Th12d



TO:	Commissioners and Interested Persons	DATE: September 15, 2011
FROM:	Staff	
SUBJECT:	Request to Waive Time Limit for City of Chula Vista LCP Amendment No. 1-11 (Bayfront Master Plan) for the Commission Meeting of October 5-7, 2011	

On July 11, 2011, the City of Chula Vista Local Coastal Program (LCP) Amendment #1-11 was filed in the San Diego District office. The amendment involves a request from the City to revise both the certified Master Plan and Bayfront Specific Plan, in conjunction with proposed San Diego Unified Port District Master Plan Amendment No. 41, to provide alternate land uses and development standards for the 550+ acres of the midbayfront along southeastern San Diego Bay. As such, the proposed amendment will affect both the land use and implementation plans of the City's certified LCP.

Pursuant to Section 30512 of the Coastal Act, the Commission must act on land use plan amendments within 90 days of complete submittal or filing. Pursuant to Section 30513 of the Coastal Act, the Commission must act on implementation plan amendments within 60 days of filing. Amendments containing both land use and implementation plan components must be acted upon by the Commission within 90 days of filing. Based on the above-cited time limits, the proposed LCP amendment must be scheduled for review by the Commission at the October 5-7, 2011 meeting. However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535(c) of the California Code of Regulations state that the Commission may extend for good cause any applicable time limits for a period not to exceed one year.

Commission staff is requesting the time extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the amendment and prepare a recommendation. In addition, there are a number of other pending LCP amendments in the office. Staff recommends the Commission extend the 90-day time limit for one year.

MOTION:

I move that the Commission extend the 90-day time limit to act on the City of Chula Vista LCP Amendment No. 1-11 for one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends a <u>YES</u> vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.