CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

South Coast Area Office 200 Oceangate, Suite 1000 Long Beach, CA 90802-4302 (562) 590-5071

RECORD PACKET COPY



 Filed:
 12/6/04

 49th Day:
 1/24/05

 180th Day:
 6/4/05

 Staff:
 RT-LB

 Staff Report:
 2/24/05

 Hearing Date:
 3/16/05-3/18/05

 Commission Action:
 2000

STAFF REPORT: CONSENT CALENDAR

APPLICATION NUMBER: 5-04-459

APPLICANT: Robert Hurley

AGENT: Robert Theel

PROJECT LOCATION: 6706 W. Oceanfront, Newport Beach, Orange County

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Demolition of an existing single-family residence and construction of a new ocean fronting, 24-foot high above finished grade, 2,685 square-foot, two-story single-family residence with a 334 square-foot subterranean basement and an attached 430 square-foot two-car garage. Also proposed are two decks, one measuring approximately 120 square-feet on the second floor and a roof deck measuring approximately 900 square-feet. 570 cubic yards of grading (cut) is proposed.

LOCAL APPROVALS RECEIVED: City of Newport Beach Approval In Concept (No. 3342-2003) dated July 26, 2004.

SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The applicant is proposing demolition and construction of a new beach fronting singlefamily residence. The major issues of this staff report concerns beachfront development that could be affected by flooding during strong storm events and encroachment onto a public right-of-way. Staff is recommending **APPROVAL** of the proposed project with seven (8) special conditions regarding: 1) assumption of risk; 2) no future shoreline protective device; 3) future development; 4) Deviation from Approved Encroachments; 5) City's Right to Revoke the encroachments; 6) compliance with the proposed drainage and run-off control plan; and 7) a deed restriction against the property, referencing all of the Special Conditions contained in this staff report.

The applicant is in agreement with the staff recommendation.

SUBSTANTIVE FILE DOCUMENTS: City of Newport Beach certified Land Use Plan; and Wave Runup & Coastal Hazard Study for 6706 West Oceanfront, Newport Beach, CA prepared by Skelly Engineering dated February 2004.



STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Commission **APPROVE** the permit application with special conditions.

MOTION: I move that the Commission approve the coastal development permit applications included on the consent calendar in accordance with the staff recommendations.

Staff recommends a <u>YES</u> vote. Passage of this motion will result in approval of all the permits included on the consent calendar. The motion passes only by affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present.

I. RESOLUTION: APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS

The Commission hereby **<u>APPROVES</u>** a coastal development permit for the proposed development and adopts the findings set forth below on grounds that the development as conditioned will be in conformity with the policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act and will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction over the area to prepare a Local Coastal Program conforming to the provisions of Chapter 3. Approval of the permit complies with the California Environmental Quality Act because either 1) feasible mitigation measures and/or alternatives have been incorporated to substantially lessen any significant adverse effects of the development on the environment, or 2) there are no further feasible mitigation measures or alternatives that would substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts of the development on the environment.

II. STANDARD CONDITIONS

- 1. Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment. The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.
- 2. Expiration. If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date this permit is reported to the Commission. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.
- 3. Interpretation. Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.
- 4. Assignment. The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.

5. Terms and Conditions Run with the Land. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

III. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Assumption of Risk, Waiver of Liability and Indemnity

By acceptance of this permit, the applicant acknowledges and agrees (i) that the site may be subject to hazards from flooding and wave uprush; (ii) to assume the risks to the applicant and the property that is the subject of this permit of injury and damage from such hazards in connection with this permitted development; (iii) to unconditionally waive any claim of damage or liability against the Commission, its officers, agents, and employees for injury or damage from such hazards; and (iv) to indemnify and hold harmless the Commission, its officers, agents, and employees with respect to the Commission's approval of the project against any and all liability, claims, demands, damages, costs (including costs and fees incurred in defense of such claims), expenses, and amounts paid in settlement arising from any injury or damage due to such hazards.

2. No Future Shoreline Protective Device

- A(1). By acceptance of this Permit, the applicant agrees, on behalf of itself and all other successors and assigns, that no shoreline protective device(s) shall ever be constructed to protect the development approved pursuant to Coastal Development Permit No. 5-04-459 including, but not limited to, the residence, garage, foundations, patio, and any future improvements, in the event that the development is threatened with damage or destruction from waves, erosion, storm conditions or other natural hazards in the future. By acceptance of this permit, the applicant hereby waives, on behalf of itself and all successors and assigns, any rights to construct such devices that may exist under Public Resources Code Section 30235.
- A(2). By acceptance of this Permit, the applicant further agrees, on behalf of itself and all successors and assigns, that the landowner shall remove the development authorized by this permit, including the residence, garage, foundations, and patio, if any government agency has ordered that the structure is not to be occupied due to any of the hazards identified above. In the event that portions of the development fall to the beach before they are removed, the landowner shall remove all recoverable debris associated with the development from the beach and ocean and lawfully dispose of the material in an approved disposal site. Such removal shall require a coastal development permit.

3. Future Development

This permit is only for the development described in Coastal Development Permit No. 5-04-459. Pursuant to Title 14 California Code of Regulations Section 13250(b)(6), the

exemptions otherwise provided in Public Resources Code Section 30610(a) shall not apply to the development governed by Coastal Development Permit No. 5-04-459. Accordingly, any future improvements to the single-family residence, garage, foundation and patio authorized by this permit, including but not limited to repair and maintenance identified as requiring a permit in Public Resources Section 30610(d) and Title 14 California Code of Regulations Sections 13252(a)-(b), shall require an amendment to Permit No. 5-04-459 from the Commission or shall require an additional coastal development permit from the Commission or from the applicable certified local government.

4. Deviation from Approved Encroachments.

- A. The only encroachment onto the City of Newport Beach Oceanfront public right-of-way allowed by this coastal development permit is replacement of a 3-foot high patio perimeter wall and construction of planter strips along this perimeter wall, fire-ring, ground -level landscaping, and a hard surface or concrete patio, all within the 15' deep by 30' wide, at-grade concrete patio encroachment area designated in the City of Newport Beach certified Land Use Plan. Any development in the public right-of-way, including improvements, repairs, and maintenance, cannot occur without an amendment to this coastal development permit or a new coastal development permit from the Coastal Commission, unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment or new permit is required.
- B. **PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT**, the applicant shall submit evidence, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, that the encroachment fee required by the City for the proposed encroachments has been paid.

5. <u>City's Right to Revoke Encroachment Permit</u>

Approval of this coastal development permit shall not restrict the City's right and ability to revoke, without cause, the approved City encroachment permit in order to construct public access and recreation improvements within the public right-of-way.

6. Drainage and Polluted Runoff Control Plan

The applicant shall conform with the drainage and run-off control plan received on December 6, 2004, describing roof drainage and runoff from all impervious areas directed to percolation pits or vegetated/landscaped areas. Vegetated landscaped areas shall only consist of native plants or non-native drought tolerant plants which are non-invasive. Any proposed changes to the approved plan shall be reported to Executive Director. No changes to the approved plan shall occur without a Commission amendment to this coastal development permit unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment is required.

7. Final Plans

- A. **PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT**, the applicant shall submit, for review and written approval of the Executive Director:
 - 1. Final plans for the replacement of a 3-foot high patio perimeter wall and construction of planter strips along this perimeter wall, fire-ring, ground-level landscaping, and a hard surface or concrete patio that substantially conform with the description submitted to the Commission, titled "Beachfront Patio Description", dated January, 14, 2005.
- B. The permittee shall undertake development in accordance with the approved final plans. Any proposed changes to the approved final plans shall be reported to the Executive Director. No changes to the approved final plans shall occur without a Commission amendment to this coastal development permit unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment is legally required.

8. Deed Restriction

PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall submit to the Executive Director for review and approval documentation demonstrating that the landowner has executed and recorded against the residential parcel(s) governed by this permit a deed restriction, in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director: (1) indicating that, pursuant to this permit, the California Coastal Commission has authorized development on the subject property, subject to terms and conditions that restrict the use and enjoyment of that property; and (2) imposing the Special Conditions of this permit as covenants, conditions and restrictions on the use and enjoyment of the Property. The deed restriction shall include a legal description of the entire residential parcel or parcels governed by this permit. The deed restriction shall also indicate that, in the event of an extinguishment or termination of the deed restriction for any reason, the terms and conditions of this permit shall continue to restrict the use and enjoyment of the subject property so long as either this permit or the development it authorizes, or any part, modification, or amendment thereof, remains in existence on or with respect to the subject property.

IV. FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS:

The Commission hereby finds and declares:

A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The applicant proposes to demolish an existing single-family residence and construct a new, 24-foot high above finished grade, 2,685 square-foot, two-story single-family residence with a 334 square-foot subterranean basement and an attached 430 square-

foot two-car garage, on a 30-foot wide, 75-foot deep, 2,250 square-foot, high density residential lot. Also proposed are two decks, one measuring approximately 120 square-feet on the second floor and a roof deck measuring approximately 900 square-feet. 570 cubic yards of grading (cut) is proposed. The subject site is an ocean front lot, located at 6706 W. Oceanfront within the City of Newport Beach, Orange County (see Exhibit #1). A wide (approximately 400 feet) public, sandy beach is adjacent to the subject site. The project is located within an existing urban residential area, just downcoast of the Santa Ana river mouth. A total of two parking spaces will be provided with the proposed project which is adequate to meet the Commission's typical parking requirement of two spaces per residential unit.

Also proposed is the encroachment onto the adjacent Oceanfront public right-of-way. The encroachment consists of replacing a 3-foot high patio perimeter wall and constructing planter strips along this perimeter wall, a fire-ring, ground -level landscaping, and a hard surface or concrete patio, all within the 15' deep by 30' wide, at-grade concrete patio encroachment area identified in the City of Newport Beach certified Land Use Plan. The applicant seeks authorization of this encroachment.

Vertical public access to this beach is available approximately 90 feet down-coast of the project site at the end of Colton Street, and approximately 60 feet up-coast of the project site at the end of Orange Street (see Exhibit #2).

1. <u>Hazard</u>

The subject site is located on a beach fronting lot on the Balboa Peninsula at the northern end of Newport Beach near the mouth of the Santa Ana River. Presently, there is a wide sandy public beach between the subject development and the ocean. According to the Wave Runup & Coastal Hazard Study prepared by Skelly Engineering dated February 2004, the beach is approximately 400 feet wide. This wide sandy beach presently provides homes and other structures in the area some protection against wave uprush and flooding hazards.

The hazards analysis concludes that: "...[W]ave runup and overtopping will not significantly impact this property over the life of the proposed improvement. The proposed development will neither create nor contribute significantly to erosion, geologic instability, or destruction of the site or adjacent area. There are no recommendations necessary for wave runup or shore protection over the life of the proposed development. The proposed project minimizes risks from flooding."

2. Encroachments

The proposed development includes demolition and reconstruction of patio development that encroaches onto the City of Newport Beach Oceanfront public right-of-way at the seaward side of the home (see Exhibit #3). The City holds the public right-of-way for street/walkway/bikeway purposes. The portions of Oceanfront in the central part of the

Balboa Peninsula near the City's two municipal piers are developed with a public walkway/bikeway. In the vicinity of the subject site, however, the City has never constructed any part of the Oceanfront street, but it has at times addressed the possibility of constructing a bike path and pedestrian walkway in the right-of-way in this area. The development now pending proposes to replace a 3-foot high patio perimeter wall and construct other appurtenances (described in further detail in the project description on the preceding page) within the 15' deep by 30' wide, at-grade, concrete patio encroachment.

The proposed encroachment would contribute to the cumulative adverse impact on beach use resulting from the various existing encroachments on the public right-of-way in the area. In addition, the encroachments could make it difficult in the future for the City to improve the public right-of-way for lateral access purposes.

In 1991, the Commission certified an amendment to the City of Newport Beach Land Use Plan (LUP). The LUP acknowledges the adverse public access impacts that will result from the development on the sandy beach area which is owned by the City for street purposes. This cumulative impact is addressed in the certified LUP by imposition of a mitigation plan. The mitigation plan requires that fees paid by encroaching homeowners be applied to improving public access in Newport Beach. The City has constructed a number of public access improvements (including street end improvements which provide additional public parking spaces) using the encroachment fee funds. When it certified the LUP amendment allowing these encroachments, the Commission found that, if developed consistent with the mitigation plan, encroachments onto the City's Oceanfront public rightof-way would be consistent with the public access and recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act.

The LUP encroachment policies allow encroachment onto the Oceanfront right-of-way in the area of the subject site which is between 52nd Street to the Santa Ana River. Encroachments in this area may encroach up to 15 feet. The LUP policies allow encroachments only if they do not interfere with access to the beach or ocean, when no building permit is required, and subject to payment of a mitigation fee. Except for an absence of evidence that the mitigation fee has been paid, the proposed encroachment at the subject site complies with these standards.

In this case, the Commission finds that the proposed encroachment is consistent with the certified LUP encroachment policies and the Chapter 3 public access policies of the Coastal Act. The findings for which are found in the Newport Beach LUP Amendment 90-1 approved by the Commission on June 11, 1991 and which are incorporated here by reference. In addition, the City is continuing to carry out the public access improvements required by the LUP mitigation plan to offset any adverse impacts of the encroachments. However, in order to assure that the encroachments are consistent with the Land Use Plan policies addressing Oceanfront encroachments as certified by the Commission, and so are consistent with the public access policies of the Coastal Act, evidence that the required encroachment fee has been paid must be submitted. Therefore, as a condition

of approval the applicant is required to submit, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, evidence that the current encroachment permit fee has been paid.

Section 13250 of the California Code of Regulations provides that development such as the proposed encroachments are not exempt from obtaining a coastal development permit pursuant to Coastal Act Section 30610(a). However, to ensure that no further encroachments occur without an approved amendment to this coastal development permit or approval of a new coastal development permit, the Commission imposes a special condition which requires that an amendment to this permit or a new coastal development permit be obtained for any deviations to the encroachments described in this permit. This would allow the Commission to evaluate future encroachment deviations for adverse public access and recreation impacts.

As a condition of the City's approval of an encroachment permit, the permittee must sign an encroachment agreement in which the permittee waives his or her right to contest the ability of the City to remove the encroachments in order to build public access improvements within the public right-of-way. Thus the proposed project is being conditioned to provide that issuance of the coastal development permit does not restrict nor interfere with the City's right to revoke its encroachment permit, without cause, in order to construct public access and recreation improvements in the public right-of-way. This would ensure future opportunities for public access and recreation.

3. Water Quality

The applicant is proposing water quality measures as part of the proposed project, including design features, which will direct runoff towards landscaped areas and a percolation pit. A trench drain, which will run the entire length of the rear (adjacent to the alley) property line, will also drain to a percolation pit. Any vegetated landscaped areas located on site shall only consist of native plants or non-native drought tolerant plants, which are non-invasive.

The placement of vegetation that is considered to be invasive which could supplant native vegetation should not be allowed. Invasive plants have the potential to overcome native plants and spread quickly. Invasive plants are generally those identified by the California Invasive Plant Council (http://www.caleppc.org/) and California Native Plant Society (www.CNPS.org) in their publications.

Furthermore, any plants in the landscaping plan should be drought tolerant to minimize the use of water. The term "drought tolerant" is equivalent to the terms 'low water use' and 'ultra low water use' as defined and used by "A Guide to Estimating Irrigation Water Needs of Landscape Plantings in California" prepared by University of California Cooperative Extension and the California Department of Water Resources dated August 2000 available at http://www.owue.water.ca.gov/landscape/pubs/pubs.cfm.

B. <u>HAZARDS</u>

Development adjacent to the ocean is inherently hazardous. Development which may require a protective device in the future cannot be allowed due to the adverse impacts such devices have upon, among other things, public access, visual resources and shoreline processes. To minimize the project's impact on shoreline processes, and to minimize risks to life and property, the development has been conditioned to: comply with an appropriate set-back from the water; comply with a drainage and runoff control plan to direct, treat, and minimize the flow of water offsite; prohibit construction of protective devices (such as a seawall) in the future; and to require that the landowner and any successor-in-interest assume the risk of undertaking the development. As conditioned, the Commission finds that the development conforms to the requirements of Sections 30235 and 30253 of the Coastal Act regarding the siting of development in hazardous locations.

C. <u>DEVELOPMENT</u>

The development is located within an existing developed area and is compatible with the character and scale of the surrounding area. However, the proposed project raises concerns that future development of the project site potentially may result in a development which is not consistent with the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act. To assure that future development is consistent with the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act, the Commission finds that a future improvements special condition be imposed. As conditioned the development conforms with the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act.

D. PUBLIC ACCESS

The proposed development will not affect the public's ability to gain access to, and/or to use the coast and nearby recreational facilities. Therefore, the development, as conditioned, conforms with Sections 30210 through 30214, Sections 30220 through 30224, and 30252 of the Coastal Act.

E. WATER QUALITY

The proposed development has a potential for a discharge of polluted runoff from the project site into coastal waters. The development, as proposed and as conditioned, incorporates design features to minimize the effect of construction and post construction activities on the marine environment. These design features include, but are not limited to, the appropriate management of equipment and construction materials, reducing runoff through the use of permeable surfaces, the use of non invasive drought tolerant vegetation to reduce and treat the runoff discharged from the site, and for the use of post construction best management practices to minimize the project's adverse impact on coastal waters. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed development, as conditioned, conforms with Sections 30230 and 30231 of the Coastal Act regarding the protection of water quality to promote the biological productivity

of coastal waters and to protect human health.

F. <u>DEED RESTRICTION</u>


To ensure that any prospective future owners of the residential property that is the subject of this permit are made aware of the applicability of the conditions of this permit, the Commission imposes one additional condition requiring that the property owner record a deed restriction against the residential property, referencing all of the above Special Conditions of this permit and imposing them as covenants, conditions and restrictions on the use and enjoyment of the residential property. Thus, as conditioned, any prospective future owner of the residential property will receive actual notice of the restrictions and/or obligations imposed on the use and enjoyment of the land including the risks of the development and/or hazards to which the site is subject, and the Commission's immunity from liability.


G. LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM

Coastal Act section 30604(a) states that, prior to certification of a local coastal program ("LCP"), a coastal development permit can only be issued upon a finding that the proposed development is in conformity with Chapter 3 of the Act and that the permitted development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare an LCP that is in conformity with Chapter 3. The Land Use Plan for the City of Newport Beach was effectively certified on May 19, 1982. The certified LUP was updated on January 9 1990. As conditioned, the proposed development is consistent with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act and with the certified Land Use Plan for the area. Approval of the project, as conditioned, will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare an LCP that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act.


H. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

As conditioned, there are no feasible alternatives or additional feasible mitigation measures available that would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect that the activity may have on the environment. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned to mitigate the identified impacts, is the least environmentally damaging feasible alternative and can be found consistent with the requirements of the Coastal Act to conform to CEQA.





Description: Orange,CA Assessor Map 45.3 Page: 1 of Order: 1446150 Comment:



FIRST FLOOR PLAN

THE HURLEY RESIDENCE 6706 W. OCEANFRONT NEWPORT BEACH, CALIF.



5 - 04 - 459

CONSULTANTS

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER JERRY TUCKER and ASSUCIATES

GEOLOGIST

ENERGY ENGINEERING Krissi K. De Boi, P.E. 27125 Calaman Mission Vieja, CA 92692 (949) 367-1232 CIVIL ENGINEERING / SURVEY Toal Engineering, Inc. 139 Avenida Navers San Chancese, CA 92677 (949) 492-8586

NOTES

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS REQUIRE SPECIAL INSPECTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECT. 1701 OF U.B.C. A. SOILS COMPLIANCE PROR TO FOUNDATION DISPECTION ALL FLELD WELDING

ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL FAILRICATION SHALL BE DONE BY A LICENCED FABRICATOR.

SITE DATA

R2

LOT 4, BLOCK G, SEASHONE COLONY

THE PLANS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE 2001 C.B.C.,

THE 2001 C.M.C., THE 2001 C.P.C., & 2001 C.E.C. AND WITH TITLE - 24 REGULATIONS

CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH COUNTY OF ORANGE,

TYPE V - NON-RATED

6706 W. OCEANFRONT NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE

CALIFORNIA

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER:

ZONE: BUILDING CODES: CONSTRUCTION TYPE:


USE: ADDRESS:

BUILDING DATA

BUILDING DATA]
SITE AREA	2,250.0 S.F.	1
SITE AREA (WITHIN SETBACKS)	1,560.0 S.F.	1
MAX. BUILDING (1,560.0 X 2)	3,120.0 S.F.	1
FIRST FLOOR	944.5 S.F.	
GARAGE	429.5 S.F.	
SECOND FLOOR	1,406.4 S.F.	
BASEMENT	334.3 S.F.	ALLOWABL
TOTAL	3,114.7 S.F.	3,120.0 S.F.
REQUIRED OPEN SPACE	3,456.0 Cu.Ft.	
PROPOSED OPEN SPACE *	3.534.0 Ca.Ft.*	

SHEET INDEX

- T I TITLE SHEET
- A 1 SFTE PLAN & ROOF DECK PLAN
- BASEMENT& FIRST FLOOR PLAN A - 2
- SECOND FLOOR PLAN A-3 ELEVATIONS
- A-4 A - 5 SECTIONS
- **COASTAL COMMISSION**





Lohrbach

31481 CAMENO CAPISTRANO, SUITE 100 SAN RJAN CAPISTRANO, CA 52675 999-661-5061 FAX 949-240-3831


Scal / Sim

HURLEY RESIDENCE


6706 & 8 W. OCEANFRONT Newport Beach, California








ROOF PLAN





Lohrbach

COASTAL COMMISSION

2,250.0 S.F.

1,560.0 S.F.

3,120.0 S.F.

944.5 S.F.

429.5 S.F.


1,406.4 S.F.

334.3 S.F.

3,114.7 S.F.

3,456.0 Cu.Ft.

3,534.0 Cu.FL *



BUILDING DATA

FIRST FLOOR

SECOND FLOOR

REQUIRED OPEN SPACE

PROPOSED OPEN SPACE *

GARAGE

BASEMENT

TOTAL

SITE AREA (WITHIN SETBACKS)

SITE AREA (WITHIN SETBACKS) X 2

PLAN MEETS THE OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENTS

Bryition:	
No. Date Revision	
\triangle	
$\overline{\wedge}$	
$\overline{\wedge}$	
<u>д</u>	
Δ	
\wedge	
\wedge	
<u> </u>	
Date:	 10010-
Job No.:	
Association Subminul:	
Bidg. Dept. Submittal:	
Bid Locut:	
Construction Issue	
Construction lister:	
	_
Sheet Title:	
SITE PLAN	
ROOF PLAN	
Short No.:	

A - 1

Lohrbach

) (dd: CAMDIO CANSTRANO, SUTTE (dd SAN JUAN CANSTRANO, CA PIATS M9-641-306 PAX 999-240-3151

Provide Mark Vanger, Grongestreger, Fraulitik Hoo, Citi, Koo, J. Provide Mark Vanger, Grongestreger, Fraulitik Hoo, Citi, Koo, J. Markov, G. Kanger, Vanger, Schole, Jr. A. Jankake Multi, J. 1: a Lood King, H. Ther, Koo, Markov, K. J. Jankake Multi, J. 1: a Lood King, M. Langer, Constant, J. Jankake Multi, J. 1: a Lood King, M. Langer, Constant, J. Jankake Multi, J. Markov, J. Langer, Constant, J. Koo, J. Jankake Markov, Markov, J. Jankay, Hanger, Danskou, J. Jankake, Markov, J. Markov, J. Jankay, Hanger, Danskou, J. Jankake, J.

.

----..... ----

=====

||======

Storage Bins

Living Room

RESIDENCE 6706 W. Occanfront Newport Beach, California

HURLEY

A set to be a set of the set of t

21 4-X 5- 61: 50-54 40 10-54 64 10-67 67 10-8 2 10-44

Kith

Media Room

Storige 23 Notes

Manual States State States Sta

P. Posto and M. A. M. A. M. A. 1965.
P. Posto and M. A. A. M. A. 1965.
B. Jakaraka, J. M. J. 1998.
B. Jakaraka, J. J. 1998.
B. Lakaraka, J. 1998.
B. Lakaraka, J. 1998.
J. Jakaraka, J. 1998.
J. Jakarakaka, J. 1998.
J. Jakaraka, J. 1998.
J. J

Generatively, acc Provent Exhaust: Party For Landor Youch All All London Data
 Juan Data Data
 Juan Data Data
 Juan Data Data
 Juan Data Data
 Juan Data</li

Out Article

COASTAL COMMISSION

Floor Plan Keynotes

Gange

15.46

1

7

EXHIBIT#_

9

01-0

PAGE_

FIRST FLOOR PLAN BASEMENT PLAN

Floor Plan Notes

THE PARTIES AND THE PART


X

1/4" = 1'-0"

FIRST FLOOR PLAN


BASEMENT PLAN

A - 2





1/4" = 1'-0"



و Б 5 EXHIBIT #_ 5 PAGE_

COASTAL COMMISSION

11681 CAMENO CAPISTRANO, SUTTE 106 SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO, CA 93675 949-661-3068 FAX 949-340-3891

Lohrbach

Sed / Signature:

Revolution for the symbolic of statutus and calling and constrained and statutus of calling and calling statutus of calling and statutus and calling statutus of calling and statutus and calling and calling and statutus and calling and statutus and statutus and calling and statutus and statutus and calling and statutus and statutus and statutus of the statutus and statutus and statutus of the statutus and statutus and and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and statutus and and statutus and s

Lander MK Detroit MK Detroit MK Detroit Mission construction unitropic series Detroit Mission construction united and united participations Statement

MARPE SCRAMPT VICTORING NOT INFINITIAL TO BE MARPE SCRAMPT VICTORING NOT INFINITIAL TO BE MARPENED TO WINN CLANKER NOT INFINITIAL TO BE MARPENED TO WINN CLANKER NOT INVITION BY CLANKER TO WINNER AND MARPENED CLANKER NOT INVITION BY CLANKER BY MARPENED TO PERMENDIAL NOT ON BY CLANKER ALLING AND MARPINED CLANKER NOT INVITION BY CLANKER BY MARPENED TO PERMENDIAL NOT ON BY CLANKER AND ALLING AND AND AND AND ALLINGTON BY CLANKER ALLING AND AND AND ALLINGTON BY CLANKER ALLING AND AND AND ALLINGTON BY CLANKER ALLING AND AND ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON BY CLANKER ALLING AND AND ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON BY CLANKER ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON ALLINGTON AND ALLINGTON

RESIDENCE 6706 W. Oceanfront Newport Beach, Californía

HURLEY

LOOR DRAW Í


RIMPLIACE BY THANGO MODEL 444 (4), THANA, CAO ONNO OR APPROVED INCLUT INVOLVE AND CONSULT AND ALL APPROVED INCLUT INVOLVE AND 64 444 AND 64 445 DOORS VAN DATALLOOD AND DATALL RER FLANDACTURES APECINICATIONS DYNEMISON AND DATALL RER FLANDACTURES APECINICATIONS

Floor Plan Keynotes


Revision

ň


Lohrbach



4. - 44







Date Reflection

Lohrbach

31681 CAMINO CAPISTRANO, SUITE 100 SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO, CA 92673 949-661-5058 FAX 949-240-2831

Seal / Signature:

HURLEY

RESIDENCE 6706 & 8 W. Oceanfront Newport Beach, California

NOT EXCIT CONCELLON 2 2003 SECTION - A