CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

South Coast Area Office 200 Oceangate, Suite 1000 Long Beach, CA 90802-4302 (562) 590-5071

Th5b

 Filed:
 8/17/2011

 49th Day:
 10/5/2011

 180th Day:
 2/13/2012

 Staff:
 Charles Posner - LB

 Staff Report:
 10/13/2011

 Hearing Date:
 November 3, 2011

 Commission Action:
 10

STAFF REPORT: CONSENT CALENDAR

- APPLICATION NUMBER: 5-11-198
- APPLICANT: Benjamin C. Stewart
- AGENT: Jim Gelfat, Equinox
- **PROJECT LOCATION:** 2908 Strongs Drive (Grand Canal), Venice, City of Los Angeles.
- **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** Construction of a two-story single-family residence and a 34'x 16' swimming pool on a vacant canal fronting lot. A second floor studio (760 square feet) comprises the living area in the structure. The ground floor of the structure is an 824 square foot garage.

Lot Area	3,006 square feet
Building Coverage	868 square feet
Hardscape/Pool	1,638 square feet
Landscape Coverage	500 square feet
Parking Spaces	3
Zoning	RW-1
Plan Designation	Single Family - Waterway
Ht above final grade	30 feet

LOCAL APPROVAL: City of Los Angeles Planning Department Approval, Case No. DIR-2011-0353 (SPP), 6/27/2011.

SUBSTANTIVE FILE DOCUMENTS:

- 1. City of Los Angeles certified Land Use Plan for Venice, 6/14/2001.
- 2. Coastal Development Permit 5-04-082 (Jungwirth 3000 Strongs Drive).
- 3. Coastal Development Permit 5-04-171 (Jungwirth 3005 Strongs Drive).
- 4. Coastal Development Permit 5-06-417 (Kapilow 2919 Grand Canal).
- 5. Coastal Development Permit 5-11-020-A (Wu 2812 Strongs Drive).

SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff is recommending that the Commission grant a coastal development permit for the proposed development with special conditions relating to permeable yard area, landscaping, density, parking, building height, drainage, permit compliance and deed restriction. The applicant agrees with the recommendation. **See Page Two for the Motion.**

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The staff recommends that the Commission adopt the following resolution to <u>APPROVE</u> the coastal development permit application with special conditions:

MOTION: "I move that the Commission approve the coastal development permit applications included on the consent calendar in accordance with the staff recommendations."

Staff recommends a <u>YES</u> vote. Passage of this motion will result in approval of all the permits included on the consent calendar. An affirmative vote by a majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.

I. <u>Resolution: Approval with Conditions</u>

The Commission hereby <u>APPROVES</u> a coastal development permit for the proposed development and adopts the findings set forth below on grounds that the development as conditioned will be in conformity with the policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act and will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction over the area to prepare a Local Coastal Program conforming to the provisions of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act. Approval of the permit complies with the California Environmental Quality Act because either 1) feasible mitigation measures and/or alternatives have been incorporated to substantially lessen any significant adverse effects of the development on the environment, or 2) there are no further feasible mitigation measures or alternatives that would substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts of the development.

II. Standard Conditions

- 1. <u>Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment.</u> The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.
- 2. <u>Expiration.</u> If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date this permit is reported to the Commission. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.
- 3. <u>Interpretation.</u> Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.
- 4. <u>Assignment.</u> The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.
- 5. <u>Terms and Conditions Run with the Land.</u> These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

III. Special Conditions

1. <u>Permeable Yard Area</u>

In order to maintain an open and visible access corridor, to enhance visual quality, and to preserve the water quality and biological productivity of the canals, an uncovered and permeable vard area totaling no less than fifteen times the width of the site (in this case: 15' x 30.74'= 461 square feet) shall be maintained on the project site in the front yard area between the structure and the front (Grand Canal) property line, as generally shown on Exhibit #4 of the 10/13/11 staff report. The precise boundaries of the area that must remain uncovered and permeable vard area will be described and depicted in the exhibit attached to the Notice of Intent to Issue Permit (NOI) that the Executive Director issues for this permit. Uncovered means that no fill or building extensions (i.e. chimneys, balconies, stairs, trellises) shall be placed in or over the permeable yard area with the exception of fences or garden walls (not to exceed 42 inches in height) and permeable decks at grade (not to exceed 18 inches in height). A six-foot high wall is permitted if it is set back at least ten feet from the front (Grand Canal) property line. The permeable yard area may include minimal coverage with impermeable pavers, stones, concrete walkways or other similar ground cover, but in no event shall impermeable materials occupy more than fifteen percent (15%) of the total amount of the required permeable yard area.

PRIOR TO ISSUANCE, BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, OF THE NOI FOR THIS COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, and prior to the recording of the deed restriction required by **Special Condition Seven** below, the applicant shall provide a site plan, subject to the approval of the Executive Director, which complies with all of the above terms of this condition and clearly identifies the location and dimensions of the required

5-11-198 Page 4

permeable yard area, in accordance with the general description of that area in **Exhibit #4 of the 10/13/11** staff report. Once the Executive Director approves the site plan, the plan will be included as an exhibit to the NOI.

2. Landscaping

Vegetated landscaped areas shall only consist of native plants or non-native drought tolerant plants, which are non-invasive. No plant species listed as problematic and/or invasive by the California Native Plant Society (<u>http://www.CNPS.org/</u>), the California Invasive Plant Council (formerly the California Exotic Pest Plant Council) (<u>http://www.cal-ipc.org/</u>), or as may be identified from time to time by the State of California shall be employed or allowed to naturalize or persist on the site. No plant species listed as a "noxious weed" by the State of California or the U.S. Federal Government shall be utilized within the property. The use of pesticides and herbicides is prohibited in the front yard permeable yard area required by Special Condition One.

3. Parking and Residential Density

The permitted use of the approved structure is a single-family residence. A minimum of three parking spaces shall be provided and maintained on the site as proposed: two spaces in the garage and an area for parking a third vehicle on the driveway in the rear setback area. Any proposed change in the number of residential units, change in number of on-site parking spaces, or change in use shall be submitted to the Executive Director to determine whether an amendment to this permit is necessary pursuant to the requirements of the Coastal Act and the California Code of Regulations.

4. Building Height

No development is authorized within ten feet of the fronting canal property line (Grand Canal) and within or above the required 461 square foot permeable front yard area, except as described in Special Condition One above. At a point ten feet landward of the fronting canal property line the maximum height of any structure shall not exceed 22 feet above the centerline of the rear alley. Beyond ten horizontal feet from the fronting canal property line, one foot in additional height is permitted for each two additional horizontal feet to a maximum height of thirty feet (30') except for chimneys, ducts, and ventilation shafts which are limited to 35 feet. Roof deck railings shall not exceed 42 inches above the thirty-foot height limit. Building height is measured from the elevation of the adjacent alley.

5. <u>Drainage – Water Quality</u>

PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall submit, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, a drainage plan that provides for the following:

a) During construction of the proposed project, no runoff, site drainage or dewatering shall be directed from the site into any canal or street that drains into a canal, unless specifically authorized by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board.

- b) All equipment and materials shall be stored and managed in a manner to minimize the potential of pollutants to enter the canals.
- c) A one hundred cubic foot french drain shall be installed on the project site to collect and reduce the amount of runoff that leaves the site.
- d) All runoff leaving the site shall be directed away from the canals and into the City storm drain system.
- e) No water from any pool or spa shall be discharged into any canal or street that drains into a canal.

The permittee and all successors in interest shall construct and maintain the development consistent with the drainage plans approved by the Executive Director.

6. <u>Permit Compliance</u>

All development must occur in strict compliance with the proposal as set forth in the application, subject to any special conditions. Any deviation from the approved plans must be submitted for review by the Executive Director to determine whether an amendment to this coastal development permit is required.

7. <u>Deed Restriction</u>

PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall submit to the Executive Director for review and approval documentation demonstrating that the applicant has executed and recorded against the parcel governed by this permit a deed restriction, in a form and content acceptable to the Executive Director: (1) indicating that, pursuant to this coastal development permit, the California Coastal Commission has authorized development on the subject property, subject to terms and conditions that restrict the use and enjoyment of that property; and (2) imposing the Special Conditions of this permit as covenants, conditions and restrictions on the use and enjoyment of the property. The deed restriction shall include a legal description of the entire parcel governed by this coastal development permit. The deed restriction shall also indicate that, in the event of an extinguishment or termination of the deed restriction for any reason, the terms and conditions of this coastal development permit shall continue to restrict the use and enjoyment of the subject property so long as either this coastal development permit or the development it authorizes, or any part, modification, or amendment thereof, remains in existence on or with respect to the subject property.

IV. Findings and Declarations

The Commission hereby finds and declares:

A. <u>Project Description</u>

The applicant proposes to build a new two-story single-family residence and a swimming pool on a vacant lot situated on the west bank of Grand Canal (See Exhibits). A second floor studio (760 square feet) comprises the living area in the proposed structure (Exhibit #5). The ground floor of the structure is an 824 square foot garage. A yard, deck and a swimming pool are proposed in the area situated between the house and the canal (Exhibit #4). A six-foot high wall, set back ten feet from the front (Grand Canal) property line, is proposed in the front yard area by the 34'x 16' pool. Three on-site parking spaces are provided for the proposed singlefamily residence: two in the garage and one on the driveway apron. Strongs Drive, the rear alley, provides vehicular access to the project site (Exhibit #3).

The 3,006 square foot lot is part of the Venice Canals residential neighborhood. The surrounding neighborhood is comprised of both old and new one, two and three-story single-family residences and duplexes. Public sidewalks currently provide public access along all banks of the canals (Exhibit #3).

The proposed project is consistent with the RW-1 zoning designation and has been approved by the City of Los Angeles Planning Department (Case #DIR-2011-0353, 6/27/2011). The proposed single-family residence conforms to the thirty-foot height limit for the Venice Canals neighborhood (Exhibit #5). The proposed project also provides a front yard setback (at least tern feet) in conformance with the Commission-certified building standards for the Venice Canals neighborhood. The certified Venice LUP also requires that all new houses maintain a permeable yard area equal to fifteen times the width of the lot (15'x 30.74'= 461 sq. ft.) between the canal property line and the front of the house. The proposed project provides the required 461 square foot permeable yard area within the front yard setback area adjacent to the Grand Canal public walkway (Exhibit #4).

Therefore, as conditioned, the proposed project is consistent with community character and will have no negative effects on visual resources or coastal access. The proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act, the policies of the certified Venice LUP, and previous Commission approvals.

B. Public Access

As conditioned, the proposed development will not have any new adverse impact on public access to the coast or to nearby recreational facilities. Thus, as conditioned, the proposed development conforms with Sections 30210 through 30214, Sections 30220 through 30224, and 30252 of the Coastal Act.

C. <u>Public Recreation</u>

The proposed development, as conditioned, does not interfere with public recreational use of coastal resources. The proposed development, as conditioned, protects coastal areas suited for recreational activities. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed development, as conditioned, is in conformity with Sections 30210 through 30214 and Sections 30220 through 30223 of the Coastal Act regarding the promotion of public recreational opportunities.

D. Marine Resources and Water Quality

The proposed work will be occurring in a location where there is a potential for a discharge of polluted runoff from the project site into coastal waters. The storage or placement of construction material, debris, or waste in a location where it could be carried into coastal waters would result in an adverse effect on the marine environment. To reduce the potential for construction and post-construction related impacts on water quality, the Commission imposes special conditions requiring, but not limited to, the appropriate storage and handling of construction equipment and materials to minimize the potential of pollutants to enter coastal waters and for the use of on-going best management practices following construction. As conditioned, the Commission finds that the development conforms with Sections 30230 and 30231 of the Coastal Act.

E. <u>Development</u>

The development is located within an existing developed area and, as conditioned, will be compatible with the character and scale of the surrounding area, has been designed to assure structural integrity, and will avoid cumulative adverse impacts on public access. Therefore, the Commission finds that the development, as conditioned, conforms with Sections 30250, 30251, 30252, 30253 and the public access provisions of the Coastal Act.

F. Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas (ESHA)

As conditioned, the development will not result in significant degradation of adjacent habitat, recreation areas, or parks and is compatible with the continuance of those habitat, recreation, or park areas. Therefore, the Commission finds that the project, as conditioned, conforms with Section 30240(b) of the Coastal Act.

G. Deed Restriction

To ensure that any prospective future owners of the property are made aware of the applicability of the conditions of this permit, the Commission imposes one additional condition requiring that the property owner record a deed restriction against the property, referencing all of the above Special Conditions of this permit and imposing them as covenants, conditions and restrictions on the use and enjoyment of the property. Thus, as conditioned, this permit ensures that any prospective future owner will receive actual notice of the restrictions and/or obligations imposed on the use and enjoyment of the land in connection with the authorized development.

H. Local Coastal Program

Coastal Act section 30604(a) states that, prior to certification of a local coastal program ("LCP"), a coastal development permit can only be issued upon a finding that the proposed development is in conformity with Chapter 3 of the Act and that the permitted development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare an LCP that is in conformity with Chapter 3. The City of Los Angeles Land Use Plan (LUP) for Venice was effectively certified on June 14, 2001. As conditioned, the proposed development is consistent with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act and with the certified Land Use Plan for the area. Approval of the project, as conditioned, will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare an LCP that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act.

I. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

As conditioned, there are no feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect which the activity may have on the environment. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned to mitigate the identified impacts, is the least environmentally damaging feasible alternative and can be found consistent with the requirements of the Coastal Act to conform to CEQA.













