

**CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION**

South Coast Area Office  
200 Oceangate, Suite 1000  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4302  
(562) 590-5071

**W 9d**

[Click here to go to  
original staff report](#)

**ADDENDUM**

October 5, 2015

TO: Coastal Commissioners and Interested Parties

FROM: South Coast District Staff

SUBJECT: **ADDENDUM TO ITEM W9d, CDP NO. 5-15-0060 FOR THE  
COMMISSION MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 7, 2015.**

---

**1. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED**

On September 30, 2015, Commission staff received a letter from the agent for the proposed project stating their opposition to Special Condition No. 1 imposed upon the project (see attached letter). Special Condition No. 1 requires the applicant to submit revised project plans to minimize the finger width from 6' and 12' to 4'; maintain a minimum headwalk width of 6'; 16 square feet for two knee structures; and including as an option, an additional maximum 140 square feet for storage boxes. This will result in a dock system ranging between a minimum of 444 square feet to a maximum of 584 square feet, as opposed to the proposed 884 square foot U-shaped dock system. The revisions were based upon Commission staff's review of the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division's established Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards for residential dock projects.

The proposed project includes the removal of an existing 896 square foot U-shaped dock with 4-14" square concrete guide piles and a 4' x 24' gangway and installation of a new 884 square foot U-shaped dock with new 4-14" square concrete piles and 4' x 24' gangway. The proposed boat dock system results in 12 square feet of decreased water coverage. However, the proposed dock has not been designed to the minimum required standards found in the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards that would result in less water coverage while still being able to provide for a usable dock system. As a result of a larger dock structure, there would be cumulative impacts to biological productivity of coastal waters resulting from increased water coverage, increased shading of soft bottom habitat, habitat displacement, and decreases in foraging habitat for sight foraging marine birds.

The agents' letter discusses several reasons why they are in disagreement with special condition No. 1. In her letter she states that the Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards Table 1, where Commission staff found that the minimum required width for the proposed project would be 4' wide, only demonstrates the minimum finger widths in relation to the length of the finger. She continues to state that the final decision is made by the Harbor Resources Division, as they take into account safety standards, appurtenances or accessories, such as, water supply, electrical supply, boating related storage, etc. The agent also states the proposed dock should be allowed

since the proposed dock has the least amount of water coverage compared to nine larger lot homes at the two ends of the horse shoe shaped Linda Isle that have docks averaging approximately 1,112 square feet in size. The agent states that the applicant originally wanted to install a long "I" shaped dock similar to adjacent docks, but due to the presence of eelgrass and potential impacts to eelgrass this was not chosen. Additionally, the agent states that they had initially designed the project so that a pier platform could be installed as part of the dock system, where boating related accessories, such as mast storage, a kayak rack and storage boxes would be placed. However, due to the presence of eelgrass near the boat dock system and impacts upon it from installation of the pier platform, the pier platform component was not included as part of the project and instead the fingers were designed to be wider to accommodate the boating related accessories.

Commission staff has imposed Special Condition No. 1 in order to minimize the overall water coverage of the proposed dock system in accordance with the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resource's Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards to protect marine resources. Water coverage of coastal waters is a significant concern because it reduces the amount of light and decreases the biological productivity of coastal waters and impedes wildlife foraging activities. Reducing the proposed dock system to the minimal amount necessary as dictated by the Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards results in less water coverage while still being able to provide for a usable dock system.

Commission staff understands that the Harbor Resources Division may decide to approve dock projects with varying widths, lengths, etc.; however, Commission staff's concern is the impact upon marine resources as a result of water coverage, especially due to the fact that eelgrass has historically grown at this site. Surveys completed in 2004, 2007, 2010 and 2013 identify the presence of eelgrass within the project site. Surveys completed in 2004 and 2013 especially show a larger area of eelgrass within the subject site as compared to the applicant's 2015 survey. Therefore, there is a high potential for impacts to the future growth, or reestablishment, of eelgrass within the subject site.

The agent states that the size of the proposed dock system is smaller compared to the size of docks on adjacent properties and so should be approved. Through past permit action, the Commission has approved larger and smaller docks compared to the proposed dock, on Linda Isle. However, the site specific concern for the project site is the historical nature of eelgrass at the project site.

The agent states that the proposed wider fingers are necessary in order to accommodate the storage of boating related material that cannot be accommodated on a pier platform due to anticipated eelgrass impacts. The concern is the impact to marine resources resulting from increased water coverage. Reducing the dock system to the minimal amount dictated in the Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines results in less water coverage and protection of an important marine resource. Commission staff in Special Condition No. 1 has granted an equal amount of area that would otherwise have been available with the construction of a pier platform (140 square feet) to be included into the revised design of the dock system. Therefore, Commission staff has already taken into consideration the need to have storage area for boating related

accessories into the revised design that would still result in less water coverage than the original and proposed design, while still providing a usable dock system.



## **Dock and Pier Builders, Inc.**

Contractor's License 797052 A

[www.swiftslipdocks.com](http://www.swiftslipdocks.com)

642 Baker Street - Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Telephone (949) 631-3121

---

**RECEIVED**  
South Coast Region

SEP 30 2015

**CALIFORNIA**  
**COASTAL COMMISSION**

26 September 2015

**Application No.:** 5-15-0060

**Applicant:** 86 Linda Isle, LLC

**Agent:** Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, LLC - Pete Swift

**Location:** 86 Linda Isle, Newport Beach (Orange County)

This information has been sent to the following persons:

Effie Turnbull-Sanders – Commissioner  
Dayna Bochco – Commissioner  
Wendy Mithcell – Commissioner  
Mary K. Shallenberger – Commissioner  
Mark Vargas – Commissioner  
Martha McClure – North Coast Representative  
Steve Kinsey – North Central Coast Representative  
Carole Groom – Central Coast Representative  
Erik Howell – South Central Coast Representative  
Roberto Uranga – South Coast Representative  
Gregory Cox – San Diego Coast Representative  
John Laird – Resources Agency Representative  
Janelle Beland – Resources Agency Representative  
Lt. Gov. Gavin Newsom – State Lands Commission Representative  
Jennifer Lucchesi - State Lands Commission Representative  
Kevin Schmidt - State Lands Commission Representative  
Brian P. Kelly – State Transportation Representative  
Dale Jones – State Transportation Representative  
Belinda Faustino - Alternate  
Trent Orr - Alternate  
Steve Kram - Alternate  
Randy Pestor - Alternate  
Dr. Paul Song - Alternate  
Sarah Galde Gurney – North Central Coast Alternate  
Maricela Morales – Central Coast Alternate  
Olga Diaz – San Diego Coast Alternate  
Dr. Charles Lester – Executive Director  
Sherilyn Sarb – Deputy Director  
Teresa Henry – South Coast District Manager  
Al Padilla – Orange County Area Manager  
Fernie Sy – Coastal Program Analyst II





**RECEIVED**  
South Coast Region

SEP 30 2015

**W9d** CALIFORNIA  
COASTAL COMMISSION

Hearing Date: 10/7/2015

**APPLICATION NUMBER:** 5-15-0060

**APPLICANT:** 86 Linda Isle, LLC

**AGENT:** Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, LLC

**LOCATION:** 86 Linda Isle, Newport Beach (Orange County)

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** Removal of an existing 896 square foot U-shaped dock with (4) 14" square concrete marina guide pile and a 24 x 4 gangway and installation of a new 884 square foot U-shaped dock with (4) new 14" square concrete marina guide pile and 24 x 4 gangway. The new boat dock system will be comprised of wood and PVC material. The proposed boat dock system results in 12 square feet of decreased overwater coverage.

The dock improvement project at 86 Linda Isle is located in the gate guarded community of Linda Isle in the city of Newport Beach. The nearest public access to the bay is approximately 460 feet from this residence near the Dover Avenue Bridge. The existing dock is in great need of repair.

This near like-for-like replacement of the floating dock system consists of removing an 896 square foot floating dock and replacing it with an 884 square foot floating dock. This is a **DECREASE** of 12 square feet. The (4) 14" square pile will be replaced with the same. As will the 24 x 4 gangway. Please see **Exhibit No. 1** and **Exhibit No. 2**.

California Coastal Commission Staff's **Special Condition No. 1** requesting revised project plans to minimize the finger width from 6' and 12' to 4' while maintaining the minimum headwalk width of 6' and 16 square feet for two knee structure is based on an interpretation of the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division Harbor Design



Criteria Guidelines and Standards Table No. 1. This table demonstrates the MINIMUM Finger Widths in relation to the length of the finger. The Harbor Resources Division are the stewards of the Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards. Their interpretation of and purposes for Table No. 1 is to determine the minimum allowable finger width with respect to a defined finger length while maintaining specific safety standards. This minimum width does not take into consideration necessary boating related items such as water supply, electrical supply, boating related storage, etc. In addition, 2a3 specifically states, *"These Standards are to be considered minimum requirements for the cases represented and, at the City of Newport Beach Building Department discretion, may not apply to the specific project submitted. The Building Department reserves the right to mandate deviation from the Standards, if particular project conditions require special consideration."* The proposed dock and configuration of the necessary boating related items meets the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards. Please see **Exhibit No. 3** and **Exhibit No. 4**

At the two ends of the Linda Isle "horseshoe" are a total of (10) larger lots in comparison to the majority of the 107 homes on the island. Please see **Exhibit No. 5**. These (10) larger lots are listed below. Of these (10) lots, 86 Linda Isle has one of the smallest docks with respect to overwater coverage.

85 Linda Isle -- 1,073 sq. ft.	41 Linda Isle -- 1,325 sq. ft.
86 Linda Isle -- 896 sq. ft.	42 Linda Isle -- 1,400 sq. ft.
87 Linda Isle -- 1,307 sq. ft.	43 Linda Isle -- 1,400 sq. ft.
88 Linda Isle -- 670 sq. ft.	44 Linda Isle -- 963 sq. ft.
89 Linda Isle -- 957 sq. ft.	45 Linda Isle -- 910 sq. ft.

The average overwater coverage for the (9) larger neighboring docks of 86 Linda Isle is approximately 1,112 sq. ft. Our proposed dock measures 896 sq. ft. The dock at 86 Linda Isle measures **215 sq. ft. less than the average.**

The homeowner at 86 Linda Isle originally requested a long "I" shaped dock, similar to 42, 43, 87, and 88 Linda Isle. Unfortunately, with the presence of eelgrass on the project site this was not a possibility. Another alternative plan was to include a pier platform. Again, due to the presence of eelgrass this was not a possibility. The proposed dock at 86 Linda Isle has been designed at a minimum, adhering to the Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards while also accommodating the clients boating related requirements. The design requirements include a 20 x 1 mast storage, 14 x 2.5 kayak rack, (2) power pedestals, water/spigot dock box, and (2) storage dock box; all boating related items. Typically, the mast storage, kayak rack, and the storage dock boxes could be accommodated on a pier. Unfortunately, this project site cannot accommodate a pier without detrimentally impacting the eelgrass present. All necessary water related items



have been proposed to be located on the floating dock while also resulting in a 12 sq. ft. decrease in overwater coverage. Please see **Exhibit No. 6** and **Exhibit No. 7**.

Eelgrass is present within the project area. There is no Caulerpa present. Because the proposed design is near like-for-like, there will not be any impact to eelgrass. We have agreed to a Pre-Construction survey for eelgrass as requested under **Special Condition No. 2**. In addition to a Pre-Construction survey for Caulerpa as requested under **Special Condition No. 3**.

During construction we take the utmost care in minimizing any impacts to water quality and marine resources. The dock and gangway are manufactured at our facility in Costa Mesa. The parts are secured to a trailer and towed to a public launch ramp then floated to the project site. The pieces are linked to create a completed design using pre-drilled holes. No manufacturing is done onsite. During pile replacement a silt/turbidity curtain is utilized. In addition, a boom is utilized as a precaution towards minimizing any impacts. Prior to construction beginning crew members are presented with the Pre-Construction survey and instructed on construction methods which will alleviate any possible impacts to eelgrass during installation. The removed dock is returned to our facility in Costa Mesa where it is dismantled. Those items which can be re-used are removed and recycled. The remaining parts are disposed of accordingly at an appropriate upland refuse site. This method of construction meets the requirements of both **Special Condition No. 4** and **Special Condition No. 5**.

- This project location cannot accommodate either of the first two original choices for a reconstructed floating dock system without detrimentally impacting the eelgrass present.
- The design requirements for the intended use of the dock include a 20 x 1 mast storage, 14 x 2.5 kayak rack, (2) power pedestals, water/spigot dock box, and (2) storage dock boxes, all boating related items meets the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards.
- Our proposed near like-for-like replacement decreases overwater coverage by 12 sq. ft. and maintains the number and size of pile.
- We are in agreement with Special Conditions 2 through 6

We humbly request that the Commission remove **Special Condition No. 1** and approve Coastal Development Permit No. 5-15-0060 with the design proposed by the applicant.

# Application No. 5-15-0060


86 LINDA ISLE, LLC  
86 Linda Isle  
Newport Beach, California  
92660

## **EXHIBITS**

- Exhibit No. 1 -- Existing and Proposed Floating Dock System Site Plan
- Exhibit No. 2 -- Overlay of Existing and Proposed Floating Dock System
- Exhibit No. 3 -- City of Newport Beach Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards (excerpt)
- Exhibit No. 4 -- Email from Harbor Resources Explaining Intent of Table No. 1
- Exhibit No. 5 -- Assessors Map and Aerial of 86 Linda Isle and Neighboring Lots
- Exhibit No. 6 -- Specifics of Proposed Floating Dock System
- Exhibit No. 7 -- Existing and Proposed Floating Dock System in Relation to Eelgrass



## Existing Dock



Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, Inc.

642 Baker St.  
Costa Mesa, California 92626

Phone: (949) 631-3121  
Fax: (714) 509-0618

86 Linda Isle, Newport Beach

Area of Floating Dock = 896 sq. ft.


Area of Gangway = 96 sq. ft.

Total Area = 995 sq. ft.

Date	Revision	No.
8/26/15		

The information contained in this drawing is confidential and proprietary to Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, Inc. No part of this drawing may be distributed, disclosed or reproduced in any form to any third party without consent from Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, Inc.

## Proposed Dock



Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, Inc.

642 Baker St.  
Costa Mesa, California 92626

Phone: (949) 631-3121  
Fax: (714) 509-0618

86 Linda Isle, Newport Beach

Area of Floating Dock = 884 sq. ft.


Area of Gangway = 96 sq. ft.

Total Area = 980 sq. ft.

Date	Revision	No.
8/26/15		

The information contained in this drawing is confidential and proprietary to Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, Inc. No part of this drawing may be distributed, disclosed or reproduced in any form to any third party without consent from Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, Inc.

# Existing vs. Proposed



86 Linda Isle, Newport Beach



Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, Inc.

642 Baker St.  
Costa Mesa, California 92626

Phone: (949) 631-3121  
Fax: (714) 509-0618

- Proposed Layout
- Existing Layout

Date	Revision	No
8/26/15		

The information contained in this drawing is confidential and proprietary to Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, Inc. No part of this drawing may be distributed, disclosed or reproduced in any form to any third party without consent from Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, Inc.

**City of Newport Beach**  
**Harbor Resources Division**  
**Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards**

utilized as shown, or in combination with one another, in an overall marina dock scheme.

**2. LAYOUT AND DESIGN (Commercial & Residential)**

**a. General**

- (1) Layout and design of harbor facilities shall be based upon the use of the facility defined as follows:

- (a) Single or joint residential
- (b) Multi-residential
- (c) Commercial

- 1) Passenger
- 2) Recreational boat marina

- (2) Harbor structures shall conform to "Layout & Design Guidelines for Marina Berthing Facilities", latest edition, published by the State of California Department of Boating and Waterways, 2000 Evergreen Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95814; Telephone (888) 326-2822, except as modified by the City's harbor standard drawings within the Design Criteria.

- (3) See the attached Harbor Standard Drawings for plans, sections and details of typical conditions for vessel moorings and docks, gangways, platforms, seawalls, and beach profiles. These Standards are to be considered minimum requirements for the cases represented and, at the City of Newport Beach Building Department discretion, may not apply to the specific project submitted. The Building Department reserves the right to mandate deviation from the Standards, if particular project conditions require special consideration.

**b. Slip and Boat Overhang into Adjacent Fairways:**

- (1) Berths shall not be occupied by vessels more than 3 feet longer than the berth or slip, or in the case of fairways with a 1.75 x Lb width, not more than 10% of the length of the finger.
- (2) For berths either parallel or perpendicular to a main channel, vessels can extend beyond the limits of the slip by as much as the *beam of the boat*.



c. **Finger and Walkway Widths:**

- (1) Minimum finger widths for recreational commercial and residential docks shall be per **Table No. 1**.
- (2) Fillets at the connection of walkways to fingers shall not have less than a 4-foot side.
- (3) Outer end (end tie) and side-tie fingers shall be a minimum of one foot wider than the minimal widths for all other adjacent finger docks.
- (4) **Residential Headwalks and Mainwalks:**
  - (a) Minimum residential headwalk widths shall be no less than 6 feet for dock lengths up to 80 feet in total length, and 8 feet wide for dock lengths of more than 80 feet.
- (5) **Commercial Headwalks and Mainwalks:**
  - (a) Minimum widths shall be no less than 8 feet wide. If use of a walkway is for staging the public while waiting to board a vessel, the minimum dock width shall be 12 feet.
  - (b) At gangways, a minimum of 6 feet of walking surface shall be maintained in front of the furthestmost gangway projection (including toe plate) at high tide, and have a minimum of 4 feet of clear space to walk along the side of any gangway for access to berthed vessels.

**Table No. 1**  
**Minimum Finger Widths**

Fingerfloat Width (Feet)	Length of Berth (Feet)
F = 5.0'	All ADA Accessible Fingerfloats
F = 3.0'	Up to 35'
F = 4.0'	36' to 55'
F = 5.0'	56' to 70'
F = 6.0' <sup>(1)</sup>	71' to 84'
F = 8.0' <sup>(1)</sup>	85' and over

<sup>(1)</sup> Widths of more than that shown in this Figure may be necessary for specific use conditions and/or uses of fingers over 70 feet.

<sup>(2)</sup> Minimum 5'-0" widths are required for the entire path of travel for ADA access, including paths along main- and headwalks.

**Jacquelyn Chung**

---

**From:** Levin, Shannon [SLLevin@newportbeachca.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 18, 2015 11:04 AM  
**To:** 'Jacquelyn Chung'  
**Subject:** RE: Harbor Division Dock Design Criteria

Jacquelyn,

The Harbor Design Criteria was created by City staff and engineers to set minimum standards for marine construction. Criteria such as flotation, freeboard, dead load v live load, etc. are factors that determine minimum finger widths. Like I said, those are *minimum* not maximums. If there are appurtenances or accessories such as electrical pedestals or piling those fingers may adjust upward in dimension. Consideration must also be given for the type of dock- commercial vs. recreational. Typically commercial has larger minimum widths for fingers or head walks. If you need more info on the Harbor Design Criteria I suggest you speak with one of our engineers. I'd be happy to put you in touch.

Have a great day,

Shannon Levin  
Management Analyst  
Harbor Resources Division

City of Newport Beach  
829 Harbor Island Drive  
Newport Beach, CA 92660  
[www.NewportBeachCA.gov/HarborResources](http://www.NewportBeachCA.gov/HarborResources)  
949-644-3041

---

**From:** Jacquelyn Chung [<mailto:jacquelyn.chung@sbcglobal.net>]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 14, 2015 1:29 PM  
**To:** Levin, Shannon  
**Subject:** Harbor Division Dock Design Criteria

Shannon,

I had a question of interpretation that I was hoping you could clarify.

My question references the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Divisions' Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards Table No. 1 Minimum Finger Widths found on page 6. I was hoping you could please explain to me the meaning behind having this Table No. 1? What was the intended purpose in creating this table? What was the consideration for creating this table?

I would greatly appreciate your time and consideration.

Please contact me directly with any questions.

Sincerely,

Jacquelyn

Jacquelyn Chung



TR-4003 2 of 7

188/14

28

# TRACT No 4003

CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH COUNTY OF ORANGE


108 LOTS 28.79 ACRES

JAN 25 1968

10230

ACCEPTED AND FILED  
OCT 18 1963  
AT REQUEST OF  
ORANGE COUNTY TITLE CO.  
ORANGE COUNTY RECORDS  
RUST INGRAM, County Recorder  
Fee \$2.75

HARBOR LINE STATION COORDINATES IN FEET											
U.S. PIERHEAD LINE				U.S. BULKHEAD LINE				LINE OF MEAN HIGH TIDE			
STATION	N	D	S	STATION	N	D	S	STATION	N	D	S
1	000	00	00	1	000	00	00	1	000	00	00
2	000	00	00	2	000	00	00	2	000	00	00
3	000	00	00	3	000	00	00	3	000	00	00
4	000	00	00	4	000	00	00	4	000	00	00
5	000	00	00	5	000	00	00	5	000	00	00
6	000	00	00	6	000	00	00	6	000	00	00
7	000	00	00	7	000	00	00	7	000	00	00
8	000	00	00	8	000	00	00	8	000	00	00
9	000	00	00	9	000	00	00	9	000	00	00
10	000	00	00	10	000	00	00	10	000	00	00
11	000	00	00	11	000	00	00	11	000	00	00
12	000	00	00	12	000	00	00	12	000	00	00
13	000	00	00	13	000	00	00	13	000	00	00
14	000	00	00	14	000	00	00	14	000	00	00
15	000	00	00	15	000	00	00	15	000	00	00
16	000	00	00	16	000	00	00	16	000	00	00
17	000	00	00	17	000	00	00	17	000	00	00
18	000	00	00	18	000	00	00	18	000	00	00
19	000	00	00	19	000	00	00	19	000	00	00
20	000	00	00	20	000	00	00	20	000	00	00
21	000	00	00	21	000	00	00	21	000	00	00
22	000	00	00	22	000	00	00	22	000	00	00
23	000	00	00	23	000	00	00	23	000	00	00
24	000	00	00	24	000	00	00	24	000	00	00
25	000	00	00	25	000	00	00	25	000	00	00
26	000	00	00	26	000	00	00	26	000	00	00
27	000	00	00	27	000	00	00	27	000	00	00
28	000	00	00	28	000	00	00	28	000	00	00
29	000	00	00	29	000	00	00	29	000	00	00
30	000	00	00	30	000	00	00	30	000	00	00
31	000	00	00	31	000	00	00	31	000	00	00
32	000	00	00	32	000	00	00	32	000	00	00
33	000	00	00	33	000	00	00	33	000	00	00
34	000	00	00	34	000	00	00	34	000	00	00
35	000	00	00	35	000	00	00	35	000	00	00
36	000	00	00	36	000	00	00	36	000	00	00
37	000	00	00	37	000	00	00	37	000	00	00
38	000	00	00	38	000	00	00	38	000	00	00
39	000	00	00	39	000	00	00	39	000	00	00
40	000	00	00	40	000	00	00	40	000	00	00
41	000	00	00	41	000	00	00	41	000	00	00
42	000	00	00	42	000	00	00	42	000	00	00
43	000	00	00	43	000	00	00	43	000	00	00
44	000	00	00	44	000	00	00	44	000	00	00
45	000	00	00	45	000	00	00	45	000	00	00
46	000	00	00	46	000	00	00	46	000	00	00
47	000	00	00	47	000	00	00	47	000	00	00
48	000	00	00	48	000	00	00	48	000	00	00
49	000	00	00	49	000	00	00	49	000	00	00
50	000	00	00	50	000	00	00	50	000	00	00
51	000	00	00	51	000	00	00	51	000	00	00
52	000	00	00	52	000	00	00	52	000	00	00
53	000	00	00	53	000	00	00	53	000	00	00
54	000	00	00	54	000	00	00	54	000	00	00
55	000	00	00	55	000	00	00	55	000	00	00
56	000	00	00	56	000	00	00	56	000	00	00
57	000	00	00	57	000	00	00	57	000	00	00
58	000	00	00	58	000	00	00	58	000	00	00
59	000	00	00	59	000	00	00	59	000	00	00
60	000	00	00	60	000	00	00	60	000	00	00
61	000	00	00	61	000	00	00	61	000	00	00
62	000	00	00	62	000	00	00	62	000	00	00
63	000	00	00	63	000	00	00	63	000	00	00
64	000	00	00	64	000	00	00	64	000	00	00
65	000	00	00	65	000	00	00	65	000	00	00
66	000	00	00	66	000	00	00	66	000	00	00
67	000	00	00	67	000	00	00	67	000	00	00
68	000	00	00	68	000	00	00	68	000	00	00
69	000	00	00	69	000	00	00	69	000	00	00
70	000	00	00	70	000	00	00	70	000	00	00
71	000	00	00	71	000	00	00	71	000	00	00
72	000	00	00	72	000	00	00	72	000	00	00
73	000	00	00	73	000	00	00	73	000	00	00
74	000	00	00	74	000	00	00	74	000	00	00
75	000	00	00	75	000	00	00	75	000	00	00
76	000	00	00	76	000	00	00	76	000	00	00
77	000	00	00	77	000	00	00	77	000	00	00
78	000	00	00	78	000	00	00	78	000	00	00
79	000	00	00	79	000	00	00	79	000	00	00
80	000	00	00	80	000	00	00	80	000	00	00
81	000	00	00	81	000	00	00	81	000	00	00
82	000	00	00	82	000	00	00	82	000	00	00
83	000	00	00	83	000	00	00	83	000	00	00
84	000	00	00	84	000	00	00	84	000	00	00
85	000	00	00	85	000	00	00	85	000	00	00
86	000	00	00	86	000	00	00	86	000	00	00
87	000	00	00	87	000	00	00	87	000	00	00
88	000	00	00	88	000	00	00	88	000	00	00
89	000	00	00	89	000	00	00	89	000	00	00
90	000	00	00	90	000	00	00	90	000	00	00
91	000	00	00	91	000	00	00	91	000	00	00
92	000	00	00	92	000	00	00	92	000	00	00
93	000	00	00	93	000	00	00	93	000	00	00
94	000	00	00	94	000	00	00	94	000	00	00
95	000	00	00	95	000	00	00	95	000	00	00
96	000	00	00	96	000	00	00	96	000	00	00
97	000	00	00	97	000	00	00	97	000	00	00
98	000	00	00	98	000	00	00	98	000	00	00
99	000	00	00	99	000	00	00	99	000	00	00
100	000	00	00	100	000	00	00	100	000	00	00





BOUNDARY CONTROL AND INDEX MAP

MONUMENT NOTES  
1. Set back 100' from the shore line of the harbor to the center of the monument.  
2. Set back 100' from the shore line of the harbor to the center of the monument.  
3. Set back 100' from the shore line of the harbor to the center of the monument.  
4. Set back 100' from the shore line of the harbor to the center of the monument.  
5. Set back 100' from the shore line of the harbor to the center of the monument.

Site

1003-







Newport  
Beach  
GIS



site



0 417 833  
Feet

**Disclaimer:** Every reasonable effort has been made to assure the accuracy of the data provided, however, The City of Newport Beach and its employees and agents disclaim any and all responsibility from or relating to any results obtained in its use.

**Imagery:** 2009-2013 photos provided by Eagle Imaging [www.eagleaerial.com](http://www.eagleaerial.com)

9/21/2015




**Figure 1. Property parcels for 85, 86, 87, 88, and 89 Linda Isle.**



**Figure 2. Property parcels for 41, 42, 43, 44, and 45 Linda Isle.**



## Proposed Dock



Area of Floating Dock = 884 sq. ft.

Area of Gangway = 96 sq. ft.


Total Area = 980 sq. ft.




C-14  
C-15



Easily add a power center to your slip with these slim **Power Pedestals**. Roughly 4 3/4" wide they don't take up much room and can easily hold a Midwest or HyPower power supply.




C-84



## C-84 Dock Box



Marine Grade Gel Coat / Resin / Gun Roving  
Huge 28 Cubic Feet of Storage  
3/16" Avg Wall Thickness  
Reinforced Roof for Strength  
Aluminum Hinges  
Stainless Steel Chain Supports  
Dual Stainless Steel Lockable Hasps  
25+ Years of Service Life

C-1  
C-1D  
C-1E



## C-1 / C-1D / C-1E Triangular Dock Box

- ☐ Marine Grade Gel Coat / Resin / Gun Roving
- ☐ 3/16" Typical Wall Thickness
- ☐ 3/8" High Structural Rises in Lid
- ☐ Concealed Stainless Steel Lockable Hasp
- ☐ Extruded Aluminum Hinges
- ☐ Stainless Steel Support Chains
- ☐ Available Power Center Cutouts







**DIVE WORKS** (949) 759-0773  
429-0 Shoreline Village Drive  
Long Beach, CA 90802


**86 Linda Isle**  
Newport Beach, CA

Preliminary Survey March 2, 2015

Figure 1. Location of 86 Linda Isle, Newport Beach, California



Figure 2. Existing: Dive transects, eelgrass, quadrats and proposed addition to finger




⬡ Depth      — Transects = 12      80x95= 7600 sqft Survey Area      Proposed Addition  
★ Quadrat      Grid - 5sqft      Eelgrass 1-20 Turions sqft 3"-2' Length

Head-walk 4x30= 120    2 Finger 6x20= 120  
1 Finger 36x6= 216    2 Finger 12x14= 168 Total SQFT 624

Date: 3/2/15 Time 1400 Air 62F Water 60F Vis: 1'-3' Flora: Eelgrass Fauna: Mussels, Mollusk



2027 Placentia Avenue, Costa Mesa, California 92627  
(949) 631-3121 • Fax (949) 631-3122



**CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION**

South Coast Area Office  
200 Oceangate, Suite 1000  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4302  
(562) 590-5071

**W 9d**

Filed:	3/19/15
180th Day:	9/15/15
270 <sup>th</sup> Day:	12/14/15
Staff:	F. Sy-LB
Staff Report:	9/17/15
Hearing Date:	10/7/15

**STAFF REPORT: REGULAR CALENDAR**

**Application No.:** 5-15-0060

**Applicant:** 86 Linda Isle, LLC

**Agent:** Swift Slip Dock & Pier Builders, LLC, Attn: Jacquelyn Chung

**Location:** 86 Linda Isle, Newport Beach (Orange County)

**Project Description:** Removal of an existing 896 square foot U-shaped dock with 4-14" square concrete guide piles and a 4' x 24' gangway and installation of a new 884 square foot U-shaped dock with new 4-14" square concrete piles and 4' x 24' gangway. The new boat dock system will be comprised of composite material. The proposed boat dock system results in 12 square feet of decreased water coverage.

**Staff Recommendation:** Approval with conditions

---

**SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Commission staff is recommending **APPROVAL** of the removal of an existing boat dock system and installation of a new boat dock system in the City of Newport Beach. The major issues raised by this proposed development concern consistency with the marine resources, water quality and public access and recreation policies of the Coastal Act.

The subject site is a bayfront lot in the City of Newport Beach and the proposed project includes the removal of an existing 896 square foot U-shaped dock with 4-14" square concrete guide piles and a 4' x 24' gangway and installation of a new 884 square foot U-shaped dock with new 4-14" square concrete piles and 4' x 24' gangway. The proposed boat dock system results in 12 square feet of decreased water coverage. However, the proposed dock has not been designed to the minimum required standards found in the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards that would result in less water coverage while still being able to

provide for a usable dock system. Adhering to the minimum standards of the Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards, the proposed dock system could be further reduced to a 584 square foot boat dock system, a reduction of approximately 312 square feet from the existing boat dock system and a reduction of approximately 300 square feet from the proposed boat dock system and result in less water coverage. As a result of a larger dock structure, there would be cumulative impacts to biological productivity of coastal waters resulting from increased water coverage, increased shading of soft bottom habitat, habitat displacement, and decreases in foraging habitat for sight foraging marine birds.

The applicant states that the proposed design is needed in order to accommodate storage boxes for their recreational boating related materials that in an original design were to be placed on a pier platform. However, due to the presence of eelgrass near the dock and impacts upon it from the installation of the pier platform, the pier platform component was not included as part of the project and instead the fingers were designed to be wider to accommodate the storage boxes. However, the Coastal Act does not require approval of an expanded boating facility in order to create additional storage space for a private homeowner's recreational materials. In addition, the boat dock system can be redesigned in order to reduce overall water coverage while still accommodating area where the owner's recreational boating materials can be stored.

The City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division has established Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards for residential dock projects. The minimum required finger widths for the dock based on the lengths of the applicant's proposed berth is 4'. The applicant is proposing widths of 6' and 12'. The minimum width of the float dock headwalk based on the applicant's proposed dock length can be a minimal width of 4', as the applicant has proposed. In order to accommodate storage boxes originally intended to be placed on a standard 10' x 14' (140 square feet) pier platform that could not be included due to adverse eelgrass impacts, Commission staff has included that area (a maximum of 140 square feet) in the amount of area that could be included in the boat dock system to accommodate boating related storage containers. Even with the additional allotment of square footage for storage boxes, the overall square footage of the revised boat dock system based on the minimum standards of the Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards would result in less water coverage (584 square feet) than the existing (896 square feet) and proposed (884 square feet) boat dock systems.

In order to minimize adverse impacts to biological resources and to ensure that there will not be negative cumulative impacts to the Newport Harbor ecosystem, the proposed increased water coverage of the new boat dock system must be reduced. Therefore, the Commission imposes **Special Condition No. 1**, which requires the applicant to submit revised project plans to minimize the finger width from 6' and 12' to 4' while maintaining the minimum headwalk width of 6' and 16 square feet for two knee structures and including as an option, an additional maximum 140 square feet for storage boxes. This will result in a dock system varying from a minimum of 444 square feet to 584 square feet.

Eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) was discovered near the project site, but is not expected to be impacted by the project. While eelgrass is not anticipated to be impacted, it does not minimize the fact that eelgrass at the subject site has historically been prevalent. Eelgrass surveys completed in 2013, 2010, 2007 and 2004 by the City of Newport Beach confirm the historical nature of eelgrass at the

subject site and thus adverse impacts to eelgrass are always a significant possibility. The current eelgrass survey which identifies that no eelgrass is impacted is no longer valid; therefore, a pre-construction eelgrass survey should be conducted. Therefore, the Commission imposes **Special Condition No. 2**, which requires a new eelgrass survey and identifies the procedures necessary to be completed prior to beginning construction, in case the new survey also expires prior to commencement of construction.

A *Caulerpa Taxifolia* survey was completed for the project site and none was discovered. However, to verify that no *Caulerpa Taxifolia* is on the site that could result in further dispersal of this invasive species from construction activities, a pre-construction *Caulerpa Taxifolia* survey should be conducted. Therefore, the Commission imposes **Special Condition No. 3**, which requires the applicant, prior to commencement of development, to survey the project area for the presence of *Caulerpa Taxifolia*.

During construction and post construction, the proposed project has potential for adverse impacts to water quality and marine resources. Therefore, as a result, several standard special conditions have been imposed in order to minimize any impacts that the proposed project may have on water quality and marine resources: **Special Condition No. 4** states requirements for the applicant regarding construction responsibilities and debris removal; and **Special Condition No. 5** requires the applicant to implement construction Best Management Practices (BMPs) to protect water quality.

The proposed dock is being constructed on public tidelands and/or within an area subject to public trust doctrine. The Commission is not authorizing any new development in open coastal waters that would obstruct public use of or access to those waters. **Special Condition No. 6** affirms that approval of a replacement dock does not constitute a waiver of any public rights that exist or may exist at the site.

As conditioned, the proposed project will conform with Coastal Act Sections 30230, 30231, 30233, 30250, 30210, 30211, and 30212 of the Coastal Act.

Section 30600(c) of the Coastal Act provides for the issuance of coastal development permits directly by the Commission in regions where the local government having jurisdiction does not have a certified Local Coastal Program. The City of Newport Beach only has a certified Coastal Land Use Plan (CLUP) and has not exercised the options provided in 30600(b) or 30600.5 to issue its own permits. Therefore, the Coastal Commission is the permit issuing entity and the standard of review is Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act. The certified Coastal Land Use Plan may be used for guidance.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I.</b>	<b>MOTION AND RESOLUTION</b> .....	Page 5
<b>II.</b>	<b>STANDARD CONDITIONS</b> .....	Page 5
<b>III.</b>	<b>SPECIAL CONDITIONS</b> .....	Page 6
<b>IV.</b>	<b>FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS</b>	
A.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION, AND OTHER LOCAL AND AGENCY APPROVALS.....	Page 11
B.	MARINE RESOURCES AND WATER QUALITY .....	Page 12
C.	PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION.....	Page 17
D.	LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM (LCP).....	Page 18
E.	CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA).....	Page 19

## APPENDICES

Appendix 1 – Substantive File Documents

## EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. 1 – Location Map

Exhibit No .2 – Existing/Proposed Boat Dock System Site Plan

Exhibit No. 3 – City of Newport Beach Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards (excerpt)



## I. MOTION AND RESOLUTION

### Motion:

*I move that the Commission approve Coastal Development Permit No. 5-15-0060 pursuant to the staff recommendation.*

Staff recommends a **YES** vote. Passage of this motion will result in approval of the permit as conditioned and adoption of the following resolution and findings. The motion passes only by affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present.

### Resolution:

*The Commission hereby approves a Coastal Development Permit for the proposed development and adopts the findings set forth below on grounds that the development as conditioned, located between the first public road and the sea, will be in conformity with the policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act and will not prejudice the ability of the local government having jurisdiction over the area to prepare a Local Coastal Program conforming to the provisions of Chapter 3. Approval of the permit complies with the California Environmental Quality Act because either 1) feasible mitigation measures and/or alternatives have been incorporated to substantially lessen any significant adverse effects of the development on the environment, or 2) there are no further feasible mitigation measures or alternatives that will substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts of the development on the environment.*

## II. STANDARD CONDITIONS

This permit is granted subject to the following standard conditions:

1. **Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment.** The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the permittee or authorized agent, acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.
2. **Expiration.** If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date on which the Commission voted on the application. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.
3. **Interpretation.** Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.
4. **Assignment.** The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.

- 5. Terms and Conditions Run with the Land.** These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

### **III. SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

**1. Revised Project Plans.** PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, the applicant shall submit, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, two (2) sets of revised project plans. The intent behind the required re-design is to minimize water coverage of the proposed new boat dock system. The dock float finger widths shall be reduced to the minimum 4' finger width requirement per the City of Newport Beach Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards for residential boat docks with berths under 55' in length. The minimum headwalk width of 6' and 16 square feet for two knee structures will be maintained unless they can be reduced. An allowance will be made to include a maximum 140 square feet to the boat dock system for storage boxes for recreational boating related materials. The revised project plans shall be in substantial conformance, in terms of configuration and location, with the plans submitted on January 12, 2015. The revised plans submitted to the Executive Director shall bear evidence of Approval-in-Concept of the revised design from the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division.

The permittee shall undertake development in accordance with the approved final plans. Any proposed changes to the approved final plans shall be reported to the Executive Director. No changes to the approved final plans shall occur without a Commission amendment to this Coastal Development Permit unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment is legally required.

**2. Pre-and Post-Construction Eelgrass Survey(s).**

- A. Pre-Construction Eelgrass Survey. A valid pre-construction eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) survey shall be completed during the period of active growth of eelgrass (typically March through October). The pre- construction survey shall be completed within 60 days before the start of construction. The survey shall be prepared in full compliance with the "California Eelgrass Mitigation Policy and Implementing Guidelines" dated October 2014 (see [http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/habitat/habitat\\_types/seagrass\\_info/california\\_eelgrass.html](http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/habitat/habitat_types/seagrass_info/california_eelgrass.html)) adopted by the National Marine Fisheries Service (except as modified by this special condition) and shall be prepared in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. The applicant shall submit the eelgrass survey for the review and approval of the Executive Director within five (5) business days of completion of each eelgrass survey and in any event no later than fifteen (15) business days prior to commencement of any development. If the eelgrass survey identifies any eelgrass within the project area which would be impacted by the proposed project, the development shall require an amendment to this permit from the Coastal Commission or a new coastal development permit.

- B. Post Construction Eelgrass Survey. If any eelgrass is identified in the project area by the survey required in subsection A of this condition above, within 30 days of completion of construction, or within the first 30 days of the next active growth period following completion of construction that occurs outside of the active growth period, the applicant shall survey the project site to determine if any eelgrass was adversely impacted. The survey shall be prepared in full compliance with the “California Eelgrass Mitigation Policy and Implementing Guidelines” dated October 2014 (see [http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/habitat/habitat\\_types/seagrass\\_info/california\\_eelgrass.html](http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/habitat/habitat_types/seagrass_info/california_eelgrass.html)) (except as modified by this special condition) adopted by the National Marine Fisheries Service and shall be prepared in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. The applicant shall submit the post-construction eelgrass survey for the review and approval of the Executive Director within thirty (30) days after completion of the survey. If any eelgrass has been impacted, the applicant shall replace the impacted eelgrass at a minimum 1.2:1 (mitigation:impact) ratio on-site, or at another location, in accordance with the California Eelgrass Mitigation Policy and Implementing Guidelines. Based on past performance of eelgrass mitigation efforts in this area, in order to achieve this minimum, an initial planting ratio of 1.38:1 is recommended. All impacts to eelgrass habitat shall be mitigated at a minimum ratio of 1.2:1 (mitigation: impact). Any exceptions to the required 1.2:1 mitigation ratio found within the California Eelgrass Mitigation Policy and Implementing Guidelines shall not apply. Implementation of mitigation shall require an amendment to this permit or a new coastal development permit unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment or new permit is required.

### 3. Pre-Construction *Caulerpa taxifolia* Survey.

- A. Not earlier than 90 days nor later than 30 days prior to commencement or re-commencement of any development authorized under this coastal development permit (the “project”), the applicant shall undertake a survey of the project area and a buffer area at least 10 meters beyond the project area to determine the presence of the invasive alga *Caulerpa taxifolia*. The survey shall include a visual examination of the substrate.
- B. The survey protocol shall be prepared in consultation with the Regional Water Quality Control Board, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, and the National Marine Fisheries Service (see [http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/habitat/habitat\\_types/seagrass\\_info/caulerpa\\_taxifolia.html](http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/habitat/habitat_types/seagrass_info/caulerpa_taxifolia.html)).
- C. Within five (5) business days of completion of the survey, the applicant shall submit the survey:
- (1) for the review and approval of the Executive Director; and
  - (2) to the Surveillance Subcommittee to the Southern California Caulerpa Action Team (SCCAT). The SCCAT Surveillance Subcommittee may be contacted through William Paznokas, California Department of Fish & Wildlife (858-467-4218/[William.Paznokas@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:William.Paznokas@wildlife.ca.gov)) or Bryant Chesney, National Marine Fisheries Service (562-980-4037/[Bryant.Chesney@noaa.gov](mailto:Bryant.Chesney@noaa.gov)), or their successors.

- D. If *Caulerpa taxifolia* is found within the project or buffer areas, the applicant shall not proceed with the project until 1) the applicant provides evidence to the Executive Director that all *C. taxifolia* discovered within the project and/or buffer area has been eliminated in a manner that complies with all applicable governmental approval requirements, including but not limited to those of the California Coastal Act, or 2) the applicant has revised the project to avoid any contact with *C. taxifolia*. No revisions to the project shall occur without a Coastal Commission approved amendment to this coastal development permit unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment is legally required.

**4. Construction Responsibilities and Debris Removal.** The permittee shall comply with the following construction related requirements:

- (1) No demolition or construction materials, equipment, debris, or waste shall be placed or stored where it may enter sensitive habitat, receiving waters or a storm drain, or be subject to wave, wind, rain or tidal erosion and dispersion;
- (2) Any and all debris resulting from demolition or construction activities, and any remaining construction material, shall be removed from the project site within 24 hours of completion of the project;
- (3) Demolition or construction debris and sediment shall be removed from work areas each day that demolition or construction occurs to prevent the accumulation of sediment and other debris that may be discharged into coastal waters;
- (4) Machinery or construction materials not essential for project improvements will not be allowed at any time in the intertidal zone;
- (5) If turbid conditions are generated during construction a silt curtain will be utilized to control turbidity;
- (6) Floating booms will be used to contain debris discharged into coastal waters and any debris discharged will be removed as soon as possible but no later than the end of each day;
- (7) Non buoyant debris discharged into coastal waters will be recovered by divers as soon as possible after loss;
- (8) All trash and debris shall be disposed in the proper trash and recycling receptacles at the end of every construction day;
- (9) The applicant shall provide adequate disposal facilities for solid waste, including excess concrete, produced during demolition or construction;
- (10) Debris shall be disposed of at a legal disposal site or recycled at a recycling facility. If the disposal site is located in the coastal zone, a coastal development permit or an amendment to this permit shall be required before disposal can take place unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment or new permit is legally required;
- (11) All stock piles and construction materials shall be covered, enclosed on all sides, shall be located as far away as possible from drain inlets and any waterway, and shall not be stored in contact with the soil;
- (12) Machinery and equipment shall be maintained and washed in confined areas specifically designed to control runoff. Thinners or solvents shall not be discharged into sanitary or storm sewer systems;
- (13) The discharge of any hazardous materials into any receiving waters shall be prohibited;

- (14) Spill prevention and control measures shall be implemented to ensure the proper handling and storage of petroleum products and other construction materials. Measures shall include a designated fueling and vehicle maintenance area with appropriate berms and protection to prevent any spillage of gasoline or related petroleum products or contact with runoff. The area shall be located as far away from the receiving waters and storm drain inlets as possible;
- (15) Best Management Practices (BMPs) and Good Housekeeping Practices (GHPs) designed to prevent spillage and/or runoff of demolition or construction-related materials, and to contain sediment or contaminants associated with demolition or construction activity, shall be implemented prior to the on-set of such activity; and
- (16) All BMPs shall be maintained in a functional condition throughout the duration of construction activity.

### **5. Best Management Practices (BMPs) Program.**

By acceptance of this permit the applicant agrees that the long-term water-borne berthing of boat(s) in the approved dock and/or boat slip will be managed in a manner that protects water quality pursuant to the implementation of the following BMPs:

- (1) Boat Cleaning and Maintenance Measures:
  - a. In-water top-side and bottom-side boat cleaning shall minimize the discharge of soaps, paints, and debris;
  - b. In-the-water hull scraping or any process that occurs under water that results in the removal of paint from boat hulls shall be prohibited. Only detergents and cleaning components that are designated by the manufacturer as phosphate-free and biodegradable shall be used, and the amounts used minimized; and
  - c. The applicant shall minimize the use of detergents and boat cleaning and maintenance products containing ammonia, sodium hypochlorite, chlorinated solvents, petroleum distillates or lye.
- (2) Solid and Liquid Waste Management Measures:
  - a. All trash, recyclables, and hazardous wastes or potential water contaminants, including old gasoline or gasoline with water, absorbent materials, oily rags, lead acid batteries, anti-freeze, waste diesel, kerosene and mineral spirits will be disposed of in a proper manner and will not at any time be disposed of in the water or gutter.
- (3) Petroleum Control Management Measures:
  - a. Boaters will practice preventive engine maintenance and will use oil absorbents in the bilge and under the engine to prevent oil and fuel discharges. Oil absorbent materials shall be examined at least once a year and replaced as necessary. Used oil absorbents are hazardous waste in California. Used oil absorbents must therefore be disposed in accordance with hazardous waste disposal regulations. The boaters will regularly inspect and maintain engines, seals, gaskets, lines and hoses in order to prevent oil and fuel spills. The use of soaps that can be discharged by bilge pumps is prohibited;
  - b. If the bilge needs more extensive cleaning (e.g., due to spills of engine fuels, lubricants or other liquid materials), the boaters will use a bilge pump-out

- facility or steam cleaning services that recover and properly dispose or recycle all contaminated liquids; and
- c. Bilge cleaners which contain detergents or emulsifiers will not be used for bilge cleaning since they may be discharged to surface waters by the bilge pumps.

**6. Public Rights.** The Coastal Commission's approval of this permit shall not constitute a waiver of any public rights that exist or may exist on the subject property including, but not necessarily limited to, the tideland and submerged land beneath the development approved by this Coastal Development Permit. The permittee shall not use this permit as evidence of a waiver of any public rights that may exist on the property.

#### IV. FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS:

##### A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION, AND OTHER LOCAL AND AGENCY APPROVALS

###### 1. Project Description and Location

The proposed project involves the following: removal of an existing 896 square foot U-shaped dock with 4-14" square concrete guide piles and a 4' x 24' gangway and installation of a new 884 square foot U-shaped dock with new 4-14" square concrete piles and a new 4' x 24' gangway (**Exhibit No. 2**). The new boat dock system will be comprised of composite material. The proposed boat dock system results in 12 square feet of decreased water coverage. The existing dock conforms to the existing U.S. Pierhead Line and the proposed dock system will also conform to it as well. The proposed boat dock system will not encroach further bayward into Newport Bay than the existing dock.

	<u>Existing</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Boat Dock System	Finger 42' x 7' = 294 square feet	Finger 44' x 6' = 294 square feet
	Finger 42' x 7' = 294 square feet	Finger 44' x 12' = 528 square feet
	Headwalk 19' x 6' = 114 square feet	Headwalk 19' x 4' = 76 square feet
	Knees (3) 6' x 6' = 54 square feet	Knees (2) 4' x 4' = 16 Square feet
	Landing 20' x 7' = 140 square feet	
Total Water Coverage	896 square feet	884 square feet
Piles	(4) 14"	(4) 14"
Gangway	4' x 24' =	4' x 24' =
	96 square feet	96 square feet

Based on the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards, fingers that are 44' in length should at a minimum be 4' in width. One of the fingers of the proposed dock system is being significantly enlarged from 7' to 12' in width, 8' wider than



the minimum required. The other finger, while being reduced from a width of 7' to 6', still is 2' wider than the minimum width of 4'. The applicant states that the proposed size of these fingers is necessary in order to accommodate storage boxes for boating related materials on the fingers. The applicant states that they had initially designed the project so that a pier platform could be installed as part of the dock system where the storage boxes would be placed. However, due to the presence of eelgrass near the boat dock system and impacts upon it from installation of the pier platform, the pier platform component was not included as part of the project and instead the fingers were designed to be wider to accommodate storage boxes.

The subject site is located at 86 Linda Isle in the locked gate community of Linda Isle in the City of Newport Beach, Orange County (**Exhibit No. 1**). Single-family residences and associated private boat dock systems characterize the subject site and the surrounding area.

## **2. Other Local and Agency Approvals**

The proposed dock conforms to the U.S. Pierhead Line and is consistent with the City's Harbor Permit Policy. The project has received an approval-in-concept from the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division on March 17, 2015 (Harbor Permit No. 133-86 and Plan Check No. 2650-2014). The Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) has determined that the proposed project will not adversely impact water quality if standard construction methods and materials are used. The applicant has applied for a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

A portion of the proposed project extends out into public tidelands and submerged lands in Newport Bay that are managed by the County of Orange as identified in a "Tidelands Survey for Newport Harbor for the City of Newport Beach". Thus, the County of Orange would be the local encroachment permit issuing authority for development (i.e. dock system) within the public tidelands area and the permits they issue for such development are entitled "Newport Tidelands Encroachment Permits". The applicant has applied and obtained a "Newport Tidelands Encroachment Permit".

## **B. MARINE RESOURCES AND WATER QUALITY**

Section 30230 of the Coastal Act states:

*Marine resources shall be maintained, enhanced, and where feasible, restored. Special protection shall be given to areas and species of special biological or economic significance. Uses of the marine environment shall be carried out in a manner that will sustain the biological productivity of coastal waters and that will maintain healthy populations of all species of marine organisms adequate for long-term commercial, recreational, scientific, and educational purposes.*

Section 30231 of the Coastal Act states:

*The biological productivity and the quality of coastal waters, streams, wetlands, estuaries, and lakes appropriate to maintain optimum populations of marine organisms and for the protection of human health shall be maintained and, where feasible, restored through, among other means, minimizing adverse effects of waste water discharges and entrainment,*

*controlling runoff, preventing depletion of ground water supplies and substantial interference with surface water flow, encouraging waste water reclamation, maintaining natural vegetation buffer areas that protect riparian habitats, and minimizing alteration of natural streams.*

Section 30233 of the Coastal Act states in part:

*(a) The diking, filling, or dredging of open coastal waters, wetlands, estuaries, and lakes shall be permitted in accordance with other applicable provisions of this division, where there is no feasible less environmentally damaging alternative, and where feasible mitigation measures have been provided to minimize adverse environmental effects, and shall be limited to the following:*

*(2) Maintaining existing, or restoring previously dredged, depths in existing navigational channels, turning basins, vessel berthing and mooring areas, and boat launch areas.*

*(3) In open coastal waters, other than wetlands, including streams, estuaries, and lakes, new or expanded boating facilities and the placement of structural pilings for public recreational piers that provide public access and recreational opportunities.*

*(6) Restoration purposes.*

Section 30250 of the Coastal Act states in part:

*(a) New residential...development...shall be located...where it will not have significant adverse effects, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources....*

Section 30230 of the Coastal Act requires that marine resources be maintained and enhanced and that uses of the marine environment sustain biological productivity of coastal waters. Section 30231 of the Coastal Act requires that the biological productivity and the quality of coastal waters be maintained, and where feasible, restored through measures aimed at reducing water resource impacts from proposed development. Section 30233 of the Coastal Act limits the allowable fill of open coastal waters, wetlands and estuaries to certain uses so long as there is no feasible less environmentally damaging alternatives to a proposed use and feasible mitigation measures have been provided to minimize adverse environmental effects. Section 30250 of the Coastal Act requires new development to not have significant adverse effects, individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources.

### **1. Marine Resources/Biological Productivity**

Increased coverage of coastal waters is a significant concern since it reduces light and decreases the biological productivity of coastal waters and impedes wildlife foraging activities. The existing boat dock system consists of 896 square feet and the proposed boat dock system consists of 884 square feet. As proposed, the proposed boat dock system results in 12 square feet of decreased water coverage. However, the proposed dock has not been designed to the minimum required standards found in the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards that would result in less water coverage while still being able to provide for a usable

dock system. These guidelines and standards are the minimum requirements and are provided as **Exhibit No. 3**. The minimum required finger widths for residential docks depends on the length of the berth, in this case, the applicant is proposing a 44' long x 19' wide berth, therefore, the minimum finger float width is 4', the applicant is proposing 6' and 12'. The minimum width of the float dock headwalk is no less than 6' for dock lengths up to 80'. The proposed boat dock system is a U-shaped dock float with 6' and 12' wide fingers and a 4' wide headwalk. According to the City's Standards, a 6' wide headwalk, as proposed by the applicant, is the minimum required, but the proposed 6' wide finger floats could be minimized to 4' wide. In order to accommodate storage boxes originally intended to be placed on a standard 10' x 14' (140 square feet) pier platform that could not be included due to adverse eelgrass impacts, Commission staff has included that area (a maximum of 140 square feet) in the amount of area that could be included in the boat dock system to accommodate boating related storage containers. Even with the additional allotment of square footage for storage boxes, the overall square footage of the revised boat dock system would result in less water coverage (584 square feet) than the existing (896 square feet) and proposed (884 square feet) boat dock systems, a reduction that ranges from approximately 300 to 312 square feet achieved by adhering to the minimum standards of the Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards.

Revised Dock Float Fingers (Minimum Requirements)
Head Walk: 4' wide x 19' long = 76 square feet
Finger: 4' wide x 44' long = 176 square feet
Finger: 4' wide x 44' long = 176 square feet
Knees: 4' x 4' triangle (2) = 16 square feet
Pier Platform Storage Area: 10' wide x 14' long = 140 square feet
Total: 584 sq. ft.

In past Commission actions, the Commission has required that the new docks minimize the size of the docks by designing to the minimum standards of the Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards (i.e., CDP No. 5-13-046-(Van Tuyl) and CDP No. 5-14-0580-(Werner Family Trust)).

Sections 30230 and 30231 of the Coastal Act require that marine resources, including biological productivity, be protected. The biological productivity of coastal waters is highly dependent on sunlight for photosynthesis by lower order green algae, phytoplankton, and diatoms that form the basis of the marine food chain. In addition to reduced sunlight and decreases in biological productivity of coastal waters, increased coverage of coastal waters is a significant concern since it also impedes avian foraging activities. Larger dock structures decrease foraging habitat for sight foraging marine birds, such as the State and federally listed California brown pelican found throughout Newport Harbor. Although the coverage of bay surface area habitat associated with this project may not seem to create significant adverse impacts, the cumulative effect of allowing significant increases in water coverage by dock projects will add up over time, especially as docks are considered a boating related use and is an allowable use of fill under Section 30233. It should be noted that there are hundreds of private residential boat docks in Newport Harbor. If each were permitted to increase the amount of fill and water coverage beyond that which is consistent with Section 30233, the overall effect would be a significant loss of coastal waters and soft bottom habitat.

Coastal Act Section 30233 clearly limits the allowable fill of open coastal waters, wetlands, estuaries to certain uses only including *“new or expanded boating facilities and the placement of structural pilings for public recreational piers that provide public access and recreational opportunities.”* However, fill for boating facilities is only allowable *where there is no feasible less environmentally damaging alternative, and where feasible mitigation measures have been provided to minimize adverse environmental effects.* The proposed project would not result in any additional fill as 4-14” square concrete piles will be replaced with like for like piles. However, while the amount of water coverage is being reduced by 12 square feet with the proposed project, the amount of water coverage could be significantly reduced by designing the proposed dock system according to the minimum standards of the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standard.

Compared to the proposed project, a revised design of the boat dock system adhering to the minimum design standards is the least damaging environmental alternative. The proposed dock is not the least environmentally damaging alternative because water coverage that could be significantly further reduced resulting in minimal impacts on the biological productivity of marine resources.

The applicant states that the proposed design is needed in order to accommodate storage boxes for their boating related materials. The applicant states that they had initially designed the project so that a pier platform could be installed as part of the dock system where the storage boxes would be placed. However, due to the presence of eelgrass near the dock and impacts upon it from the installation of the pier platform, the pier platform component was not included as part of the project and instead the fingers were designed to be wider to accommodate storage boxes. However, the Coastal Act does not require approval of an expanded boating facility in order to create additional storage space for a private homeowner’s recreational materials. In addition, the boat dock system can be redesigned in order to reduce overall water coverage while still accommodating area where the owner’s recreational boating materials can be stored. Adhering to the minimum standards of the Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards, the proposed dock system could be reduced to approximately 300 to 312 square and result in less water coverage.

In order to minimize adverse impacts to biological resources and to ensure that there will not be negative cumulative impacts to the Newport Harbor ecosystem, the proposed increased water coverage of the new boat dock system must be reduced. Therefore, the Commission imposes **Special Condition No. 1**, which requires the applicant to submit revised project plans to minimize the finger width from 6’ and 12’ to 4’ while maintaining the minimum headwalk width of 6’ and 16 square feet for two knee structures and including as an option, an additional maximum 140 square feet for storage boxes. This will result in a dock system varying from a minimum of 444 square feet to 584 square feet.

## 2. Eelgrass

Eelgrass is a marine flowering plant that grows in soft sediments within coastal bays and estuaries. Eelgrass canopies consist of shoots and leaves approximately 1 to 3 feet long that typically attract marine invertebrates and fish species. Under normal circumstances, a diverse community of benthic organisms (e.g. clams, crabs, and worms) lives within the soft sediments that cover eelgrass root and rhizome mass systems. Eelgrass beds also function as a nursery for many juvenile fish – including

species of commercial and/or sporting value such as California halibut and corbina. Eelgrass beds are also important foraging areas for piscivorous seabirds that pursue fish attracted to eelgrass cover. Eelgrass is also an important ecological contributor to the detrital (decaying organic material) food web of bays and estuaries as the decaying plant material is consumed by many benthic invertebrates and converted to primary nutrients by bacteria.

An eelgrass survey took place on March 2, 2015 as required by the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division. Eelgrass was found more than 15-feet from the inner slip portion of the dock and between 5-feet to 20-feet away from the outer edges of the existing dock. Using the City of Newport Beach's GIS (Geographic Information System) Interactive Map System, Commission staff identified the historical nature of eelgrass at the project site. Surveys completed in 2013, 2010, 2007 and 2004 identify the presence of eelgrass on the project site. Surveys completed in 2013 and 2004 especially show the large presence of eelgrass at the subject site. Therefore, there has and is a high potential for impacts to eelgrass at the subject site. The applicant has stated that the project has been designed to avoid all impacts. However as the surveys have shown, eelgrass has historically been abundant at the subject site and there is a high potential for eelgrass impacts due to encroachment upon or shading of eelgrass because of its' prevalent growth.

Eelgrass surveys completed during the active growth phase of eelgrass (typically March through October) are valid for 60-days with the exception of surveys completed in August-October. A survey completed in August - October is valid until the resumption of active growth (i.e., March 1). The eelgrass survey took place on March 2, 2015 and the project is agenda'd for the September 2015 Coastal Commission Hearing so the existing eelgrass survey is no longer valid. Therefore, a subsequent eelgrass survey will be required prior to beginning any construction. Therefore, the Commission imposes **Special Condition No. 2**, which requires a new eelgrass survey and identifies the procedures necessary to be completed prior to beginning construction, in case the new survey also expires prior to commencement of construction. In addition, the special condition identifies post-construction eelgrass procedures. These conditions will ensure that should impacts to eelgrass occur (though none are expected), the impacts will be identified and appropriate mitigation required under strict protocol provided in the "California Eelgrass Mitigation Policy and Implementing Guidelines" dated October 2014 which will ensure full mitigation of any impacts to eelgrass should the post-construction survey show that unforeseen eelgrass impacts occurred during construction. Therefore, as conditioned, the Commission finds that the proposed development will not result in significant impacts to eelgrass.

### **3. *Caulerpa Taxifolia***

In 1999, a non-native and invasive aquatic plant species, *Caulerpa Taxifolia*, was discovered in parts of Huntington Harbour (Emergency Coastal Development Permits 5-00-403-G and 5-00-463-G). *Caulerpa Taxifolia* is a type of seaweed which has been identified as a threat to California's coastal marine environment because it has the ability to displace native aquatic plant species and habitats. Information available from the National Marine Fisheries Service indicates that *Caulerpa Taxifolia* can grow in large monotypic stands within which no native aquatic plant species can co-exist. Therefore, native seaweeds, seagrasses, and kelp forests can be displaced by the invasive *Caulerpa Taxifolia*. This displacement of native aquatic plant species can adversely impact marine biodiversity with associated impacts upon fishing, recreational diving, and tourism. *Caulerpa Taxifolia* is known to grow on rock, sand, or mud substrates in both shallow and deep water areas.

Since eelgrass grows within the general project vicinity, *Caulerpa Taxifolia*, if present, could displace eelgrass in the channels.

A pre-construction *Caulerpa Taxifolia* survey was completed on March 2, 2015 as required by the City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division and none was found. *Caulerpa Taxifolia* surveys are valid for 90 days. The project is agendaized for the September 2015 Coastal Commission Hearing and by this time the *Caulerpa Taxifolia* survey would not continue to be valid since 90-days have passed since the survey was completed. Thus, an up-to-date *Caulerpa Taxifolia* survey must be conducted prior to commencement of the project. In order to assure that the proposed project does not cause the dispersal of *Caulerpa Taxifolia*, the Commission imposes **Special Condition No 3**, which requires the applicant, prior to commencement of development, to survey the project area for the presence of *Caulerpa Taxifolia*. If *Caulerpa Taxifolia* is present in the project area, no work may commence and the applicant shall seek an amendment or a new permit to address impacts related to the presence of the *Caulerpa Taxifolia*, unless the Executive Director determines that no amendment or new permit is legally required.

#### **4. Construction and Post-Construction Impacts**

The proposed work will be occurring on, within, or adjacent to coastal waters. The storage or placement of construction material, debris, or waste in a location where it could be discharged into coastal waters would result in an adverse effect on the marine environment. The proposed project includes measures to help assure protection of coastal waters and marine resources during construction. Measures proposed include: floating debris shall be removed from the water and disposed of properly, all construction activities shall occur within the designated project footprint, and silt curtains shall be used during pile replacement.

To assure that all impacts to water quality are minimized, however, and to reduce the potential for construction related impacts on water quality, the Commission imposes **Special Condition No. 4**, which requires, but is not limited to, appropriate storage and handling of construction equipment and materials to minimize the potential of pollutants to enter coastal waters. To reduce the potential for post-construction impacts to water quality, the Commission imposes **Special Condition No. 5**, which requires the continued use and maintenance of post construction BMPs. As conditioned, the Commission finds that the development conforms to Sections 30230 and 30231 of the Coastal Act.

#### **Conclusion**

Thus, as conditioned, the Commission finds that the proposed project is consistent with Sections 30230, 30231, 30233 and 30250 of the Coastal Act.

### **C. PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION**

Section 30210 of the Coastal Act states:

*In carrying out the requirement of Section 4 of Article X of the California Constitution, maximum access, which shall be conspicuously posted, and recreational opportunities shall be provided for all the people consistent with public safety needs and the need to protect public rights, rights of private property owners, and natural resource areas from overuse.*

Section 30211 of the Coastal Act states:

*Development shall not interfere with the public's right of access to the sea where acquired through use or legislative authorization, including, but not limited to, the use of dry sand and rocky coastal beaches to the first line of terrestrial vegetation.*

Section 30212 of the Coastal Act states, in relevant part:

*(a) Public access from the nearest public roadway to the shoreline and along the coast shall be provided in new development projects except where:  
(2) adequate access exists nearby*

Section 30210 of the Coastal Act requires that maximum public access and recreational opportunities to and along the coast be provided for all the people. Section 30211 of the Coastal Act states that development shall not interfere with the public's right of access to the sea where acquired through use or legislative authorization. Section 30212 of the Coastal Act states that public access from the nearest public roadway to the shoreline and along the coast shall be provided in new development projects except where adequate access exists nearby.

The subject site is located in the locked gate community of Linda Isle in the City of Newport Beach. No public access currently exists through the site. Public access to the harbor exists in the area across the channel from the Linda Isle community along the public walkways on Lido Island and Balboa Island. The proposed development, as proposed, will not result in any new significant adverse impacts to existing public access in the area.

The proposed dock is being constructed on public tidelands and/or within an area subject to public trust doctrine. The Commission is not authorizing any new development in open coastal waters that would obstruct public use of or access to those waters. Furthermore, the proposed expanded dock does not encroach into the navigation channel and does not create an impediment to navigation. **Special Condition No. 6** affirms that approval of a replacement dock does not constitute a waiver of any public rights that exist or may exist at the site.

### **Conclusion**

Thus, as conditioned, the Commission finds that the proposed project is consistent with Sections 30210, 30211 and 30212 of the Coastal Act.

### **D. LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM (LCP)**

Coastal Act section 30604(a) states that, prior to certification of a Local Coastal Program ("LCP"), a coastal development permit can only be issued upon a finding that the proposed development is in conformity with Chapter 3 of the Act and that the permitted development will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare an LCP that is in conformity with Chapter 3. The Land Use Plan for the City of Newport Beach was effectively certified on May 19, 1982. The certified LUP was last updated in October 2009. As conditioned, the proposed development is consistent with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act and with the certified Land Use Plan for the area. Approval of the

project, as conditioned, will not prejudice the ability of the local government to prepare an LCP that is in conformity with the provisions of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act.

#### **E. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)**

Section 13096(a) of the Commission's administrative regulations requires Commission approval of Coastal Development Permit applications to be supported by a finding showing the application, as conditioned by any conditions of approval, to be consistent with any applicable requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Section 21080.5(d)(2)(A) of CEQA prohibits a proposed development from being approved if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available, which would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect which the activity may have on the environment.

The City of Newport Beach is the lead agency responsible for certifying that the proposed project is in conformance with the California Environmentally Quality Act (CEQA). The City determined that in accordance with CEQA, the project is Categorical Exempt from Provisions of CEQA for the construction.

The proposed project is located in an urban area. Infrastructure necessary to serve the project exists in the area. The proposed project has been conditioned in order to be found consistent with the resource protection policies of the Coastal Act. As conditioned, the proposed project has been found consistent with the marine resources, water quality and public access and recreation policies of the Coastal Act.

Therefore, as conditioned, the Commission finds that there are no feasible alternatives or additional feasible mitigation measures available that would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect that the activity may have on the environment. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned to mitigate the identified impacts, is the least environmentally damaging feasible alternative and consistent with the requirements of the Coastal Act and CEQA.



## **APPENDIX 1**

**SUBSTANTIVE FILE DOCUMENTS:** City of Newport Beach Certified Coastal Land Use Plan (CLUP); City of Newport Beach Harbor Permit Policies; City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division Harbor Design Criteria Guidelines and Standards; City of Newport Beach Harbor Resources Division Permit/Approval-in-Concept Harbor Permit No. 133-86 and Plan Check No. 2650-2014 dated March 17, 2015; CDP No. 5-13-046-(Van Tuyl); CDP No. 5-14-0580-(Werner Family Trust); Letter from Commission staff to agent dated February 10, 2015; Letter from agent to Commission staff dated March 10, 2015; Preliminary Eelgrass and *Caulerpa Taxifolia* Survey prepared by Dive Works dated March 2, 2015, and “Newport Tidelands Encroachment Permit” from the County of Orange dated August 18, 2015.



RECEIVED  
South Coast Region

5-150060

JAN 12 2015

CALIFORNIA  
COASTAL COMMISSION

EXISTING

Floating Dock 896 sq. ft.

$42 \times 7 = 294$


$19 \times 6 = 114$

$42 \times 7 = 294$

$20 \times 7 = 140$

(3) 6x6 knees = 54

Gangway 96 sq. ft.  
 $24 \times 4$



**Existing**



DOCK & PIER  
BUILDERS, INC.

5-150060


RECEIVED  
South Coast Region

JAN 12 2015

CALIFORNIA  
COASTAL COMMISSION

PROPOSED  
Floating Dock 884 sq. ft.  
44 x 6 = 264  
44 x 12 = 528  
19 x 4 = 76  
(2) 4 x 4 knees = 16

Gangway 96 sq. ft.  
24 x 4



Proposed



DOCK & PIER  
BUILDERS, INC.



# City of Newport Beach

## Harbor Design Criteria

### Commercial and Residential Facilities

utilized as shown, or in combination with one another, in an overall marina dock scheme.

#### 2. LAYOUT AND DESIGN (Commercial & Residential)

##### a. General

- (1) Layout and design of harbor facilities shall be based upon the use of the facility defined as follows:

- (a) Single or joint residential
- (b) Multi-residential
- (c) Commercial

- 1) Passenger
- 2) Recreational boat marina

- (2) Harbor structures shall conform to "Layout & Design Guidelines for Marina Berthing Facilities", latest edition, published by the State of California Department of Boating and Waterways, 2000 Evergreen Street, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95814; Telephone (888) 326-2822, except as modified by the City's harbor standard drawings within the Design Criteria.

- (3) See the attached **Harbor Standard Drawings** for plans, sections and details of typical conditions for vessel moorings and docks, gangways, platforms, seawalls, and beach profiles. These Standards are to be considered minimum requirements for the cases represented and, at the City of Newport Beach Building Department discretion, may not apply to the specific project submitted. The Building Department reserves the right to mandate deviation from the Standards, if particular project conditions require special consideration.

##### b. Slip and Boat Overhang into Adjacent Fairways:

- (1) Berths shall not be occupied by vessels more than 3 feet longer than the berth or slip, or in the case of fairways with a 1.75 x Lb width, not more than 10% of the length of the finger.
- (2) For berths either parallel or perpendicular to a main channel, vessels can extend beyond the limits of the slip by as much as the *beam of the boat*.

- c. Finger and Walkway Widths:
- (1) Minimum finger widths for recreational commercial and residential docks shall be per **Table No. 1**.
  - (2) Fillets at the connection of walkways to fingers shall not have less than a 4-foot side.
  - (3) Outer end (end tie) and side-tie fingers shall be a minimum of one foot wider than the minimal widths for all other adjacent finger docks.
  - (4) Residential Headwalks and Mainwalks:
    - (a) Minimum residential headwalk widths shall be no less than 6 feet for dock lengths up to 80 feet in total length, and 8 feet wide for dock lengths of more than 80 feet.
  - (5) Commercial Headwalks and Mainwalks:
    - (a) Minimum widths shall be no less than 8 feet wide. If use of a walkway is for staging the public while waiting to board a vessel, the minimum dock width shall be 12 feet.
    - (b) At gangways, a minimum of 6 feet of walking surface shall be maintained in front of the furthestmost gangway projection (including toe plate) at high tide, and have a minimum of 4 feet of clear space to walk along the side of any gangway for access to berthed vessels.

**Table No. 1**  
**Minimum Finger Widths**

<b>Fingerfloat Width (Feet)</b>	<b>Length of Berth (Feet)</b>
F = 5.0'	All ADA Accessible Fingerfloats
F = 3.0'	Up to 35'
F = 4.0'	36' to 55'
F = 5.0'	56' to 70'
F = 6.0' <sup>(1)</sup>	71' to 84'
F = 8.0' <sup>(1)</sup>	85' and over

<sup>(1)</sup> Widths of more than that shown in this Figure may be necessary for specific site conditions and/or uses of fingers over 70 feet.

<sup>(2)</sup> Minimum 5'-0" widths are required for the entire path of travel for ADA access, including paths along main- and headwalks.