

## CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SAN DIEGO AREA  
7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4421  
(619) 767-2370



# Fri 13c

TO: Commissioners and  
Interested Persons

DATE: June 22, 2006

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limit for City of San Diego LCP Amendment No. 1-06 (Fifth Quarterly Update) for the Commission Meeting of July 12-14, 2006

The City of San Diego Local Coastal Program (LCP) Amendment #1-06 was submitted to the San Diego Office on May 12, 2006, and the amendment was filed as complete on June 1, 2006. The amendment involves the fifth update to the certified LCP Implementation Plan (Land Development Code). The proposed update includes, in part: changes to calculations and measurement, permit process, permitted uses, CEQA implementation, and other minor corrections. The proposed amendment will affect the certified LCP Implementation Plan only.

Pursuant to Section 30512 of the Coastal Act, the Commission must act on land use plan amendments within 90 days of complete submittal or filing. Pursuant to Section 30513 of the Coastal Act, the Commission must act on implementation plan amendments within 60 days of filing. Based on the above-cited time limits, the proposed LCP amendment must be scheduled for review by the Commission at the July 12-14, 2006 meeting. However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535(c) of the California Code of Regulations state that the Commission may extend for good cause any applicable time limits for a period not to exceed one year.

Commission staff is requesting the time extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the amendment and prepare a recommendation. Staff recommends the Commission extend the 60-day time limit for one year. However, it is anticipated that the amendment request will be scheduled for the August 9-11, 2006, hearing in Southern California.

**MOTION:**

I move that the Commission extend the 60-day time limit to act on the City of San Diego LCP Amendment No. 1-06 for one year.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends a YES vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.