

**CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION**

South Coast Area Office  
200 Oceangate, Suite 1000  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4302  
(562) 590-5071



# W6a

Filed: 5/16/12  
180th Day: 11/12/12  
Staff: A. Padilla-LB  
Staff Report: 7/17/12  
Hearing Date: 8/8-10/12

## STAFF REPORT: CONSENT CALENDAR

**Application Number:** 5-12-137

**Applicant:** Verizon Wireless

**Agent:** Rob Searcy

**Project Location:** 1901 Palisades Drive, within the Public Right of Way, Pacific Palisades

**Project Description:** The applicant proposes to install a new 24'-6" (above average grade) steel monopole with one 28" diameter by 72" high radome on top; and an approximately 12" x 10" x 50" utility cabinet and power meter on existing concrete sidewalk.

**Staff Recommendation:** Approval with conditions

---

## SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Verizon Wireless proposes to install a single monopole for wireless telecommunications in a residentially developed area and approximately 2.5 miles from the coast. The antenna will not have any adverse visual impact to coastal views. Staff recommends **approval** of the proposed development with **three special conditions** including: **1)** require the applicant to cooperate with other communication companies in co-locating additional antennas and/or equipment on the project site in the future; **2)** require the applicant to modify the development if future technological advances would allow for reduced visual impacts; and **3)** permit compliance.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I. MOTION AND RESOLUTION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>II. STANDARD CONDITIONS.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>III. SPECIAL CONDITIONS.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>IV. FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	4
B. VISUAL RESOURCES.....	5
C. PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION.....	6
D. LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM.....	6
E. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) .....	7

### **EXHIBITS**

Exhibit 1-- Project Vicinity Map

Exhibit 2—Parcel Map

Exhibit 3-- Site Plan

Exhibit 4-- Aerial

Exhibit 5-- Equipment Details

Exhibit 6—Utility Box

## **I. MOTION AND RESOLUTION:**

### **Motion:**

*I move that the Commission **approve** coastal development permit applications included on the consent calendar in accordance with the staff recommendations.*

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. Passage of this motion will result in approval of all permits included on the consent calendar. An affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.

### **RESOLUTION:**

*The Commission hereby approves a permit, subject to the conditions below, for the proposed development and adopts the findings set forth below on grounds that the development as conditioned will be in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the California Coastal Act and will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction over the area to prepare a local coastal program conforming to the provisions of Chapter 3. Approval of the permit complies with the California Environmental Quality Act because either 1) feasible mitigation measures and/ or alternatives have been incorporated to substantially lessen any significant adverse effects of the development on the environment, or 2) there are no further feasible mitigation measures or alternative that would substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts of the development on the environment.*

## **II. STANDARD CONDITIONS:**

This permit is granted subject to the following standard conditions:

1. **Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment.** The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.
2. **Expiration.** If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date on which the Commission voted on the application. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.
3. **Interpretation.** Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.

4. **Assignment.** The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.
5. **Terms and Conditions Run with the Land.** These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

### III. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. **Co-Location of Future Antennas.** PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall agree in writing to cooperate with other communication companies in co-locating additional antennas and/or equipment on the project site in the future, providing such shared use does not impair the operation of the approved facility. Upon the Executive Director's request, the permittee shall provide an independently prepared technical analysis to substantiate the existence of any practical technical prohibitions against the operation of a co-use facility.
2. **Future Redesign.** PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall agree in writing that where future technological advances would allow for reduced visual impacts resulting from the proposed telecommunication facility, the applicant shall make those modifications which would reduce the visual impact of the proposed facility. In addition, the applicant agrees that if, in the future, the facility is no longer needed, the applicant shall abandon the facility and be responsible for removal of all permanent structures and restoration of the site as needed to re-establish the area consistent with the character of the surrounding area. Before performing any work in response to the requirements of this condition, the applicant shall contact the Executive Director of the California Coastal Commission to determine if an amendment to this coastal development permit or a new coastal development permit is necessary.
3. **Permit Compliance.** All development must occur in strict compliance with the proposal as set forth in the application, subject to any special conditions imposed herein. Any deviation from the approved plans must be submitted for review by the Executive Director to determine whether an amendment to this coastal development permit is necessary pursuant to the requirements of the Coastal Act and the California Code of Regulations.

## **IV. FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS:**

### **A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The applicant proposes to install a new 24'-6" (above average grade) steel monopole with one 28" diameter by 72" high radome on top; and an approximately 12" x 10" x 50" utility cabinet and power meter on existing concrete sidewalk, for wireless telecommunications. (see **Exhibit No. 5 & 6**)

The project site is located on the east side of Palisades Drive just north Chastain Parkway in the Pacific Palisades area of the City of Los Angeles. The area is known as the Palisades Highlands, which is a residential subdivision created in the early 1970s', with over 1,000 residential lots. The site is approximately 2.5 miles from the Pacific Coast Highway and Will Rogers State Beach. The surrounding area is residentially developed with single-family and multi-family residences.

The proposed antenna is one of three proposed in this residential area by the applicant. The three antennas are located along Palisades Drive and range between .3 miles to .6 miles from each other (see **Exhibit No. 4**). The purpose of the antenna is to provide wireless telecommunication coverage in an area that had little to no coverage.

### **B. VISUAL RESOURCES**

Section 30251 of the Coastal Act requires that the scenic and visual qualities of this coastal area shall be protected. Section 30251 of the Coastal Act states, in part:

*The scenic and visual qualities of coastal areas shall be considered and protected as a resource of public importance. Permitted development shall be sited and designed to protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas, to minimize the alteration of natural land forms, to be visually compatible with the character of surrounding areas, and, where feasible, to restore and enhance visual quality in visually degraded areas...*

The proposed project site is located approximately 2.5 miles from the beach area and in a residentially developed area. Because of surrounding development, distance from the beach, the antenna will not have a significant impact on public views to or along the ocean. However, in past Commission permit actions on similar wireless telecommunication facility sites, the Commission has been concerned with the proliferation of antennas and the adverse cumulative impacts on visual resources [Coastal Development Permits: 5-07-375(T-Mobile); 5-92-415(Los Angeles Cellular Telephone Co.); 5-97-130(Los Angeles Cellular); 4-08-035(AT&T Mobility; 5-09-103(Verizon)]. As demand for wireless communication facilities increases and service providers continue to try to cover every area with signal coverage, it is likely that other service providers will be interested in placing additional structures, antennas, and equipment in the project area and other surrounding areas. The Commission is concerned that individually and cumulatively, installation of additional similar projects in the area could have adverse impacts on visual resources and detract from the public's enjoyment of those resources.

Co-location is the preferred way to provide future telecommunication services. If co-location is not possible, then the visual impacts of such structures must be mitigated either through project design or siting so as not to result in adverse cumulative visual impacts. As such, **Special Conditions No. 1 and 2** are imposed on this permit. **Special Condition No. 1** requires that the applicant submit a written statement agreeing to cooperate with other communication facilities in co-locating additional antenna on the proposed development, unless the applicant can demonstrate a substantial technical conflict in doing so. **Special Condition No. 2** requires the applicant to submit a written statement agreeing to modify the facility if new technological advances would reduce the visual impact of the equipment or remove the facility and restore this site in the future should technological advances make this facility obsolete. In this way, it can be assured that the proliferation of these types of facilities can be limited to appropriate locations, and that the area will not be littered with outdated and obsolete facilities in the future. Therefore, as conditioned, the Commission finds the project is consistent with the certified LUP and with the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act with respect to protecting visual resources.

### **C. PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION**

One of the basic goals stated in the Coastal Act is to maximize public access and recreation along the coast. The public access and recreation policies of the Coastal Act require that maximum access and recreational opportunities be provided and that development shall not interfere with public access. The proposed project does not block physical or visual access to or along the coast. Therefore, the proposed development will not have any new adverse impact on public access to the coast or to nearby recreational facilities. Thus, the proposed development conforms with Sections 30210 through 30214, Sections 30220 through 30224, and 30252 of the Coastal Act.

### **D. LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM**

Section 30604(a) of the Coastal Act provides that the Commission shall issue a coastal development permit only if the project will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction to prepare a Local Coastal Program (LCP) that conforms with Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act:

*(a) Prior to certification of the Local Coastal Program, a coastal development permit shall be issued if the issuing agency, or the commission on appeal, finds that the proposed development is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 30200) of this division and that the permitted development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare a Local Coastal Program that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 30200). A denial of a coastal development permit on grounds it would prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare a Local Coastal Program that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 30200) shall be accompanied by a specific finding which sets forth the basis for such conclusion.*

Coastal Act section 30604(a) states that, prior to certification of a local coastal program (“LCP”), a coastal development permit can only be issued upon a finding that the proposed development is in conformity with Chapter 3 of the Act and that the permitted development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare an LCP that is in conformity with Chapter 3. The Pacific Palisades area of the City of Los Angeles has neither a certified LCP nor a certified Land Use Plan. As conditioned, the proposed development will be consistent with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act. Approval of the project will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare a Local Coastal Program that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act.

#### **E. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)**

Section 13096 Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations requires Commission approval of a coastal development permit application to be supported by a finding showing the application, as conditioned by any conditions of approval, to be consistent with any applicable requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Section 21080.5(d)(2)(A) of CEQA prohibits a proposed development from being approved if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect which the activity may have on the environment.

The proposed project, as conditioned, has been found consistent with the Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act. All adverse impacts have been minimized by the recommended conditions of approval and there are no feasible alternatives or additional feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse impact that the activity may have on the environment. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned, can be found consistent with the requirements of the Coastal Act to conform to CEQA.

VICINITY



5/12/2012 8:46 AM



California Coastal Commission

Serial No. **5-12-137**

Project No.

By

EFFECTIVE

Date

PALISADES

CHASTAIN

PKWY

DR.

PIEDRA MORADA DR

APROX.  
118°33'8.5"W, 34°4'24.88"N

**RECEIVED**  
South Coast Region

MAY 16 2012

CALIFORNIA  
COASTAL COMMISSION

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

EXHIBIT NO.

**2**

B9

100' OWNERS

Application Number

**5-12-137**

**Parcel Map**

Map Prepared by:  
Leon Mapping & GIS Services  
15031 Chatsworth St, Ste 17  
Mission Hills, CA 91345  
818-235-7649 Fax 866-299-7934

leonmapping@hotmail.com  
www.laradiusmaps.com

CASE #

DATE: 05/13/2012

UPDATE: \_\_\_\_\_

CONTACT: CES. ROB SEARCY  
PHONE: 1-818-489-1034



California Coastal Commission





EXHIBIT NO. 4
Application Number 5-12-137
Aerial-Project Site two other locations
California Coastal Commission



PROPRIETARY INFORMATION  
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THIS SET OF  
CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS IS PROPRIETARY. BY ANYONE  
ANY USE OR DISCLOSURE OTHER THAN THAT WHICH IS  
RELATES TO VERIZON WIRELESS IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

**PALISADES B9**

1801 PALISADES DR., UNIT C-1  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

CES PROJECT NO. 10000622-15

CITY: LOS ANGELES

STATE: CA

DATE: 03-10-09

ENGINEERED BY: CES





DRAWN BY: CES

**verizon wireless**  
Southern California  
15505 S. Harbor Blvd., Suite 200  
Irvine, CA 92614

**CES** cabin engineering services  
PRESKOTT COMMUNICATIONS INC.  
10000 W. 15th Ave., Suite 100  
Denver, CO 80202  
Phone No. (303) 755-2332 Fax No. (303) 755-2188

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	03-10-09	PRELIMINARY
2	03-22-09	REVISED FOR B.C.E. INTERFERE MODEL
3	04-25-11	REVISED FOR B.C.E. INTERFERE MODEL
4	07-22-11	REVISED FOR B.C.E. INTERFERE MODEL
5	08-12-12	REVISED FOR B.C.E. INTERFERE MODEL

SHEET TITLE: **POWER PEDESTAL**



**EXHIBIT NO. 6**

Application Number  
**5-12-137**

**Utility Box**

California Coastal Commission