

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

45 FREMONT STREET, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219
VOICE: (415) 904-5200
FAX: (415) 904-5400
TDD: (415) 597-5885



W21a

CD-0001-19

MAY 24, 2019

**APPENDIX G: Public correspondence since March 22, 2019 staff
report**



March 20th, 2019

California Coastal Commission

Submitted via email to: john.ainsworth@coastal.ca.gov; alison.dettmer@coastal.ca.gov;
john.weber@coastal.ca.gov

RE: Trinidad Rancheria Hotel Development Project, CD-0001-19, Cher-Ae Heights Indian Community of the Trinidad Rancheria, Humboldt County.

Dear Coastal Commissioners and staff,

The City of Trinidad agrees with your staff's request to the Bureau of Indian Affairs that the Consistency Determination CD-0001-19 for the Trinidad Rancheria Hotel Development Project should be delayed until August 2019. This would allow for local participation in the hearing, and at least as important, it would allow for significant new information about the scope and impacts of the project to be considered in making your determination.

If the BIA rejects your request for a time extension and requires that the Commission make this Consistency Determination in April 2019, the City of Trinidad urges the Coastal Commission to find the project as proposed is **not consistent** with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act. The Commission has not been provided current or adequate information to make a consistency finding. The application to you is not complete. Rejecting the application will provide the applicant an opportunity to return with the additional information you need to make a Consistency Determination.

The City of Trinidad, local community members, and other state and federal agencies all submitted detailed comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment for this project. The City's letter is attached and incorporated herein to our comments. Key issues identified by the City and other commenters include traffic impacts, visual impacts, lack of a committed water supply, geologic instability, and concerns about the capacity of the site to accommodate the wastewater from the Hotel in leach fields on a coastal bluff. No responses have been provided to any comments submitted on the Draft EA.

The Trinidad Rancheria provided an update to the City about the project on March 13th. According to their presentation, the Rancheria has modified the project and is gathering significant new information as part of responding to the Draft EA comments. This information includes a new traffic study nearing completion, a new assessment of the expected water use, a new analysis of the wastewater system capacity, a new geologic study, and a redesigned hotel to address visual impacts. None of these reports have yet been released to the public or included in the Consistency Determination request to the

Commission. Each of these studies, along with the revised project information are critical for the Commission to make a finding that the BIA's current application is consistent with the Coastal Act.

According to the Draft EA, this is a proposal for a 100 room, five story hotel, right on the edge of a unstable coastal bluff, with no guaranteed water supply, traffic impacts identified but not mitigated, and no clearly acceptable wastewater disposal. If this project was not on federal land, the Commission would never accept the level of information that has been provided to you as a 'complete application'. You are being asked to agree that this project is 'consistent' with Chapter 3 of the Coastal Act based on outdated and inadequate information, some of which clearly points to significant impacts. Your staff's request for a time extension is correct, and if it is not granted by the BIA, you should reject the Consistency Determination on the basis that the applicant has not provide adequate or current information about the project's impacts to coastal resources.

Please review our attached letter on the Draft EA, as well as those of other concerned agencies and citizens.

Thank you for your attention to this critical decision,

Eli Naffah

Eli Naffah

City Manager

City of Trinidad

cc (via email)

Trinidad City Council

Trinidad Planning Commission

Trinidad City Planner

Cher-ae Heights Indian Community of the Trinidad Rancheria

Bureau of Indian Affairs

California Coastal Conservancy

State Lands Commission



10/22/2018

Amy Dutschke
Regional Director
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Pacific Regional Office
Attn: Dan Hall
2800 Cottage Way
Sacramento, CA 95825

RE: Comments on the Environmental Assessment for Trinidad Rancheria Economic Development Corp. Hotel Development Project, Cher-Ae Heights Indian Community of the Trinidad Rancheria, Humboldt County

Dear Regional Director Dutschke,

The City of Trinidad appreciates this opportunity to provide comments to the Bureau of Indian Affairs on the Environmental Assessment for the Hotel Development Project, proposed by the Trinidad Rancheria Economic Development Corporation.

The City of Trinidad is an immediate neighbor to this proposed project, and some of the key impacts of the proposed project directly affect the City, including transportation, views, water supply and water quality. The City respects the Rancheria's longstanding efforts to advance economic development projects for the benefit of Rancheria members. The City and the Rancheria have a mutual respect for the protection and enhancement of our fragile coastal environment. The City offers these comments as part of the NEPA process to ensure that the impacts of the proposed project are fully described, a range of project alternatives and mitigation measures to address these impacts are considered, and that any final project avoids significant environmental impacts.

The City believes that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is required for this project (1) because the EA identifies significant and potentially significant impacts that are not adequately mitigated, and (2) because the EA lacks the detail and technical data to support a finding of no

significant impact in many sections. Key issues that the City believes make this draft EA inadequate for a FONSI determination include but are not limited to:

- 1) the absence of any committed water supply for the project, making it impossible to evaluate the potential impacts of the project to water resources, as well as any mitigation that may be needed to address them;
- 2) significant impacts to transportation and circulation are identified, but are not adequately analyzed or addressed by the proposed mitigation;
- 3) significant impacts to visual resources in this state and nationally recognized coastal view area that are not fully analyzed and addressed by the proposed mitigation;
- 4) inadequate information about the wastewater disposal capacity for the project, which makes it impossible to evaluate potential impacts to and mitigation for bluff stability, ground and surface water quality, and ocean water quality.

More detailed comments on these and other issues are provided below, with a discussion of the project in the context of NEPA guidance and requirements.

Detailed Comments

1.3 – Location and Setting

The City of Trinidad, the Trinidad Rancheria, and the surrounding landscape and ocean are part of an incredibly beautiful, environmentally sensitive, and unique location. Consideration of environmental impacts needs to take this local context into account. The State has recognized the importance and need for protection of the abundant and productive kelp beds and nearshore rocky environment by designating the Trinidad Bay Area of Special Biological Significance (ASBS)¹ and State Water Quality Protection Area just offshore of the proposed project, and by designating this stretch of coastline as the Trinidad Head Critical Coastal Area (CCA)². The Federal government has also recognized this area as a formal Gateway to the California Coastal National Monument (CCNM)³. Trinidad Head, with a direct view of the project site, is one of the only onshore portions of the Federal CCNM and was selected in part due to the public accessibility and the scenic visual resources of this area. The State ASBS and CCA designations both identify nutrient and bacteriological pollution as threats to this important ocean environment, and the ASBS includes strict regulations to prohibit any degradation of natural water quality.

1. https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ocean/asbs_map.shtml

2. https://www.coastal.ca.gov/nps/Web/cca_pp_ncoast.htm

3. <https://www.blm.gov/programs/national-conservation-lands/california/california-coastal>

This section of the EA (1.3) should briefly describe these state and national designations. The special setting for this project, perched on the bluff immediately overlooking this state and federally recognized coastal area, should be an important part of evaluating the environmental impacts of the proposed project. Figure 1.2 should be revised, or additional figures added, to identify the Trinidad Head ASBS, Trinidad Head CCA, and the federal CCNM.

Water Supply

Water Supply is discussed in numerous sections of the EA, including 2.2.1, 3.2.4, 3.10.7, and 4.1.7. The following comments are relevant to all of those sections.

Water/wastewater volume: The project description states that the Hotel will generate 8,000 gpd of wastewater, but the water supply section states that the Hotel will use almost 19,000 gpd of potable water. This difference cannot be explained by reuse of treated wastewater. The water coming in and the water going out need to be in balance, and corrected numbers are needed to properly assess both water supply impacts and wastewater impacts. Without consistent and accurate information about the volume of water needed, and wastewater produced, there is no sound basis for evaluating the environmental consequences of the proposed project with regard to water supply or wastewater disposal.

Water Source: The City's Water System is described as the preferred supply for the proposed project. The City has not received any application for new or expanded water service for the Hotel, and has made no commitment to provide potable water for the proposed Hotel. The EA does not propose any alternate water source for the project.

The percentages of 'available water supply' cited in the EA appear to be based on the maximum amount allowed to be withdrawn annually under our water rights to Luffenholtz Creek, but this maximum is not the limiting factor for the water system. The limiting factors are the operational capacity of the City's Treatment Plant, and the requirements to maintain minimum flows in the Creek during drought conditions. Current efforts by the City to generate updated information about system capacity and future needs, and to review water priorities and policy, are expected to continue for at least several months. The City's current priorities for any remaining water system capacity are first to ensure adequate supply for existing customers and second to retain capacity to service planned build-out within the City limits

Cumulative Effects to Water Resources

The City does not believe there is adequate basis to support the statement in Section 4.1.2 that 'there is adequate supply of surface water from Luffenholtz Creek to serve additional projects in the Region...' A prolonged effort to permit a major subdivision (the Moss Subdivision) in the Luffenholtz Creek watershed concluded that there was not adequate water to support new withdrawals during the dry season and required new development to avoid any new dry season

use of Luffenholtz Creek. As described above, the City is going through its own evaluation of the capacity and priorities for our water system before making a decision on providing water service to the Hotel.

In conclusion, the EA's findings that the City's water system can support the Hotel Project needs without significant impacts are premature. There is no basis for that determination in the EA. It is unclear from the EA where the Hotel Project would obtain water if the City decides it cannot provide the water. **Until a potable water source is secured, it is not possible to evaluate the potential impacts to that source, or mitigation measures that may be needed to address them. Therefore the BIA cannot make a 'finding of no significant impact' or FONSI regarding water supply based on this draft EA.**

3.7.2 Transportation and Circulation

The City agrees with the EA that the proposed project would have significant traffic impacts to the Main St./Scenic Dr. intersection, the largest and most complex intersection in the City of Trinidad. The proposed mitigation for these impacts is the construction of a Cher-Ae Lane Hwy 101 interchange. The City believes this could only be an acceptable mitigation measure if the hotel project is began concurrent with or subsequent to this new interchange.

The City understands that the Rancheria is committed to pursuing the Cher-Ae Lane interchange project and continues to work towards that goal. However the interchange is still in the planning stages. Environmental permitting is just starting, no funding is secured for construction, and final approvals for the project have not been completed. This interchange project, unlike the Hotel project, involves both trust lands and non-trust land, and is therefore subject to CEQA and the California Coastal Act among other state and local laws. This substantially increases the timeline, regulatory requirements, and uncertainty about the outcome of this planned project.

Mitigation measures must be timely to the impacts they are intended to address, and they must be tangible measures that the project proponent commits to implementing. The interchange could only be considered acceptable mitigation if the Hotel project was developed concurrent with or after a new interchange is operational. As currently proposed in the EA, the largest intersection in Trinidad would be significantly impacted with no mitigation for many years, and no real guarantee of mitigation at all.

The EA therefore describes significant unavoidable impacts to Transportation and Circulation without acceptable mitigation measures for them. Alternative mitigation measures that address the identified impacts and are timely to those impacts should be developed in consultation with CalTrans and the City of Trinidad, where those impacts will be realized, and where the likely mitigation measures will need to be implemented. Without this analysis included in the EA, the

BIA has no basis for determining the environmental consequences of the proposed project. **Until adequate mitigation measures are identified, the BIA cannot make a ‘finding of no significant impact’ or FONSI regarding transportation and circulation resources.**

Wastewater Treatment

There is inadequate information in the EA to determine whether there is leachfield capacity to serve the project on the Rancheria’s property, and there is no information about what regulatory agency would review and approve a leachfield disposal system. Responsible independent agency review and approval of any final wastewater disposal system should be a basic mitigation measure. The federal trust status of the project site makes it unclear what agency would provide that review and approval; this should be clarified in the EA or EIS.

The Preliminary Wastewater Feasibility Report (Appendix A) describes significant uncertainty about the current state of the community dispersal field, and about the expansion of that dispersal system which the Hotel project would require, including the following statements:

“The community dispersal field was designed with a capacity of 10,000 gallons per day. However, with plugging believed to have been caused by the discharge of Casino wastewater to the field before the treatment plant was completed, the actual long-term capacity of the dispersal field at this time is not known. The existing dispersal field should be cleaned and then the capacity should be evaluated through field investigations and hydraulic stress testing to determine the actual operations capacity.”

“...it is critical that this capacity is verified.” (Referring to the existing system capacity)

“A site survey should be the first order of work to confirm the Cher-Ae facility has the capacity to support the proposed hotel.”

The report also refers to soils on the Rancheria property as “marginal” for septic disposal, and that the existing system lacks the designation of a reserve area, which is a standard requirement for leachfields.

The report concludes with the following statement: “I cannot stress enough the need to determine if there is additional dispersal capacity on the site and where the resource is on the Rancheria. The size and location of these areas will have a significant impact on the design and associated cost with the dispersal component of the system.”

Overall, Appendix A shows that (1) the capacity of the existing leachfield is unknown, (2) no onsite testing has been done to verify there is adequate room for expansion of the leach area (and

the amount of expansion area is unknown, since the existing capacity is unknown), and (3) there is no reserve area in a location with “marginal soils” where a dispersal field is expected to fail eventually. Further, the EA does not explain the discrepancy between the projected water use of almost 19,000 gpd, and the projected wastewater flows of 10,000 gpd.

Without additional information about the capacity of the site to accept the project wastewater, and clarity on the actual volume of wastewater to be disposed of, it is not possible to adequately evaluate the potential impacts of wastewater disposal to bluff stability, ground water, or surface and ocean waters, or the mitigation measures that may be needed to address those impacts. This is especially true considering the proximity of the project site to the Trinidad Bay ASBS, SWQPA, and CCA and the CA Coastal National Monument.

Therefore the BIA cannot make a ‘finding of no significant impact’ or FONSI regarding wastewater disposal based on this draft EA.

Visual Resources

The City agrees with the EA that the proposed Hotel would impact the visual resources of this incredibly scenic area and would be clearly visible from Trinidad Head, nearshore waters and the California Coastal National Monument. The most obvious mitigation measures to address these impacts are to consider alternative locations on the Rancheria, alternative sizes and heights for the hotel, and to consider a less ‘boxy’ design. The failure of the EA to identify any alternative locations, configurations, or sizes for the proposed Hotel make it difficult to consider effective mitigation measures for visual resource impacts. These should be addressed in the alternatives section of an EIS.

Furthermore, Section 3.13.3 describes mitigation measures that “shall be incorporated” in the design. Comments from members of the Rancheria development team at the October 15, 2018 City Council meeting indicate that alternative designs are available that incorporate said measures. No such design considerations are found in the environmental assessment.

Community residents have expressed significant concerns about visual resource impacts, and about the lack of clarity in the EA regarding the final appearance. The City encourages the Rancheria to consider a significant reworking of the design, and to include the mitigation efforts outlined in Section 3.13.3

In order to better consider the impacts, and mitigation options for those impacts, an EIS or revised EA should include simulated views of the proposed Hotel from key prominent locations, like the Trinidad Pier, Trinidad Head Trails, and Edwards St. Furthermore, the City believes that

the United Indian Health Services Potawat Health Village is an excellent example of a local facility that clearly values a design that is both culturally significant and “fits” in with the surrounding environment.

The Rancheria improved the harbor when they took it over, putting in a state-of-the art pier and wastewater treatment facility, thus respecting the surrounding area of significant biological significance. The current EA does not reflect their demonstrated commitment to sound design principles. The EA has not delivered a satisfactory description of what the visual impacts will be nor the ways that mitigation measures might address those impacts.

Other Issues

Law Enforcement

The hotel will increase the law enforcement needs for the Rancheria. The number or hours of deputy service to be provided by the Rancheria through the described contract should be included in this section and analyzed for adequacy. In addition, a “will serve” letter or equivalent document needs to be included to document that the Sheriff’s Department has been adequately consulted and the EA includes a factual basis for determining the environmental consequences of the proposed project with regard to law enforcement.

Fire Protection

The Trinidad Volunteer Fire Department (TVFD) has only one station, with approximately six volunteer fire fighters. The ‘second station’ referred to in this section is an independent entity – the Westhaven Volunteer Fire Department (WVFD).

The maps referenced in this section seem to indicate that neither Calfire or TVFD have been delegated responsibility for firefighting on the Rancheria. The Calfire Fire Marshal should be contacted to provide clarity about their responsibilities on the Rancheria. If a contract or agreement is already in place between the Rancheria and Calfire it should be described and analyzed in the NEPA document.

To assess potential impacts and possible mitigation measures, this analysis should be based on the results of a qualified professional assessment of firefighting response needs, including access, water availability, and equipment. This assessment should include consultation with both Calfire and Humboldt Bay Fire. The local Calfire Fire Marshal conducts assessments like this. In addition, a “will serve” letter or equivalent document needs to be included to document that adequate capacity, staff, and equipment exists to serve the Project. Without this analysis included in the EA, the BIA has no basis for determining the environmental consequences of the proposed project with respect to Fire Protection.

Air Quality

Air quality and greenhouse gas emissions will result in impacts off of the Rancheria property. Therefore, those impacts should be evaluated in terms of State laws and standards. Humboldt County does not meet the state standard for PM10, and so that should be analyzed and dust control measures included. A construction project of the proposed duration is also likely to have public health affects that are not analyzed in the EA.

Noise

The noise section is unclear, with one standard being used in the criteria, and a different, higher standard used in the analysis. In addition, the size of the construction project, including the foundation that will be required, the range of construction equipment listed is not adequate. The number of truck trips, timing and sequence of different construction equipment is not adequately described.

Other Notes

Even impacts that are clearly not significant, that would be simple to document (e.g. flooding) are not adequately analyzed and sometimes based on inappropriate or questionable sources. The EA also contains incorrect and incomplete information. For example, since no natural gas lines serve Humboldt County, it does not seem likely that the Rancheria is served by natural gas as described in Section 2.2.1. Also, the Draft Geotechnical Feasible and Preliminary Design Report describes a new service access road along the slope southwest of the existing casino, which would cause significant cuts and grading, but that road and associated impacts are not described or considered as part of the project.

NEPA Guidance regarding impact significance:

In determining the significance of an impact, the Council on Environmental Quality's NEPA regulations §1508.27 requires consideration of both context and intensity. In considering context, it must be recognized that "significance varies with setting of the proposed action." What is not significant in one locale, may be significant in another locale. As described in more detail below, the project site is a particularly sensitive location, and the project is out of scale with the surrounding rural community setting and environment. The City's General Plan includes the following description of community preferences:

Property owners strongly preferred that new development be consistent with the present character of the community. Everyone agreed that the city has unique characteristics. When asked to describe Trinidad, they mentioned these terms: rural, uncrowded, quaint, rustic, peaceful, unsophisticated, small, casual, a feeling of openness, no tract houses, not commercialized. Sometimes they put it in terms of what they didn't want: no high-density

housing, no mobilehomes and trailer parks, no buildings greater than two stories, no motel-hotel-condominium complexes, no commercial exploitation and garish signs.

Trinidad's Design Review Guidelines suggest a maximum square footage of 2,000 for residences and 4,000 for commercial structures. The maximum height limit throughout the City is 25 ft. While the Rancheria is not subject to the City's land use standards, this information is presented to give the BIA a realistic idea of the scale and context of the surrounding community. The City's current draft Vision Statement developed for a comprehensive General Plan update includes the following language:

Trinidad intends to maintain the existing small town atmosphere. Scenic and environmental protections are essential to Trinidad's quality of life and economy. ... Sustainability is a keystone for all development and a hallmark for daily life and City functions in Trinidad. New environmental technologies are embraced that further protect Trinidad's scenic, natural and cultural resources. Trinidad's water resources, including the Bay and streams are unpolluted.

In determining intensity, the Council on Environmental Quality's NEPA regulations §1508.27 also require lead agencies to consider a number of factors several of which apply to this project, including:

(2) The degree to which the action would affect public health and safety. For example, evaluation should include hazardous and solid wastes, air and water quality, and their relation to public health.

According to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, an eight to twelve month construction project is likely to have public health impacts related to airborne emissions, including dust. In addition, for sensitive receptors within 500 ft. of Highway 101, cumulative impacts to public health from vehicle and construction emissions need to be evaluated.

(3) Unique characteristics of the geographic area such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical areas.

The coastal waters around Trinidad have been designated as an Area of Special Biological Significance and a State Water Quality Protection Area by the State Water Resources Control Board and as a Critical Coastal Area by the California Coastal Commission. In addition, Trinidad has been designated by BLM as the Northern Gateway to the California Coastal National Monument. Several parks, recreational areas and other public access exist in and around the project area. See attached excerpts from the various designations and legal programs managing these unique resources for further information.

(4) The degree to which the effects on the quality of the human environment are likely to be highly controversial.

Two public meetings, one sponsored by a local group known as Humboldt Alliance for Responsible Planning (HARP) and one by the City, attracted more than 100 attendees each. This is a very large number considering the rural nature of the affected environment. The City of Trinidad has a population of only 365 residents and the greater Trinidad-Westhaven area has a population of 1,205 (2010 Census). Most of the meeting attendees expressed concerns about the project as presented, making this project highly controversial.

(5) The degree to which the possible effects on the human environment are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks.

The EA, including the appendices, lacks adequate detail to accurately determine what the impacts are going to be. As described above, a primary example is water service and wastewater disposal. The water source for this project is uncertain, and the capacity of the site to dispose of wastewater is uncertain.

(6) The degree to which the action may establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration.

The Rancheria has plans for several additional large projects that are mentioned in the EA and appendices, including a casino expansion and a highway 101 interchange, all of which have the potential to impact the surrounding community.

(7) Whether the action is related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts. Significance exists if it is reasonable to anticipate a cumulatively significant impact on the environment. Significance cannot be avoided by terming an action temporary or by breaking it down into small component parts.

The EA includes a Highway 101 interchange as mitigation for significant traffic impacts. In addition, Phase 2 of the Rancheria's Community plan includes a number of other projects, including an R.V. park, gas station, market, etc. However, the potential for cumulative impact is not adequately addressed in the EA.

(10) Whether the action threatens a violation of federal, state, or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment.

There are potential offsite air and water quality impacts that have the potential to violate state environmental laws that have not been analyzed in the EA.

NEPA requires an EIS to be prepared when a Federal action may significantly affect the quality of the human environment (42 USC 4332). The EA determined that traffic impacts resulting

from the project would be significant. The mitigation proposed is to construct a new interchange on Highway 101. That mitigation is not valid for several reasons.

Section 6.4.6 of the BIA NEPA Guidebook (59 IAM 3-H) specifies that "Any mitigation measure must be enforceable and it is important for BIA Regional and Agency Offices to establish monitoring programs to ensure that mitigation is carried out." BIA has no authority to enforce this mitigation measure or ensure it is carried out nor does the Rancheria. In addition, the EA does not adequately show that this mitigation would reduce impacts to less than significant. Construction of the interchange is speculative at this point; it is still in the design phase, it has not been funded, the environmental review has not been completed, and it has not been permitted. If it is constructed, it will not be for many years, as that process takes time. Therefore, there will be significant traffic impacts from the project for an unknown length of time. Finally, the impacts of the interchange are not analyzed at all in the EA, contrary to Section 46.130 of the Department of Interior NEPA regulations, which states that "the effects of any mitigation measures... included in the applicant's proposal must be analyzed."

Section 6.4.5 of the BIA NEPA Guidebook (59 IAM 3-H) requires the effects analysis of an EA to demonstrate that the BIA took a "hard look" at the impacts of the action and that the analysis concentrate on those components of the affected environment that will truly be affected. Without this analysis included in the EA, the BIA has no basis for determining the environmental consequences of the proposed project, and an EIS should be prepared.

Courts review EAs and FONSI's under a deferential arbitrary and capricious standard. Courts will consider whether the analysis is superficial or manipulated, if the agency based its decision on presumptions or conclusions rather than facts, lack of documentation, internal inconsistencies, and failure to consider cumulative impacts and secondary impacts. The Hotel Development Project EA suffers from all of these deficiencies.

In the specific example of *Sierra Club v. Peterson* (717 F.2d 1409), the D.C. Circuit employed a four-part test to examine an agency's decision not to prepare an EIS. The four factors were (1) whether the agency took a "hard look" at the problem, (2) whether the agency identified the relevant areas of environmental concern, (3) whether the agency made a convincing case that the environmental impacts of the problems identified were insignificant, and (4) whether the agency established convincingly that any significant impacts were minimized.

Section 46.310 (g) of the Department of Interior NEPA regulations states: "An environmental assessment must contain objective analyses that support conclusions concerning environmental impacts." Many of the conclusions in the EA are not supported by appropriate and factual documentation. Water supply is a good example of this. The EA presumes that the City of Trinidad will supply potable water for the project. As discussed above, the numbers presented in the EA are based on the City's entire permitted water right to flows on Luffenholtz Creek without consideration of actual treatment capacity of the City's water plant, low flow conditions

on the Creek or impacts from climate change and drought. The City has not made a commitment to provide water, and is not clear at this time whether they have the capacity to do so. Based on the available information, the EA cannot make a determination that impacts to water supply are less-than-significant.

Conclusion:

The City believes the environmental impacts of this project must be addressed through an EIS. The EA identifies significant and potentially significant impacts that are not adequately mitigated. In addition, the EA lacks the detail and technical data to support a finding of no significant impact in many sections, including water supply, transportation, visual resources, and wastewater.

Preparation of an EIS will serve several purposes that the EA currently does not address. It will provide the opportunity for the in-depth analysis and consideration of impacts that are not adequately documented in the EA. It will provide for further consideration of alternatives that could reduce impacts from the project. And it would allow further involvement from the public and interested agencies.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. Please don't hesitate to contact the City with any questions or for additional information.

Thank you



Daniel Berman

City Manager

Cc:

Trinidad City Council
Trinidad Planning Commission
Jacque Hostler, Trinidad Rancheria
Humboldt County Planning Department
California Coastal Commission, Federal Consistency Department
State Water Resources Control Board, Ocean Protection Division
Bureau of Land Management, CCONM Manager
Environmental Protection Agency – Region 9 Wastewater Division

From: [Don Allan](#)
To: Energy@Coastal
Subject: Public Comment on April 2019 Agenda Item Wednesday 14c - CD-0001-19 (Bureau of Indian Affairs, Trinidad)
Date: Friday, March 22, 2019 2:13:57 PM
Attachments: [Trinidad Rancheria Creek.jpg](#)

Thank you for the excellent staff report regarding the Trinidad Rancheria's proposed hotel. I agree with almost all of your recommendations. However I did want to note what I think is an error in the assessment. The report states: " The draft EA for the proposed project states that there are no surface water features or wetlands within the boundaries of the project site." (p. 22). However there is a creek that runs through the property that has been routed through a long pipe starting at the Rancheria Property's eastern property boundary (see attached map). It emerges into an open channel for perhaps 100 feet just east of Scenic Drive and then flows under Scenic Drive in a culvert, with an open channel the rest of the way to the beach and an open channel upstream of the Rancheria. Because of the lack of CDFW, County, Coastal Commission, or NCRWQCB jurisdiction and oversight, the creek was routed into the pipe and the valley was filled and paved over to create the parking lot. The work was done very poorly - stumps and other woody debris were included in the fill and sinkholes later developed in the parking lot as the fill settled and wood rotted out. The holes were fixed and have not re-appeared. The filling of a creek is inconsistent with good stewardship and would not have been allowed by CDFW, NCRWQCB, or the Coastal Commission had a normal permitting process been followed. Now the Rancheria's analysis says there are no water features or wetlands - and this is factually incorrect, as are so many of the assumptions and assertions in the Environmental Assessment.

I concur with the staff recommendation to vote no on the Consistency Determination.

Sincerely,

Don Allan,

821 2nd Ave., Trinidad, CA 95570

(707) 273-8065

March 25, 2019

Commissioners
California Coastal Commission
By email to EORFC@coastal.ca.gov

Regarding: CD-0001-19 (Bureau of Indian Affairs, Trinidad—Consistency Determine of Bureau of Indian Affairs for federal funding guarantee to Cher-Ae-Heights Indian Community of Trinidad Rancheria for 100-room hotel.

Dear Commissioners,

I have visited and stayed with family in Trinidad since the mid-1960s and brought my family to live here in 1980. I have worked for a regional environmental organization as executive director for thirty-one years and am familiar with NEPA, EAs and EIRs, having written comments and filed suit on many. Today, I am writing as a member of the community to express support for the development of a hotel at the Cher-Ae-Heights Indian Community adjacent to the Cher-Ae-Heights casino.

Coastal Commission staff have raised significant concerns about informational gaps in the current federal Environmental Assessment for the hotel project that should be addressed before work is undertaken. I appreciate that staff's comments are clear and concise and seem reasonable in view of the significance of the project and will help improve the project. However, I do not recommend that the project be voted against in whole.

Instead, I would ask that you do not vote against the hotel as proposed in size and design. Having a beautiful hotel above the Trinidad Bay owned by the very People who had their land taken without compensation, not owned by some Los Vegas or other entity not closely tied to Trinidad Bay as is the Rancheria, is not a blight on the view. It's a proud symbol of honor for our local Native Americans.

There is one positive for the hotel which I haven't heard mentioned yet and that is the potential for very significant improvement to the City of Trinidad a beautiful local hotel is likely to provide.

Currently, housing in the City of Trinidad and the surrounding area in the 95570 zip code for full time residents is severely limited due to the great number of homes that are used exclusively for vacation rentals. The impact of an itinerant population on the Trinidad area's social structure has been immense. The local school, for example, where my two children attended, came close to closing its doors a few years ago due to the lack of children students in the community, and Trinidad today remains diminished because few children and families reside there on a permanent basis relative to the size of the town. A hotel would take much of the pressure and financial incentive from housing owners in Trinidad who currently hold their housing stock for vacation rentals and will free up housing for people who intend to live and

participate in the civic life of Trinidad. I consider this a great potential positive and for this reason alone a worthy to support the construction of a hotel in the Trinidad area.

Trinidad Rancheria, aka Cher-Ai-Heights Community, has an excellent track record as a neighbor. Projects undertaken by Trinidad Rancheria have immensely improved Trinidad Bay by removing long-time and serious sources of sediment, toxic chemicals, human waste and other discharges from areas such as the repair of the north end of Scenic Drive between the Rancheria and Trinidad, the boat launch and replacement of the toxic pier and pier-side gasoline pump with a non-toxic pier, a public bathroom for State Beach visitors and much more. The community's waste water system and casino were constructed for minimal environmental impact. I have come to greatly respect and admire the Rancheria for its environmentally sound projects and I expect that the hotel is also intended to be environmentally sound in keeping with the Rancheria's track record.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

Patty Clary
844 3rd Avenue
Trinidad, CA 95570
707-834-4833

From: [Don Allan](#)
To: Energy@Coastal
Subject: Public Comment on April 2019 Agenda Item Wednesday 14c - CD-0001-19 (Bureau of Indian Affairs, Trinidad)
Date: Wednesday, March 27, 2019 4:11:35 PM
Attachments: [AirPhotoMap_Cher"aeHtsCasino.jpg](#)

Application # CD-0001-19

Bureau of Indian Affairs CD

Agenda Item W14c

Position in Opposition to the

Project

Submitted By: Don Allan, 821

2nd Ave., Trinidad, CA 95570

Dear Sir/ Mme.:

I am writing to express my concern over the proposed hotel at the Trinidad Rancheria and request that you deny the application for a Consistency Determination. The Environmental Assessment (EA) prepared for the project has many shortcomings and the proposed project has created controversy within the community and among experts in geology, wildlife, biology, traffic, water supply, and engineering. The assessments presented in the EA are cursory and inadequate. The EA glosses over major issues and fails to provide a range of alternatives.

One of my concerns is the siting of the hotel in geologically unstable terrain. The Trinidad area and the site of the hotel are characterized by the Franciscan formation, a geologic type that includes metamorphic and weakly consolidated sedimentary rock. Geologists frequently describe this formation as akin to rice pudding –raisins floating in a gelatinous mass. The raisins represent large rocks which may be mistaken for bedrock but which are large metamorphic rocks floating in the mélange (the pudding) of uplifted marine terraces composed of sand, clay, and weakly consolidated rock (sandstone). This formation is characterized by numerous landslides, soil creep, and unstable landforms. A drive or walk down Scenic Drive, above which the proposed hotel would sit, reveals many dips, humps, and slumps in the road, many of which extend all the way from Scenic Drive down to the ocean and in some cases cross Scenic Drive, affecting the bluffs and terraces above. The EA for the project reports that there is a shallow landslide on the southwest corner of the proposed hotel location. The report glosses this over by stating that piles will be drilled into “bedrock”. However that bedrock is a floating chunk of metamorphic rock that is mis-characterized as bedrock. In addition to the unstable geology and numerous landslides in the project area, the project fails to analyze the impact of sea level rise on slope stability and the potential for translation of that instability upslope. With minimum sea level rise predicted to be 18 inches by 2050 and up to 60 inches or more by 2100, we can expect an exacerbation of slope instability, including the landslide that reaches the southwest corner of the proposed hotel, as waves erode higher up the slope and cause slope adjustments to the over-steepening caused by wave erosion. Placing a hotel at the proposed location is ill advised.

Another concern is with the ability of the City of Trinidad to provide the water the hotel will require. The exact usage is a moving target with the original EA saying it would be more than 18,000 gallons per day, which was magically and mysteriously reduced to 3,000 to 3,500 gallons per day (Trinidad Rancheria presentation to Trinidad City Council, March 13, 2019) with no explanation of how they arrived at this figure. At full occupancy that would amount to 30 gallons per room per day, an unrealistically low figure. The City is currently conducting testing to determine the maximum volume of water that the plant can produce and the system can deliver. The City has had many problems with its drinking water treatment facility and there are times during the rainy season when turbidity in the creek and in the City's raw water intake (perforated pipes buried in a gravel journal within the bed of Luffenholtz Creek) exceeds the level of turbidity that the system can treat, meaning the City has to rely on the reserves in its water tanks until it can process water to refill the tanks. While a member of the Trinidad Volunteer Fire Department I witnessed an event where a City water line broke during a hard freeze, draining the water tanks and creating a water emergency. Such an event left the City extremely vulnerable for a fire emergency. Adding a 100-room hotel to the system would put many people in peril in such a situation. If it happened once, it can happen again. The project does not adequately address worst case scenarios yet common sense dictates that planning must consider the worst case, which the EA does not.

The project should be planning for the driest possible water year, such as the drought of 1976-77. A drought of similar proportion today would require the City to use all of its allocation to supply existing customers. The City also has an obligation to provide water to un-built lots within the City and to customers within its service area. The assumption that the City can provide the needed water at all times of year and in all types of water years is speculative and not supported by the data. In fact the data suggests that the City may not be able to provide the water during summer low flow periods, and definitely not during extreme drought conditions. With global warming our weather is predicted to become warmer and dryer and more frequent and severe droughts should be anticipated. The EA does not address the worst case scenario and is inadequate in its assessment of the City's ability to provide the required water. A more thorough analysis, which the City is currently conducting, needs to be completed and the City needs to receive and approve an application for new service before approving the proposed hotel. A better analysis of alternatives, including rain water collection, water storage, and reducing demand needs to be conducted.

Waste water treatment is another concern. The EA contains misleading and contradictory information in this regard. At one point the EA states that the proposed hotel will connect to the City's sewer system, which does not exist. A 2004 wastewater investigation conducted by Winzler & Kelly, consulting engineers, notes that there have been problems with the existing leach fields serving the casino, including construction of decks, a driveway, and a large-above-ground-swimming pool over septic tanks. Only 7 of 25 septic tanks had access ports, meaning they could not be inspected nor pumped out as required for regular maintenance. Other problems identified in the report included high ground water levels, inadequate setbacks from steep slopes and bluffs, inadequate stream setback, unstable landforms, and shallow depth to bedrock. Adding more effluent into leach fields will overwhelm the ability of the leach fields and result in polluted water being discharged into waters of the United States. A more thorough analysis and consideration of alternatives needs to be conducted.

The visual impacts of the revised 5 story hotel design are significant and cannot be mitigated. The Trinidad Coast is popular because of its scenic beauty. Trinidad Head and the offshore rocks in Trinidad Bay have been designated under the California Coastal National Monument (CCNM) because of their scenic values, the wildlife supported within the CCNM, and the unique attributes of the coast line. There is no mitigation for the visual impacts of a 5-story hotel in the proposed location. The proposed hotel is totally out of character for the scenic and lightly developed setting in which it is proposed. Given the outstanding visual characteristics of the surroundings, the logical conclusion is to reject what is proposed and deny the Consistency Determination request.

Impacts to wildlife are not adequately assessed in the EA. There are numerous studies that indicate glass windows are a major hazard to birds because of the reflective nature of windows that hides the glass from the birds, making it appear that there is open sky rather than a glass window. Up to one billion birds per year die due to collisions with windows (<https://audubonportland.org/files/habitat/bird-safe-brochure-2015>). The proposed location of the hotel is in an area of many roosting and nesting trees and in a location where birds migrate on a daily basis to and from the ocean. The proposed hotel would have a significant negative effect on birds and wildlife.

The EA also states that no water of the US are located on the project site, yet there is a creek less than 250 feet north of the proposed hotel location (see attached air photo/ map). The creek was routed into a pipe and filled over to build the parking lot, perhaps that is why it was not recognized as a creek. After the creek was filled in and the parking area was built over it, sinkholes developed in the parking lot because the Rancheria did not have to follow California Fish & Game code, and did not follow standard engineering criteria for clean fill and compaction, nor obtain a streambed alteration agreement (SAA). Had a SAA and preparation of a CEQA document (need to obtain a SAA) been required, the California Department of Fish & Wildlife, the State Water Quality Control Board, the Coastal Commission, and the Army Corps of Engineers, all entities normally consulted and commenting on projects, would not have approved the project. Although the creek does not provide habitat for salmon, it has the potential to provide habitat for the torrent salamander and other aquatic species and amphibians. The EA does not address impacts to aquatic habitat and this request for a consistency determination must be denied.

Traffic impacts are not adequately addressed in the EA. The addition of a 100 room hotel will add a significant volume of traffic to Scenic Drive from both clients and staff. The Rancheria has obtained a grant from the California Transportation Commission to prepare designs and analysis for a freeway interchange to service the casino and proposed hotel yet that project is not addressed in the EA, even though the two are inextricably linked. The interchange will have significant impacts yet it is supposed to mitigate traffic impacts of the proposed hotel. The Rancheria's plans include future additions of a gas station, RV park, convenience store, and other facilities that are not addressed in the EA. This is splitting the project into smaller components to avoid analysis of the cumulative effects of all the proposed actions and would not be permissible under State law. This again leads to the conclusion that a consistency determination cannot be made for the project.

To summarize, the EA insufficiently assesses water supply, waste water treatment, visual impacts, geologic issues, traffic, impacts to biological resources, and alternatives. The consistency determination request must be denied.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Don Allan

MARGARET DRAPER
ATTORNEY AT LAW
POB 176, BAYSIDE, CA 95524

TEL: 707.826.9072 / E-MAIL: maggi@humboldt1.com

3/28/2019

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION
45 FREMONT, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2219

Re: Consistency Determination No.: CD-0001-19 – hotel development near Cher-Ae Heights Casino, Trinidad, CA

Dear Coastal Commissioners and Staff,

As a taxpayer in Humboldt County for 26 years, with family living in Trinidad, I can tell you that many aspects of this project are alarming. My experiences with Native American communities here in Northern California have shown me that many indigenous people value environmental appropriateness and good use of the land. While I recognize that there is a wide spectrum of interests in our Native American community, it seems hard to imagine that this proposal reflects a deep love of the land that many in Humboldt County, native and otherwise, hold dear.

As you are well aware, the federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) of 1972 encourages states to develop coastal management programs and implement the federal consistency procedures of the CZMA. Your staff finds that the proposed hotel as described in the BIA consistency determination is inconsistent with Section 30251 and 30253(e) of the Coastal Act, since it does not protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas and is not visually compatible with the character of surrounding areas. This is absolutely the correct finding.

It appears BIA has not argued that full compliance with the CCMP would be “prohibited by existing law,” and thus the standard of review for CZMA is applicable and appropriate for the Coastal Commission to apply. Water Supply, Wastewater Treatment, Traffic, Hazards are inadequately addressed for your purposes, and present obvious problems for the project.

While your staff recommends mitigations that may go some way toward making this project consistent with the law, I question whether those mitigations will be enough to render the project acceptable – especially vis a vis with regard to promoting the tourism that drives the economies of both Trinidad and Cher-Ae Heights Casino! If generating money is important, don't kill the goose that lays the golden egg.

I urge the Commission to vote no on this Consistency Determination.

Sincerely,



Maggi Draper

From: [karen Schatz](#)
To: [Weber, John@Coastal](mailto:Weber.John@Coastal)
Subject: trinidad rancheria hotel proposal
Date: Thursday, April 11, 2019 2:48:20 PM

Subject: Trinidad Rancheria hotel proposal

Traffic on Scenic Drive is already excessive (and too fast) making it unsafe for cyclists and hikers! A direct access via an interchange should be a prerequisite to any expansion project, and would even be beneficial now. Water supply and disposal are huge concerns as well.

Thank you for providing contact information and adding me to your mailing list. Please share the following comments at your next meeting.

Sincerely,
Gordon Schatz

EDWARD C. PEASE
PO Box 996
Trinidad, California 95570

TO: John Weber, California Coastal Commission
FR: Ted Pease, Humboldt Alliance for Responsible Planning (HARP)
RE: Petition on Trinidad Rancheria Hotel
May 20, 2019

HARP — Humboldt Alliance for Responsible Planning, a Northern California citizens organizing group — initiated an online petition to gauge citizen concern over a 5-story, 100-guestroom Hyatt highrise hotel proposed for the bluff on Trinidad Bay south of Trinidad.

The petition, circulated online (<http://chnng.it/pkVMT4Vzpg>) through Change.org, states in its entirety:

A five-story high-rise hotel development is wrong for the coast above Trinidad Bay. Aside from the jarring visual impact of such a structure on a pristine coast, developers also have not adequately addressed potential negative environmental and resource impacts; nor has the public been adequately informed about the developers' plan for a major Highway 101 interchange to serve the development.

Much more public input and discussion are needed before this project moves forward. I request that the California Coastal Commission's hearing on the hotel issue be convened in Humboldt County to permit local citizens who cannot travel to distant meeting locations the opportunity to voice their opinions.

As of May 20, 685* people had signed the petition, distributed geographically as follows:

Humboldt County:	298 (43.5%)
Other California:	115 (16.8%)
Other U.S.:	259 (37.8%)
Other:	13 (2%)

The response from people not only in Humboldt County and California is a measure of the value Americans from all over place on this coastline. Thousands of people travel to Northern California every year for the redwoods, recreational opportunities and the unparalleled pristine beauty of the coast especially the seastack-dotted shoreline surrounding Trinidad Bay. This part of the California Coast, focused on Trinidad Head, which faces the site of the proposed highrise hotel, has been designated a National Coastal Monument.

The petition, a list of signatories (as of 05/21/19) and comments are attached.

* Total signatures were 750 by Tuesday, May 21, 2019.

encs.

HARp — Humboldt Alliance for Responsible Planning

Recipient: HARP@humboldtalliance.org

Letter: Greetings,

More public input needed on Trinidad Rancheria hotel plan.

Comments

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Joan Dunning	Bayside, CA	2019-04-19	Trinidad Bay is an extraordinary place, unique on all the long coast of California. It is not only artistically, but also environmentally absurd to try to wedge a five-story hotel on one of the cliffs that surround the bay. I am utterly against it. I walk on Trinidad Head several times a week and I talk to many of the tourists who stand in awe of our still pristine coastline. It must be protected for all Americans and for foreigners, too, who come here looking for inspiration.
Rebecca Stauffer	Bayside, CA	2019-04-19	I'm signing because the plan is ill conceived and inappropriate for the site. The negative consequences are far out of line for the benefits.
Nancy Kennedy	Eureka, CA	2019-04-19	This proposed building is very wrong for the site. Visually, it will be intrusive. I do not think the infrastructure will support it. Hearings need to be held locally and all proposals need to be transparent to the residents of the local area.
Kirsten Petersen	Adin, CA	2019-04-19	I feel that this is not an idea that I and others have had a proper chance to research, but over the years I have had chances to see great ideas fail by not having properly investigated the long term results and having compared like projects that became successes or failures. The ecosystem of this area is still quite pure and it would be shame to not take the time to get all the input necessary to improve rather than pollute this treasure of nature,
Larry Goldberg	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-19	This project is totally out of character for our rural community. Where will the water come from and where will the wastewater go. It's an eyesore on our beautiful coastline. The tribe has made almost no effort to work with the local community in the planning for this project.
DJ Higgiins	McKinleyville, CA	2019-04-19	The community that would bear the negative impacts of the hotel must be allowed to participate in the hearing. To allow participation, the hearing should be held in Eureka, not 750 miles to the south.
leslie quinn	arcata, CA	2019-04-19	the land, water and road cannot support this
Meighan O'Brien	McKinleyville, CA	2019-04-20	This hotel expansion is not appropriate for nor is it respectful of the Trinidad area. The native peoples history as well as the natural beauty and fragility if the area warrant any additional development be undertaken with great sensitivity and much more community input than what this rushed project has received.
Charis Arlett	Eureka, CA	2019-04-20	This is SO wrong for this area, not to mention the water & waste concerns!
Aaron B	Durango, CO	2019-04-20	Environmental costs must be considered.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Sean Stoerrle	Bethlehem, PA	2019-04-20	Keep the northcoast pristine and stop this environmentally disgust from ever happening! I can't imagine what the true indigenous would say 💎
Claire Perricelli	Eureka, CA	2019-04-20	This hearing needs to be held on the North Coast. (As does the CalTrans Safety Corridor project)
Deborah Storm	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-21	This is horrific to even think about. Where is all this human waste going to go and it would be UGLY!! Don't ruin our beautiful coastline for unnecessary greed and pollutin that goes with it!
Erna Drechsler	Eureka, CA	2019-04-21	This is the wrong thing for this area. It would mar the beauty and being in earthquake territory is not good idea.
Deborah OBanks	Blue Lake, CA	2019-04-22	Trinidad is a beautiful little town. Please d oknt destroy its beauty with this monstrosity of a hotel
Jennifer Knight	Eureka, CA	2019-04-22	Please spend more time with the community discussing this project. It will affect the community forever to come.
joanne grace	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-22	I have concerns about water. Where will they get the water they need? I have a well and am concerned the water table will be depleted. There's not enough water. In addition the hotel is way to big on unstable land.
dennis therry	bayside, CA	2019-04-23	Shutting out Humboldt County is not in the best interest of the Coastal Commission or to Humboldt County residents.
Ellen Taylor	Eureka, CA	2019-04-23	This monstrosity is an eyesore in and of itself but to stick it in beautiful Trinidad is an insult to Mother Earth herself!There needs to be a comprehensive hearing up here where people understand the entirety of the impacts this project will have if it goes forward.
T L	Eureka, CA	2019-04-23	We need to hold off until CA Coastal Commission is here in Humboldt County. Also, we need CA Coastal Commission here in Humboldt County to discuss the Eureka to Arcata Corridor plans, including the logging of 100 year old Eucalyptus trees that deserve to live and not be logged for a bay trail. Stop fast tracking terrible plans.
greg movsesyan	Manzanita, OR	2019-04-23	If this were a legal case, it would be handled in the jurisdiction where it occurred. Why is it not true for this regulatory case, as well?
Stan Binnie	Arcata, CA	2019-04-23	I think this would ruin the look and feel of the Trinidad area. Trinidad is known for its scenic beauty and the feeling of a more rural and wild place. This hotel is something that belongs in Florida or Waikiki—it is completely incompatible with the North Coast environment, and I hope the Rancheria will have a change of heart about what they are proposing.
Bruce Campbell	Los Angeles, CA	2019-04-24	A great quake is overdue in the Cascadia Subduction Zone. Even more moderate quakes will make the proposed 5-story hotel in currently lovely Trinidad, CA, turn into a crushed body sandwich.North Coasters should be able to have vocal input on this important matter. I implore you to hold a Coastal Commission

Name	Location	Date	Comment
			hearing in the Humboldt Bay area partially to get local input on this important matter.Thanks for your consideration.
Cindy and Guy Kuttner	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24	Let's keep the human footprint as small as possible.
JEFFREY STEINKAMP	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-24	I want the Coastal Commission meeting in Humboldt County for the Trinidad Rancheria Hotel plan
Veronica Farber	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24	Local community members should primary participants in this discussion. Our accessibility to voice our opinions should be prioritized because this project affects our local community.
Gloria Purcell	Belmont, CA	2019-04-24	Much of CA has been destroyed by development, traffic and pollution. Leave the north coast alone! No more roads (and also especially through Richardson Grove)!
Marie Petersen	P.O. Box 714 Weaverville, CA	2019-04-24	We need to protect the last bits of undeveloped California coast that we have left. Put it somewhere else!
marisa cross	arcata, CA	2019-04-24	Leave well enough alone. Why ruin this coastline with yet more development, which is the last thing any of us need. Why must everything be made to look the same. This project would ruin this unique, pristine spot and there are few places like it left. It will no longer look like a post card or photogenic with a massive building in the way. At the very least there should be an open public forum. But what is there to discuss? This coastline belongs to all of us. This building is a monstrosity. Ostentatious. I object. Cancel project now.
Stephany Joy	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24	I don't think this is needed or able to be properly supported with water for one thing. I think it is an aesthetic nightmare and unnecessary. Trinidad is suffering enough with the over abundant vacation rentals.
Malcolm O'Toole	Eureka, CA	2019-04-25	Trinidad is one of the most pristine and beautiful coastal regions of California. This is because it is NOT disturbed by major developments and human creations. The hotel will detract from the natural beauty of the location. It is just as ridiculous as building a hotel in the middle of a national or state park. I have also yet to hear of an opportunity for input for indigenous people who have lived in the Trinidad area for generations. The plans for this building should not proceed without a proper vessel for people who live in or regularly enjoy Trinidad to voice their concerns. There are few coastal places in California which are undisturbed by human development, and they should be preserved so that they can be enjoyed and respected. Environmental impacts of the development are also a major concern for many individuals.
Gail Stewart	Seattle, US	2019-04-25	Noooo. What a mistake this would be. On so many levels!!
Cynthia Louis	Fort Collins, CO	2019-04-25	Trinidad is a magical historical and environmental gem that should not evolve into a Cancun-like attraction for so many reasons. The infrastructure and damage to the environment is not worth the cost. There are better ways to non intrusively invest in the community. As a long ago resident, I am opposed to this type of development.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
meaghan simpson	Fortuna, CA	2019-04-25	I am a long term local for 36 years and enjoy all parts of our NW CA coastline communities and businesses. I find the proposal for the 5 story or 3 story or whatever story hotel and 101 access and traffic, etc... to be TOO BIG, TOO COMMERCIAL, TOO NOT TRINIDAD!!!Of course I have not ever enjoyed the Gambling Casinos that have invaded USA. I love our Native American Indians... and I have 4 or 5 tribes blood running in my veins... but not gambling, alcohol and indoor smoking!!!
Johnny Imgrund	Minneapolis, MN	2019-04-27	That's one of the most beautiful stretches of beachfront on the Pacific Northwest. Also the most fragile. This is not sustainable.
sajha eden	arcata, CA	2019-04-28	I want the beauty of Trinidad to be protected, not torn down for business. Let's use common sense!
Judith Wright	Dayton, VA	2019-04-30	Not good. Please think about all the future problems.
kai hill	trinidad, CA	2019-05-01	I live inTrinidad and this monstrosity will ruin our coastline.
DONNA ULRICH	Arcata, US	2019-05-01	I'm signing because I want to see Trinidad and the North Coast keep its character. A high-rise hotel just doesn't do that.
A Grau	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-01	This project would forever diminish the environment and the quality of life for countless residents of and visitors to this pristine coastline.
Kay Schulz	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-03	I believe that this hotel does not fit into the viewscape of the surrounding area. Water availability is a concern as is the problem with sewage.
Melissa Carrau	Eureka, CA	2019-05-03	It's absurd! Looks ridiculous a nd totally out of place. The road is falling apart, more traffic will kill it. Smaller, lower profile....
Peter Aronson	Arcata, CA	2019-05-07	Trinidad doesn't deserve or want to ruin 'rural' building themes with a structure like this.
Peter Manso	Truro, MA	2019-05-13	Petet Manso
Jan Hunt	Bayside, CA	2019-05-18	When our house was built, we were required to make it "disappear" into the coastline. If this project goes forward, I would like to see similar requirements to make it blend in to the environment, using colors and materials that diminish its look. And the water issues need to be carefully studied to see if Trinidad is even able to supply the needs of such a huge water user. It is important that a community has a major say in such a community-changing project.
Mary Campbell	Arcata, CA	2019-05-19	No need for hotel that size in Trinidad. Simply, ridiculous.
Karin Rosman	El Cerrito, CA	2019-05-19	The local residents deserve access to input on this important issue. I live in the SF Bay Area and I'm following this
Toby Vanlandingham	Klamath, CA	2019-05-19	I believe the current proposed water usage and environmental impact report is horribly underestimated and needs a thorough 3rd party review before this project is put on the table for approval.

Name	Location	Date	Comment
Diane Anderson	Arcata, CA	2019-05-20	A great deal more investigating of the environmental impacts still needs to be done! Not to mention how sad it is that the Native population there is involved in constructing such a metro-monstrosity on this sacred piece of earth.

HARp — Humboldt Alliance for Responsible Planning

Recipient: HARP@humboldtalliance.org

Letter: Greetings,

More public input needed on Trinidad Rancheria hotel plan.

Signatures

Name	Location	Date
Ted Pease	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-19
Carol Mone	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-19
Patricia Morales	Trinidad, US	2019-04-19
Elizabeth Whitley	Kneeland, US	2019-04-19
Peder Pedersen	Eureka, CA	2019-04-19
James Vandegriff	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-19
Don Bremm	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-19
Joyce Rodgers	Trinidad, US	2019-04-19
Ken Miller	McKinleyville, US	2019-04-19
Alexa Day	Fortuna, CA	2019-04-19
Jennifer Kalt	Mckinleyville, US	2019-04-19
Debby Harrison	Sacramento, US	2019-04-19
Tristan Theron	Tucson, US	2019-04-19
Joan Dunning	Bayside, CA	2019-04-19
JON INWOOD	Brooklyn, NY	2019-04-19
Trevor Keiber	Arcata, CA	2019-04-19
Rebecca Stauffer	Bayside, CA	2019-04-19
Nancy Kennedy	Eureka, CA	2019-04-19
Howard Russell	Eureka, CA	2019-04-19
Linda Hartshorn	Eureka, CA	2019-04-19

Name	Location	Date
Patricia LeGary	Eureka, CA	2019-04-19
Kathryn Stotler	McKinleyville, US	2019-04-19
Robert Chapman	Blue Lake, US	2019-04-19
Kirsten Petersen	Adin, CA	2019-04-19
Nic Gadouas	Trinidad, US	2019-04-19
Beryl Feldman	Atlanta, US	2019-04-19
Jason Nguyen	Minneapolis, US	2019-04-19
Neal Steinberg	US	2019-04-19
DONNA ULRICH	Arcata, US	2019-04-19
Michael Morris	Arcata, CA	2019-04-19
Richard Salzman	Eureka, US	2019-04-19
David Deems	Roseville, US	2019-04-19
Peter Jain	Arcata, US	2019-04-19
Linnea Clompus	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-19
Michelle Dougherty	Eureka, US	2019-04-19
ishan vernallis	arcata, US	2019-04-19
Katherine Perry	Bayside, CA	2019-04-19
Kathleen Mill	Richmond, US	2019-04-19
Katie Darden	Mexicali, Mexico	2019-04-19
Kristin Dwan	Altadena, US	2019-04-19
Lucas Thornton	Eureka, CA	2019-04-19
Kim Pinches	Eureka, CA	2019-04-19

Name	Location	Date
carol v klune	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-19
Larry Goldberg	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-19
Diane Camp	Forest Rsnch, CA	2019-04-19
Diane Higgins	McKinleyville, US	2019-04-19
Mindy Hiley	Trinidad, US	2019-04-19
Don Allan	Arcata, CA	2019-04-19
Jessica Friedlander	Rio Dell, CA	2019-04-19
Brenda Cooper	Eureka, US	2019-04-19
Gretchen Sudlow	Blue Lake, CA	2019-04-19
Shannya Andrade	Orange Park, US	2019-04-19
Carol Lee	alderpoint, CA	2019-04-19
Simona Carini	US	2019-04-19
leslie quinn	arcata, CA	2019-04-19
Jason Self	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-19
Carol Conaway	McKinleyville, US	2019-04-19
Melody Hamilton	Eureka, CA	2019-04-19
Tarnisha Ambeau	Pompano Beach, US	2019-04-20
Damon Ring	Fortuna, CA	2019-04-20
Kristen Bailey	Tacoma, US	2019-04-20
Meighan O'Brien	McKinleyville, CA	2019-04-20
Kari Vandiver	Arcata, CA	2019-04-20
Blake Lane	Newport Beach, CA	2019-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Kathleen Kinkela-Love	Arcata, US	2019-04-20
Katherine LaForge	Eureka, CA	2019-04-20
Samantha Love	Arcata, CA	2019-04-20
Susan Twomey	Arcata, US	2019-04-20
Jon Lefebvre	Phoenix, AZ	2019-04-20
Charis Arlett	Eureka, CA	2019-04-20
Caroline Hall	Blue Lake, CA	2019-04-20
Julie Gentry	Durango, CO	2019-04-20
Ludy Hawe	Binghamton, US	2019-04-20
Dreher Robertson	Durango, CO	2019-04-20
Wayne Self	Plano, US	2019-04-20
Tyler Wright	Dallas, US	2019-04-20
James Vaughn	Boulder, US	2019-04-20
Crystal Dobbs	Loleta, US	2019-04-20
Renee French	Austin, TX	2019-04-20
Fran Dutro	Erie, CO	2019-04-20
Martha Webb	Seattle, WA	2019-04-20
Zion Adler	Flagstaff, AZ	2019-04-20
laura Dees	quaker hill, US	2019-04-20
elliott levin	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-20
Judy houck	Arcata, CA	2019-04-20
Tom Webb	Oakland, US	2019-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Forrest Stone	Durango, US	2019-04-20
Mackenzie Martin	Humble, US	2019-04-20
Ben Zimmerman	Oakland, US	2019-04-20
Melissa McCall	Mckinleyville, US	2019-04-20
Ron Baron	North Richland Hills, TX	2019-04-20
Temprest Lloyd	Austin, US	2019-04-20
marion shaw	shasta lake, CA	2019-04-20
Christa Whittington	Boulder, CO	2019-04-20
Jayson Drexler	steamboat springs, CO	2019-04-20
Carol Nunez	Northport, NY	2019-04-20
Paula Hyatt	Austin, TX	2019-04-20
Katrina Curry	Folsom, CA	2019-04-20
Bob Cavers	Eureka, US	2019-04-20
Donna smith	Wilmington, NC	2019-04-20
Chris Dollinger	Cypress, TX	2019-04-20
Aaron B	Durango, CO	2019-04-20
Tricia Gourley	Aurora, CO	2019-04-20
Sean Stoerrle	Bethlehem, PA	2019-04-20
Tristan Stanton	Dodgeville, US	2019-04-20
Jessica Seibert	Cape Coral, US	2019-04-20
Betty Alvey	Irving, US	2019-04-20
Laura Dedmon	Ithaca, US	2019-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Jane Boynton	Bar Harbor, US	2019-04-20
Jenny Hutchinson	McKinleyville, CA	2019-04-20
Sara March	Arcata, CA	2019-04-20
Nancy Sawyer	Los Alamitos, US	2019-04-20
Krista Waldron	Denver, CO	2019-04-20
Lori Keating	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-20
Roy Albert	Corvallis, US	2019-04-20
Barbara Stach	Blue Lake, CA	2019-04-20
Linda Guild	San Diego, US	2019-04-20
Jasmin Segura	Bayside, US	2019-04-20
Sherry McCoy	Arcata, CA	2019-04-20
Samuel Whitlach	Fortuna, US	2019-04-20
Kim Keating	San Pedro, CA	2019-04-20
Linda Long	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-20
Lee Rossi	Los Angeles, CA	2019-04-20
Kathleen Pelley	Eureka, CA	2019-04-20
Luna Allison	Durango, CO	2019-04-20
Richard Walter	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-20
Gregory Stokes	McKinleyville, CA	2019-04-20
Valerie Allen	Arcata, CA	2019-04-20
Sara Bradley	Clarksville, US	2019-04-20
Claire Perricelli	Eureka, CA	2019-04-20

Name	Location	Date
Frank Grootenboer	Grand Ledge, MI	2019-04-20
Amy Diekmeyer	San Jose, CA	2019-04-21
Linda Parkinson	McKinleyville, CA	2019-04-21
Mary Stanleigh	San Rafael, CA	2019-04-21
Jessica Marlowe	Easton, CT	2019-04-21
Deborah Storm	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-21
Teresa Apodaca	Whittier, US	2019-04-21
Utkarsh Nath	Fremont, US	2019-04-21
Clay Drake	Dixon, US	2019-04-21
Laura McNulty	Eureka, CA	2019-04-21
Justin Love	Eureka, CA	2019-04-21
Lynette Malizia	Fiskdale, US	2019-04-21
Omar Romo	National City, US	2019-04-21
Gary Silver	Arcata, CA	2019-04-21
Judi Scharnberg	Eureka, CA	2019-04-21
Barbara Bradford	Rock Hill, SC	2019-04-21
Amy Peller	Garden Grove, CA	2019-04-21
Justin Mathewson	ada, US	2019-04-21
Stephanie Silvia	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-21
Diana Castro	Minneapolis, US	2019-04-21
Debora Green	Manhattan, US	2019-04-21
mike durgerian	arcadia, CA	2019-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Erna Drechsler	Eureka, CA	2019-04-21
Brett Shuler	Arcata, CA	2019-04-21
Don Mill	Richmond, US	2019-04-21
Lynn Anderson	Albany, OR	2019-04-21
Rebecca Chadwick	Atlanta, US	2019-04-21
Genevieve Keeney	Houston, US	2019-04-21
Chris Joslin	Papillion, US	2019-04-21
Xavier Martinez	San Ramon, US	2019-04-21
Tiffany Le	Newtown, US	2019-04-21
Sariah Franco	Saint Cloud, US	2019-04-21
Tim Lai	Bronx, US	2019-04-21
Dawson DuPuis	Durango, CO	2019-04-21
Serena Moore	Carmel, IN	2019-04-21
Alice De Mark	Blue Lake, CA	2019-04-21
Brenda Leppo	Hillsboro, OR	2019-04-21
Savannah Tran	Farmington, US	2019-04-21
Shane Keller	Arcata, CA	2019-04-21
Dana Stevens	Eureka, US	2019-04-21
Georgia Kramer	Chico, CA	2019-04-21
Marcus Turk	Bronx, US	2019-04-21
Manuel Puebla	Vacaville, US	2019-04-21
Hector Mercado	Glendora, US	2019-04-21

Name	Location	Date
Delilah Jackson	Winterville, US	2019-04-21
Jeffrey Donohoe	Gurnee, US	2019-04-21
Michelle Benedum	Victorville, US	2019-04-21
Anthony Ramos	Bridgeport, US	2019-04-21
Richard Coreno	Cleveland, US	2019-04-21
Danielle Jenkins	Salem, US	2019-04-22
Sharon Winnett	Trinidad, US	2019-04-22
Richard Page	Eureka, CA	2019-04-22
Deborah OBanks	Blue Lake, CA	2019-04-22
Barbara Kennedy	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-22
Chad Van Ness	Sonora, CA	2019-04-22
Jill Murray	Santa Barbara, CA	2019-04-22
Erin Rowe	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-22
Jennifer Knight	Eureka, CA	2019-04-22
Thomas Ryan	Mountain Lakes, US	2019-04-22
Tracey Cake	Greensboro, US	2019-04-22
Gabriel Grimes	Jupiter, US	2019-04-22
Alyssa Jones	Sonora, US	2019-04-22
Chase Kassel	Durango, US	2019-04-22
Hannah Belton	Arcata, US	2019-04-22
joanne grace	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-22
Steen Trump	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-22

Name	Location	Date
kelsey lambott	Atlanta, US	2019-04-22
princess em	miami, US	2019-04-22
Marsha Woolard	Poplar Bluff, US	2019-04-22
Stacey Barker	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-22
Alice DiMicele	Medford, OR	2019-04-23
Leah Kot	Newington, US	2019-04-23
Susan Lauren	Van Nuys, CA	2019-04-23
Ben Vang	Saint Paul, US	2019-04-23
Vincent Romeo	Warsaw, US	2019-04-23
Keith Lachmayer	Gloversville, US	2019-04-23
Jess Miller	Seattle, US	2019-04-23
Chris Miller	El Segundo, CA	2019-04-23
Ronald Blatt	Louisville, US	2019-04-23
Lindsay Lubeskie	Dallas, TX	2019-04-23
Emily Wood	ALderpoint, CA	2019-04-23
Bella Waters	US	2019-04-23
Mallory Flamm	La Crosse, US	2019-04-23
Robin Hamlin	McKinleyville, US	2019-04-23
Kim Hollinger	Arcata, CA	2019-04-23
Carrie Tully	McKinleyville, CA	2019-04-23
dennis therry	bayside, CA	2019-04-23
Lina Carro	Arcata, US	2019-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Evelyn Gustafson	Eureka, CA	2019-04-23
Ellen Taylor	Eureka, CA	2019-04-23
Marta Zelaya	Redwood City, CA	2019-04-23
Jonathan Lee	Santa Rosa, CA	2019-04-23
Sylvia Shaw	Rancho Cordova, CA	2019-04-23
Linda Yonts	Ferndale, US	2019-04-23
Pete Nichols	Trinidad, US	2019-04-23
Richard Johnson	Trinidad, US	2019-04-23
Ethan Allar	Marshfield, US	2019-04-23
Pamela Maxfield	Eureka, US	2019-04-23
T L	Eureka, CA	2019-04-23
Stephen Hamilton	Trinidad, US	2019-04-23
Ivy North	Arcata, CA	2019-04-23
Ronald Thompson	Roseburg, US	2019-04-23
greg movsesyan	Manzanita, OR	2019-04-23
Robert Jamgochian	Arcata, CA	2019-04-23
Kimberly Tays	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-23
Desiree Martin	Arcata, US	2019-04-23
Christopher Cole	Trinidad, US	2019-04-23
Stanley Binnie	Arcata, US	2019-04-23
Jeanne Padgett	Orlando, US	2019-04-23
Debra Bates	Valrico, US	2019-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Kathleen Lo Galbo	Valparaiso, US	2019-04-23
Carl Frazzano	Roswell, US	2019-04-23
Richard Ballew	Cloudcroft, US	2019-04-23
Sophia Pelafigue	Arcata, CA	2019-04-23
Lee Ulansey	Hanalei, US	2019-04-23
Lilyanne Price	Arcata, CA	2019-04-23
Judith Mayer	Antelope, US	2019-04-23
Cory william	McKinleyville, CA	2019-04-23
Bruce Cann	San Francisco, US	2019-04-23
Malia Solomon	Van Nuys, CA	2019-04-23
Kit Davenport	Arcata, CA	2019-04-23
Bradley Medaris	Oroville, US	2019-04-23
Eileen Jennis-Sauppe	Eureka, US	2019-04-23
Rudy Ramp	Arcata, CA	2019-04-23
Kimberly Cabot	Arcata, CA	2019-04-23
Mark Cortright	Eureka, CA	2019-04-23
jamuna priya	Etna, CA	2019-04-23
Charles and Margaret Herbelin	Eureka, CA	2019-04-23
Bob Earl	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-23
Linda Miller	Eureka, CA	2019-04-23
Greg Jaso	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-23
Sylvia Cardella	Hydesville, CA	2019-04-23

Name	Location	Date
Damon Berkowitz	San Francisco, US	2019-04-23
Keith MacLeod	Vancouver, WA	2019-04-23
Buzz Parker	Arcata, US	2019-04-23
Leah Berman	Aptos, CA	2019-04-23
Diana Minton	Arcata, CA	2019-04-23
Jan Nash Hunt	Bayside, CA	2019-04-23
Chetco Jamgochian	Santa Rosa, CA	2019-04-23
Gail Kenny	Eureka, CA	2019-04-23
Petra Bingham	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24
Bruce Campbell	Los Angeles, CA	2019-04-24
Jeff Dillion	Eureka, US	2019-04-24
Bob Felter	McKinleyville, US	2019-04-24
Tristan Cole	Trinidad, US	2019-04-24
Stacy Becker	Eureka, US	2019-04-24
Cindy and Guy Kuttner	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24
Gary Falxa	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
JEFFREY STEINKAMP	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-24
Barbara Burns	ARCATA, CA	2019-04-24
Joseph MacTurk	Eureka, US	2019-04-24
Jude Power	Bayside, US	2019-04-24
Veronica Farber	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24
chris alford	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24

Name	Location	Date
MaryAnn Madej	ARCATA, CA	2019-04-24
Katherine Bettis	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
Joyce King	McKinleyville, CA	2019-04-24
Terry Wingenbach	Eureka, US	2019-04-24
Jacob Hanneman	Chino Hills, CA	2019-04-24
Jesse Noell	Eureka, US	2019-04-24
catie eyer	Boise, ID	2019-04-24
Gloria Purcell	Belmont, CA	2019-04-24
Michael Lasher	Germany	2019-04-24
Sb Sieiwh	San Diego, US	2019-04-24
Janelle Egger	Fortuna, CA	2019-04-24
Patricia Stearns	Eureka, US	2019-04-24
Leslie Mcdonne	Citrus Heights, US	2019-04-24
Jackson Nettleship	Los Angeles, US	2019-04-24
Beth Bennion	McKinleyville, CA	2019-04-24
Rachel Dilthey	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-24
Jennifer Elling	New York, NY	2019-04-24
Emelia Berol	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
Marie Petersen	P.O. Box 714 Weaverville, CA	2019-04-24
Kelley Vazquez	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
Barbara Brimlow	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
Kristin Wall	Eatontown, NJ	2019-04-24

Name	Location	Date
Catherine Desantis	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-24
Michael Christian	Bayside, CA	2019-04-24
Camille PORTER	Berkeley, CA	2019-04-24
Judi Reichart	San Rafael, CA	2019-04-24
Jim Elferdink	Mckinleyville, US	2019-04-24
Steve Brudney	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-24
Joy Hardin	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-24
Bryan Dillon	Occidental, CA	2019-04-24
Bruce Hales	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
Melinda Bailey	Kneeland, US	2019-04-24
Brent Gudzus	Windsor, US	2019-04-24
George Seremwe	Harare, Zimbabwe	2019-04-24
Linda Doerflinger	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-24
Alicia Adrian	Blue Lake, US	2019-04-24
Jonathan Kolp	Central Point, US	2019-04-24
Gerald C Rees	Mckinleyville, US	2019-04-24
Lorraine Miller-Wolf	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
Twila Sanchez	Mckinleyville, US	2019-04-24
The God	Franklin, US	2019-04-24
Chris Callahan	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24
Aryay Kalaki	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
marisa cross	arcata, CA	2019-04-24

Name	Location	Date
Tom Hinz	Willow Creek, US	2019-04-24
Ariel Graham	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24
caty reed	Alberton, South Africa	2019-04-24
Stephany Joy	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24
Susan Dunn	Nevada City, CA	2019-04-24
William and Bernardine Hansell	Eureka, US	2019-04-24
Bob Sullivan	FAIR OAKS, CA	2019-04-24
Kathryn Donahue	Eureka, US	2019-04-24
Randy Klein	Arcata, US	2019-04-24
John Webb	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-24
Keri Raphael	Salyer, CA	2019-04-24
Susan Daniel	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-24
Whitney Hicks	Lakeland, US	2019-04-24
Nancy Ihara	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
Raquel Karno	Oceanside, CA	2019-04-24
Tiffany Perez	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
Susie Foot	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-24
Betina Garsen	Arcata, US	2019-04-24
Joseph Szewczak	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24
Deborah Ketelsen	Bayside, CA	2019-04-24
Bernard Calot	Fort Lauderdale, US	2019-04-24

Name	Location	Date
Margaret Brown	Fullerton, CA	2019-04-24
Barbara Reisman	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24
Gloria Hollister	Newark, US	2019-04-24
kiley pitts	plymouth, US	2019-04-24
Gregg Gold	Arcata, US	2019-04-24
Suzanne Simpson	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24
brandi soderberg	Fortuna, CA	2019-04-24
Sylvia De Rooy	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
Delaney Tamm	Buffalo, US	2019-04-24
Rudy Ramp	Arcata, CA	2019-04-24
Julie Ryan	Eureka, CA	2019-04-24
Jayna Nix	Arcata, US	2019-04-24
Greg Freer	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-24
Michael McLaughlin	Eureka, US	2019-04-25
Garry Vineyard	Madras, US	2019-04-25
finn scott-neff	trinidad, CA	2019-04-25
Jeff Dobronyi	Driggs, ID	2019-04-25
Mary Hurley	Eureka, CA	2019-04-25
Jerry Martien	Eureka, CA	2019-04-25
John Danel Daniel	Mckinleyville, US	2019-04-25
Kay Schaser	Eureka, CA	2019-04-25
andy araneo	Eureka, US	2019-04-25

Name	Location	Date
Pat Hog	Seattle, US	2019-04-25
Erin Rowe	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-25
Steve Salzman	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-04-25
J Taylor	Arcata, US	2019-04-25
Michael Bankston	Grass Valley, CA	2019-04-25
Susan Morton	Eureka, CA	2019-04-25
Mark Deming	Ypsilanti, MI	2019-04-25
Francis Upton	Oakland, CA	2019-04-25
Ann Wallace	Blue Lake, CA	2019-04-25
Kathleen Kelcey	Mckinleyville, US	2019-04-25
Malcolm O'Toole	Eureka, US	2019-04-25
Laura Wellman	San Anselmo, CA	2019-04-25
Lori Meadows	US	2019-04-25
Brett Moody	Anchorage, US	2019-04-25
Gail Stewart	Seattle, US	2019-04-25
Donald Walker	Arcata, CA	2019-04-25
Jose Carlos Navarro Solis	Oakland, US	2019-04-25
Anna Hamilton	Eureka, CA	2019-04-25
samantha everett	Eureka, CA	2019-04-25
Laura Glauberman	Redway, CA	2019-04-25
Nicole Holland	Eureka, CA	2019-04-25
Holly Portman	Eureka, US	2019-04-25

Name	Location	Date
Tim Bacon	Raleigh, US	2019-04-25
Robin Friedman	Eureka, CA	2019-04-25
Jeremy Reavers	Cary, US	2019-04-25
Morris Reavers	Cary, US	2019-04-25
Dominick BUONOMO	Kearny, US	2019-04-25
Anigha Pierce	Hartford, US	2019-04-25
Mike Callinan	District Heights, US	2019-04-25
Jamie Disbrow	Brick, US	2019-04-25
Keegan Connors	Carpentersville, US	2019-04-25
Chan Mullins	Denver, US	2019-04-25
Cynthia Louis	Fort Collins, CO	2019-04-25
Genevieve Fawcett	Palm Desert, US	2019-04-25
AD Godley	Aromas, CA	2019-04-25
Bob Ieners	Boulder, US	2019-04-25
Amber Biermann	Sheboygan Falls, US	2019-04-25
Lisa Bethune	Arcata, US	2019-04-25
David S	Bronx, US	2019-04-25
John Paul	Port orange, US	2019-04-25
Steven Muratalla	Palm Springs, US	2019-04-25
Daniella Opalach	Arcata, US	2019-04-25
Rachel Leigh	Plainfield, US	2019-04-25
meaghan simpson	Fortuna, CA	2019-04-25

Name	Location	Date
Susan Kavel	Pleasant Valley, CT	2019-04-25
Carrie Gleason	Littleton, CO	2019-04-25
Karen Rice	Kneeland, US	2019-04-25
Daniel Gutierrez	Sacramento, US	2019-04-25
William Slonaker	Ocoee, US	2019-04-25
Caterina Zannino	Delta, US	2019-04-25
Diana Mai	Stanton, US	2019-04-25
Nymiah Eliyahu	Eureka, US	2019-04-25
Abigail Stallworth	Tulsa, US	2019-04-25
Javier Monroig	Bronx, US	2019-04-25
Dennis K	Eureka, US	2019-04-25
Bonita Barrett	Wellington, US	2019-04-25
crystal muzik	moab, US	2019-04-25
Mike Higaki	Bryn Mawr, US	2019-04-25
Janet Peterson	Troy, US	2019-04-25
Halimah Collingwood	Arcata, CA	2019-04-25
Elijah Groves	Muncie, US	2019-04-25
Annalisa Gomez	Alhambra, US	2019-04-25
cecil lindsey	Broomfield, US	2019-04-25
Sophia Crouch	Durham, US	2019-04-25
Tatiana Furcron	Columbus, US	2019-04-26
Kristi Wrigley	Eureka, CA	2019-04-26

Name	Location	Date
Jessica Garcia	US	2019-04-26
Mali.bonnell@gmail.com Bonnell	Edwardsburg, US	2019-04-26
Maryann Wainstock	New York, US	2019-04-26
Bailey May	Roseburg, US	2019-04-26
Henry Santos	Sylmar, US	2019-04-26
Wendy Harden	McKinleyville, US	2019-04-26
Parisa Jebelli	Moreno Valley, US	2019-04-26
linda kutil	Eureka, CA	2019-04-26
Helen Gale	Eureka, CA	2019-04-26
John Hennings	Eureka, US	2019-04-26
steve Peppercorn	ashland, OR	2019-04-26
Emme Dreffs	Fort Wayne, US	2019-04-26
Sarah Pena	Santa Barbara, US	2019-04-26
Brittany Newsome	Tampa, US	2019-04-26
Randal Rowan	Atlanta, US	2019-04-26
Emma Weinstein	Tarpon Springs, US	2019-04-26
janith Randika	Mansfield, US	2019-04-26
Stephanie Curin	Weimar, CA	2019-04-26
kelsie dye	Charleston, US	2019-04-26
Linda Copeland	US	2019-04-26
Janaka DeSilva	Claremont, US	2019-04-26

Name	Location	Date
Alyssa Fox	Simpsonville, US	2019-04-26
Samantha Gregerman	Glenview, US	2019-04-26
Danielle Munoz	US	2019-04-26
stephen phines	eureka, CA	2019-04-26
Deborah Ford	Fort Scott, US	2019-04-26
Erin Arndt	Wellington, CO	2019-04-26
Richard West	Moultonborough, US	2019-04-26
Cristion Kjeldgard	Miami, US	2019-04-26
Matas Kirda	Chicago, US	2019-04-26
Michael Johnstone	East Orange, US	2019-04-26
John Collins	Keller, US	2019-04-26
Abigail Anaya	Victorville, US	2019-04-26
Ron Winterstein	San Francisco, US	2019-04-26
Lisa Keesling	Trinidad, US	2019-04-26
Emilio Rodriguez	Katy, US	2019-04-26
Cathy Stickles	Cranford, US	2019-04-26
Skylar Ray	Newton, US	2019-04-26
Cordrea Tyler	Halethorpe, US	2019-04-26
sara sims	Richmond, US	2019-04-26
david smith	US	2019-04-27
Michael Hillerich	Bend, US	2019-04-27
Lisa Wright	Richmond, US	2019-04-27

Name	Location	Date
Allison Ruiz Barrios	San Bruno, US	2019-04-27
Desirae Soliz	Coachella, US	2019-04-27
elaine addington	Alamogordo, US	2019-04-27
Nyerah Mack	Toms River, US	2019-04-27
bernadette wheeler	Lancaster, US	2019-04-27
Anna Maria Kawuryan	Falls Church, US	2019-04-27
Anita Gilbride-Read	McKinleyville, US	2019-04-27
Yunis Luworo	Eugene, US	2019-04-27
josh satterfield	Steamboat Springs, US	2019-04-27
Ashley Rivera	New York, US	2019-04-27
Keith Porter	Winston-Salem, US	2019-04-27
Rosaria Tyre	Macon, US	2019-04-27
Alexis Simon	Kissimmee, US	2019-04-27
Charles Minton	Bayside, CA	2019-04-27
grace campbell	drexel hill, US	2019-04-27
Elton Dedaj	Bronx, US	2019-04-27
Alante Jenkins	Warren, US	2019-04-27
Michaela Foster	Springfield, US	2019-04-27
Colin Ruch	Indianapolis, US	2019-04-27
Aubrey Cook	Elizabethtown, US	2019-04-27
Patrick Jone	Brooklyn, US	2019-04-27
Aliyah Sanghvi	San Diego, US	2019-04-27

Name	Location	Date
Stephanie Diaz	Escondido, US	2019-04-27
Jacqueline Raines	Central Islip, US	2019-04-27
Craig Herron	Walnut Cove, US	2019-04-27
Sabrina Limas	West Palm Beach, US	2019-04-27
Oof Noom	Schaumburg, US	2019-04-27
maddy cooper	Broken Arrow, US	2019-04-27
Eileen McGee	Eureka, CA	2019-04-27
Johnny Imgrund	Minneapolis, MN	2019-04-27
Janette Hernandez	Los Angeles, US	2019-04-27
Ann Morrissey	Chico, US	2019-04-28
Nancy White	Minneapolis, US	2019-04-28
KonRad White	Aurora, US	2019-04-28
Isabella Leak	Montclair, US	2019-04-28
Harrison McCormack	Pittsburg, US	2019-04-28
Tyren Fairconnetue	Lynwood, US	2019-04-28
Todd Dixon	Trinidad, CA	2019-04-28
Daniela Soto	San Diego, CA	2019-04-28
naomi hoffman	Framingham, US	2019-04-28
John Griggs	Winston Salem, US	2019-04-28
Marcia Brenta	Sacramento, CA	2019-04-28
Andrea Navarrete	Washington, US	2019-04-28
Catalina Rojas	Sugar Hill, US	2019-04-28

Name	Location	Date
DeAndre Franklin	Imperial Beach, US	2019-04-28
ihave bigears	North Las Vegas, US	2019-04-28
Sandra Underwood	Bozeman, US	2019-04-28
sajha eden	arcata, CA	2019-04-28
Lynn Moffat	Sleepy Hollow, NY	2019-04-28
Kassie Johnson	Proctorville, US	2019-04-28
margaret draper	arcata, US	2019-04-28
Holly Binczewski	Waltham, US	2019-04-29
Monique Manz	Pharr, US	2019-04-29
Pat Self	Arcata, CA	2019-04-29
Issabella Ortiz	Chicago, US	2019-04-29
Jen Goldman	Grantsburg, WI	2019-04-29
Judith Wright	Dayton, VA	2019-04-30
Susan Mecartney	San Jose, CA	2019-04-30
Maria Bartlett	Arcata, US	2019-05-01
Sandra Haux	Trinidad, US	2019-05-01
Larella Moore	Arcata, US	2019-05-01
Julian Morales	Trinidad, US	2019-05-01
kai hill	trinidad, CA	2019-05-01
Margaret Kellermann	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-01
Jaana Prall	Arcata, US	2019-05-01
Christine Hills	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-01

Name	Location	Date
Cindy Johnson	Trinidad, US	2019-05-01
Mari Dominguez	Linden, US	2019-05-01
Trystyn Torralva	Ewa Beach, US	2019-05-01
Ellen Golla	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-01
Christopher Manville	Trinidad, US	2019-05-01
Andrew Pierce	Trinidad, US	2019-05-01
Leslie Zondervan-Droz	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-01
Richard Kieselhorst	Eureka, US	2019-05-01
Clay Johnson	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-01
gerardo lara	Baldwin Park, US	2019-05-01
Diane Bacon	Auburn, US	2019-05-01
Dorothy Cox	Eureka, CA	2019-05-01
A Grau	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-01
Kaleolani Lehano	Honolulu, US	2019-05-01
Jakaoskw Kaisisok	Richmond, US	2019-05-01
Dick Weed	US	2019-05-01
Kelly Peterson	McKinleyville, CA	2019-05-01
Angelina McLellan	Lincoln, US	2019-05-01
Leave Painting	Winchester, US	2019-05-01
Allison Roper	Kill Devil Hills, US	2019-05-01
whew chile	Clarksville, US	2019-05-01
Luis Rodriguez	Miami Beach, US	2019-05-01

Name	Location	Date
Jacqueline Holmes	Eureka, CA	2019-05-01
Lore Snell	Eureka, US	2019-05-01
Mariana Ruiz	Houston, US	2019-05-01
Aubrey Lazuli	Grand Prairie, US	2019-05-01
McKenna Granato	Kailua, US	2019-05-01
Danielle Ferguson	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-01
Monica Bueno	McKinleyville, CA	2019-05-01
SALLY RENLUND	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-02
whitaker boatright	Atlanta, US	2019-05-02
Tracie Andersen	Newton, US	2019-05-02
Jennifer Pierce	Eureka, US	2019-05-02
Megan Valencia	Seguin, US	2019-05-02
Joe Ellis	Media, PA	2019-05-02
Nicolas Cucinella	Van Nuys, US	2019-05-02
Jeffrey Vunder	Tujunga, US	2019-05-02
caitlyn smith	Kirkland, US	2019-05-02
annalisa Rush	Trinidad, US	2019-05-02
Courtney Blake	McKinleyville, CA	2019-05-02
Jon Dela Cruz	Waipahu, US	2019-05-02
Beverly Zeman	Trinidad, US	2019-05-02
Don Zeman	Trinidad, US	2019-05-02
Rebecca Smith	US	2019-05-02

Name	Location	Date
Braylon Gayle	Pflugerville, US	2019-05-02
Stephanie Hartwell	Santa fe, US	2019-05-02
Ernesto Baray Garcia	Denver, US	2019-05-02
Luana Crider	Belleville, MI	2019-05-02
Jacqueline Pollard	Fort Bragg, US	2019-05-02
Shandon Spiller	Lovelady, US	2019-05-02
Aaron Brink	Arcata, US	2019-05-02
bill cosby	stafford, US	2019-05-02
breb turnip	Elma, US	2019-05-02
Julie Bradford	Alabama	2019-05-02
Lena Scanlan	Waimanalo, US	2019-05-02
Vita Talamantes	Los Angeles, US	2019-05-02
Courtney McMurtry	Hosford, US	2019-05-02
Tom Hopkins	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-05-02
Ezequiel Mora-Preciado	Van Nuys, US	2019-05-02
Ashlee Ai	Hilo, US	2019-05-02
Jess MacMillan	San Luis Obispo, CA	2019-05-02
Laurie Lawrence	Eureka, US	2019-05-03
Amanda Grether	Pompano Beach, US	2019-05-03
Ryan Hutson	Eureka, US	2019-05-03
Melissa Zielinski	Eureka, US	2019-05-03
Cody Carpluk	Middle Village, US	2019-05-03

Name	Location	Date
pat kanzler	eureka, CA	2019-05-03
Cathy Schmall	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-05-03
Carl Brooks	Austin, US	2019-05-03
Antonia Granados	West Palm Beach, US	2019-05-03
Gayle Raymer	McKinleyville, CA	2019-05-03
Pamela West	McKinleyville, CA	2019-05-03
C Limehouse	Charleston, US	2019-05-03
Janelle Egger	Fortuna, US	2019-05-03
Winchell Dillenbeck	Mckinleyville, US	2019-05-03
Janet Neebe	Eureka, CA	2019-05-03
Virginia & Jim Waters	Mckinleyville, US	2019-05-03
Franklin Suarez	Orlando, US	2019-05-03
Kenzie Mullen	Eureka, CA	2019-05-03
April Brinson	Middletown, US	2019-05-03
Kay Schulz	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-03
Taylor Myhre	Fayetteville, US	2019-05-03
Charlene Stearns	Eureka, CA	2019-05-03
Shlok Rajyaguru	Sandiego, US	2019-05-03
Melissa Carrau	Eureka, CA	2019-05-03
alexander ludwig	Aliso Viejo, US	2019-05-03
Erin smith	Charlotte, US	2019-05-03
rosemarie palmieri	castaic, US	2019-05-03

Name	Location	Date
Dina Bocanegra.	Las Vegas, US	2019-05-03
fgfgfyh gfgggfbdgd	US	2019-05-03
C Lawrence	Mckinleyville, CA	2019-05-03
Jordan Chafin	Hurlock, US	2019-05-03
Elizabeth Minnich	Boise, US	2019-05-03
Stephen Ngila	Massachusetts, US	2019-05-03
CARLY BENDER	mead, US	2019-05-03
Brittany Lopez	Gastonia, US	2019-05-03
Apiphaniey Moore	Huntsville, US	2019-05-03
Kevin Valdespino	Lake Worth, US	2019-05-03
YADAO INONG	Hoopa, US	2019-05-03
Tom Mitchell	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-03
William G Gonzalez	Suffern, US	2019-05-03
Jordyn Greer	Covington, US	2019-05-03
Gina Rimson	McKinleyville, US	2019-05-03
Deborah Pelak	Chico, US	2019-05-03
Brielle Albert	Beverly Hills, US	2019-05-03
Robyn Simons	SALEM, US	2019-05-03
linda norris	Pasadena, US	2019-05-03
J carlos Maldonado	Bronx, US	2019-05-04
andrea cain	fall river, MA	2019-05-04
Rosemary Alicea	Fayetteville, US	2019-05-04

Name	Location	Date
Sarah TARVIN	North Brunswick, US	2019-05-04
andie cihasky	Marina, US	2019-05-04
Destinee snyder	Hudson, US	2019-05-04
Lucas Gray	Pittsburgh, US	2019-05-04
Manny Reynoso	Mesa, US	2019-05-04
Yasmin stocking-raban	Brooklyn, US	2019-05-04
lola sam	Lowell, US	2019-05-04
Danica Galatz	Hibbing, US	2019-05-04
Brian Hunter	Richmond, US	2019-05-04
Brendan Wilson	US	2019-05-04
Maddie Hawk	Manoa, US	2019-05-04
Jean Douglas	olathe, US	2019-05-05
Cecilia Regan	Omaha, US	2019-05-05
Sydney Smith	Tacoma, WA	2019-05-05
Sandra Cuthbertson	Eureka, US	2019-05-06
Julie Joynt	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-06
Ellen Drury	Trinidad, US	2019-05-06
Peter Aronson	Arcata, CA	2019-05-07
Elaine Weinreb	Arcata, US	2019-05-07
peter aronson	Eureka, US	2019-05-07
Don Barry	Arcata, US	2019-05-07
Tame Small-Zoller	Monroe, US	2019-05-08

Name	Location	Date
Melanie Johnson	Shingle Springs, US	2019-05-08
Jodie Ellis	mckinleyville, US	2019-05-10
David Hankin	Trinidad, US	2019-05-11
Charlie Hankin	California, US	2019-05-11
Diane Case	Allentown, US	2019-05-12
Karen Earl	State College, US	2019-05-12
James Earl	Los Angeles, US	2019-05-12
Rick Knoop	Laguna Beach, CA	2019-05-12
john campbell	Petaluma, CA	2019-05-13
Robert Lasser	San Anselmo, CA	2019-05-13
Peter Manso	Truro, MA	2019-05-13
John Zimmermann	Long Beach, CA	2019-05-13
Michael Edick	Pine Grove, US	2019-05-13
Dorae Hankin	Trinidad, US	2019-05-14
Nicholas Hetrick	Gaston, US	2019-05-14
Chen Bayba	Arcata, CA	2019-05-15
Hugh Griggs	Lawrence, US	2019-05-18
Grant Eberle	Arcata, US	2019-05-18
Cao Layla	Los Angeles, US	2019-05-19
Tiffany Shines	Los Angeles, US	2019-05-19
mohsen keshavarzfard	Fremont, US	2019-05-19
Destin Byrd	Pawleys Island, US	2019-05-19

Name	Location	Date
Bryanna Nagy	Fort Wayne, US	2019-05-19
Jaryne Joyner	Orange Park, US	2019-05-19
Rusty Bartholomeo	San Angelo, US	2019-05-19
Blake Boyster	Fenton, US	2019-05-19
Byron Hughes	Monroe, US	2019-05-19
Karen Dixon	Eureka, US	2019-05-19
Lucas Garcia	US	2019-05-19
Mary Campbell	Arcata, CA	2019-05-19
Karin Rosman	El Cerrito, CA	2019-05-19
Craig Edgar	Blue Lake, US	2019-05-19
Toby Vanlandingham	Klamath, CA	2019-05-19
Tasha Norton	Hoopa, CA	2019-05-19
Cullen Lonergan	Germantown, US	2019-05-19
Taylor Strickland	Virginia Beach, US	2019-05-19
Chet Jarboe	Eureka, CA	2019-05-19
Kristi Lewis	Point Arena, CA	2019-05-19
Kathleen Lake	Fair Oaks, US	2019-05-19
Andrew Mapalo	Mckinleyville, US	2019-05-19
Samuel Farrelly	Enfield, US	2019-05-20
Katharine Stockley	Sacramento, CA	2019-05-20
Taylor Pratt	Oilton, US	2019-05-20
Hanna Greenwell	Clarksville, US	2019-05-20

Name	Location	Date
Nicole Behnen	Shrewsbury, US	2019-05-20
Matthew Weiss	College Park, US	2019-05-20
Susan Dou	La Puente, US	2019-05-20
Sarah Peterson	Oakland, US	2019-05-20
Diane Anderson	Arcata, CA	2019-05-20
Satoshi Nakamoto	Los Angeles, US	2019-05-20
jen gavin	Mckinleyville, US	2019-05-20
James Froland	Bayside, US	2019-05-20
Jaskarn Bawa	Chicago, US	2019-05-20
Joanne Berke	Eureka, US	2019-05-20
Dana Landry	Fort Bragg, CA	2019-05-20
Kimberly Starr	Eureka, CA	2019-05-20
Mica Peacock	Arcata, CA	2019-05-20
Howard Hibbs	San Mateo, US	2019-05-20
Elijah Polston	Fort Worth, US	2019-05-20
Gregg Moore	Arcata, US	2019-05-20
Greg Wellish	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-20
Carrie Mayfield	Trinidad, CA	2019-05-20
Elena Hernandez	Carson, US	2019-05-20
Audi Hjerpe	Eureka, US	2019-05-21
Jeffrey Dadigan	Ventura, US	2019-05-21
Kayley Weber	Eureka, US	2019-05-21

Name	Location	Date
Ixsel zamudio	Orlando, US	2019-05-21
Jeff Wallace	Sacramento, US	2019-05-21
allie baeza	Los Angeles, US	2019-05-21
Mia deleon	Houston, US	2019-05-21
Maureen Chase	Eureka, US	2019-05-21