

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SAN DIEGO AREA
7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103
SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4421
(619) 767-2370



Wed 7c

RECORD PACKET COPY

TO: Commissioners and
Interested Persons

DATE: May 18, 2005

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limit for City of San Diego LCP Amendment No. 2-04B and C (Sunset Cliffs Master Plan and Sea Breeze Carmel View) for the Commission Meeting of June 7-10, 2005

On March 22, 2005, the City of San Diego Local Coastal Program (LCP) amendment #2-04 was filed in the San Diego District office. The amendment involves three components: Component A is the Fourth Quarterly Update of the City's Land Development Code; this item is on this agenda and does not require a time extension. Component B proposes to incorporate the Sunset Cliffs Master Plan into the Peninsula Land Use Plan and Component C proposes to generally update the Carmel Valley Neighborhood 8 Precise Plan (LUP), including *changing the residential land use designation* of a 5.4-acre site for commercial use and rezoning that site to a commercial zone, in Carmel Valley, a portion of the North City LCP segment. Components B and C will require a time extension. The proposed amendment will affect both the land use and implementation plans of the certified LCP.

Pursuant to Section 30512 of the Coastal Act, the Commission must act on land use plan amendments within 90 days of complete submittal or filing. Pursuant to Section 30513 of the Coastal Act, the Commission must act on implementation plan amendments within 60 days of filing. Amendments containing both land use and implementation plan components, must be acted upon by the Commission within 90 days of filing. Based on the above-cited time limits, the proposed LCP amendment must be scheduled for review by the Commission at the June, 2005 meeting. However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535(c) of the California Code of Regulations states that the Commission may extend for good cause any applicable time limits for a period not to exceed one year.

Commission staff is requesting the time extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the amendment and prepare a recommendation. In addition, the City has been consulted and has indicated the postponement is acceptable.

Staff recommends the Commission extend the 90-day time limit for one year. However, it is anticipated that the amendment request will be scheduled for the July, 2005 hearing in San Diego.

MOTION:

I move that the Commission extend the 90-day time limit to act on the City of San Diego LCP Amendment No. 2-04B and C for one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends a YES vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.