproduction." "A significant proportion of the avifauna breeds in the wooded canyons of the Santa Monicas. Most of the canyon breeders forage every day in the brush- and grass-covered slopes, ridges and mesas. They would not breed in the canyons in the absence of the surrounding shrublands. Hawks, owls, falcons, orioles, flycatchers, woodpeckers, warblers, hummingbirds, etc. belong to this group. Conversely, some of the characteristic chaparral birds such as thrashers, quails, and wrentits need the canyons for access to shelter, protection from fire, and water. The regular and massive movement of birds between riparian corridors and adjacent shrublands has been demonstrated by qualitative and quantitative observations by several UCLA students<sup>44</sup>."

Thus, the Mediterranean ecosystem of the Santa Monica Mountains is a mosaic of vegetation types linked together ecologically. The high biodiversity of the area results

<sup>41</sup> Letter from Dr. Marti Witter, NPS, dated Sept. 13, 2001, in letters received and included in the September 2002 staff report for the Malibu LCP.

<sup>42</sup> National Park Service. 1993. A checklist of the birds of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area. Southwest Parks and Monuments Assoc., 221 N. Court, Tucson, AZ. 85701

<sup>43</sup> Borchert, M. I., F. W. Davis, J. Michaelsen and L. D. Oyler. 1989. Interactions of factors affecting seedling recruitment of blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*) in California. *Ecology* 70:389-404. Bossema, I. 1979. Jays and oaks: An eco-ethological study of a symbiosis. *Behavior* 70:1-118. Schoenherr, A. A. 1992. A natural history of California. University of California Press, Berkeley. 772p.

<sup>44</sup> Walter, Hartmut. Bird use of Mediterranean habitats in the Santa Monica Mountains, Coastal Commission Workshop on the Significance of Native Habitats in the Santa Monica Mountains. CCC Hearing, June 13, 2002, Queen Mary Hotel.



from both the diversity and the interconnected nature of this mosaic. Most raptor species, for example, require large areas and will often require different habitats for perching, nesting and foraging. Fourteen species of raptors (13 of which are considered sensitive) are reported from the Santa Monica Mountains. These species utilize a variety of habitats including rock outcrops, oak woodlands, riparian areas, grasslands, chaparral, coastal sage scrub, estuaries and freshwater lakes<sup>45</sup>.

When the community mosaic is disrupted and fragmented by development, many chaparral-associated native bird species are impacted. In a study of landscape-level fragmentation in the Santa Monica Mountains, Stralberg<sup>46</sup> found that the ash-throated flycatcher, Bewick's wren, wren-tit, blue-gray gnatcatcher, California thrasher, orange-crowned warbler, rufous-crowned sparrow, spotted towhee, and California towhee all decreased in numbers as a result of urbanization. Soule<sup>47</sup> observed similar effects of fragmentation on chaparral and coastal sage scrub birds in the San Diego area.

In summary, all of the vegetation types in this ecosystem are strongly linked by animal movement and foraging. Whereas classification and mapping of vegetation types may suggest a snapshot view of the system, the seasonal movements and foraging of animals across these habitats illustrates the dynamic nature and vital connections that are crucial to the survival of this ecosystem.

### Coastal Sage Scrub

"Coastal sage scrub" is a generic vegetation type that is inclusive of several subtypes<sup>48</sup>. In the Santa Monica Mountains, coastal sage scrub is mostly of the type termed "Venturan Coastal Sage Scrub." In general, coastal sage scrub is comprised of dominant species that are semi-woody and low-growing, with shallow, dense roots that enable them to respond quickly to rainfall. Under the moist conditions of winter and spring, they grow quickly, flower, and produce light, wind-dispersed seeds, making them good colonizers following disturbance. These species cope with summer drought by dying back, dropping their leaves or producing a smaller summer leaf in order to reduce water loss. Stands of coastal sage scrub are much more open than chaparral and contain a greater admixture of herbaceous species. Coastal sage scrub is generally restricted to drier sites, such as low foothills, south-facing slopes, and shallow soils at higher elevations.

<sup>45</sup> National Park Service. 1993. A checklist of the birds of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area. Southwest Parks and Monuments Assoc., 221 N. Court, Tucson, AZ. 85701. and Letter from Dr. Marti Witter, NPS, Dated Sept. 13, 2001, in letters received and included in the September 2002 staff report for the Malibu LCP.

<sup>46</sup> Stralberg, D. 2000. Landscape-level urbanization effects on chaparral birds: A Santa Monica Mountains case study. p 125-136 in: Keeley, J. E., M. Baer-Keeley and C. J. Fotheringham (eds), 2<sup>nd</sup> Interface Between Ecology and Land Development in California, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-62.

<sup>47</sup> Soule, M. E., D. T. Bolger, A. C. Alberts, J. Wright, M. Sorice and S. Hill. 1988. Reconstructed dynamics of rapid extinctions of chaparral-requiring birds in urban habitat islands. *Conserv. Biol.* 2: 75-92.

<sup>48</sup> Kirkpatrick, J.B. and C.F. Hutchinson. 1977. The community composition of Californian coastal sage scrub. *Vegetatio* 35:21-33; Holland, 1986. op.cit.; Sawyer and Keeler-Wolf, 1995, op.cit.

The species composition and structure of individual stands of coastal sage scrub depend on moisture conditions that derive from slope, aspect, elevation and soil type. Drier sites are dominated by more drought-resistant species (e.g., California sagebrush, coast buckwheat, and *Opuntia* cactus). Where more moisture is available (e.g., north-facing slopes), larger evergreen species such as toyon, laurel sumac, lemonade berry, and sugar bush are common. As a result, there is more cover for wildlife, and movement of large animals from chaparral into coastal sage scrub is facilitated in these areas. Characteristic wildlife in this community includes Anna's hummingbirds, rufous-sided towhees, California quail, greater roadrunners, Bewick's wrens, coyotes, and coast horned lizards<sup>49</sup>, but most of these species move between coastal sage scrub and chaparral during their daily activities or on a seasonal basis.

Of the many important ecosystem roles performed by the coastal sage scrub community, five are particularly important in the Santa Monica Mountains. Coastal sage scrub provides critical linkages between riparian corridors, provides essential habitat for species that require several habitat types during the course of their life histories, provides essential habitat for local endemics, supports rare species that are in danger of extinction, and reduces erosion, thereby protecting the water quality of coastal streams.

Riparian woodlands are primary contributors to the high biodiversity of the Santa Monica Mountains. The ecological integrity of those riparian habitats not only requires wildlife dispersal along the streams, but also depends on the ability of animals to move from one riparian area to another. Such movement requires that the riparian corridors be connected by suitable habitat. In the Santa Monica Mountains, coastal sage scrub and chaparral provide that function. Significant development in coastal sage scrub would reduce the riparian corridors to linear islands of habitat with severe edge effects<sup>50</sup>, reduced diversity, and lower productivity.

Most wildlife species and many species of plants utilize several types of habitat. Many species of animals endemic to Mediterranean habitats move among several plant communities during their daily activities and many are reliant on different communities either seasonally or during different stages of their life cycle. Without an intact mosaic of coastal sage scrub, chaparral, and riparian community types, many species will not thrive. Specific examples of the importance of interconnected communities, or habitats, were provided in the discussion above. This is an essential ecosystem role of coastal sage scrub.

A characteristic of the coastal sage scrub vegetation type is a high degree of endemism. This is consonant with Westman's observation that 44 percent of the species he sampled in coastal sage scrub occurred at only one of his 67 sites, which were

<sup>49</sup> National Park Service. 2000. Draft: General Management Plan & Environmental Impact Statement, Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area, US Dept. of Interior, National Park Service, December 2000.

<sup>50</sup> Environmental impacts are particularly severe at the interface between development and natural habitats. The greater the amount of this "edge" relative to the area of natural habitat, the worse the impact.

distributed from the San Francisco Bay area to Mexico<sup>51</sup>. Species with restricted distributions are by nature more susceptible to loss or degradation of their habitat. Westman said of this unique and local aspect of coastal sage scrub species in California:

"While there are about 50 widespread sage scrub species, more than half of the 375 species encountered in the present study of the sage scrub flora are rare in occurrence within the habitat range. In view of the reduction of the area of coastal sage scrub in California to 10-15% of its former extent and the limited extent of preserves, measures to conserve the diversity of the flora are needed."<sup>52</sup>

Coastal sage scrub in southern California provides habitat for about 100 rare species<sup>53</sup>, many of which are also endemic to limited geographic regions<sup>54</sup>. In the Santa Monica Mountains, rare animals that inhabit coastal sage scrub<sup>55</sup> include the Santa Monica shieldback katydid, silvery legless lizard, coastal cactus wren, Bell's sparrow, San Diego desert woodrat, southern California rufous-crowned sparrow, coastal western whiptail, and San Diego horned lizard. Some of these species are also found in chaparral<sup>56</sup>. Rare plants found in coastal sage scrub in the Santa Monica Mountains include Santa Susana tarplant, Coulter's saltbush, Blockman's dudleya, Braunton's milkvetch, Parry's spineflower, and Plummer's mariposa lily<sup>57</sup>. A total of 32 sensitive species of reptiles, birds and mammals have been identified in this community by the National Park Service.<sup>58</sup>

One of the most important ecological functions of coastal sage scrub in the Santa Monica Mountains is to protect water quality in coastal streams by reducing erosion in the watershed. Although shallow rooted, the shrubs that define coastal sage scrub have dense root masses that hold the surface soils much more effectively than the exotic annual grasses and forbs that tend to dominate in disturbed areas. The native shrubs of this community are resistant not only to drought, as discussed above, but well adapted to fire. Most of the semi-woody shrubs have some ability to crown sprout after

<sup>51</sup> Westman, W.E. 1981. Diversity relations and succession in Californian coastal sage scrub. *Ecology* 62:170-184.

<sup>52</sup> Ibid.

<sup>53</sup> Atwood, J. L. 1993. California gnatcatchers and coastal sage scrub: The biological basis for endangered species listing. pp.149-166 *In: Interface Between Ecology and Land Development in California*. Ed. J. E. Keeley, So. Calif. Acad. of Sci., Los Angeles. California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 1993. The Southern California Coastal Sage Scrub (CSS) Natural Communities Conservation Plan (NCCP). CDFG and Calif. Resources Agency, 1416 9<sup>th</sup> St., Sacramento, CA 95814.

<sup>54</sup> Westman, W.E. 1981. *op. cit.*

<sup>55</sup> Biological Resources Assessment of the Proposed Santa Monica Mountains Significant Ecological Area. Nov. 2000. Los Angeles Co., Dept. of Regional Planning, 320 West Temple St., Rm. 1383, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

<sup>56</sup> O'Leary J.F., S.A. DeSimone, D.D. Murphy, P.F. Brussard, M.S. Gilpin, and R.F. Noss. 1994. Bibliographies on coastal sage scrub and related malacophyllous shrublands of other Mediterranean-type climates. *California Wildlife Conservation Bulletin* 10:1-51.

<sup>57</sup> Biological Resources Assessment of the Proposed Santa Monica Mountains Significant Ecological Area. Nov. 2000. Los Angeles Co., Dept. of Regional Planning, 320 West Temple St., Rm. 1383, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

<sup>58</sup> NPS, 2000, *op. cit.*



fire. Several CSS species (e.g., *Eriogonum cinereum*) in the Santa Monica Mountains and adjacent areas resprout vigorously and other species growing near the coast demonstrate this characteristic more strongly than do individuals of the same species growing at inland sites in Riverside County.<sup>59</sup> These shrub species also tend to recolonize rapidly from seed following fire. As a result they provide persistent cover that reduces erosion.

In addition to performing extremely important roles in the Mediterranean ecosystem, the coastal sage scrub community type has been drastically reduced in area by habitat loss to development. In the early 1980's it was estimated that 85 to 90 percent of the original extent of coastal sage scrub in California had already been destroyed.<sup>60</sup> Losses since that time have been significant and particularly severe in the coastal zone.

Therefore, because of its increasing rarity, its important role in the functioning of the Santa Monica Mountains Mediterranean ecosystem, and its extreme vulnerability to development, coastal sage scrub within the Santa Monica Mountains meets the definition of ESHA under the Coastal Act.

### Chaparral

Another shrub community in the Santa Monica Mountain Mediterranean ecosystem is chaparral. Like "coastal sage scrub," this is a generic category of vegetation. Chaparral species have deep roots (10s of ft) and hard waxy leaves, adaptations to drought that increase water supply and decrease water loss at the leaf surface. Some chaparral species cope more effectively with drought conditions than do desert plants<sup>61</sup>. Chaparral plants vary from about one to four meters tall and form dense, intertwining stands with nearly 100 percent ground cover. As a result, there are few herbaceous species present in mature stands. Chaparral is well adapted to fire. Many species regenerate mainly by crown sprouting; others rely on seeds which are stimulated to germinate by the heat and ash from fires. Over 100 evergreen shrubs may be found in chaparral<sup>62</sup>. On average, chaparral is found in wetter habitats than coastal sage scrub, being more common at higher elevations and on north facing slopes.

The broad category "northern mixed chaparral" is the major type of chaparral shown in the National Park Service map of the Santa Monica Mountains. However, northern mixed chaparral can be variously dominated by chamise, scrub oak or one of several species of manzanita or by ceanothus. In addition, it commonly contains woody vines and large shrubs such as mountain mahogany, toyon, hollyleaf redberry, and sugarbush<sup>63</sup>. The rare red shank chaparral plant community also occurs in the Santa Monica Mountains. Although included within the category "northern mixed chaparral" in

<sup>59</sup> Dr. John O'Leary, SDSU, personal communication to Dr. John Dixon, CCC, July 2, 2002

<sup>60</sup> Westman, W.E. 1981. op. cit.

<sup>61</sup> Dr. Stephen Davis, Pepperdine University. Presentation at the CCC workshop on the significance of native habitats in the Santa Monica Mountains. June 13, 2002.

<sup>62</sup> Keely, J.E. and S.C. Keeley. Chaparral. Pages 166-207 in M.G. Barbour and W.D. Billings, eds. North American Terrestrial Vegetation. New York, Cambridge University Press.

<sup>63</sup> Ibid.

the vegetation map, several types of ceanothus chaparral are reported in the Santa Monica Mountains. Ceanothus chaparral occurs on stable slopes and ridges, and may be dominated by bigpod ceanothus, buck brush ceanothus, hoaryleaf ceanothus, or greenbark ceanothus. In addition to ceanothus, other species that are usually present in varying amounts are chamise, black sage, holly-leaf redberry, sugarbush, and coast golden bush<sup>64</sup>.

Several sensitive plant species that occur in the chaparral of the Santa Monica Mountains area are: Santa Susana tarplant, Lyon's pentachaeta, marcescent dudleya, Santa Monica Mountains dudleya, Braunton's milk vetch and salt spring checkerbloom<sup>65</sup>. Several occurring or potentially occurring sensitive animal species in chaparral from the area are: Santa Monica shieldback katydid, western spadefoot toad, silvery legless lizard, San Bernardino ring-neck snake, San Diego mountain kingsnake, coast patch-nosed snake, sharp-shinned hawk, southern California rufous-crowned sparrow, Bell's sparrow, yellow warbler, pallid bat, long-legged myotis bat, western mastiff bat, and San Diego desert woodrat.<sup>66</sup>

Coastal sage scrub and chaparral are the predominant generic community types of the Santa Monica Mountains and provide the living matrix within which rarer habitats like riparian woodlands exist. These two shrub communities share many important ecosystem roles. Like coastal sage scrub, chaparral within the Santa Monica Mountains provides critical linkages among riparian corridors, provides essential habitat for species that require several habitat types during the course of their life histories, provides essential habitat for sensitive species, and stabilizes steep slopes and reduces erosion, thereby protecting the water quality of coastal streams.

Many species of animals in Mediterranean habitats characteristically move among several plant communities during their daily activities, and many are reliant on different communities either seasonally or during different stages of their life cycle. The importance of an intact mosaic of coastal sage scrub, chaparral, and riparian community types is perhaps most critical for birds. However, the same principles apply to other taxonomic groups. For example, whereas coastal sage scrub supports a higher diversity of native ant species than chaparral, chaparral habitat is necessary for the coast horned lizard, an ant specialist<sup>67</sup>. Additional examples of the importance of an interconnected communities, or habitats, were provided in the discussion of coastal sage scrub above. This is an extremely important ecosystem role of chaparral in the Santa Monica Mountains.

Chaparral is also remarkably adapted to control erosion, especially on steep slopes. The root systems of chaparral plants are very deep, extending far below the surface and

<sup>64</sup> Ibid.

<sup>65</sup> Biological Resources Assessment of the Proposed Santa Monica Mountains Significant Ecological Area. Nov. 2000. Los Angeles Co., Dept. of Regional Planning, 320 West Temple St., Rm. 1383, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

<sup>66</sup> Ibid.

<sup>67</sup> A.V. Suarez. Ants and lizards in coastal sage scrub and chaparral. A presentation at the CCC workshop on the significance of native habitats in the Santa Monica Mountains. June 13, 2002.

penetrating the bedrock below<sup>68</sup>, so chaparral literally holds the hillsides together and prevents slippage.<sup>69</sup> In addition, the direct soil erosion from precipitation is also greatly reduced by 1) water interception on the leaves and above ground foliage and plant structures, and 2) slowing the runoff of water across the soil surface and providing greater soil infiltration. Chaparral plants are extremely resistant to drought, which enables them to persist on steep slopes even during long periods of adverse conditions. Many other species die under such conditions, leaving the slopes unprotected when rains return. Since chaparral plants recover rapidly from fire, they quickly re-exert their ground stabilizing influence following burns. The effectiveness of chaparral for erosion control after fire increases rapidly with time<sup>70</sup>. Thus, the erosion from a 2-inch rain-day event drops from 5 yd<sup>3</sup>/acre of soil one year after a fire to 1 yd<sup>3</sup>/acre after 4 years.<sup>71</sup> The following table illustrates the strong protective effect of chaparral in preventing erosion.

Soil erosion as a function of 24-hour precipitation and chaparral age.

Years Since Fire	Erosion (yd <sup>3</sup> /acre) at Maximum 24-hr Precipitation of:		
	2 inches	5 inches	11 inches
1	5	20	180
4	1	12	140
17	0	1	28
50+	0	0	3

Therefore, because of its important roles in the functioning of the Santa Monica Mountains Mediterranean ecosystem, and its extreme vulnerability to development, chaparral within the Santa Monica Mountains meets the definition of ESHA under the Coastal Act.

#### Oak Woodland and Savanna

Coast live oak woodland occurs mostly on north slopes, shaded ravines and canyon bottoms. Besides the coast live oak, this plant community includes hollyleaf cherry, California bay laurel, coffeeberry, and poison oak. Coast live oak woodland is more

<sup>68</sup> Helmers, H., J.S. Horton, G. Juhren and J. O'Keefe. 1955. Root systems of some chaparral plants in southern California. *Ecology* 36(4):667-678. Kummerow, J. and W. Jow. 1977. Root systems of chaparral shrubs. *Oecologia* 29:163-177.

<sup>69</sup> Radtke, K. 1983. *Living more safely in the chaparral-urban interface*. General Technical Report PSW-67. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Berkeley, California. 51 pp.

<sup>70</sup> Kittredge, J. 1973. *Forest influences — the effects of woody vegetation on climate, water, and soil*. Dover Publications, New York. 394 pp. Longcore, T and C. Rich. 2002. Protection of environmentally sensitive habitat areas in proposed local coastal plan for the Santa Monica Mountains. (Table 1). The Urban Wildlands Group, Inc., P.O. Box 24020 Los Angeles, CA 90024. Vicars, M. (ed.) 1999. *FireSmart: protecting your community from wildfire*. Partners in Protection, Edmonton, Alberta.

<sup>71</sup> Ibid.

tolerant of salt-laden fog than other oaks and is generally found nearer the coast<sup>72</sup>. Coast live oak also occurs as a riparian corridor species within the Santa Monica Mountains.

Valley oaks are endemic to California and reach their southern most extent in the Santa Monica Mountains. Valley oaks were once widely distributed throughout California's perennial grasslands in central and coastal valleys. Individuals of this species may survive 400-600 years. Over the past 150 years, valley oak savanna habitat has been drastically reduced and altered due to agricultural and residential development. The understory is now dominated by annual grasses and recruitment of seedlings is generally poor. This is a very threatened habitat.

The important ecosystem functions of oak woodlands and savanna are widely recognized<sup>73</sup>. These habitats support a high diversity of birds<sup>74</sup>, and provide refuge for many species of sensitive bats<sup>75</sup>. Typical wildlife in this habitat includes acorn woodpeckers, scrub jays, plain titmice, northern flickers, cooper's hawks, western screech owls, mule deer, gray foxes, ground squirrels, jackrabbits and several species of sensitive bats.

Therefore, because of their important ecosystem functions and vulnerability to development, oak woodlands and savanna within the Santa Monica Mountains met the definition of ESHA under the Coastal Act.

### Grasslands

Grasslands consist of low herbaceous vegetation that is dominated by grass species but may also harbor native or non-native forbs.

### California Perennial Grassland

Native grassland within the Santa Monica Mountains consists of perennial native needlegrasses: purple needlegrass, (*Nassella pulchra*), foothills needlegrass, (*Nassella lepida*) and nodding needlegrass (*Nassella cernua*). These grasses may occur in the same general area but they do not typically mix, tending to segregate based on slope

<sup>72</sup> NPS 2000. op. cit.

<sup>73</sup> Block, W.M., M.L. Morrison, and J. Verner. 1990. Wildlife and oak-woodland interdependency. *Fremontia* 18(3):72-76. Pavlik, B.M., P.C. Muick, S. Johnson, and M. Popper. 1991. *Oaks of California*. Cachuma Press and California Oak Foundation, Los Olivos, California. 184 pp.

<sup>74</sup> Cody, M.L. 1977. Birds. Pp. 223-231 in Throver, N.J.W., and D.E. Bradbury (eds.). *Chile-California Mediterranean scrub atlas*. US/IBP Synthesis Series 2. Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross, Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania. National Park Service. 1993. A checklist of the birds of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area. Southwest Parks and Monuments Assoc., 221 N. Court, Tucson, AZ. 85701

<sup>75</sup> Miner, K.L., and D.C. Stokes. 2000. Status, conservation issues, and research needs for bats in the south coast bioregion. Paper presented at *Planning for biodiversity: bringing research and management together*, February 29, California State University, Pomona, California.

and substrate factors<sup>76</sup>. Mixed with these native needlegrasses are many non-native annual species that are characteristic of California annual grassland<sup>77</sup>. Native perennial grasslands are now exceedingly rare<sup>78</sup>. In California, native grasslands once covered nearly 20 percent of the land area, but today are reduced to less than 0.1 percent<sup>79</sup>. The California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) lists purple needlegrass habitat as a community needing priority monitoring and restoration. The CNDDB considers grasslands with 10 percent or more cover by purple needlegrass to be significant, and recommends that these be protected as remnants of original California prairie. Patches of this sensitive habitat occur throughout the Santa Monica Mountains where they are intermingled with coastal sage scrub, chaparral and oak woodlands.

Many of the raptors that inhabit the Santa Monica Mountains make use of grasslands for foraging because they provide essential habitat for small mammals and other prey. Grasslands adjacent to woodlands are particularly attractive to these birds of prey since they simultaneously offer perching and foraging habitat. Particularly noteworthy in this regard are the white-tailed kite, northern harrier, sharp-shinned hawk, Cooper's hawk, red-shouldered hawk, red-tailed hawk, golden eagle, American kestrel, merlin, and prairie falcon<sup>80</sup>.

Therefore, because of their extreme rarity, important ecosystem functions, and vulnerability to development, California native perennial grasslands within the Santa Monica Mountains meet the definition of ESHA under the Coastal Act.

#### California Annual Grassland

The term "California annual grassland" has been proposed to recognize the fact that non-native annual grasses should now be considered naturalized and a permanent feature of the California landscape and should be acknowledged as providing important ecological functions. These habitats support large populations of small mammals and provide essential foraging habitat for many species of birds of prey. California annual grassland generally consists of dominant invasive annual grasses that are primarily of Mediterranean origin. The dominant species in this community include common wild oats (*Avena fatua*), slender oat (*Avena barbata*), red brome (*Bromus madritensis* ssp. *Rubens*), ripgut brome, (*Bromus diandrus*), and herbs such as black mustard (*Brassica nigra*), wild radish (*Raphanus sativus*) and sweet fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*). Annual grasslands are located in patches throughout the Santa Monica Mountains in previously disturbed areas, cattle pastures, valley bottoms and along roadsides. While many of

<sup>76</sup> Sawyer, J. O. and T. Keeler-Wolf. 1995. A manual of California vegetation. California Native Plant Society, 1722 J St., Suite 17, Sacramento, CA 95814.

<sup>77</sup> Biological Resources Assessment of the Proposed Santa Monica Mountains Significant Ecological Area. Nov. 2000. Los Angeles Co., Dept. of Regional Planning, 320 West Temple St., Rm. 1383, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

<sup>78</sup> Noss, R.F., E.T. LaRoe III and J.M. Scott. 1995. Endangered ecosystems of the United States: a preliminary assessment of loss and degradation. Biological Report 28. National Biological Service, U.S. Dept. of Interior.

<sup>79</sup> NPS 2000. op. cit.

<sup>80</sup> NPS 2000. op. cit.



these patches are dominated by invasive non-native species, it would be premature to say that they are never sensitive or do not harbor valuable annual native species. A large number of native forbs also may be present in these habitats<sup>81</sup>, and many native wildflowers occur primarily in annual grasslands. In addition, annual grasslands are primary foraging areas for many sensitive raptor species in the area.

Inspection of California annual grasslands should be done prior to any impacts to determine if any rare native species are present or if any rare wildlife rely on the habitat and to determine if the site meets the Coastal Act ESHA criteria.

### Effects of Human Activities and Development on Habitats within the Santa Monica Mountains

The natural habitats of the Santa Monica Mountains are highly threatened by current development pressure, fragmentation and impacts from the surrounding megalopolis. The developed portions of the Santa Monica Mountains represents the extension of this urbanization into natural areas. About 54% of the undeveloped Santa Monica Mountains are in private ownership<sup>82</sup>, and computer simulation studies of the development patterns over the next 25 years predict a serious increase in habitat fragmentation<sup>83</sup>. Development and associated human activities have many well-documented deleterious effects on natural communities. These environmental impacts may be both direct and indirect and include the effects of increased fire frequency, of fire clearance, of introduction of exotic species, and of night lighting.

#### Increased Fire Frequency

Since 1925, all the major fires in the Santa Monica Mountains have been caused by human activities<sup>84</sup>. Increased fire frequency alters plant communities by creating conditions that select for some species over others. Strong resprouting plant species such as laurel sumac, are favored while non-sprouters like bigpod ceanothus, are at a disadvantage. Frequent fire recurrence before the non-sprouters can develop and reestablish a seed bank is detrimental, so that with each fire their chances for propagation are further reduced. Resprouters can be sending up new shoots quickly, and so they are favored in an increased fire frequency regime. Also favored are weedy and invasive species. Dr. Steven Davis in his abstract for a Coastal Commission

<sup>81</sup> Holstein, G. 2001. Pre-agricultural grassland in Central California. *Madrono* 48(4):253-264. Stromberg, M.R., P. Kephart and V. Yadon. 2001. Composition, invasibility and diversity of coastal California grasslands. *Madrono* 48(4):236-252.

<sup>82</sup> National Park Service. 2000. Draft: General Management Plan & Environmental Impact Statement, Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area, US Dept. of Interior, National Park Service, December 2000.

<sup>83</sup> Swenson, J. J., and J. Franklin. 2000. The effects of future urban development on habitat fragmentation in the Santa Monica Mountains. *Landscape Ecol.* 15:713-730.

<sup>84</sup> NPS, 2000, op. cit.

Workshop stated<sup>85</sup> *"We have evidence that recent increases in fire frequency has eliminated drought-hardy non-sprouters from chaparral communities near Malibu, facilitating the invasion of exotic grasses and forbs that further exacerbate fire frequency."* Thus, simply increasing fire frequency from about once every 22 years (the historical frequency) to about once every 12 years (the current frequency) can completely change the vegetation community. This has cascading effects throughout the ecosystem.

### Fuel Clearance

The removal of vegetation for fire protection in the Santa Monica Mountains is required by law in "Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones"<sup>86</sup>. Fuel removal is reinforced by insurance carriers<sup>87</sup>. Generally, the Santa Monica Mountains are considered to be a high fire hazard severity zone. In such high fire hazard areas, homeowners must often resort to the California FAIR Plan to obtain insurance. Because of the high risk, all homes in "brush areas" are assessed an insurance surcharge if they have less than the recommended 200-foot fuel modification zone<sup>88</sup> around the home. The combination of insurance incentives and regulation assures that the 200-foot clearance zone will be applied universally<sup>89</sup>. While it is not required that all of this zone be cleared of vegetation, the common practice is simply to disk this zone, essentially removing or highly modifying all native vegetation. For a new structure not adjacent to existing structures, this results in the removal or modification of a minimum of three acres of vegetation<sup>90</sup>. While the directly impacted area is large, the effects of fuel modification extend beyond the 200-foot clearance area.

### Effects of Fuel Clearance on Bird Communities

The impacts of fuel clearance on bird communities was studied by Stralberg who identified three ecological categories of birds in the Santa Monica Mountains: 1) local and long distance migrators (ash-throated flycatcher, Pacific-slope flycatcher, phainopepla, black-headed grosbeak), 2) chaparral-associated species (Bewick's wren, wrentit, blue-gray gnatcatcher, California thrasher, orange-crowned warbler, rufous-crowned sparrow, spotted towhee, California towhee) and 3) urban-associated species

<sup>85</sup> Davis, Steven. Effects of fire and other factors on patterns of chaparral in the Santa Monica Mountains, Coastal Commission Workshop on the Significance of Native Habitats in the Santa Monica Mountains. CCC Hearing, June 13, 2002, Queen Mary Hotel.

<sup>86</sup> 1996 Los Angeles County Fire Code Section 1117.2.1

<sup>87</sup> Longcore, T and C. Rich. 2002. Protection of environmentally sensitive habitat areas in proposed local coastal plan for the Santa Monica Mountains. The Urban Wildlands Group, Inc., P.O. Box 24020 Los Angeles, CA 90024. Vicars, M. (ed.) 1999. FireSmart: protecting your community from wildfire. Partners in Protection, Edmonton, Alberta.

<sup>88</sup> Fuel Modification Plan Guidelines. Co. of Los Angeles Fire Department, Fuel Modification Unit, Prevention Bureau, Forestry Division, Brush Clearance Section, January 1998.

<sup>89</sup> Longcore, T and C. Rich. 2002. Protection of environmentally sensitive habitat areas in proposed local coastal plan for the Santa Monica Mountains. The Urban Wildlands Group, Inc., P.O. Box 24020 Los Angeles, CA 90024.

<sup>90</sup> Ibid.

(mourning dove, American crow, Western scrub-jay, Northern mockingbird)<sup>91</sup>. It was found in this study that the number of migrators and chaparral-associated species decreased due to habitat fragmentation while the abundance of urban-associated species increased. The impact of fuel clearance is to greatly increase this edge-effect of fragmentation by expanding the amount of cleared area and "edge" many-fold. Similar results of decreases in fragmentation-sensitive bird species are reported from the work of Bolger et al. in southern California chaparral<sup>92</sup>.

### Effects of Fuel Clearance on Arthropod Communities

Fuel clearance and habitat modification may also disrupt native arthropod communities, and this can have surprising effects far beyond the cleared area on species seemingly unrelated to the direct impacts. A particularly interesting and well-documented example with ants and lizards illustrates this point. When non-native landscaping with intensive irrigation is introduced, the area becomes favorable for the invasive and non-native Argentine ant. This ant forms "super colonies" that can forage more than 650 feet out into the surrounding native chaparral or coastal sage scrub around the landscaped area<sup>93</sup>. The Argentine ant competes with native harvester ants and carpenter ants displacing them from the habitat<sup>94</sup>. These native ants are the primary food resource for the native coast horned lizard, a California "Species of Special Concern." As a result of Argentine ant invasion, the coast horned lizard and its native ant food resources are diminished in areas near landscaped and irrigated developments<sup>95</sup>. In addition to specific effects on the coast horned lizard, there are other Mediterranean habitat ecosystem processes that are impacted by Argentine ant invasion through impacts on long-evolved native ant-plant mutualisms<sup>96</sup>. The composition of the whole arthropod community changes and biodiversity decreases when habitats are subjected to fuel modification. In coastal sage scrub disturbed by fuel modification, fewer arthropod

<sup>91</sup> Stralberg, D. 2000. Landscape-level urbanization effects on chaparral birds: a Santa Monica Mountains case study. Pp. 125-136 in Keeley, J.E., M. Baer-Keeley, and C.J. Fotheringham (eds.). *2nd Interface between ecology and land development in California*. U.S. Geological Survey, Sacramento, California.

<sup>92</sup> Bolger, D. T., T. A. Scott and J. T. Rotenberry. 1997. Breeding bird abundance in an urbanizing landscape in coastal Southern California. *Conserv. Biol.* 11:406-421.

<sup>93</sup> Suarez, A.V., D.T. Bolger and T.J. Case. 1998. Effects of fragmentation and invasion on native ant communities in coastal southern California. *Ecology* 79(6):2041-2056.

<sup>94</sup> Holway, D.A. 1995. The distribution of the Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile*) in central California: a twenty-year record of invasion. *Conservation Biology* 9:1634-1637. Human, K.G. and D.M. Gordon. 1996. Exploitation and interference competition between the invasive Argentine ant, (*Linepithema humile*), and native ant species. *Oecologia* 105:405-412.

<sup>95</sup> Fisher, R.N., A.V. Suarez and T.J. Case. 2002. Spatial patterns in the abundance of the coastal horned lizard. *Conservation Biology* 16(1):205-215. Suarez, A.V., J.Q. Richmond and T.J. Case. 2000. Prey selection in horned lizards following the invasion of Argentine ants in southern California. *Ecological Applications* 10(3):711-725.

<sup>96</sup> Suarez, A.V., D.T. Bolger and T.J. Case. 1998. Effects of fragmentation and invasion on native ant communities in coastal southern California. *Ecology* 79(6):2041-2056. Bond, W. and P. Slingsby. Collapse of an Ant-Plant Mutualism: The Argentine Ant (*Iridomyrmex humilis*) and Myrmecochorous Proteaceae. *Ecology* 65(4):1031-1037.



predator species are seen and more exotic arthropod species are present than in undisturbed habitats<sup>97</sup>.

Studies in the Mediterranean vegetation of South Africa (equivalent to California shrubland with similar plant species) have shown how the invasive Argentine ant can disrupt the whole ecosystem.<sup>98</sup> In South Africa the Argentine ant displaces native ants as they do in California. Because the native ants are no longer present to collect and bury seeds, the seeds of the native plants are exposed to predation, and consumed by seed eating insects, birds and mammals. When this habitat burns after Argentine ant invasion the large-seeded plants that were protected by the native ants all but disappear. So the invasion of a non-native ant species drives out native ants, and this can cause a dramatic change in the species composition of the plant community by disrupting long-established seed dispersal mutualisms. In California, some insect eggs are adapted to being buried by native ants in a manner similar to plant seeds<sup>99</sup>.

#### Artificial Night Lighting

One of the more recently recognized human impacts on ecosystem function is that of artificial night lighting as it effects the behavior and function of many different types of organisms<sup>100</sup>. For literally billions of years the only nighttime sources of light were the moon and stars, and living things have adapted to this previously immutable standard and often depend upon it for their survival. A review of lighting impacts suggests that whereas some species are unaffected by artificial night lighting, many others are severely impacted. Overall, most impacts are negative ones or ones whose outcome is unknown. Research to date has found negative impacts to plants, aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates, amphibians, fish, birds and mammals, and a detailed literature review can be found in the report by Longcore and Rich<sup>101</sup>.

#### Summary

In a past action, the Coastal Commission found<sup>102</sup> that the Santa Monica Mountains Mediterranean Ecosystem, which includes the undeveloped native habitats of the Santa Monica Mountains, is rare and especially valuable because of its relatively pristine

<sup>97</sup> Longcore, T.R. 1999. Terrestrial arthropods as indicators of restoration success in coastal sage scrub. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.

<sup>98</sup> Christian, C. 2001. Consequences of a biological invasion reveal the importance of mutualism for plant communities. *Nature* 413:635-639.

<sup>99</sup> Hughes, L. and M. Westoby. 1992. Capitula on stick insect eggs and elaiosomes on seeds: convergent adaptations for burial by ants. *Functional Ecology* 6:642-648.

<sup>100</sup> Longcore, T and C. Rich. 2002. Protection of environmentally sensitive habitat areas in proposed local coastal plan for the Santa Monica Mountains. The Urban Wildlands Group, Inc., P.O. Box 24020 Los Angeles, CA 90024.

<sup>101</sup> Ibid, and Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting, Conference, February 23-24, 2002, UCLA Los Angeles, California.

<sup>102</sup> Revised Findings for the City of Malibu Local Coastal Program (as adopted on September 13, 2002) adopted on February 6, 2003.

character, physical complexity, and resultant biological diversity. The undeveloped native habitats within the Santa Monica Mountains that are discussed above are ESHA because of their valuable roles in that ecosystem, including providing a critical mosaic of habitats required by many species of birds, mammals and other groups of wildlife, providing the opportunity for unrestricted wildlife movement among habitats, supporting populations of rare species, and preventing the erosion of steep slopes and thereby protecting riparian corridors, streams and, ultimately, shallow marine waters.

The importance the native habitats in the Santa Monica Mountains was emphasized nearly 20 years ago by the California Department of Fish and Game<sup>103</sup>. Commenting on a Draft Land Use Plan for the City of Malibu, the Regional Manager wrote that, "It is essential that large areas of land be reclassified to reflect their true status as ESHAs. One of the major needs of the Malibu LUP is that it should provide protection for entire drainages and not just stream bottoms." These conclusions were supported by the following observations:

"It is a fact that many of the wildlife species of the Santa Monica Mountains, such as mountain lion, deer, and raccoon, have established access routes through the mountains. They often travel to and from riparian zones and development such as high density residential may adversely affect a wildlife corridor.

Most animal species that exist in riparian areas will, as part of their life histories, also be found in other habitat types, including chaparral (sic) or grassland. For example, hawks nest and roost in riparian areas, but are dependent on large open areas for foraging. For the survival of many species, particularly those high on the food chain, survival will depend upon the presence of such areas. Such areas in the Santa Monica Mountains include grassland and coastal sage scrub communities, which have been documented in the SEA studies as supporting a wide diversity of plant and animal life."

This analysis by the Department of Fish and Game is consonant with the findings of the Commission in the case of the Malibu LCP, and with the conclusion that large contiguous areas of relatively pristine native habitat in the Santa Monica Mountains meet the definition of ESHA under the Coastal Act.

<sup>103</sup> Letter from F. A. Worthley, Jr. (CDFG) to N. Lucast (CCC) re Land Use Plan for Malibu dated March 22, 1983.