CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AREA 89 SOUTH CALIFORNIA ST., SUITE 200 VENTURA, CA 93001 (805) 585-1800

W25b



DATE: December 22, 2011

TO: Commissioners and Interested Persons

FROM: John Ainsworth, Senior Deputy Director

SUBJECT: TIME EXTENSION—City of Ventura LCP Amendment No. MAJ-1-11 to be heard at the January 11, 2012 Commission Hearing in Santa Monica.

On February 15, 2011, the City of Ventura submitted an amendment to the Land Use Plan (LUP) and Implementation Plan (LIP) portions of its certified Local Coastal Program (LCP) to allow mixed-use development on approximately 26.92 acres of vacant land and water in the Ventura Harbor area.

On December 7, 2011, the Executive Director deemed the subject amendment to be "submitted" in that the amendment submittal was in proper order and legally adequate to comply with the submittal requirements of Coastal Act Section 30510(b). Pursuant to Coastal Act Sections 30512 and 30514(b) as well as California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 13522, an amendment to the LUP and LIP portions of the certified LCP must be scheduled for a public hearing and the Commission must take action not later than 90 days from the day that the amendment was deemed "submitted". In the subject case, the 90th day will be March 6, 2012.

Coastal Act Section 30517 and California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 13535 (c) state that the Commission may extend for good cause the 90-day time limit for a period not to exceed one year. Commission staff is requesting an extension to the 90-day time limit in order to allow adequate time to review and analyze the amendment. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission extend the 90-day time limit to act on the subject LCP Amendment for a period of one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Commission vote to extend the deadline for Commission action for one year.

MOTION: I move that the Commission extend the 90-day time limit to act on the City of Ventura LCP Amendment No. MAJ-1-11 for one year.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends a **YES** vote. An affirmative vote of a majority of the Commission present is needed to pass the motion.