November 26, 2019

TO: COMMISSIONERS AND INTERESTED PERSONS

FROM: JOHN AINSWORTH, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: CITY OF SAN DIEGO DE MINIMIS LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM AMENDMENT NO. LCP-6-SAN-19-0155-3 (Montezuma Hotel CPIOZ) FOR COMMISSION REVIEW AT ITS MEETING OF December 11, 2019

The Coastal Act was amended January 1, 1995 to provide for a more streamlined method to review amendments to local coastal programs. Section 30514(d) allows the Executive Director to make a determination that a proposed LCP amendment is de minimis in nature. The Executive Director must determine that the proposed amendment: 1) has no impact, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources; 2) is consistent with the policies of Chapter 3; and 3) does not propose any change in land use or water use or any change in the allowable use of property. Section 30514(d) requires the local government to notice the proposed de minimis LCP amendment 21 days prior to submitting it to the Executive Director either through: 1) publication in a newspaper of general circulation; 2) posting onsite and offsite the area affected by the amendment; or 3) direct mailing to owners of contiguous property. If the Executive Director makes the determination that the proposed amendment qualifies as a “de minimis” amendment and finds the public notice measures have been satisfied, such determination is then reported to the Commission.

If three or more commissioners object to the de minimis LCP amendment determination, then the amendment shall be set for a future public hearing; if three or more commissioners do not object to the de minimis determination, then the amendment is deemed approved, and it becomes a certified part of the LCP ten days after the date of the Commission meeting.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

On October 23, 2019, the City of San Diego’s LCP amendment request was filed in the San Diego Coast District Office. The request was submitted as a separate de minimis LCP amendment and involves one item for Commission review that is associated with a General Plan amendment, community plan amendment, rezoning and adoption of a new overlay zone for a hotel proposal. Of the local actions, the herein proposed LCP amendment only involves the addition of the new overlay zone, a community plan implementation overlay zone, to the City’s Land Development Code (LDC) which serves, in large part, as the City’s certified Implementation Plan. The proposed amendment is presented in the attached Ordinance Number O-21097. The proposed amendment only affects the certified implementation plan and was properly noticed.
DISCUSSION

As noted above, the City actions all relate to the development of a four story, 125 room hotel on a 1.86 acre vacant site near the intersection of Montezuma Road and El Cajon Blvd. in the College Area Community Plan area. The College Area Community Plan focuses in large part on San Diego State University; the proposed hotel site and the community planning area are both outside the coastal zone. Therefore, the general plan amendment, community plan amendment and rezoning do not require Commission review. The one element of the City’s action that does require Commission endorsement is the adoption of a code amendment that establishes a new Community Plan Implementation Overlay Zone (CPIOZ) for the hotel development. At the local level, the overlay zone was adopted to limit development of the site to visitor accommodations not to exceed 125 hotel rooms and preclude general residential development without further discretionary review.

The overlay zone is incorporated into the Land Development Code, which is certified as part of the City’s LCP. Therefore, although the affected property is out of the coastal zone, the revision to a certified LCP document requires an LCP amendment to reflect the administrative change and maintain the accuracy of certified documents. The proposed revision to the Land Development Code (IP) does not change any coastal zone property, any land uses in the coastal zone or have any potential to impact, either individually or cumulatively, coastal resources. Therefore, the proposed amendment can be found consistent with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act and be supported.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)

Section 21080.5 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempts local government from the requirement of preparing an environmental impact report (EIR) in connection with its local coastal program. The Commission's LCP review and approval program has been found by the Resources Agency to be functionally equivalent to the EIR process. Thus, under CEQA Section 21080.5, the Commission is relieved of the responsibility to prepare an EIR for each LCP.

Nevertheless, the Commission is required in an LCP submittal or, as in this case, an LCP amendment submittal, to find that the LCP, or LCP, as amended, does conform with CEQA provisions. At the local level, the City adopted Negative Declaration No. 574562 for the proposed development. In the case of the subject LCP amendment request, the Commission finds that approval of the de minimis LCP amendment, as submitted, would not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts under the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act.

DETERMINATION

The Executive Director determines that the City of San Diego LCP Amendment No. LCP-6-SAN-19-0155-3 is de minimis. Based on the information submitted by the City,
the proposed LCP amendment will have no impact, either individually or cumulatively, on coastal resources. It is consistent with the policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act. The amendment does not propose any change in land use or any change in the allowable use of property within the coastal zone. The City has properly noticed the proposed amendment. As such, the amendment is de minimis pursuant to Section 30514(d).

MOTION:  \textit{I move that the Commission concur with the Executive Director’s determination that the LCP amendment, as submitted, is de minimis.}

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Executive Director recommends that the Commission concur in this determination. Unless three or more members of the Commission object to this determination, the amendment shall become effective and part of the certified LCP ten (10) days after the date of the Commission meeting.