

**CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION**

SAN DIEGO AREA  
7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4421  
(619) 767-2370



# Th13c

TO: Commissioners and  
Interested Persons

DATE: July 19, 2012

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limit for City of Imperial Beach LCP Amendment No. 1-12 (Commercial/Recreation-Ecotourism) for the Commission Meeting of August 8-10, 2012

On May 25, 2012, the City of Imperial Beach's Local Coastal Program (LCP) amendment #1-12 was filed in the San Diego District office. The amendment involves the incorporation of a new land use category and related zoning chapter (the C/R-ET zone) into the City's LCP to support new commercial/recreation and ecotourism uses in the community. The proposed amendment would also redesignate the property located north of Cypress between Florence and 13<sup>th</sup> Streets from Residential Two Family to Commercial/Recreation-Ecotourism and rezone the same site from R-3000-D to C/R-ET. The proposed amendment will affect both the land use and implementation plan elements of the certified LCP.

Amendments containing both land use and implementation plan components must be acted upon by the Commission within 90 days of filing. Based on the above-cited time limits, the proposed LCP amendment must be scheduled for review by the Commission at the August 8-10, 2012 meeting. However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535(c) of the California Code of Regulations state that the Commission may extend for good cause any applicable time limits for a period not to exceed one year.

Commission staff is requesting the time extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the amendment and prepare a recommendation. In addition, there are a number of other pending LCP amendments already filed in the office. Staff recommends the Commission extend the 90-day time limit for one year.

**MOTION:**

I move that the Commission extend the 90-day time limit to act on the City of Imperial Beach LCP Amendment No. 1-12 for one year.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.