

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SAN DIEGO AREA
7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103
SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4421
(619) 767-2370

Th 18b



DATE: March 20, 2008

TO: Commissioners and Interested Persons

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limit for City of San Diego LCP Amendment No. 3-07 (A, B, C and D) for the Commission Meeting of April 9 – 11, 2008

On February 13, 2008, the City of San Diego Local Coastal Program (LCP) Amendment #3-07 A, B, C and D, respectively known as Affordable Housing Density Bonus Regulations; Affordable/In-Fill Housing and Sustainable Projects; Mini-dorm Regulations and Regulation of Large Retail Establishments) was filed in the San Diego District office. The amendment involves four separate components, as identified above, that involve citywide changes to only the Land Development Code, which is the City's principle implementation plan.

Pursuant to Section 30513 of the Coastal Act, the Commission must act on implementation plan amendments within 60 days of filing. Based on the cited time limits, the proposed LCP amendment must be scheduled for review by the Commission at the April 2008 meeting. However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535(c) of the California Code of Regulations state that the Commission may extend for good cause any applicable time limits for a period not to exceed one year.

Commission staff is requesting the time extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the multi-faceted amendment and prepare a recommendation. The additional time will also allow for needed consultation and coordination with the City of San Diego.

Staff recommends the Commission extend the 60-day time limit for one year. However, it is anticipated that the amendment request will be scheduled for Commission hearing later this year in Southern California.

MOTION:

I move that the Commission extend the 60-day time limit to act on the City of San Diego LCP Amendment No. 3-07 (A, B, C and D) for one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.