CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION SOUTH CENTRAL COAST DISTRICT OFFICE 89 SOUTH CALIFORNIA ST., SUITE 200 VENTURA, CA 93001 (805) 585-1800

Th22a

Appeal Filed: 49th Day: SI Found: Staff: Staff Report: Hearing Date:



02/27/19 02/07/19 M. Kubran - V 04/18/19 : 05/09/19

12/14/18

STAFF REPORT: APPEAL DE NOVO REVIEW

APPEAL NO.:	A-4-STB-18-0074
APPLICANT:	Wall Family Trust
APPELLANTS:	Commissioner Groom and Commissioner Padilla
LOCAL GOVERNMENT:	County of Santa Barbara
LOCAL DECISION:	Coastal Development Permit (No. 18CDH-00000-00021) approved with conditions by the Director of the Planning and Development Department on November 15, 2018
PROJECT LOCATION:	Parcel 36 of Hollister Ranch, Santa Barbara County (APN 083-670-011)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	Construction of a 60 ft. by 16 ft. swimming pool, detached 8 ft. by 12 ft. in-ground spa, and associated equipment. The permit approval also includes excavation of 89 cu. yds. of soil to be exported off site.

SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Commission **approve** the de novo Coastal Development Permit application, subject to two (2) special conditions. The **motion** and **resolution** for the recommended action are found on **page 4**.

On November 15, 2018, Santa Barbara County approved a coastal development permit for the construction of a 60 ft. by 16 ft. swimming pool, detached 8 ft. by 12 ft. in-ground spa, and associated equipment on Parcel No. 36 on Hollister Ranch. The parcel contains existing development, including a single-family residence, guesthouse, and barn. The proposed development would be located adjacent to the existing residence and would not result in any biological or visual resource impacts.

Both the Coastal Act and the County's certified Local Coastal Program (LCP) prioritize the public's right to access the shoreline and require the balanced provision of maximum public access as a component of certain new development. Particularly, the County's certified LCP contains Land Use Plan (LUP) Policy 2-15 and Gaviota Coast Plan Development Standard REC-

A-4-STB-18-0074 (Wall Family Trust)

3, which reference Coastal Act Sections 30610.3 and 30610.8, respectively. Taken together, Coastal Act Sections 30610.3 and 30610.8 impose a \$5,000 public access in-lieu fee, which must be assessed with each permit for development in Hollister Ranch. The statutory provisions were designed to provide owners of lots within certain subdivided areas, including Hollister Ranch, with a way to comply with Coastal Act requirements to protect and provide public access, without having to actually grant public access to/from each individual property. The fees are intended to mitigate the impacts of subdividing and developing the Ranch, and the collected fees are designated to go toward implementing a public access program to the coastline of the Ranch as a whole.

However, the proposed project does not include payment of the in-lieu fee as required by LUP Policy 2-15 and Gaviota Coast Plan Development Standard REC-3. Therefore, in order for the proposed project to be consistent with the County's certified LCP and the public access policies and provisions of the Coastal Act, Special Condition 1 is necessary to require proof of payment of the \$5,000 public access in-lieu fee.

Additionally, Coastal Act Section 30610.8 states that public access should be provided at Hollister Ranch in a timely manner and that a public access program should be implemented as expeditiously as possible. However, that statutory language sets forth goals for implementing public access, rather than a standard of review for new development. Although the Legislature intended for public access at Hollister Ranch to be provided in an expeditious manner, which has not occurred, it drafted Sections 30610.3 and 30610.8 to permit development upon payment of an in-lieu fee, rather than requiring actual access prior to granting coastal development permits (CDP). Further, requiring the in-lieu fee for each CDP issued for development at Hollister Ranch will help to provide timely access by securing funds to acquire easements and provide the means necessary to implement public access to this remote stretch of the coast. If the proposed development in this case is not approved, an in-lieu fee will not be collected, which would result in fewer funds available for implementation of a public access program. Moreover, work to update the 1982 Hollister Ranch Public Access Program is currently ongoing, and the in-lieu fees are necessary for implementation of the program.

Finally, the proposed development would involve grading and excavation, which may result in adverse impacts to archaeological resources that may exist on site. Therefore, Special Condition 2 is required to ensure protection of any archaeological resources that may be discovered during construction.

Staff therefore recommends that the Commission approve the proposed development with special conditions and find that the proposed development, as conditioned, is consistent with the applicable policies and provisions of the County's certified LCP and the Coastal Act.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MOTION AND RESOLUTION	4
II.	STANDARD OF REVIEW	4
III.	STANDARD CONDITIONS	4
IV.	SPECIAL CONDITIONS	5
V		(
v .	FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS FOR DE NOVO REVIEW	
	FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS FOR DE NOVO REVIEW	
А		6
A B	. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PHYSICAL SETTING	6 7
A B C	 PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PHYSICAL SETTING BACKGROUND AND LOCAL PERMIT HISTORY 	6 7 9

APPENDIX A: Substantive File Documents APPENDIX B: Substantial Issue Staff Report (February 2019)

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1 - Vicinity Map Exhibit 2 - Parcel Map Exhibit 3 - Site Plan Exhibit 4 - Aerial Views Exhibit 5 - Final Local Action Notice Exhibit 6 - Appeal Form

MOTION AND RESOLUTION

<u>MOTION:</u> I move that the Commission approve Coastal Development Permit No. A-4-STB-18-0074 pursuant to the staff recommendation.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL:

Staff recommends a **YES** vote on the following motion. Passage of this motion will result in approval of the CDP as conditioned and adoption of the following resolution and findings. The motion passes only by affirmative vote of a majority of the Commissioners present.

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE PERMIT:

The Commission hereby approves Coastal Development Permit No. A-4-STB-18-0074 and adopts the findings set forth below on grounds that the development as conditioned will be in conformity with the policies of the Santa Barbara County certified Local Coastal Program and with the access and recreation policies of Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act. Approval of the permit complies with the California Environmental Quality Act because either 1) feasible mitigation measures and/or alternatives have been incorporated to substantially lessen any significant adverse effects of the development on the environment, or 2) there are no further feasible mitigation measures or alternatives that would substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts of the development on the environment.

I. STANDARD OF REVIEW

After certification of a Local Coastal Program (LCP), Section 30603 of the Coastal Act provides for appeals to the Coastal Commission of a local government's actions on certain types of coastal development permits (including any new development which occurs between the first public road and the sea, such as the proposed project sites). In this case, the proposed development was appealed to the Commission, which found during a public meeting on February 7, 2019, that a substantial issue was raised.

For the Commission's "de novo" review of the application, the standard of review for the proposed development is, in part, the policies and provisions of the County of Santa Barbara Local Coastal Program. In addition, pursuant to Section 30604(c) of the Coastal Act, all proposed development located between the first public road and the sea (such as the project site) including those areas where a certified LCP has been prepared, must also be reviewed for consistency with the public access and recreation policies of the Coastal Act. All Chapter 3 policies of the Coastal Act have been incorporated in their entirety in Santa Barbara County's certified LCP as guiding policies pursuant to Policy 1-1 of the County's Coastal Land Use Plan (LUP).

II. STANDARD CONDITIONS

This permit is granted subject to the following standard conditions:

1. Notice of Receipt and Acknowledgment. The permit is not valid and development shall not commence until a copy of the permit, signed by the Permittee or authorized agent,

acknowledging receipt of the permit and acceptance of the terms and conditions, is returned to the Commission office.

- 2. **Expiration**. If development has not commenced, the permit will expire two years from the date on which the Commission voted on the application. Development shall be pursued in a diligent manner and completed in a reasonable period of time. Application for extension of the permit must be made prior to the expiration date.
- 3. **Interpretation**. Any questions of intent or interpretation of any condition will be resolved by the Executive Director or the Commission.
- 4. **Assignment**. The permit may be assigned to any qualified person, provided assignee files with the Commission an affidavit accepting all terms and conditions of the permit.
- 5. **Terms and Conditions Run with the Land**. These terms and conditions shall be perpetual, and it is the intention of the Commission and the Permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions.

III.SPECIAL CONDITIONS

This permit is granted subject to the following special conditions:

1. Hollister Ranch Public Access In-Lieu Fee

Prior to issuance of the coastal development permit, the applicant shall submit evidence, for the review and approval of the Executive Director, that an in-lieu fee of \$5,000 for the subject CDP has been paid to the California Coastal Conservancy consistent with Section 30610.8 of the California Public Resources Code. A cashier's check shall be submitted to: California Coastal Conservancy, 1515 Clay Street, 10th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612, Attn.: Trish Chapman (510) 286-1015. Proof of payment shall be submitted to the South Central District Office of the California Coastal Commission.

2. Protection of Archaeological Resources

a. A qualified cultural resources specialist shall monitor all project grading, excavation work, site preparation or landscaping activities associated with the approved development. If an area of historic or prehistoric cultural resources or human remains are discovered during the course of the project, all construction activities shall cease and shall not recommence except as provided in subsection (b) hereof, and the qualified cultural resources specialist shall analyze the significance of the find. The cultural resources specialist shall prepare and submit a Significance Testing Plan, for review and approval of the Executive Director, identifying measures to be undertaken to determine the significance of the find. The Plan shall be prepared in consultation with a Native American monitor(s) from each tribal entity with documented ancestral ties to the area and the Native American most likely descendent (MLD) when State Law mandates identification of a MLD. The Executive Director shall determine the adequacy of the Plan and if it is found to be de minimis, it can be implemented without further Commission action. The Significance Testing Plan results, along with the project cultural resource specialist's recommendation as to whether the discovery should be considered significant, and the comments of the Native American monitor(s) and MLD when State Law mandates the identification of a MLD, shall be submitted to the Executive Director for a determination of the significance of the discovery. If the Executive Director determines that the discovery is significant, development shall not recommence and the permittee shall submit to the Executive Director an Archaeological Plan in accordance with subsection b, below.

- b. A permittee seeking to recommence construction following discovery of the cultural deposits shall submit an Archaeological Plan for the review and approval of the Executive Director, prepared in consultation with the Native American monitor(s) and MLD when State Law mandates the identification of a MLD.
 - i. If the Executive Director approves the Archaeological Plan and determines that the Archaeological Plan's recommended changes to the proposed development or mitigation measures are de minimis in nature and scope, construction may recommence after this determination is made by the Executive Director.
 - ii. If the Executive Director approves the Archaeological Plan but determines that the changes therein are not de minimis, construction may not recommence until after an amendment to this permit is approved by the Commission.

IV. FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS FOR DE NOVO REVIEW

The Commission hereby finds and declares:

A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PHYSICAL SETTING

The subject coastal development permit (CDP) is for development within Hollister Ranch. The Ranch extends, east to west, from Gaviota State Park to approximately three miles east of Point Conception and, north to south, from the crest of the Santa Ynez Mountains to the ocean (Exhibit 1). The Ranch is a working cattle ranch that has been in operation for over 100 years, totals 14,500 acres, and is subdivided into 100-acre (plus) parcels. The majority of this land is currently undeveloped. The Ranch has approximately 8.5 miles of shoreline that includes several cove beaches.

The subject property is a 101.95-acre parcel (APN 083-670-011) zoned Agriculture II (AG-II-320) that lies approximately three quarters of a mile inland from the beach and comprises Hollister Ranch Parcel 36 in the Gaviota area of Santa Barbara County (Exhibit 2). The site is developed with a single-family residence, guesthouse, and barn (Exhibit 3). On November 15, 2018, Santa Barbara County approved a CDP for construction of a 60 ft. by 16 ft. swimming pool, detached 8 ft. by 12 ft. in-ground spa, associated equipment, and excavation of 89 cu. yds. of soil to be exported off site (Exhibit 4).

The proposed swimming pool and spa would be located adjacent to and just south of the existing residence. The associated pool and spa equipment would also be located west of the residence. The project does not propose the removal of any trees or native vegetation.

B. BACKGROUND AND LOCAL PERMIT HISTORY

In 1971 Hollister Ranch was subdivided into 135 100-acre (plus) parcels. During the late 1970s, the Commission approved several permits for new homes within Hollister Ranch, and conditioned each of them to require offers to dedicate (OTDs) easements to provide pedestrian trails, recreation areas, and a shuttle system for transporting the public to the coast at the ranch. The property owners sued, arguing that they were unable to convey the easements required by their permits because the land underlying the main accessways was owned and controlled by a third party—the Hollister Ranch Owners' Association (HROA). However, before the court issued a decision on the merits, Assembly Bill 643 was passed to amend the Coastal Act, and the passage of the bill allowed the presiding judge to avoid ruling on the merits of the case.

Assembly Bill 643 revised the Coastal Act to add Section 30610.3, which creates an alternative for owners of subdivided lots to provide comprehensive coastal access when they are unable to provide parcel-by-parcel access through individual permits. This process begins when the Commission formally designates an area as eligible. The Commission then prepares an access program for the area outlining what type of public uses will be permitted, the facilities that will be provided, and how the program will be managed. Once adopted, the Coastal Conservancy is responsible for implementing the program. After Assembly Bill 643 was enacted, the court handling the Hollister property owners' lawsuit recognized the potential to apply this legislation to Hollister Ranch, and remanded the case back to the Commission in July 1980 for further consideration. In January of that year, the Commission adopted a resolution designating Hollister Ranch as an appropriate area for an in-lieu fee program pursuant to Section 30610.3.

Following this designation, staff from the Commission and the Coastal Conservancy worked together to develop an access program for Hollister Ranch. The staff determined that fieldwork would be necessary to accurately evaluate the area's natural resources and appropriately site the proposed access facilities. This fieldwork required surveying the common areas of the Ranch, as well as nineteen private parcels. The HROA allowed staff to visit the common areas; however, fourteen of the nineteen landowners would not give permission for staff to survey their property. After concluding that a survey of only five of the nineteen private parcels would be inadequate, staff was forced to limit its fieldwork to the common areas of the Ranch.

Nevertheless, Commission and Conservancy staff prepared the Hollister Ranch Coastal Access Program based on the limited data available. The program calls for a phased and monitored approach to opening and managing access to the Ranch. It proposes the construction of beach facilities for 100-150 daily users, with pedestrian trails, bicycle paths, and shuttle vans to access those facilities. The program also prioritizes protection of sensitive habitat areas and acknowledges the property owners' privacy needs. Both agencies jointly adopted the program on August 18, 1981 (with revisions adopted in May 1982, and revised findings for those revisions adopted in August 1982).

However, without adequate access to all parcels, the Conservancy could not obtain necessary appraisal data. The Conservancy therefore had no way to determine the cost of acquiring and developing the public access easements proposed in the plan. Without knowing the costs, staff could not calculate the value of the in-lieu fees necessary to fund the program, as required by Section 30610.3. As a result, the Conservancy was unable to implement the Hollister Ranch Coastal Access Program.

A-4-STB-18-0074 (Wall Family Trust)

Recognizing that the establishment of an in-lieu fee could be delayed indefinitely if the landowners did not cooperate in the appraisal process, in February 1982, the Legislature passed Assembly Bill 321 (Hannigan, Ch. 42, Stats. Of 1982), which added Section 30610.8 to the Coastal Act. This legislation fixed the amount of the in-lieu fee at Hollister Ranch at \$5,000 per permit and appropriated \$500,000 for expenditure by the Conservancy to implement the access program. When Sections 30610.3 and 30610.8 were added to the Coastal Act, the Legislature's intent was to create an in-lieu fee program to allow for development at Hollister Ranch to proceed without applicants having to wait for the final resolution of the actual provision of public access, while simultaneously securing funds for the ultimate goal of providing public access program at Hollister Ranch has not been fulfilled.

In 2018, Assemblymember Limón authored a bill, AB 2534, that, among other things, would have clarified existing law and created funding mechanisms to provide public access to the public's tide and submerged lands within Hollister Ranch. Governor Brown vetoed AB 2534 stating, "this bill relies on the implementation of a coastal access program adopted in 1982...it is now outdated." Governor Brown asked that state agencies "work together to craft a sensible and fiscally responsible plan." On March 1, 2019, the staffs of the Coastal Commission, State Lands Commission, Coastal Conservancy, and Department of Parks and Recreation entered into an inter-agency Collaboration Agreement to further the State of California's public policy of responsibly expanding and enhancing the public's access to and along the coast and the public's cultural, educational and recreational experiences at Hollister Ranch. The purpose of this Agreement is to establish a framework for effective and efficient communication and collaboration among the agencies to develop a new, contemporary Public Access Program informed by meaningful and comprehensive public outreach and stakeholder engagement in a timely manner. As such, the effort of public outreach and engagement to develop a contemporary Public Access Program is currently underway.

On November 7, 2018, prior to the County's action on the subject CDP, the Commission certified the Gaviota Coast Plan, which functions as a stand-alone area plan that is a component of the County's LCP. Certification of the Gaviota Coast Plan applied new goals, policies, and development standards developed specifically for the Gaviota Coast Plan area, which includes Hollister Ranch. These goals, policies, and development standards address protection of environmental resources, agricultural resources, and public access among other land use issues. Development within the plan area also continues to be subject to the policies and provisions of the remainder of the County's LCP. One of the Gaviota Coast Plan development standards (Development Standard REC-3) reflects Coastal Act Section 30610.8, which requires payment of a fee for each CDP issued for development in Hollister Ranch.

In the local approval of the permit that is the subject of this appeal, the County did not require payment of the \$5,000 in-lieu fee. Additionally, the County does not have a record of in-lieu fees being paid in connection with prior County coastal development permits issued for any of the existing development on the property, which includes a single-family residence and guesthouse approved for development in 2001, a barn approved in 2010, and an accessory storage structure approved in 2011.

C. PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATION

The following policies and provisions of the Santa Barbara County LUP and the associated Implementation Plan/Coastal Zoning Ordinance (IP/CZO) standards provide for the provision of public access and recreational opportunities in the coastal zone of Santa Barbara County. In addition, Policy 1-1 of the LUP incorporates the Chapter Three policies of the Coastal Act as guiding policies of the LCP. Additionally, the project must be consistent with the Coastal Act's public access and recreation policies because it is located between the first public road and the sea.

Section 30210 of the Coastal Act states:

In carrying out the requirement of Section 4 of Article X of the California Constitution, maximum access, which shall be conspicuously posted, and recreational opportunities shall be provided for all the people consistent with public safety needs and the need to protect public rights, rights of private property owners, and natural resource areas from overuse.

Section 30211 of the Coastal Act states:

Development shall not interfere with the public's right of access to the sea where acquired through use or legislative authorization, including, but not limited to, the use of dry sand and rocky coastal beaches to the first line of terrestrial vegetation.

Section 30212 of the Coastal Act states, in relevant part:

(a) Public access from the nearest public roadway to the shoreline and along the coast shall be provided in new development projects except where: (1) it is inconsistent with public safety, military security needs, or the protection of fragile coastal resources, (2) adequate access exists nearby, or, (3) agricultural would be adversely effected. Dedicated accessway shall not be required to be opened to public use until a public agency or private association agrees to accept responsibility for maintenance and liability of the accessway.

Section 30220 of the Coastal Act states:

Coastal areas suited for water-oriented recreational activities that cannot readily be provided at inland water areas shall be protected for such uses.

Section 30221 of the Coastal Act states:

Oceanfront land suitable for recreational use shall be protected for recreational use and development unless present and foreseeable future demand for public or commercial recreational activities that could be accommodated on the property is already adequately provided for in the area.

Section 30223 of the Coastal Act states:

Upland areas necessary to support coastal recreational uses shall be reserved for such uses, where feasible.

Section 30610.3 of the Coastal Act states, in relevant part:

- (a) Whenever the Commission determines (1) that public access opportunities through an existing subdivided area, which has less than 75 percent of the subdivided lots built upon, or an area proposed to be subdivided are not adequate to meet the public access requirements of this division and (2) that individual owners of vacant lots in those areas do not have the legal authority to comply with public access requirements as a condition of securing a coastal development permit for the reason that some other person or persons has legal authority, the Commission shall implement public access requirements as provided in this section.
- (b) The Commission, on its own motion or at the request of an affected property owner, shall identify an area as meeting the criteria specified in subdivision (a). After an area has been identified, the Commission shall, after appropriate public hearings adopt a specific public access program for the area and shall request that the State Coastal Conservancy, established pursuant to Division 21 (commencing with Section 31 000), implement the program. The access program shall include, but not be limited to, the identification of specific land areas and view corridors to be used for public access, any facilities or other development deemed appropriate, the commission's recommendations regarding the manner in which public access will be managed, and the types of permitted public uses. The State Coastal Conservancy shall, pursuant to its authority, implement the public access program.
- (c) The State Coastal Conservancy shall be authorized to expend funds when appropriated from the Coastal Access Account for the purchase of land and view easements and to pay for any development needed to carry out the public access program specified in subdivision (a). Not more than 5 percent of the amount of funds necessary to carry out each public access program may be provided as a grant to the State Coastal Conservancy for its administration incurred in carrying out the access program.
- (d) The State Coastal Conservancy may enter into any agreement it deems necessary and appropriate with any state or local public agency or with a private association authorized to perform those functions for the operation and maintenance of any access facilities acquired or developed pursuant to this section.
- (e) Every person receiving a coastal development permit or a certificate of exemption for development on any vacant lot within an area designated pursuant to this section shall, prior to the commencement of construction, pay to the commission, for deposit in the Coastal Access Account, an "in- lieu" public access fee. The amount of each fee shall be determined by dividing the cost of acquiring the specified lands and view easements by the total number of lots

within the identified area. The proportion of acquisition cost that can be allocated to lots built upon pursuant to permits that were not subject to public access conditions under this division or the California Coastal Zone Conservation Act of 1972 (former Division 18 (commencing with Section 27000)) shall be paid from the Coastal Access Account. An "in-lieu" public access fee may be in the form of an appropriate dedication, in which event the lots to which the dedication can be credited shall not be counted toward the total number of lots used in arriving at the "in-lieu" public access fee share for each remaining lot.

(f) For purposes of determining the acquisition costs specified in subdivision (e), the State Coastal Conservancy may, in the absence of a fixed price agreed to by both the State Coastal Conservancy and the seller, specify an estimated cost based on a formal appraisal of the value of the interest proposed to be acquired. The appraisal shall be conducted by an independent appraiser under contract with the State Coastal Conservancy and shall be completed within 120 days of the adoption of the specific public access program by the commission pursuant to subdivision (b). The appraisal shall be deemed suitable for all purposes of the Property Acquisition Law (Part 11, (commencing with Section 15850 of the Government Code)). For every year following public acquisition of the interests in land specified as part of a public access program and prior to payment of the required "in-lieu" fee, a carrying cost factor equal to 5 percent of the share attributable to each lot shall be added to any unpaid "in-lieu" public access fee provided, however, that a lot owner may pay the "in-lieu" public access fee at any time after public acquisition in order to avoid payment of the carrying cost factor.

Section 30610.8 of the Coastal Act states:

- (a) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that a dispute exists at the Hollister Ranch in Santa Barbara County with respect to the implementation of public access policies of this division and that it is in the interest of the state and the property owners at the Hollister Ranch to resolve this dispute in an expeditious manner. The Legislature further finds and declares that public access should be provided in a timely manner and that in order to achieve this goal, while permitting property owners to commence construction, the provisions of this section are necessary to promote the public's welfare.
- (b) For purposes of Section <u>30610.3</u> and with respect to the Hollister Ranch public access program, the in-lieu fee shall be five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each permit. Upon payment by the applicant for a coastal development permit of this in-lieu fee to the State Coastal Conservancy for use in implementing the public access program, the applicant may immediately commence construction if the other conditions of the coastal development permit, if any, have been met. No condition may be added to a coastal development permit that was issued prior to

the effective date of this section for any development at the Hollister Ranch.

- (c) It is the intent of the Legislature that the State Coastal Conservancy and the State Public Works Board utilize their authority provided under law to implement, as expeditiously as possible, the public access policies and provisions of this division at the Hollister Ranch in Santa Barbara County.
- (d) Notwithstanding provision 2 of category (2) of Item 3760-490-721 of the Budget Act of 1984, all in-lieu fees received pursuant to this section shall be deposited in the State Coastal Conservancy Fund and shall be available for appropriation to the conservancy for the purposes specified in subdivision (d) of <u>Section</u> 5096.151.

Land Use Plan Policy 2-15 states:

The County shall not issue permits for non-exempt development on the Hollister Ranch unless the Coastal Commission certifies that the requirements of PRC Section 30610.3 have been met by each applicant or that the Commission finds that access is otherwise provided in a manner consistent with the access policies of the Coastal Act.

Gaviota Coast Plan Development Standard REC-3 (Hollister Ranch Public Access) states:

In order to mitigate for the potential impacts to public access from the development of Hollister Ranch, a fee consistent with Section 30610.8 of the California Public Resources Code shall be required as a condition of each coastal development permit issued for development in Hollister Ranch.

Land Use Plan Policy 7-1 states:

The County shall take all necessary steps to protect and defend the public's constitutionally guaranteed rights of access to and along the shoreline. At a minimum, County actions shall include:

- a. Initiating legal action to acquire easements to beaches and access corridors for which prescriptive rights exist consistent with the availability of staff and funds.
- b. Accepting offers of dedication which will increase opportunities for public access and recreation consistent with the County's ability to assume liability and maintenance costs.
- c. Actively seeking other public or private agencies to accept offers of dedications, having them assume liability and maintenance responsibilities, and allowing such agencies to initiate legal action to pursue beach access.

Land Use Plan Policy 7-2 states, in relevant part:

For all development between the first public road and the ocean granting of an easement to allow vertical access to the mean high tide line shall be mandatory unless:

a. Another more suitable public access corridor is available or proposed by the land use plan within a reasonable distance of the site measured along the shoreline, or

- b. Access at the site would result in unmitigable adverse impacts on areas designated as "Habitat Areas" by the land use plan, or
- c. Findings are made, consistent with Section 30212 of the Act, that access is inconsistent with public safety, military security needs, or that agriculture would be adversely affected, or
- d. The parcel is too narrow to allow for an adequate vertical access corridor without adversely affecting the privacy of the property owner. In no case, however, shall development interfere with the public's right of access to the sea where acquired through use unless an equivalent access to the same beach area is guaranteed.

Land Use Plan Policy 7-18 states:

Expanded opportunities for access and recreation shall be provided in the Gaviota Coast planning area.

Article II Coastal Zoning Ordinance Section 35-50 states, in relevant part:

The purposes of this ordinance are to:

(3) Maximize public access to and along the coast and maximize public recreational opportunities in the Coastal Zone consistent with sound resource conservation principles and constitutionally protected rights of private property owners.

A fundamental goal of the Coastal Act is to "maximize public access to and along the coast and maximize public recreational opportunities in the coastal zone" (Coastal Act § 30001.5, subd. (c)). To achieve this goal, both the Coastal Act and the County's certified LCP set forth specific policies governing the provision of public access and recreational opportunities, and development along the coast. The Coastal Act, through Sections 30210, 30211, 30212, and the County's certified LCP, through Policies 7-1 and 7-2 and Section 35-50, prioritize the public's right to access the shoreline and require the balanced provision of maximum public access as a component of new development. Section 30211 specifically requires that development not interfere with the public's right of access to the sea. Similarly, Coastal Act Sections 30220, 30221, 30223, and LUP Policy 7-18 prioritize a requirement for the provision and enhancement of public recreational opportunities in areas suitable for such uses.

Additionally, as described in more detail above, the State Legislature amended the Coastal Act by adding Section 30610.3, and the more detailed Section 30610.8, to specifically address the lack of public access at Hollister Ranch. Together, these Coastal Act Sections require a \$5,000 in-lieu fee to be assessed with each permit for development in Hollister Ranch. The assessed fees are required in lieu of granting public access to/from each individual property in order to mitigate for the impacts of subdividing and developing the Ranch and not providing public access on an individual property basis. The collected fees are designated to go toward implementing a public access program to the coastline of the Ranch.

In 1982, Santa Barbara County's LCP was initially certified and included Policy 2-15, which references the requirement provided by Section 30610.3. However, the LCP did not contain the

more detailed requirement provided by Section 30610.8. To ensure that applicants, decisionmakers, and the public are aware of the specific provision of 30610.8 as it applies to Hollister Ranch, Development Standard REC-3 was included in the recently certified Gaviota Coast Plan. The Gaviota Coast Plan is a component of the County's LCP and applies specifically to the Gaviota Coast area, including Hollister Ranch. Gaviota Coast Plan Development Standard REC-3, which references Coastal Act Section 30610.8, requires permit applicants to pay a \$5,000 fee as a condition of each coastal development permit prior to development, which would go toward providing public access to the coastline of Hollister Ranch.

The proposed project consists of a swimming pool, spa, and associated pool equipment located adjacent to the existing single-family residence and would not result in any biological or visual resource impacts. The project as proposed does not include the in-lieu fee payment as required by LUP Policy 2-15 and Gaviota Coast Plan Development Standard REC-3. Therefore, in order for the proposed development to be consistent with the County's certified LCP, the applicant must pay a \$5,000 in-lieu fee prior to obtaining the CDP for the proposed development. Thus, the Commission finds that Special Condition 1 is necessary to ensure that the proposed development Standard REC-3 of the County's certified LCP, as well as with the public access and recreation provisions of the Coastal Act.

Coastal Act Section 30610.8 also states that public access should be provided at Hollister Ranch in a timely manner and that a public access program should be implemented as expeditiously as possible. While these provisions reflect legislative findings and intent for implementing public access at the Ranch, they are not standards of review for development of individual projects on properties covered by that provision. Moreover, the Legislature's intent in adding Sections 30610.3 and 30610.8 to the Coastal Act was to create an in-lieu fee program to allow for development at Hollister Ranch to proceed without applicants having to wait for the final resolution of the actual provision of public access, while simultaneously securing funds for the ultimate goal of providing public access to the Ranch's coastline. Section 30610.8 states that "[u]pon payment by the applicant for a coastal development permit of this in-lieu fee to the State Coastal Conservancy for use in implementing the public access program, the applicant may immediately commence construction if the other conditions of the coastal development permit, if any, have been met." (emphasis added.) Therefore, requiring the in-lieu fee for each CDP issued for development at Hollister Ranch will help provide timely access by securing funds to acquire easements and provide the means necessary to implement public access to this remote stretch of the coast. If the proposed development in this case is not approved, an in-lieu fee will not be collected, which would result in fewer funds available for implementation of a public access program. Further, work to update the 1982 Hollister Ranch Public Access Program is currently ongoing and the in-lieu fees are necessary for implementation of the program.

Therefore, for the reasons discussed above, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with the public access policies and provisions of the Coastal Act and the County's certified LCP.

D. ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

The following policies and provisions of the Santa Barbara County LUP provide for the protection of archaeological resources in the coastal zone of Santa Barbara County. In addition, Policy 1-1 of the LUP incorporates the Chapter Three policies of the Coastal Act as guiding policies of the LCP.

Section 30244 of the Coastal Act states:

Where development would adversely impact archaeological or paleontological resources as identified by the State Historic Preservation Officer, reasonable mitigation measures shall be required.

Gaviota Coast Plan Policy CS-1 (Cultural Resources Preservation & Protection) states:

Preserve and protect significant cultural, archaeological and historical resources to the maximum extent feasible.

Gaviota Coast Plan Policy CS-2 (Properties of Concern) states:

Significant cultural resources including historic structures, Rural Historic Landscapes, archaeological sites, Traditional Cultural Properties, and Tribal Cultural Resources shall be protected and preserved to the maximum extent feasible.

Coastal Act Section 30244 and Gaviota Coast Plan Policies CS-1 and CS-2 require archaeological resources to be protected to the maximum extent feasible. Section 30244 specifically requires mitigation measures where development would impact such resources. The subject development involves grading and excavation, which can adversely impact potential archaeological resources on site. Hollister Ranch is known for having at least two Chumash village sites, and studies have described how the Ranch area has "one of the longest and most complete records of human occupation yet documented for any small area of the California coast.¹ Therefore, the Commission finds that Special Condition 2 is necessary to ensure protection of any archaeological resources that may be discovered at the site during construction. Special Condition 2 would require all construction activities to cease if cultural deposits were discovered during the course of the project and would also require a qualified cultural resources specialist to analyze the significance of the find. To recommence construction following discovery of cultural deposits, the applicant would be required to submit an archaeological plan for the review and approval of the Executive Director, prepared in consultation with appropriate tribal representatives, to determine whether the changes are de minimis in nature and scope, or whether an amendment to this permit is required.

Thus, the Commission finds the proposed development, as conditioned, is consistent with the archaeological resources policies of the Coastal Act and the County's certified LCP.

¹ See <u>http://www.hollisterranch.org/bio_res/land_use_history.html</u>

E. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

Section 13096(a) of the Commission's administrative regulations require Commission approval of a Coastal Development Permit application to be supported by a finding showing the application, as conditioned by any conditions of approval, to be consistent with any applicable requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Section 21080.5(d)(2)(A) of CEQA prohibits a proposed development from being approved if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse effect that the activity may have on the environment.

The County prepared a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Section 15303 – New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures, and found that the project is listed among classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

The Commission incorporates its findings on consistency with the County's certified LCP at this point as if set forth in full. There have been no public comments regarding potential significant adverse environmental effects of the project that were received prior to preparation of the staff report. As discussed above, the proposed development, as conditioned, is consistent with the applicable policies of the certified LCP and Coastal Act. Feasible mitigation measures, which will minimize all adverse environmental effects, have been required as special conditions.

As conditioned, there are no feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available, beyond those required, which would substantially lessen any significant adverse impact that the activity may have on the environment, and the project, as conditioned, will not have any significant impacts on the environment. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposed project, as conditioned to mitigate the identified impacts, is consistent with the requirements of the certified LCP and conforms to CEQA.

APPENDIX A

Substantive File Documents

Certified Santa Barbara County Local Coastal Plan, Gaviota Coast Plan, and Coastal Zoning Ordinance; Santa Barbara County Planning and Development Memorandum dated November 8, 2018 (No. 18CDH-00000-00021) and attachments thereto; Santa Barbara County Notice of Final Action for Coastal Development Permits 18CDH-00000-00021.

APPENDIX B

The staff report and addendum for the Commission's substantial issue determination on Appeal A-4-STB-18-074 (February 2019) are available on the Coastal Commission website at: https://documents.coastal.ca.gov/reports/2019/2/Th12a/Th12a-2-2019-report.pdf and https://documents.coastal.ca.gov/reports/2019/2/Th12a/Th12a-2-2019-report.pdf and https://documents.coastal.ca.gov/reports/2019/2/Th12a/Th12a-2-2019-report.pdf and https://documents.coastal.ca.gov/reports/2019/2/Th12a/Th12a-2-2019-addenda.pdf respectively.