

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

NORTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT OFFICE
45 FREMONT, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
(415) 904-5260 FAX (415) 904-5400

F4

NORTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT DEPUTY DIRECTOR'S REPORT

For the

March Meeting of the California Coastal Commission

MEMORANDUM

Date: March 11, 2011

TO: Commissioners and Interested Parties
FROM: Charles Lester, North Central Coast District Deputy Director
SUBJECT: *Deputy Director's Report*

Following is a listing for the waivers, emergency permits, immaterial amendments and extensions issued by the North Central Coast District Office for the March 11, 2011 Coastal Commission hearing. Copies of the applicable items are attached for your review. Each item includes a listing of the applicants involved, a description of the proposed development, and a project location.

Pursuant to the Commission's direction and adopted procedures, appropriate notice materials were sent to all applicants for posting at the project site. Additionally, these items have been posted at the District office and are available for public review and comment.

This report may also contain additional correspondence and/or any additional staff memorandum concerning the items to be heard on today's agenda for the North Central Coast District.

REGULAR WAIVERS

1. 2-10-005-W Robert Bingham & Carol Kearns (Marshall, Marin County)

EMERGENCY PERMITS

1. 2-11-013-G Caltrans, District 4, Attn: Robert S. Young (San Mateo, San Mateo County)

IMMATERIAL AMENDMENTS

1. 2-06-011-A2 Andrew J. Kampe (Pescadero, San Mateo County)

TOTAL OF 3 ITEMS

DETAIL OF ATTACHED MATERIALS

REPORT OF REGULAR WAIVERS

The Executive Director has determined that the following developments do not require a coastal development permit pursuant to Section 13250(c), Section 13252(e), and/or Section 13253(c) of the California Code of Regulations.

| <i>Applicant</i> | <i>Project Description</i> | <i>Project Location</i> |
|--|---|--|
| 2-10-005-W Robert Bingham & Carol Kearns | Construction of a 192-sq.-ft. clerestory addition to an existing 864-sq.-ft. single-family residence and remodel of the kitchen. No grading, excavation, or site modifications are proposed and the project includes Best Management Practices (BMPs), such as placement of tarp over the work area to prevent runoff, daily removal of debris, and the use of non-toxic products. The clerestory would raise the roof 2.5 feet making the total height of the house 28 feet above grade. Kitchen remodel includes demolition and replacement of existing 2.5-ft wall, construction of 2-ft. shear wall, installation of fan, and replacement lighting. All work will be conducted as described on sheet A.1 – A.6, prepared by Linda V. Kade, AIA, dated 1/27/10, on file at the Commission's North Central Coast District Office. | 23075 State Route One, Marshall (Marin County) |

REPORT OF EMERGENCY PERMITS

The Executive Director has determined that the following developments do not require a coastal development permit pursuant to Section 13142 of the California Code of Regulations because the development is necessary to protect life and public property or to maintain public services.

| <i>Applicant</i> | <i>Project Description</i> | <i>Project Location</i> |
|--|--|---|
| 2-11-013-G Caltrans, District 4, Attn: Robert S. Young | (1) Installation of a 100 ft. long, approximately 30 ft. tall Rock Slope Protective (RSP) device using 8-ton rock; and (2) removal of approximately 350 cubic yards of fallen bluff material from the toe of the bluff and reuse as soil cover for the RSP device. | State Route 1 at post mile 13.354, San Mateo (San Mateo County) |

REPORT OF IMMATERIAL AMENDMENTS

The Executive Director has determined that there are no changes in circumstances affecting the conformity of the subject development with the California Coastal Act of 1976. No objections to this determination have been received at this office. Therefore, the Executive Director grants the requested Immaterial Amendment, subject to the same conditions, if any, approved by the Commission.

| <i>Applicant</i> | <i>Project Description</i> | <i>Project Location</i> |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2-06-011-A2 Andrew J. Kampc | Extend the length of development authorization in special condition number 1, as amended by 2-06-011-A1, from October 2010 to June 2012, to allow the applicant sufficient time to obtain all necessary local approvals to relocate the residence. | 12901 Cabrillo Highway, Pescadero (San Mateo County) |

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

NORTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT OFFICE
45 FREMONT ST, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE (415) 904-5260
FAX (415) 904-5400
TDD (415) 597-5885

**NOTICE OF COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT WAIVER**

DATE: February 28, 2011
TO: Robert Bingham & Carol Kearns
FROM: Peter M. Douglas, Executive Director
SUBJECT: Waiver of Coastal Development Permit Requirement:
Waiver Number 2-10-005-W

Based on project plans and information submitted by the applicant(s) named below regarding the development described below, the Executive Director of the Coastal Commission hereby waives the requirement for a Coastal Development Permit, pursuant to Title 14, Section 13250(c) of the California Code of Regulations.

APPLICANT: Robert Bingham & Carol Kearns

LOCATION: 23075 State Route One, Marshall (Marin County) (APN(s) 104-150-04)

DESCRIPTION: Construction of a 192-sq.-ft. clerestory addition to an existing 864-sq.-ft. single-family residence and remodel of the kitchen. No grading, excavation, or site modifications are proposed and the project includes Best Management Practices (BMPs), such as placement of tarp over the work area to prevent runoff, daily removal of debris, and the use of non-toxic products. The clerestory would raise the roof 2.5 feet making the total height of the house 28 feet above grade. The remodel of the kitchen includes demolition and replacement of existing 2.5-ft wall, construction of 2-ft. shear wall, installation of fan, and replacement lighting. All work will be conducted as described on sheet A.1 – A.6, prepared by Linda V. Kade, AIA, dated 1/27/10, on file at the Commission's North Central Coast

RATIONALE: The impact of the proposed development on coastal resources and coastal access is insignificant because work will be done from landside within the footprint of the existing development and the applicant will incorporate BMPs to avoid water quality impacts.

IMPORTANT: This waiver is not valid unless the site has been posted AND until the waiver has been reported to the Coastal Commission. This waiver is proposed to be reported to the Commission at the meeting of Friday, March 11, 2011, in Santa Cruz. If three Commissioners object to this waiver, a coastal development permit will be required.

Persons wishing to object to or having questions regarding the issuance of a coastal permit waiver for this project should contact the Commission office at the above address or phone number prior to the Commission meeting date.

Sincerely,
PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director


By: RENÉE T. ANANDA
Coastal Program Analyst

cc: Local Planning Dept. District Office.
Linda V. Kade

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2 219
VOICE (415) 904-5 200
FAX (4 15) 904-5 400
TDD (415) 597-5885

**EMERGENCY PERMIT**

Robert Young
Caltrans District 4
111 Grand Ave.
Oakland, CA 94623

Date: February 17, 2011
Emergency Permit No. 2-11-013-G

LOCATION OF EMERGENCY

State Route 1 at post mile 13.354 (San Mateo County)

EMERGENCY WORK

(1) Installation of a 100 ft. long, approximately 30 ft. tall Rock Slope Protective (RSP) device using 8-ton rock; and (2) removal of approximately 350 cubic yards of fallen bluff material from the toe of the bluff and reuse as soil cover for the RSP device.

This letter constitutes approval of the emergency work you or your representative has requested to be done at the location listed above. I understand from your information that an unexpected occurrence in the form of the sudden loss of 2-3 feet of bluff face that has undermined the asphalt edge of State Route 1 requires immediate action to prevent or mitigate loss or damage to life, health, property or essential public services pursuant to 14 Cal. Admin. Code Section 13009. The Executive Director of the California Coastal Commission hereby finds that:

- (a) An emergency exists that requires action more quickly than permitted by the procedures for administrative or ordinary coastal development permits (CDPs), and that the development can and will be completed within 30 days unless otherwise specified by the terms of this Emergency Permit; and
- (b) Public comment on the proposed emergency development has been reviewed if time allows.

The emergency work is hereby approved, subject to the conditions listed on the attached pages.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Peter M. Douglas in black ink.

PETER M. DOUGLAS
Executive Director

cc: Tami Grove
Jo Ginsberg

Enclosure: Acceptance Form

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

1. The enclosed Emergency Permit Acceptance form must be signed by the APPLICANT and returned to our office within 15 days.
2. Only that work specifically described in this permit and for the specific property listed above is authorized. Work is limited to emergency response measures described in the February 11, 2011 project description and plans titled Conceptual Layout Design and Conceptual Cross-Section Design, both dated February 11, 2010. Any additional work requires separate authorization from the Executive Director.
3. All work shall take place in a time and manner to minimize any potential damages to any resources, including intertidal species, and to minimize impacts to public access.
4. The work authorized by this permit must be completed within 60 days of the date of this permit, which shall become null and void unless extended by the Executive Director for good cause.
5. The Permittee recognizes that the emergency work is considered temporary and subject to removal unless and until a regular coastal development permit permanently authorizing the work is approved. Within 60 days of this emergency permit, the applicant shall complete Coastal Development Permit Amendment Application No. 2-05-013-A2. The amended permit would be subject to all of the provisions of the California Coastal Act and may be conditioned accordingly. These conditions may include provisions for public access (such as offers to dedicate, easements, in-lieu fees, etc.) and/or a requirement that a deed restriction be placed on the property assuming liability for damages incurred from storm waves.
6. All fallen bluff material shall be either reused as soil cover for the RSP device or placed within the Santa Cruz littoral cell in close proximity to the project site. Within 30 days of this emergency permit, the Applicant shall submit a Bluff Material Reuse Plan for review and approval of the Executive Director to relocate any of this material within the littoral cell.
7. In exercising this permit, the applicant agrees to hold the California Coastal Commission harmless from any liabilities for damage to public or private properties or personal injury that may result from the project.
8. This permit does not obviate the need to obtain necessary authorizations and/or permits from other agencies, including but not limited to the California Department of Fish &

Game, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the California State Lands Commission.

9. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION, the applicant shall secure authorization from all involved property owners, including but not limited to the California Department of Parks and Recreation, for use of any property not owned by the applicant for construction, staging, stockpiling, and construction access purposes.
10. Public access to and along the shoreline in the project area shall be permitted and provided to the maximum extent feasible, consistent with public safety.

Construction Responsibilities:

11. The Caltrans right of way, county property, and all other areas used for construction staging and access purposes shall be kept free from any debris or trash not needed for construction. Daily debris haul shall be implemented.
12. No construction equipment or materials shall be stored on the beach.
13. If, at any time while the work authorized by this Emergency Permit is occurring, any marine mammals are located on or seaward of the subject property, work must immediately stop and the Permittee must immediately call the Marine Mammal Center in Sausalito, CA or the National Marine Fisheries Service to report that a marine mammal is located on the beach. Work must not commence until either the animal is removed by the Marine Mammal Center or the National Marine Fisheries Service, or until the animal returns to the ocean on its own without any harassment.
14. Construction activities and equipment shall avoid Pacific Ocean waters and minimize beach disturbance to the maximum extent feasible by project design and implementation including, but not limited to, limiting construction to the lowest possible tides. No construction equipment, materials, or debris shall be placed where they may be subject to ocean waters or dispersion.
15. All construction activities that result in discharge of materials, polluted runoff, or wastes to the beach and/or the adjacent marine environment are prohibited. The Permittee shall collect, contain, and properly dispose of all construction leaks, drips, by-products, and any similar contaminants through the use of containment structures or equivalent as necessary (including through the use of collection devices and absorbent materials placed below any above-ground work where such contaminants are possible and/or expected). Equipment washing, refueling, and/or servicing may not take place on the beach.

16. A copy of the signed Emergency Permit shall be maintained in a conspicuous location at the staging area site at all times, and such copy shall be available for public review on request. All persons involved with the construction shall be briefed on the content and meaning of the Emergency Permit, including all of its terms and conditions, prior to commencement of construction.
17. The construction site and staging area(s) shall be maintained with good construction housekeeping measures (e.g., clean up all leaks, drips, and other spills immediately; keep materials covered and out of the rain); dispose of all wastes properly, place trash receptacles on site for that purpose, and cover open trash receptacles during wet weather; and remove all construction debris from the beach.
18. All hazardous materials located on the property (e.g., paint cans, solvents, household chemicals, etc.), shall be removed from the property and deposited at an authorized disposal and/or storage site located inland of the State Route 1 project site.

Post-Construction Responsibilities:

19. Within seven days of completion of the work authorized by the Emergency Permit, the Permittee shall submit photographic evidence of compliance with the Emergency Permit.
20. Within 30 days of completion of the construction authorized by this Emergency Permit, the Permittee shall submit site plans and cross sections prepared by a certified civil engineer or engineering geologist, clearly identifying the work completed under the emergency authorization and a narrative description of all emergency construction activities undertaken pursuant to this Emergency Permit.
21. Within 60 days of this emergency permit, the Applicant shall submit all materials necessary to complete Coastal Development Permit Amendment Application No. 2-05-013-A2.
22. Failure to comply with the conditions of this approval may result in enforcement action under the provisions of Chapter 9 of the Coastal Act.

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

NORTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT OFFICE
45 FREMONT ST, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE (415) 904-5260
FAX (415) 904-5400
TDD (415) 597-5885



EMERGENCY PERMIT ACCEPTANCE FORM

TO: CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION
NORTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT OFFICE
45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
(415) 904-5260 FAX (415) 904-5400

RE: **Emergency Permit No. 2-11-013-G**

INSTRUCTIONS: After reading the Emergency Permit, please sign this form and return to the North Central Coast District Office within 15 working days from the permit's date.

I hereby understand all of the conditions of the emergency permit being issued to me and agree to abide by them.

I also understand that the emergency work is TEMPORARY and that a regular Coastal Permit is necessary for any permanent installation. I agree to complete the regular Coastal Permit Amendment Application (no. 2-05-013-A2) within 60 days of the date of the emergency permit (by April 18, 2011) or I will remove the emergency work in its entirety within 150 days of the date of the emergency permit (i.e., by July 17, 2011).

Signature of Applicant or
Authorized Representative

Name

Address

Date of Signing

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

NORTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT OFFICE
45 FREMONT, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
(415) 904-5260 FAX (415) 904-5400
www.coastal.ca.gov

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED PERMIT AMENDMENT**

TO: All Interested Parties
FROM: Peter Douglas, Executive Director *RP (for)*
DATE: February 25, 2011
SUBJECT: **Permit No: 2-06-011-A2**
Granted to: Andrew J. Kampe

Original Description:

for **Temporary authorization to place two gabion walls to replace two failed sections of existing seawall to protect single family residence, until such time that residence is relocated to safe distance from bluff edge.**

at **12901 Cabrillo Highway, Pescadero (San Mateo County)**

The Executive Director of the Coastal Commission has reviewed a proposed amendment to the above referenced permit, which would result in the following changes:

Extend the length of development authorization in special condition number 1, as amended by 2-06-011-A1, from October 2010 to June 2012, to allow the applicant sufficient time to obtain all necessary local approvals to relocate the residence.

FINDINGS

Pursuant to Title 14, Section 13166(b) of the California Code of Regulations this amendment is considered to be IMMATERIAL and the permit will be amended accordingly if no written objections are received within ten working days of the date of this notice. If an objection is received, the amendment must be reported to the Commission at the next regularly scheduled meeting. This amendment has been considered IMMATERIAL for the following reason(s):

The requested amendment does not have the potential for adverse impacts, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources or public access to and along the shoreline.

If you have any questions about the proposal or wish to register an objection, please contact Ruby Pap at the North Central Coast District office.

cc: Local Planning Dept.

B.A.G.G., Attn: A.J. Singh

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

NORTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT OFFICE
45 FREMONT ST, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE (415) 904-5260
FAX (415) 904-5400
TDD (415) 597-5885

**Memorandum****March 9, 2011**

To: Commissioners and Interested Parties

FROM: Charles Lester, Deputy Director
North Central Coast District

Re: *Additional Information for Commission Meeting
Friday, March 11, 2011*

| <u>Agenda Item</u> | <u>Applicant</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| F6a | LCP Amendment No. HMB-MAJ-2-10-A (IDES Rezone) | Correspondence, John Furtado | 1 |
| F6.5a | Fitzgerald Marine Reserve, San Mateo Co. | Correspondence, Patricia Erickson | 2 |
| | | Correspondence, County of San Mateo | 3 |
| | | Correspondence, Neil Cagle | 4 |
| | | Correspondence, Marissa Cagle | 5 |
| | | Correspondence, Annette Saunders | 6 |

Irmandade do Divino Espirito Santo



F6a

RECEIVED
MAR 07 2011
CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION

I.D.E.S. Society of Half Moon Bay, PO Box 866, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019

March 3, 2011

California Coastal Commission
North Central Coast District Office
45 Fremont, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94105-2219

LCP Amendment No. HMB-MAJ-2-10-A
Item No: F6a
John Furtado – President of I.D.E.S. Society
IN FAVOR

Dear Members of the Coastal Commission:

This letter is in reference to LCP Amendment No. HMB-MAJ-2-10-A, Item No: F6a – the request by the City of Half Moon Bay to amend its certified Land Use Plan designation for a 19,034 square foot property from medium density residential to Commercial-General and amend the Implementation Plan to rezone the property from Single-Family Residential (R-1-B-2) to Commercial Downtown.

As President of the I.D.E.S. Society of Half Moon Bay, the owner of the property in question, I and the membership support the change of zoning for many obvious and just reasons. The property in question was deeded to the I.D.E.S. Society by Manuel P. Dutra in January, 1896; the property, which lies between Main St. and Johnston St., has never had any other function than what it currently is... a community service, religious, cultural and fraternal organization. The property has NEVER been used as residential in the history of Half Moon Bay; it has only been commercial. The commercial use of the property has been on-going and without interruption for 115 years and pre-dates the existence of the City of Half Moon Bay and the California Coastal Commission. What obviously happened is a City Planner, at some point, without really paying attention to what the uses of properties in Half Moon Bay were, arbitrarily drew a line down the middle of the entire block and designated the parts facing Main St. as "commercial" and the part on Johnston St. as "residential". This dividing line runs right through the middle of our property.

This error was discovered when we applied for a permit to renovate our main kitchen and the City of Half Moon Bay cooperated with us by granting a temporary re-zoning in order to do the kitchen renovation. The "change" to commercial zoning is only common sense and is an obvious and logical conclusion to correct a problem that should never have existed.

Signature on File

John Furtado, President
I.D.E.S. Society of Half Moon Bay

FG.5a

Patricia Erickson

P.O. Box 6

Moss Beach, CA 94038

March 6, 2011

Douglas Bosco, Chairman

Coastal Conservancy

1330 Broadway

Oakland, CA 94612

Mr. Douglas Bosco

I am writing to support the appeal A-2-SMC-11-010 (Fitzgerald Marine Reserve) the section of Dardenelle Trail nearest the fern grove / wetland area.

The Dardenelle Trail is unlike other open space that has been developed – it is a Reserve that needs to be protected.

The location of the proposed bridge entering / existing into a residential area needs to be relocated into the parking lot already present in the Reserve.

I am certain you will consider these concerns and raised issues prior to your vote of acceptance of the ruling of no substantial issue and the adoption of the stated findings.

Sincerely,

Patricia Erickson

Cc/r farshchi, of the following findings.s. brennan

Board of Supervisors



COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER • REDWOOD CITY • CALIFORNIA 94063-1655
WEB PAGE ADDRESS: <http://www.co.sanmateo.ca.us>

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
CAROLE GROOM
DON HORSLEY
ROSE JACOBS GIBSON
ADRIENNE TISSIER

DAVID S. BOESCH
COUNTY MANAGER/
CLERK OF THE BOARD

(650) 363-4653
FAX: (650) 599-1027

FG. 5a

March 7, 2011

California Coastal Commission
North Central Coast District Office
45 Fremont Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94105-2219

Re: Accessible trail at Fitzgerald Marine Reserve

Dear Commission:

Although the Civil Rights legislation known as the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) was signed into law in 1990, the Fitzgerald Marine Reserve in San Mateo County remains inaccessible to those with mobility challenges. The San Mateo County Parks Department, under Director Dave Holland, has worked for three years with the San Mateo County Commission on Disabilities (CoD) to correct this and create a multi-use trail that will allow every resident and visitor to our county's most beautiful Reserve public access.

In addressing the problem, the County Parks Department not only took into account the ADA requirements, but also tried to work with a group of local residents and neighbors who were vocal in their opposition to any change in the park. The plan now calls for an eight-foot wide path with a surface that will remain passable to wheelchairs, strollers and bicyclists in wet weather. While this is below the County Trail Guidelines of recommended 12-foot width and a minimum of ten feet for multi-use trails, we agree with the San Mateo County Parks and Recreation Commission's approval and the San Mateo County Planning Commission's approval of the trail being reduced in width to eight feet due to the environmental sensitivities of the site. However, we do feel strongly that the width should not be reduced any further.

In addition, there is a planned bridge that is at the same grade as the path and not dropped four vertical feet below the level of the path, as the temporary bridge is now. This placement recognizes that, if the creek widens further, the temporary bridge will have to be removed; thus, preventing all access. The proposed 60-foot long clear span bridge outside of the creek banks and above the flood zone is a long-term solution. Where there is sensitive habitat, the proposed Coastal Trail will be cut into the hillside to avoid or reduce impacts to ferns that the County Parks has agreed to remove and relocate if impacted by the trail development. Even the Dusky Footed Wood Rat was considered in this plan. The Commission on Disabilities strongly supports the Coastal Trail as approved by the County Park and Recreation Commission and the County Planning Commission and hopes that you will, too.

Sincerely,

Signature on File

Carole Groom
President, Board of Supervisors
Liaison, San Mateo County CoD

Signature on File

Susy Gastoria
President, San Mateo County CoD

cc: Gary Lockman, Superintendent, San Mateo County Parks Division
Sam Herzberg, Senior Planner, San Mateo County Parks Division
Samuel P. Schuchat, Executive Director, California Coastal Conservancy

F6.5a

3/1/2011

RECEIVED

MAR 08 2011

CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to make comments regarding the following hearing: Permit # A-2-SMC-11-010, hearing 3/11/11 in Santa Cruz, item # F6.5a. The subject is the Dardenell Trail/California Coastal Trail that runs through the Fitzgerald Marine Reserve.

We live near the trail that is the subject of this appeal. Our proximity to the reserve is the main reason we purchased a house in this area. As so many other areas all around us are being developed, we have felt so lucky to be near an area that has been protected. At least that is up until now. It is alarming to think that this trail within a pristine nature reserve might be replaced with an eight-foot wide, paved "trail".

A "trail" that is eight feet wide and paved – isn't a trail – it is a street. This type of construction is not appropriate for this area. This is a reserve where picnicking and activities like Frisbee are discouraged and you can't collect shells because the rangers are trying to educate people to protect this reserve and not act in any manner that might harm it. In order to keep with this philosophy, the current trail should not be widened to eight feet and paved.

We ride bikes and walk on the current trail often and we love it the way it is. However, we understand that some people want to make the trail more substantial. I hope that some compromise can be reached. I don't think the current proposal is much of a compromise. Something more along the lines of 5' wide and crushed gravel – or packed dirt like on the Moss Beach bluffs – seems much more appropriate for the area.

Thanks for your consideration,

Signature on File

Neil Cagle

FG.5a

RECEIVED

MAR 08 2011

CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION

Date: March 5, 2011

Permit No.: A-2-SMC-11-010

Appeal: Dardenelle Trail/California Coastal Trail

Hearing Date: March 11, 2011

Hearing Location: Santa Cruz Board of Supervisors Council
Chambers

Hearing Item: No. F6.5a

Position: Against proposed changes to trail

I would like to submit my comments about the appeal "of a San Mateo County decision granting a coastal development permit to San Mateo County Department of Parks to improve an existing Dardenelle Trail/California Coastal Trail".

I grew up using the current trail for walking to friend's houses, walking to pre-school, walking to the taqueria, and playing in the reserve. The area is so pretty and rustic. Please don't pave this trail! If the trail is widened to 8 feet and paved it will look like there is a road going right through the reserve. I have been taught to protect this area and to keep it natural. Putting a road there would not protect this area at all and would ruin the beauty of this part of the park.

There are lots of other places where there isn't a paved coastal trail. So why would the park have to be paved? Can't it be an exception like the Moss Beach bluffs?

Please don't put a road in our park! Keep it beautiful!

Sincerely,

Signature on File

Marissa Cagle

F6.5a

March 4, 2011

Oppose
trail
Annette
Saunders

Dear Commission Staff,

This letter is regarding permit number A-2-SMC-11-010, item No. F6.5a for the meeting on 3/11/11 at the Santa Cruz Board of Supervisions Council Chambers, and is regarding the appeal of the coastal development permit to San Mateo County Department of Parks to improve an existing Dardenelle Trail/California Coastal trail.

I strongly object to this project that the permit refers to as an "improvement" to the existing trail. In my opinion widening and paving this quaint, picturesque trail would ruin the beauty of this region. If you walk the trail, I think you will understand why I say this. The trail currently has a very natural and inviting look that matches the area; it is appropriate for a reserve where the goal is to keep the reserve in a natural state. An 8' paved road would be an offensive eye sore and the magic of that area would be ruined.

As for functionality, the trail is already wide enough for 2 people to walk side by side. It is already usable for dirt and road bicycles. The trail is already accessible to vehicles – I have seen various trucks drive on that trail whenever necessary. I know all this is true because I have been using the trail for the last 20 years.

It is true the current trail is not wheelchair friendly, but in this case, widening and paving the trail would ruin the feel of the area that you are trying to share. It is like a catch-22, you want to make the trail accessible to all, but it doing so you ruin the very area that you wanted to share. Also, the south end of the trail only leads to a road, and the beach access at that end is about 100 stair-steps. The best place for a person in a wheelchair is near the north end of the trail, where a paved trail will lead to the beach. The park plans to provide all-terrain wheelchairs for use on the beach. Perhaps these all-terrain wheelchairs could also be used on the current trail.

Please don't ruin the beauty of this area. Once it is paved, it is gone forever.

Thank you for your time,
Annette Saunders

Signature on File

RECEIVED

MAR 08 2011

CALIFORNIA
COASTAL COMMISSION