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John Ainsworth
Executive Director
California Coastal Commission
455 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Sent via email to: EORFC@coastal.ca.gov

Regarding: Agenda Item Th18a: CD-0006-20 (National Park Service, Marin Co.)

Dear Mr. Ainsworth:

Western United Dairies (WUD) and the California Cattlemen’s Association (CCA) provide the following comments regarding the U. S. National Park Service Consistency Determination for their General Management Plan for the Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). WUD is a statewide dairy farm trade association representing four dairies located within the National Seashore, CCA is a statewide association of nearly 1,700 beef producers, including many of the ranchers located within the PRNS and GGNRA.

The existing ranches and dairy farms occupy about 20% of the National seashore, which exists today in part because of the contribution of land by their fathers and grandfathers in 1965 to preserve the beautiful landscape and historic agriculture traditions of the region. The ranchers today recognize their responsibility to protect the diverse and unique resources of the Seashore and work tirelessly in partnership with many agencies and partners, including the National Park Service, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, and University of California Cooperative Extension natural resource experts in that endeavor. These farms and ranches are and continue to be forward thinking and innovative in the best practices they implement to sustain the coastal grasslands, provide a local food source to the communities of West Marin and the greater North Bay, and protect wildlife and fauna unique to the North Coast.

We urge the California Coastal Commission to approve the National Park Service’s Water Quality and Climate Action Strategies for the General Plan Amendment’s Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20. Approval of these important elements will ensure continuing best practices for environmental stewardship of the wonderful natural and cultural resources we treasure in the Point Reyes National Seashore.

Sincerely,

Anja Raudabaugh
Chief Executive Officer
Western United Dairies

Kirk Wilbur
Vice President of Government Affairs
California Cattlemen’s Association
Dear Coastal Commissioners,

The Resources Renewal Institute and its supporters thank you for holding the National Park Service to the agreed-upon schedule as outlined in the post-hearing letter from the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to the National Park Service (NPS) dated May 10th, 2022. As conditioned, there was NPS agreement to bring a first-year version of its water quality strategy within a period of twelve months for public review. There was NPS agreement to make a presentation on a climate action strategy at the same time as the hearing on the water quality strategy. Also, there was NPS agreement to provide an annual report describing the status of free-ranging elk herds in the GMPA planning area, the effects of drought, and the results of elk management in the planning area.

The Resource Renewal Institute has reviewed the information shared in the NPS letter to the CCC dated March 4, 2022 along with the Climate Action Strategy and Water Quality Strategy prepared by the NPS and dated March 24, 2022. Below, we delineate deficiencies in the plan:

**Climate Action Strategy**

1. The CCC and the NPS agreed that the Climate Action Strategy would “identify actions that could be conducted in response to local (Marin County) and/or state (CA Air Resources Board) climate-related requirements, delineate current conditions, and put forth a strategy about how to move forward toward reducing greenhouse gas emissions from ranching operations in the GMPA area”

Instead of developing a water quality strategy for the CCC, the NPS has stated that they have achieved a reduction of 690 dairy animals, which will result in 4-27% reduction in NH3, a 15-17% reduction in VOC, a 16% reduction in CO2e, and a 17% reduction in PM2.5. These reductions were achieved due drought conditions that resulted in the failure of aquifer recharge at the I Ranch dairy, as described by dairy rancher Bob McClure in a Point Reyes Light article published shortly after the CCC narrowly approved their conditional consistency determination. These reductions were not achieved due to any NPS strategy.

Similarly, under the NPS’s proposed “strategy” they will continue to achieve reductions in CO2e when operations close after they cause long-term adverse alterations of natural hydrological functioning and alterations of natural water quality into receiving waters, including the adjacent marine managed areas (MMAs) and areas of special biological significance (ASBS).
No carbon reduction/carbon neutrality milestones are identified. No on farm investments or adjustments are scoped out. They simply state that mandatory conditions will be developed and administered in future ranch plans. Clearly, these are not specific, measurable, actionable, realistic, or timebound goals. This “trust-us” approach does not hold the NPS accountable to state greenhouse gas reduction goals.

2. The CCC and the NPS agreed that this strategy would “consider how climate change initiatives from the Administration and Department of the Interior, to the extent that such initiatives are developed and pertinent, distill down to the level of Point Reyes National Seashore and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area.”

A glaring omission from the NPS’s proposed Climate Action Strategy is the exclusion of the Department of Interior’s 2021 Climate Action Plan, which required some of the following commitments:

- **Use Best-Available Science and Traditional Knowledge.** Planning and decision-making will use the best-available information that considers existing and projected climate change vulnerabilities, risks, and impacts. Decision-making will also consider traditional knowledge, and the Department will meaningfully consult with Tribes and other indigenous communities throughout decision-making processes that affect their interests.
- **Mainstream Adaptation.** Climate change adaptation will be mainstreamed and integrated into Departmental policies, planning, practices, and programs. This will ensure that the Department’s decisions are not solely based on historic conditions but consider future scenarios and future-oriented management.
- **Tackle Inequity and Environmental Justice.** Issues of environmental justice and inequity will be integrated into decision-making to ensure adaptation efforts are sustainable and account for the impacts on all populations, including low-income communities, communities of color, Insular areas, and Tribes.
- **Maximize Co-Benefits.** Adaptation strategies will complement or directly support other climate-related initiatives, including respecting Tribal sovereignty and self-determination, improving disaster preparedness, promoting sustainable resource management, promoting environmental justice, restoring contaminated lands and waters, managing facilities sustainably to reduce energy and water consumption, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
- **Apply Risk Management Methods.** Adaptation planning will incorporate risk management methods and tools that consider potential future climate conditions to identify, assess, and prioritize options to reduce vulnerability to the environmental, social, and economic impacts of climate change.

This is just one example of policy documents introduced and approved by the new administration, which affect the proposed action in the planning area. The Resources Renewal Institute believes that the intent of the Commission was to ensure that policies of the new administration were captured and explained in the NPS’s climate action strategy. Instead the NPS has excluded any mention of federal, state, or regional climate plans/goals that will guide their management actions.

**Water Quality Strategy**

1. The CCC and the NPS agreed that the Water Quality Strategy would propose “overall strategy and timeline for assessing and improving water quality through installation of ranching-related infrastructure
and management practices in areas of the GMPA outside of the Tomales Bay watershed, including Abbott’s Lagoon and Drake’s Estero and the creeks that drain to these features, but also including watersheds that drain directly to the Pacific Ocean.”

If water quality protection management activities (MAs) are predicted on yet-to-be-implemented a GMPA Zoning Framework and yet-to-be-designed ranch operating agreements on beef and dairy ranchers, then how can the CCC determine whether or not this strategy protects coastal resources to the maximum extent practicable?

2. The CCC and the NPS agreed that the strategy should be informed by existing water quality data, and water quality enhancement efforts that have proven successful elsewhere.

The NPS states that short-term and long-term bacterial water quality monitoring must be conducted to identify water quality concerns and target sources areas for improvement within the planning area. However, under Monitoring Program 3: Regulatory Dairy Monitoring, the NPS states that ranchers have been participating in qualified group monitoring to meet the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board’s General Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) for Confined Animal Facilities (CAFs).

Why doesn’t the NPS require existing data from recent years to be submitted to the NPS to jump-start targeting of problem areas and implementation of MAs? This appears to be another oversight which will only delay long-overdue action.

Further, while the WDRs don’t necessarily impose numeric effluent limits on nonpoint pollution sources in WDRs, there is nothing to prevent the NPS from imposing its own numeric discharge objective based on baseline data already captured in the annual submissions under the WDRs.

Tule Elk Management

1. In their March 4, 2022 letter to the CCC the NPS notes that it has completed the 2021 population census for the Drakes Beach herd. The NPS stated that the Drakes Beach herd consists of \( \approx 151 \) animals. Further, he NPS states it is currently engaged in government-to-government consultation (confidential) with the Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria under the General Agreement regarding the implementation of management actions for the Drakes Beach herd. Finally, the NPS states: “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.”

If the Drake’s Beach herd exceeds the arbitrary population threshold of 140 elk approved in the Record of Decision for the GMPA, what protocols/MAs is the NPS using to evaluate the need for management actions to enforce the arbitrary population threshold? (To be sure, this is a question about how the public agency is evaluating the need for management actions, not a question about confidential consultations.)

What is the process the NPS will follow in informing partner agencies and the general public if they intend to implement management actions for the Drake Beach herd?

Drought Conditions
1. In their March 4, 2022 letter to the CCC the NPS notes they required ranch operators to identify actions to address drought conditions, and that most ranchers adjusted operations due to the drought.

The NPS failed to mention that additional concerns about water quantity were revealed during communications between NPS and leaseholders—specifically Kehoe Ranch. In a NPS letter to Tim Kehoe dated August 19th 2021, the NPS stated: “Given that your dairy operation cannot currently be supported by existing water sources, and that you are hauling water daily to meet needs, it is imperative that you seriously evaluate current stocking rates and water use, and report changes that you will make to minimize impacts to resources.”

While rancher operators may avoid disruptions by trucking in water when natural water bodies are depleted, these water quantity issues indicate more serious ecological drought conditions that may be pushing hydrological systems beyond thresholds of vulnerability. How does the NPS plan to mitigate these issues and any downstream, spillover impacts on coastal resources and natural water quality in neighboring MMAs?

**The deficiencies above indicate that the CCC should reject the strategies proposed by the NPS.**

**General Concerns about the Viability of Strategy Implementation**

Fundamentally, the Resource Renewal Institute expresses grave concerns about the NPS’s track record enforcing leases with commercial beef and dairy operators at Point Reyes National Seashore. Since the CCC narrowly approved a conditional consistency determination in 2021, the Resource Renewal Institute has endeavored to update the Commissioners to on-the-ground conditions and new information related to proposed activities in the planning area within the CCC’s jurisdiction:

In March of 2021, the NPS announces die-off of one third of Tomales Point Tule elk herd due to drought. That same month five waterways at Point Reyes National Seashore were found to contain unsafe concentrations of bacteria — incl. 40 times the state health standards for E. coli at one site. (E-mails obtained via a Public Records Act request indicated that the State Water Board had concerns about the waste discharges, but needed additional information.)

After the CCC approved their conditional concurrence Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20 on Earth Day 2021, I Ranch, the largest and oldest dairy ranch at the Seashore, shuts down due to exceptional drought conditions and an aquifer that stopped recharging on the ranch.

In late summer, birders caught ranchers at Home Ranch bulldozing into an ESA streambank that drains into the Drakes Estero State Marine Conservation Area (SMCA). Weeks later, park visitors documented hazardous waste disposal violations at numerous ranches adjacent to Drakes Estero SMCA.

Since then, our Freedom of Information Act requests have uncovered that additional ranches have had to truck in water because there is not adequate water supply in natural systems to meet the needs of ranch operators. And based on tips from the general public, the County of Marin is investigating failed and missing septic systems at ranch worker homes Point Reyes National Seashore. Untreated human sewage may be impacting living conditions for ranch workers and may be discharging directly into the Drakes Estero SCMA. (News publications forthcoming.)
To date, the general public has essentially been the enforcement agent for activities taking place at Point Reyes National Seashore. At the bottom of page 18, the NPS states: “Overall cost and funding availability may also influence timing and the nature of proposed management changes.” This begs the question: are any of these well-intentioned proposals realistic if the NPS already has trouble overseeing and enforcing leases within the planning area?

Federal Consistency regulations §930.45 Availability of mediation for previously reviewed activities and §930.46 Supplemental coordination for proposed activities allow you to reconsider your previously determined conditional concurrence and/or require additional remedial action/supplemental coordination if the proposed action is no longer deemed consistent to the maximum extent practicable.

Please hold the NPS accountable. Reject the proposed strategies due to the aforementioned deficiencies. And, based on findings that there is sufficient new information in the planning area that has been made available to the Commission regarding the proposed activity’s effect on any coastal use or resources, please direct staff to reopen the conditional concurrence following the rejection.

Thank you for protecting California’s coastal resources for current and future generations,

Chance Cutrano
Director of Programs
Resource Renewal Institute
ccutrano@rrri.org
April 1, 2022

Turtle Island Restoration Network is a non-profit organization based in Marin County, representing over 150,000 members across the globe. Over the last thirty years, our organization has mobilized people in local communities to protect the oceans and inland watersheds that sustain them.

I am writing regarding our organization's concerns with the National Park Service’s Water Quality Strategy for Point Reyes National Seashore, released on March 24th, 2022, as part of the requirements of the California Coastal Commission (CCC) last year's conditional concurrence.

It is evident that the National Park Service plans to continue delaying the creation and implementation of a robust water quality strategy to address some of the worst water quality sources in California. While I do applaud the Commission for rejecting NPS’s extension of the Water Quality Strategy on March 11th, we need to ensure that timely enforcement mechanisms are applied to their submitted framework to hold these ranchers accountable if performance standards are not met.

Point Reyes National Seashore has long suffered from inadequate water quality. Data from 2012-2017 submitted by NPS show that some waters in the Nationals Seashore rank in the top 10% of U.S. locations most contaminated by feces due to unchecked ranching operations. The data also indicates that Point Reyes National Seashore has been one of the ten most feces-contaminated locations monitored in California since 2012 and that the state’s highest reported E. coli level was on a Point Reyes cattle ranch.

Historically, NPS has fallen short on monitoring, mitigating, and enforcing severe concerns within the coastal zone. Public pressure has been the only driving force behind getting the NPS to address the pollution occurring in the National Seashore. Even after having a year to create a
Water Quality Strategy, NPS has not created a comprehensive plan. Instead, they supplied a “First-Year Version,” which further delays addressing the multi-decade long water quality problem occurring in the National Seashore. NPS’s seeming lack of urgency to address these historical water quality issues further shows that they are not prepared to stop the pollution in the National Seashore.

I urge the CCC to reject the Water Quality Strategy for the General Management Plan Amendment for Point Reyes National Seashore and North District Golden Gate National Recreation Area due to a lack of science-based timelines, milestones, and, enforcement mechanisms to hold polluters accountable if performance standards are not met.

This issue will not go away until pollution of the only National Seashore on the Pacific ends. Please do the right thing for our coast.

Sincerely,

Scott Webb
Advocacy & Policy Manager
Turtle Island Restoration Network
April 1, 2022

Via Email: EORFC@coastal.ca.gov

California Coastal Commission
455 Market Street, Suite 300,
San Francisco, CA 94105

Re: Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-20 (National Park Service, Marin Co.) (April 7, 2022),
National Park Service Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan

Dear Members of the California Coastal Commission:

On behalf of our more than 6.8 million members and supporters, the National Wildlife Federation urges the Coastal Commission to reject the Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Strategy submitted by the National Park Service for the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) Consistency Determination as neither comply with the Conditions established by the Commission, and neither will ensure the protection of the Seashore’s biologically rich coastal resources. We also urge the Commission to withdraw the Conditional Concurrence with that Consistency Determination that was based on full and timely development of these critical strategy documents.

In the face of the National Park Service’s failure to develop meaningful strategies within the agreed-to one year timeframe—and the long-standing and severe water quality degradation and other significant coastal resource damage caused by National Park Service-sanctioned ranching and dairy activities—we also recommend that the Commission require at least the following information before making any future decision on concurrence: (1) documentation of significant progress in achieving water quality standards for existing activities in the Park; (2) a detailed water quality plan that ensures compliance with water quality standards for GMPA activities and protection of coastal resources to the maximum extent practicable; and (3) a Climate Action Plan that will produce meaningful reductions in greenhouse gas emissions within the Park and increase the resilience of coastal resources to climate change.

The National Wildlife Federation is the nation’s largest conservation education and advocacy organization. The Federation has more than 6.8 million members and supporters and conservation affiliate organizations in 52 states and territories. The Federation has a large California presence, including a California Regional Center, a California affiliate, and more than 645,000 California members and supporters. The Federation has a long history of advocating for the protection, restoration, and ecologically sound management of the nation’s coastal resources, rivers, and wetlands and the fish and wildlife that rely on those vital resources. The Federation works throughout the state of California to restore habitat, connectivity, and corridors for wildlife.
1. Timely Compliance with Conditions I and IV Was Fundamental to the Conditional Concurrence

Full and timely compliance with Conditions I and IV was fundamental to the Commission’s narrowly approved decision to conditionally concur with the Consistency Determination. As Commission staff wrote to the Service on May 10, 2021:

The Commission determined that, only as conditioned, could the GMPA be found consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the enforceable policies of the California Coastal Management Program. The Commission notes that as provided in 15 CFR § 930.4(b), should the NPS not agree with the Commission’s condition of concurrence, then all parties shall treat this conditional concurrence as an objection.

Condition I requires the National Park Service to develop a detailed Water Quality Strategy to assess and improve water quality through best management practices and improved management of the Seashore’s dairies and ranches. The Water Quality Strategy was required to be submitted to the Commission within 12 months for public review and Commission review and approval. The Commission explicitly retained oversight and jurisdiction over Condition I, including that the Commission would independently determine whether the Water Quality Strategy protects coastal resources to the maximum extent practicable, and would approve or reject the Strategy based on that assessment.

Condition IV requires the National Park Service to develop a Climate Action Plan to address ranching activities. The Climate Action Plan was also required to be submitted to the Commission within 12 months.

2. The Water Quality Strategy Does Not Comply with Condition 1 and Does Not Ensure Protection of Coastal Resources

Condition 1 requires the Service to provide a Water Quality Strategy that “shall have an overall purpose of assessing the effect of installed ranching best management practices and management measures on water quality throughout the GMPA planning area and prioritizing further measures to be implemented to reduce ranching on water quality.” This strategy is to describe specific water quality monitoring requirements, specific reporting requirements, and provide an:

“overall strategy and timeline for assessing and improving water quality through installation of ranching-related infrastructure and management practices in areas of the GMPA outside of the Tomales Bay watershed . . . . informed by existing water quality data, and water quality enhancement efforts that have proven successful elsewhere (e.g., the Olema and Lagunitas Creek watersheds) and should prioritize resolution of the most significant water quality-related issues first, where practicable and as indicated by existing information. The timeline should reflect short- and long-term ranch management priorities related to water quality as expressed by the NPS and identified in ranch-specific ROAs.”

---

1 Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20, Condition II.
2 Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20, Condition IV.
3 Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20, Condition I.
4 Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20, Condition I.
The Commission later clarified “that the first year version of the strategy, which would be the subject of a Commission hearing, will include specific water quality monitoring details with general priorities and objectives to improve water quality; future iterations of the strategy and/or annual reporting to the Executive Director will be more specific on implementing actions.”

While the proposed Water Quality Strategy includes some information on monitoring, reporting requirements, and changes to the underlying GMPA established by the September 2021 Record of Decision, the Water Quality Strategy fails to include any actual priorities or objectives for improving water quality. The Water Quality Strategy instead simply states that management activities, facility improvements, or operational changes may be developed in the future. For example:

- Once monitoring “sufficiently” identifies an area of concern, “a site-specific prescription of Management Activities will be developed, including proposed funding source(s), responsible parties, and timing for implementation” which will be incorporated into the dairy’s ROA. “Overall cost and funding availability may also influence timing and the nature of proposed management changes. Across the PRNS coastal watersheds, management will be prioritized based on the level and persistence of benchmark exceedances.”

- “Inspections of all dairy facilities, led by the Regional Board and in coordination with the NPS, were conducted February 3-4, 2022 to identify short-term and long-term management actions necessary for improvements. The Regional Board is in the process of preparing inspection reports, which will be delivered to dairy operators and PRNS staff when available. The NPS will incorporate any required facility improvements or operational changes into the forthcoming interim leases or future long-term leases, as appropriate, including timelines for completing improvements.”

- For future dairy ROAs, “the NPS and dairy operators would evaluate infrastructure conditions and identify necessary measures for the operator to undertake to modernize manure management infrastructure and practices. If the operator is unable to commit to invest the necessary resources to meet this requirement, the dairy operation would cease within two years but could convert to beef.”

- Future dairy “ROAs will include a schedule for implementation of modernization requirements to ensure resource protection outcomes related to water quality are realized as promptly as possible.”

---

5 May 10, 2021 letter from the California Coastal Commission to the National Park Service.
7 Proposed Water Quality Strategy at 18.
8 Proposed Water Quality Strategy at 18.
9 Proposed Water Quality Strategy at 18
10 Proposed Water Quality Strategy at 22.
11 Proposed Water Quality Strategy at 23.
• For future beef operation ROAs “the NPS and beef operators will identify priority Management Activities to restrict cattle from sensitive riparian, freshwater wetland, and estuarine habitats to mitigate for potential water quality impacts from their operations.”

These general statements highlighting the possibility of unnamed future actions do nothing to protect coastal resources and do not commit the National Park Service to implementing actions that might help protect coastal resources. The Water Quality Strategy does not protect coastal resources to the maximum extent practicable and should be rejected by the Commission.

3. The Climate Action Strategy Does Not Ensure Protection of Coastal Resources

Condition IV requires the National Park Service to submit a “Climate Action Plan to address ranching activities at the same time that it brings its water quality strategy to the Commission.” The Commission later provided the following clarifying guidance:

First, the plan should be more properly characterized as a climate action strategy in that it would identify actions that could be conducted in response to local (Marin County) and/or state (CA Air Resources Board) climate-related requirements, delineate current conditions, and put forth a strategy about how to move forward toward reducing greenhouse gas emissions from ranching operations in the GMPA area. Second, the NPS further described that this strategy would consider how climate change initiatives from the Administration and Department of the Interior, to the extent that such initiatives are developed and pertinent, distill down to the level of Point Reyes National Seashore and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area, and then discuss with leaseholders opportunities to innovate or adapt ranch operations. Third, the NPS will make a presentation on the climate action strategy at the same time (i.e., one year after initial Commission concurrence) as the hearing on the water quality strategy. Finally, the NPS and the Commission recognized that there are fewer climate-related metrics than there are for water quality.

The four page Climate Action Strategy does not meet these requirements. Instead, the Climate Action Strategy simply outlines changes to the underlying GMPA established by the September 2021 Record of Decision, and states that operational considerations and recommended Management Activities actions will be identified in the future and will become mandatory requirements elements of new lease/permits issued under the GMPA. The Climate Action Strategy also states that:

The NPS is not yet in a position to definitively identify investments and adjustments on dairies, but the NPS is committed to identifying and presenting this information through submittal of a future iteration of this Climate Action Strategy to the Commission in advance of issuing GMPA lease/permits. Toward this end, the NPS is monitoring the development of scalable infrastructure technologies for small dairy operations (e.g., solids separators and methane

---

12 Proposed Water Quality Strategy at 22.
13 May 10, 2021 letter from the California Coastal Commission to the National Park Service.
digestors) and operational approaches (e.g., alternate feed solutions) that could be taken to further reduce emissions from existing operations.\textsuperscript{15}

The National Wildlife Federation supports the decision not to issue a new dairy lease for I Ranch following the voluntary, market-based decision by the McClure Dairy to cease operations by July 2021. This will result in water quality improvements and reductions in GHG emissions. However, we believe a far more appropriate outcome would be to phase out all dairy leases given the highly significant adverse impacts of dairy operations on coastal resources.

We also support the decision to remove crops and commercial chickens from the list of diversification activities that could be authorized without additional review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), as established in the ROD, because NEPA review for such activities is clearly required by existing law and will result in more informed and better decisions. However, a far more appropriate outcome would be to prohibit such new and destructive diversification entirely, which would help prevent additional degradation of water quality and increases in GHG emissions.

The National Wildlife Federation is also troubled by the National Park Service’s apparent rationale for requiring NEPA review of such diversification. According to the Climate Action Strategy, requiring ranchers to pay for and prepare site specific NEPA planning and associated compliance before being able to farm crops and commercial chickens would make the “anticipated impacts of up to 45 acres of crops and 9,000 chickens (as analyzed in the FEIS) less likely to occur.”\textsuperscript{16} NEPA is not a tool for discouraging action, NEPA is a critical tool for improving decision making, increasing transparency in federal decision making, and giving the public a voice in federal actions that can have profound impacts on lives, livelihoods, and the environment.

We also note that even with the cessation of dairy operations at I Ranch and the reductions in diversification impacts, the National Seashore will still contribute an incredible 17.2% of the agricultural sector greenhouse gas emissions in Marin County and 4.8% of the total greenhouse gas emissions in Marin County.

4. Conclusion

Ranching and dairy activities in the Point Reyes National Seashore are having significant and long-lasting spillover impacts to the incredible natural resources of California’s coastal zone. Studies show that the National Seashore has some of the worst water pollution in the state of California, with cattle manure constituting the single largest source of water pollution. Dairy and ranching operations in the National Seashore are also releasing significant quantities of greenhouse gases in addition to causing soil erosion, loss of native plant species and infestation by invasive plants, declines in fish and bird populations, conflicts with wildlife, and loss of public access to public land.

Neither the Water Quality Strategy nor the Climate Action Strategy comply with the Conditions established by the Commission, and neither strategy will ensure the protection of the Seashore’s biologically rich coastal resources. As a result, the National Wildlife Federation urges the Commission to reject these strategies and withdraw the Conditional Concurrence with the GMPA Consistency

\textsuperscript{15} Climate Action Strategy at 4.
\textsuperscript{16} Water Quality Strategy at 20.
Determination (CD-0006-20). Any future decisions on concurrence should be made only if the National Park Service first supplies: (1) documentation of significant progress in achieving water quality standards for existing activities in the Park; (2) a detailed water quality plan that ensures compliance with water quality standards for GMPA activities and protection of coastal resources to the maximum extent practicable; and (3) a Climate Action Plan that will produce meaningful reductions in greenhouse gas emissions within the Park and increase the resilience of coastal resources to climate change.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. Please contact me at sametm@nwf.org or 415-762-8264 if you have any questions or would like additional information.

Respectfully submitted,

Melissa Samet
Senior Water Resources Counsel
National Wildlife Federation
April 1, 2022

California Coastal Commission
455 Market Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA  94105

Via email to: EORFC@coastal.ca.gov


**Oppose Approval of the Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan**

Dear Commissioners:

River Otter Ecology Project, based in Marin County, CA, engages the public in supporting conservation and restoration by linking river otter recovery to the health of our watersheds through research, education, and community science. River otters, although not a protected species, are sentinel apex predators whose habitat includes all parts of watersheds, including the coast. Their presence and success are important indicators of ecosystem function and environmental health, including the biological productivity and quality of coastal waters.

We oppose approval of the National Park Service (NPS) Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan for the following reasons:

1. **The submitted Water Quality Strategy is not a good-faith effort to comply with Condition 1 of the Consistency Determination.**

   In its March 4, 2022 letter to Commission staff, NPS asserted that it could not comply with Condition 1 in a timely manner due to delays in finalizing the GMPA and Record of
Decision, and subsequent litigation. The Water Quality Strategy submitted, only three weeks later, largely reiterates information and aspirational plans from Appendices F and L of the GMPA Final Environmental Impact Statement. This information was already available to the Commission and the public in April 2021, when the Commission included Condition 1 in its Consistency Determination Concurrence. If it satisfies Condition 1, then for all practical purposes, Condition 1 has no meaning or effect.

2. **Condition 1 requires Executive Director review and approval of the Water Quality Strategy.**

Due to NPS’ delay in submitting the Water Quality Strategy, the Commission’s staff and Executive Director have not had the opportunity to review and assess the Strategy. In its decision-making, the Commission regularly relies on the expertise of staff, and it would be well-served to do so in this case. The Commission can request that NPS withdraw its submission and return in the very near future, after staff has had an opportunity to review the Water Quality Strategy and form a recommendation for your consideration.

3. **The Commission may want to consider revoking its concurrence with the Consistency Determination.**

Under the present circumstances, the Commission may want to reconsider its concurrence with the Consistency Determination. However, it first may want to consider staff’s assessment of NPS’ compliance with the conditions of concurrence. To the extent that revocation of the Commission’s concurrence may cede its authority under the Coastal Zone Management Act, such a decision may have unintended consequences, and should be carefully considered in conjunction with input from staff.

Thank you for your thoughtful attention to this very important issue.

Respectfully,

Megan Isadore
Executive Director
From: Matthew Polvorosa Kline  
Founder & Director  

To: California Coastal Commission  
455 Market Street, Suite 223  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2219  
Via email: NorthCoast@coastal.ca.gov  

Re: Thursday April 7th, 2022. Agenda Item #TH18a, National Park Service  
Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20  

April 1, 2022  

Dear Commissioners,

Inspired to do more for and on behalf of our natural world and the incredible unique biodiversity found throughout, I launched Mission Rewild at the end of 2021. One of the driving motivations behind this endeavor was and is the ongoing tragedy called Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS).

Over the years, I have spent thousands and thousands of hours extensively documenting and experiencing all that this park has to offer - the good, the bad, and the ugly. My focus has been primarily on observing and documenting our Seashore’s spectacular native flora, fauna and interconnected habitat types.

My family and I care deeply about the current state as well as the future direction of our Seashore. I say “our” because it is in fact public land we are discussing here - a huge geographically important chunk of California natural heritage along our cherished coast - an area of global biological significance, and our only West Coast National Seashore.

I would like to thank Commissioners who continue to stand up for the health of our Seashore. Thank you for holding the National Park Service (NPS) accountable and to the conditions in which you agreed last year. Thank you for insisting on a Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan. Your leadership on this matter is critically important, make no mistake about it, California must continue to be a symbol of higher environmental standards and key decision makers such as yourselves must challenge the status quo and lead with vision and integrity.

Now, as for the NPS Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan, what would lead anyone to believe the NPS is acting in good faith? Do you sincerely believe, after pushing back against, and then hastily and reluctantly putting forth such a plan, they will actually carry through with implementing it? Where has the effort been to improve water quality over the last six decades? Serious environmental issues need sincere and proactive leadership, I’m afraid to say that the NPS in PRNS exhibits very little.
Unfortunately, I learned the hard way and from firsthand experience to not trust anything that PRNS officials say. In 2020 and 2021, I personally documented and shared my observations and pleaded with the NPS to do something or anything in the face of a massive problem I witnessed unfolding and what would later be acknowledged by the NPS as another die-off of Tule elk in the Tomales Point Tule Elk Reserve. Their dishonorable actions and willful ignorance was extremely telling and I realized from this very traumatic experience not to trust what park officials in PRNS told me and/or stated publicly otherwise. Only after tremendous public pressure, constant revelations from concerned citizens, and a change in administration, did the NPS in PRNS finally listen - by then it was already too late.

We cannot afford to let this “world renowned” public agency completely ignore its obligations and dismiss its essential mission time and time again here in our Seashore. I ask you dear Commissioners, be our best line of defense against the ongoing tragedy that is - Point Reyes National Seashore. I strongly urge you to see through the disguise of stewardship the NPS wears here and withdraw your Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. This plan does not truly meet the high standards and requirements for such consistency to protect our fragile and critically important public coastal resources and waterways.

Thank you very much for your time, consideration, and leadership on this matter.

Sincerely,
Matthew Polvorosa Kline

MISSION REWILD
www.MissionRewild.org
Based in Marin County, California
March 30, 2022

Via email: NorthCoast@coastal.ca.gov

**RE: California Coastal Commission consideration of Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan developed by National Park Service for Point Reyes National Seashore and the North District of Golden Gate National Recreation Area**

Dear Commissioners,

Western Watersheds Project is a non-profit organization with more than 12,000 members and supporters. Our mission is to protect and restore western watersheds and wildlife through education, public policy initiatives, and legal advocacy.

The National Park Service (NPS) on March 24, 2022, finally released its Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan for the ranch-leases on Point Reyes National Seashore and the North District of Golden Gate National Recreation Area, as part of the requirements of the California Coastal Commission (CCC) conditionally concurring 12 months ago with the consistency determination submitted by the NPS for their 2020 General Management Plan Amendment.

On April 7, 2022, the California Coastal Commission will consider the submitted Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan developed by National Park Service (NPS) as specified in Conditions I and IV of the Coastal Commission’s conditional concurrence on Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20.

I have read the NPS Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan and offer these comments.

1. **Public pressure has apparently been the only way that the NPS has been compelled to act to present a draft climate and water quality plan.** NPS had 12 months to develop such a plan but asked for an extension. During public hearing on March 11, 2022, Commissioners were opposed to that extension request and directed staff to bring forth NPS’ Water Quality Strategy and Climate
Action Plan for consideration at the Commission’s April 2022 hearing. Meanwhile, the interested public already developed and implemented an independent water quality monitoring plan, using volunteers, a professional civil engineer, and crowd-sourced funding, with results showing extreme impairment of natural resources, water pollution, and human health hazards due to fecal coliform bacteria and other indicators of cattle manure inputs to water bodies on these public lands. I presented this data to the CCC in the past hearing, and NPS was fully aware of our results. The federal government should be held accountable to meet similar deadlines in order to address human health hazards at public park beaches, severe water quality results, and natural resource impairments of popular public lands.

2. **Many portions of the NPS plan are deferred into the future or are vague.** Future iterations of the water quality strategy are pushed to the future again—a “First Year Version” seems to kick the can down the road. This is not acceptable. NPS uses Adaptive Management as an excuse to push vital climate and water quality monitoring plan details into the future beyond public scrutiny. Again, if independent water quality monitors on these public lands can mobilize a water quality monitoring strategy and sampling effort, following the best science, then the NPS should be able to fully implement this strategy now. We need the full plans now, not later.

3. **Removing livestock is the only way to meet water quality standards and reduce harmful emissions that contribute to climate change.** NPS admits that the reduction in authorized dairies from six to five, a 22% reduction in dairy animals, will result in reduced air emission associated with agricultural activities, and thus positively contribute to the park’s Climate Action Strategy. We have submitted comments to NPS that this is precisely the best way to help reduce climate change impacts: remove the commercial livestock from these park units, and that will be the single best method to eliminate climate impacts.

NPS also admits that reducing the total acreage of manure spreading from dairies will reduce harmful climate emissions; as well as removing half of forage production acres from these park lands (intensive silage agriculture for dairy milk production).

NPS also admits that removing commercial chicken production and row crop agriculture in its final decision will also reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Such measures as methane digesters are only a ban-aid to reduce climate change impacts., and do not actually reduce the truckloads of cattle manure produced by these operations.

Clearly, removing commercial livestock production on these high-quality public lands and waters would truly meet the CCC’s high standard to protect coastal resources for the benefit of the public and improve water quality and meet climate
goals. Only by removing commercial livestock operations from these National Park unit lands will climate change and water quality goals and objectives be met.

**Recommendation:** The Commission should not approve the NPS March 24, 2022, Water Quality Strategy for the General Management Plan Amendment for Point Reyes National Seashore and North District Golden Gate National Recreation Area, on the grounds that it is not consistent with Condition I of the Commission’s conditional concurrence with Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20.

The timeline would not have been met, except by continual pressure from the public. NPS at first allowed water quality standards to be voluntarily met by lessees, then with increased public outrage NPS changed this to mandatory water quality programs.

Please review the outpouring of public comments, including these, on the failure of NPS to truly meet the requirements for consistency to protect public coastal resources.

Thank you,

Laura Cunningham

California Director
Western Watersheds Project
Cima CA 92323
Mailing: PO Box 70
Beatty NV 89003
775-513-1280
lcunningham@westernwatersheds.org
March 25, 2022

California Coastal Commission
455 Market Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94105

RE: Request for Commission Action on the Point Reyes Coastal Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) at the April 2022 Meeting

Chair Brownsey, Vice Chair Hart and Members of the Commission:

The undersigned conservation groups, environmental justice organizations, and local businesses hold diverse positions on the future role of agriculture in the Seashore, ranging from supporting to opposing the continuation of agriculture leases in the Seashore. Yet we are united in our request that the Coastal Commission put the Point Reyes Consistency Determination on the April 2022 Commission Agenda for action by the Commission, including the option to revoke the Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021.¹

When the Commission narrowly approved Conditional Concurrence by a 5-4 vote last April, it specifically directed the National Park Service (NPS) to address serious concerns it had with topics ranging from water quality to Tule Elk to climate change. For example, the Commission added a condition that the NPS would bring a water quality strategy to the Commission within 12 months for public review and Commission review and approval.² Importantly, the Commission retained oversight and jurisdiction over this condition, including independently reviewing the NPS’ water quality strategy to determine if it protects coastal resources to the maximum extent practicable, or if it should be rejected. Due to the very urgent concerns raised by the Commission and members of the public, the Conditional Concurrence required action on this matter within 12 months, which would be April 2022.

As Commission staff wrote to the NPS on May 10, 2021, “[t]he Commission determined that, only as conditioned, could the GMPA be found consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the enforceable policies of the California Coastal Management Program. The Commission notes that as provided in 15 CFR § 930.4(b), should the NPS not agree with the Commission’s condition of concurrence, then all parties shall treat this conditional concurrence as an objection.”

The NPS must be held accountable to bring forth adequate resource protection strategies within the timeframe the Commission directed, and NPS agreed to, a year ago. Development of these strategies within the one-year timeframe was fundamental to the Commission’s decision to conditionally approve

¹ The current wording of the April 2022 agenda notes the Commission will consider this matter, but it is not clear that the Commission will vote on if the NPS has produced an adequate Water Quality Strategy within 12 months as required by the Commission: “Coastal Commission consideration of Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan developed by National Park Service (NPS) as specified in Conditions I and IV of the Coastal Commission’s conditional concurrence on Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20 for the 2020 General Management Plan Amendment for Point Reyes National Seashore and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Marin County.”

² “Condition: The National Park Service will bring its water quality strategy to the Commission within a period of twelve months for public review, as well as Commission review and approval.”
the Consistency Determination and remains essential for ensuring the protection of one of the most biologically important areas in the state. Please ensure that this Point Reyes Consistency Determination is placed on the April 2022 agenda for action by the Commission, including the option to revoke the Conditional Concurrency.

Sincerely,

Chance Cutrano  
Director of Programs  
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Neal Desai  
Senior Program Director, Pacific Region  
National Parks Conservation Association
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CD-0006-20
(National Park Service)

UPDATED
April 11, 2022

CORRESPONDENCE
Form Letters
Message to California Coastal Commission:

I was shocked to see that the National Park Service (NPS) is pushing forward with an inhumane, ecologically unsound, and wildly unpopular amendment decision to extend ranch operating agreements at Point Reyes National Seashore. Allowing ranchers and dairies to raise livestock is slowly eliminating the protected and endangered tule elk in the national park by fencing them out of much of their natural grazing land, which is unthinkably cruel, particularly considering the current drought conditions.

It’s vital for the health of the environment that ranching activities at Point Reyes be ended. Livestock ranching and farming operations not only consume immense amounts of water (1,799 gallons of water are required to produce a pound of cow flesh, and it takes 4.5 gallons to produce a gallon of cow’s milk) but also emit massive amounts of toxic runoff, which contaminates the water supply with manure, antibiotics, and hormones. They’re also responsible for overgrazing, loss of topsoil and erosion, and soil compaction. In addition, by some estimates, animal agriculture is responsible for more greenhouse-gas emissions than the entire transportation sector. These activities are so damaging that the sensitive and unique ecosystem at Point Reyes stands to be utterly destroyed.

Please determine the plans provided by the NPS in accordance with the conditions set by the California Coastal Commission (CCC) on April 22, 2021, insufficient and revoke the CCC’s permission to conditionally allow the NPS’ plan to keep a large portion of Point Reyes National Seashore in private ranching hands, as the NPS has not satisfactorily met these conditions.
CD-0006-2

(   )

UPDATED
11

CORRESPONDENCE

Individual Emails
CCC Staff,

This is for my Public Comment at tomorrow's commission meeting. Pls put it up during my remarks. I'm Thurs 2 Johnston. Pls also send this email to the commissioners just before the meeting.

A year ago the CCC required the NPS at PRNS to monitor and improve water quality by reducing pollution from the dairies. However: The park seems to have made no improvements to the manure management at the six dairies. And the park seems to have not even begun their monitoring this Fall. We request that your reception of their report to you in April be open for public comment.

I'll speak briefly about the very poor water quality at Kehoe Lagoon in Pt Reyes National Seashore. The photo is of kids playing in the outlet stream on Kehoe Beach. This stream exceeds coliform standards for contact recreation by up to 100X!! during the rainy season (late Fall, early Winter).

Our water quality sampling Program over the last few months shows widespread exceedances of coliform standards in almost all of the streams draining dairies in the park. We will send our engineer's report to the water board and to you this month.

Thanks,

Bob

Robert A. Johnston
415 663-8305 landline
530 559-0032 cell/text
PO Box 579, Pt Reyes Stn, CA 94956
I'm a concerned citizen who enjoys nature, and I want you to do whatever is necessary to protect and restore wilderness areas at Point Reyes.

It's ridiculous that cows from commercial ranching operations in a public park have continued, for decades, to foul our environment.

The recent NPS letter, referenced "L7617" fails to address the ongoing issues of surface and ocean water pollution, local and global climate effects of livestock operations, and poor management of native elk herds. It gives only vague guidelines about reducing cow herd sizes, and reducing the number of dairy operations.

The NPS has a poor management record. Please consider that the commercial dairy and beef operations were supposed to be gone over thirty years ago. instead, the NPS has allowed those operations to increase in size, while deceptively labeling the modern industrial operations as "historic." For example, the McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) dairies were allowed, in the early 2000's, to build massive modernized "loafing barns," each of which is over 30,000 square feet in size. Also, the number of permitted livestock animals has increased, while the size of those individual animals also has increased (a modern "Holstein" cow is much larger, consumes much more feed, and produces much more milk and excrement than did her ancestors from several decades ago).

The "L7617" letter from the NPS talks about reduction in the number of dairies, due to the supposed closure of the McClure Dairy. If the NPS truly intends to keep the I Ranch dairy closed, why haven't they yet announced plans on demolition and disposal of the McClure loafing barn, which contains hundreds of tons of concrete?

Everybody knows that livestock operations are primary causes of global climate change. For-profit beef and dairy operations have no rightful place in a national park.

A 1998 NPS report recommended that the Tomales Point elk fence be removed, but the NPS has yet to act on that. Nor have they removed the barbed wire fences from the ranches, which restrict the native tule elk from natural migration. If past record is indicative of future behavior, the NPS needs to be checked and corrected.

Please, commissioners, do not give in to the commercial political interests that seemingly dictate modern NPS policy and operations.

-Jon

--
Jonathan D. Spear
1830 Ortega St.
San Francisco, CA 94122
USA
cell: 415-860-6170
Agenda Item Th18a: CD-0006-20
Condition Compliance for Consistency Determination for 2020 General Management Plan Amendment for Point Reyes National Seashore and the North district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

On Earth Day, 2021, with a 5-4 vote the California Coastal Commission (CCC) conditionally concurred with the above-referenced consistency determination submitted by the National Park Service (NPS). While it was discouraging that the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations shown to degrade land, pollute water, destroy sensitive habitats, diminish biodiversity and restrict public access, I was grateful to the CCC for bringing long overdue oversight to hold NPS accountable. For compliance with consistency determination NPS was required to submit for review, in April 2022, a first year version of water quality strategy and a Climate action plan consistent with local, state and National requirements. Predictably NPS demonstrated their lack of commitment to addressing the serious situation by asking for an extension. I am thankful to the CCC for refusing to grant this extension.

While I would like to urge the commissioners to revoke the concurrence as the only way preserve and protect the only National Seashore on the West coast, I would like present my concerns should the commission continue with the concurrence. Here I am responding to the March 24th NPS water quality and climate strategies, Please consider and advocate for requests outlined here.

• GMPA ROD has been modified to prohibit replacement of dairy leases when they cease operations or are unable to modernize manure management practices. Please add the condition that when dairy leases are terminated they should not be converted to beef or any diversification. Instead these ranches should be allowed to re-wild. Restoration of grazed land to its original state of coastal prairies and wilderness will not only meet both the water quality and climate action strategies, but also comply with the created intent of this National seashore.

• NPS had decades to fix the highly abnormal water pollution findings from their 2013 testing. Instead their solution has been to stop testing and wait till after the ROD is signed to “reinitiate” water testing, for which they still have not reported any data. Given that Point Reyes waters are most fecal contaminated in the state for over a decade, the commission should hold its concurrence until tangible results and positive outcomes are presented. Concurrence with only a water quality strategy is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” of the enforceable policies of the California Coastal program. Note that citizen groups have presented data to the commission and regional water boards, over similar duration, so this is technically feasible. Prior to concurrence, NPS should demonstrate that it has fixed the problems. This will hasten their commitment for a resolution and also highlight the likely scenario that the observed levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with their proposed best management practices (BMPs). SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”. If standards are not met, all dairies should be removed not just reduced from six to 5 as proposed.
• With the proposed GMPA zoning framework, cattle will be excluded from priority water protection areas, to relatively flat areas away from waterways and wetlands. While this is highly encouraging and will allow riparian areas to heal, I would like to request that the NPS add the area adjacent to the Tomales Elk herd to the exclusion zone. This will resolve the long-standing issue of elk dying unable to access water during the frequent California droughts.

• NPS has not yet identified investments to meet the climate reality of reducing green house gas emissions. When this is developed and submitted for review, the public should be informed to identify if taxpayer funds are being used. The climate action strategies should be evaluated by experts outside the ranching and agriculture stakeholders to avoid conflict of interest and ensure that these are not greenwashing attempts like “Clean Coal”. So called “carbon farming” techniques are not proven to scale and methane digesters are not cost-effective. Experimental strategies should be explored in private ranches. Please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable. Public opinion is being disregard, we need your help in getting heard. Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters especially in this consequential time. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis and we cannot rely on the same processes, business practices and lobbying that has led to this crisis. Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests.

Radhika Srinivasan,
Walnut Creek, CA
VIA EMAIL
California Coastal Commission
455 Market Street, Suite 300,
San Francisco, CA 94105

Re: Coastal Commission Conditional Concurrence Regarding Agenda Item CD-0006-20 (NPS, Point Reyes GMPA); Coastal Consistency Determination for the Point Reyes National Seashore and North District Golden Gate National Recreation Area General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA)

Dear California Coastal Commission:

After reading NPS’s submission for the hearing, I have the following comments.

All NPS discussions are about “improving” water quality. What is legally required is not improving but complying. I see nothing in what the Coastal Commission is requiring or in what NPS is proposing that requires compliance. There should be a commitment to compliance with deadlines. NPS has allowed severe pollution for over 40 years. How long is the Coastal Commission going to allow it to continue?

What water monitoring has been done by NPS in water year 2022? If any has been done, where are the results? If none was done, why was this year ignored?

NPS claims BMPs like fencing, bridges over creeks and keeping lactating milk cows in free-stall barns (not all the 5 remaining dairies have free-stall barns) will solve the pollution problem. Some lactating cows are outside year-round. Even those that have free-stall barns are outside during the “grazing season” which is three to four months long. Dry dairy cows are outside for their two-month dry period and dairy heifers are always outside. Beef cows are outside 12 months a year as well. When these animals are outside, they are urinating and defecating on the ground and when it rains and there is runoff some of that manure will be washed into the creeks. Those BMPs won’t stop that.

For the first time during the entire four-year planning process NPS has now come up with the idea of “seasonal grazing” to deal with manure on the ground. If you put the same number of animals on less acreage you wind up overgrazing the smaller area unless you import more hay to compensate because the smaller area won’t sustain the same number of animals. The large amounts of hay currently
imported every year has resulted in a tremendous amount of non-native, noxious and invasive weed growth because NPS does not require imported hay to be “certified weed free hay” in PRNS and GGNRA. More hay will exacerbate the problem. Ironically, anyone who brings a horse into a national park must bring along “certified weed-free hay” for the horse. Plus, keeping cows off areas most likely to be the sources of manure getting into streams will require a great deal more fencing which causes problems for people and wildlife. Finally, “continuous grazing” is the norm in the Bay Area and across the country. Seasonal grazing is more expensive and more work and the ranchers will oppose it for those reasons.

The Park Service has owned the ranch lands for four decades or more. The Park Service should be asked whether any drainages in the monitoring plan are in compliance now for pathogens, nutrients and sediments.

For any drainages not in compliance now for pathogens, nutrients and sediments, the Park Service should be asked when will they be in compliance?

Sincerely,

s/James Coda
April 1, 2022

From: Elizabeth Dodge  
Berkeley, CA 94708  
To: California Coastal Commission 455 Market St, Suite 223  
San Francisco, Ca 94105-2219  
Via email:  
NorthCoast@coastal.ca.gov  
PointReyesManagementPlan@coastal.ca.gov  

Re: Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-20 (National Park Service, Marin Co.)

The National Park Service (NPS) on March 24, 2022, finally released its Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Strategy for the California Coastal Commission as required by the conditional consistency determination of April 2021. I have not had the opportunity to review their plans in detail, but I offer the following preliminary comments for your consideration:

1) Any legitimate plan will begin with a clear statement of goals. This is lacking in both the NPS Climate Action Strategy and Water Quality Strategy.

   • Rather than beginning with a description of the target outcome, the Climate Action Strategy begins on page 1 with a description of “Changes to Preferred Alternative adopted in GMPA Record of Decision” and modifications for “further reductions in air quality impacts” without explaining what target air quality goals these reductions are intended to achieve. They discuss percent reductions in air contaminants on page 3, but not how these relate to global warming or whether they are adequate. The term “further reductions” is typical of NPS dissembling; the FEIS states that for their preferred alternative air quality would not be improved at all and “emissions from ranching would generally be the same type and intensity as described under existing conditions” (NPS GMPA FEIS page 222).
   
   • The Water Quality Strategy document also does not begin with a clear statement of goals - which should be to meet Federal and State water quality criteria especially for water contact recreation (REC-1), which is one of the main reasons visitors come to Point Reyes National Seashore. This should be the clearly stated basis for the rationale for monitoring and mitigation activities. In continued dissembling, the NPS claims that the REC-1 beneficial use “may set a higher bar than intended for existing uses” (Water Strategy plan, page 9). Whose “intention” are they referring to? Water contact recreation is popular at PRNS lagoons and beaches and local businesses offer kayaking and paddle boarding. If it is the NPS’ intention to assume visitors will not engage in water contact activities they should post signs forbidding it. Instead they hope that visitors who get sick will think it’s from something they ate rather than that dip they took at PRNS beaches.
2) The Water Quality plan needs to provide more details about who will conduct water quality monitoring and under what, preferably, independent professional supervision. The NPS at Point Reyes National Seashore has a long history of failing to regulate the beef and dairy operators who are driven by profits at the expense of public safety and environmental protection. The NPS does not regularly monitor the ranch operations, enforce regulations, or even punish violations until forced to do so by public outcry, adverse publicity, or regulatory agency action. Trustworthy, transparent procedures are needed for the PRNS NPS to win the public trust. If the NPS delegates water quality sampling to the ranchers everyone will wonder if they are filling the sample containers from their kitchen taps.

3) On page 3 of the Climate Action Strategy the NPS claims that changing the word “voluntary” to “mandatory” in Ranch Operating Agreements will “ensure intended improvements would occur”. This also strains credulity given the NPS’ historically feeble oversight of ranches.

4) On page 1 of the Water Quality plan the NPS says the Zoning Framework “will exclude cattle from water quality protection areas”. There is no oversight or enforcement mechanism identified. The NPS has historically relied on park visitors to report cows in creeks and lagoons and their response is to ask the ranchers to get the cows out of surface waters with no punishment for the violation.

5) Many portions of the NPS plan are deferred into the future or are vague.

- The NPS states it is “not yet in a position to definitively identify investment and adjustments on dairies” (page 3) but they have had the responsibility to oversee these operations for over 60 years.
- The NPS says the next version of the Water Quality Strategy will provide more details. The CCC should not rescind the conditional approval of the NPS GMPA until the NPS provides a full and complete strategic plan.

6) The NPS describes closure of one dairy as part of its “strategy”. That is not a strategy – that was the decision one dairy operator to retire. Furthermore, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease for that ranch will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore one of the most significant actions they can take would be rewriting the succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers no longer wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.
7) The NPS plans focus almost exclusively on dairies and while dairy cows produce more manure than beef cows the production of manure by beef cows is not inconsequential. Scientific studies (https://ag.umass.edu/crops-dairy-livestock-equine/fact-sheets/manure-inventory), A 1,375 pound lactating cow produces 149 pounds of manure per day, and a 1,200 pound beef steer produces 90 pounds of manure per day. The General Management Plan Amendment Final Environmental Impact Statement (September 2020; Table 1: Permitted Use on Ranches,) the 2,425 animals on dairies at PRNS produce 95,215,145 pounds of manure annually and the 1,478 animals at beef operations produce 48,552,300 pounds of manure per year. The NPS may claim that beef cows produce half as much manure as dairies but that’s still a lot of bacteria and contaminate-laden poop that gets spread around the landscape, discharged to surface waters, creating health risks for human and ecological receptors. The strategies to improve air and water quality need to address the reduction of all livestock on PRNS, not only dairy cows.

8) The Water Quality plan describes potential reductions in monitoring parameters or changes in sampling locations. Changes to the monitoring program should only be made with the review and approval of the CCC, otherwise this plan will be revised to allow the NPS to continue to ignore surface water contamination at PRNS.

9) Page 17 of the Water Quality plan states that dairy operations in at PRNS have been participating in a qualified group monitoring program to comply with the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board WDR for confined Animal Facilities and will be required to report those data to the NPS. This information should be made available to the NPS, the CCC and the public.
Dear Commissioners,

I am a Marin County resident and a frequent visitor to PRNS/GGNRA, a National Park on the California coast which allows 28,000 acres for private, cattle operations. The environmental and natural resource impact of cattle ranching on this public land is not only visible, but scientifically documented, and the impact continues to occur over and above the standards allowed in a coastal zone. I urge the Commission to revoke your approval of the GMPA, as the Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Strategy will not align with the Coastal Act, nor align with what we must do to fight the climate crisis.

As documented in the Voeller 2021 publication and NPS’ Record of Decision, the NPS implemented 30 best management practices (BMPs) and 170 management activities to address water quality issues, e.g., more fencing to keep cattle out of waterways, water trough placement away from riparian areas, allowance of 2 new loafing barns, harden cattle crossings.

One loafing barn constructed in 2004 on I Ranch is a 30,000 sq foot concrete structure on the rolling hills along the Pacific Ocean (photos below). I Ranch is located above the Abbotts watershed, and per water quality data published in January 2021, the following exceedances were documented: *E.coli* limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. These data are aligned to water quality data the NPS published up until 2013. The water quality data highlights the fact that this BMP, a 30,000 sq foot concrete structure, had no to minimal benefit protecting water quality from cattle operations.

Aerial photo of I Ranch, with loafing barn on foothills near Pacific Ocean.
The McClure dairy continues to lease I Ranch but closed dairy operations mid-2021 due to natural spring that ran dry (this dairy used over 20,000 gallons of water/day to clean loafing barn and for dairy operations), an organic milk glut, and the rancher’s retirement. The rancher no longer uses this loafing barn due the closure of the dairy operations, however the public has to see this monstrosity on the landscape when visiting PRNS.

Another 36,000 sq ft loafing barn was allowed to be constructed on J Ranch (Kehoe Dairy), also to reduce pollution in PRNS waterways (Kehoe Creek/Kehoe Lagoon – all drain into the Pacific Ocean with heavy rains). Water quality data published in January 2021 state Kehoe Creek had 30 times the allowable limit for standards for *E. coli*, and Kehoe Lagoon exceeded *E. coli* limits by a factor of 40 and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300.

How many more modern, non-historic, loafing barns will the CCC and NPS allow, which destroys the natural landscape of our California coastline and National Park, and without benefit to water quality?

Over 350 miles of fence line exists in the National Seashore, barbed wire, electric, etc – most of which are not wildlife friendly, nor friendly to the public. Fences keep cattle within the leased parcel and also away from streams, ponds and riparian areas (a BMP). However, no matter where one goes to hike on these public lands, impact from cattle are evident.
Photos below are from a hike through Home Ranch (beef ranching) on Estero trail, along Drakes Estero, out to Sunset Beach.

Beef cattle on the bluffs above Drakes Estero, Feb2022.

Home Ranch is a 2200 acre leased parcel and cattle have access to ponds, beaches, trails - all which will drain into Drakes Estero, and the Pacific Ocean. Drakes Estero should be protected from environmental impact caused by cattle operations in this coastal zone.
Cattle impact to Estero trail. Note poor quality of water. Due to topography, water drains to Drakes Estero.
Cattle on public trail, Sunset Beach trail to Sunset Beach, Feb 2022.
Pond on Home Ranch, along Estero Trail. Cattle erosion above, and always cattle access to pond, Feb 2022
On February 11, 2022, I arrived at Drakes Estero parking lot for a morning of paddle boarding on the Estero, to find cattle, cattle manure in the parking lot. Cattle are not allowed in this area, as is a public launch site with access coastal waters, where marine/aquatic-life exists and should be protected.

Toxic algae blooms are being documented around the US, caused from high nitrogen levels in waterways due to cattle operations. This is occurring in PRNS, however the NPS Water Quality Strategy does not include a plan to monitor these toxic blooms to assess the impact. In addition, there is no plan to monitor Benthic Macroinvertebrates which are impacted also by these polluted waters, due to significant high levels of ammonia, nitrogen, and bacteria. The Water Quality Strategy, with plans to implement more BMPs and management activities which may provide little to no benefit, while not assessing impact to aquatic life, is unacceptable.

Not only are the tenant cattle operations polluting our waterways, but they are depleting the ground water as well. During the 2021 drought season, springs on tenant parcels ran dry (McClure/I ranch) which is one of the reasons why the McClure Dairy sold the cattle supporting his dairy operation. In addition, access to springs and water were not available for 2 other tenants therefore the NPS allowed tenant ranchers to pump water from 2 key streams: at North Kehoe Creek by the J Ranch tenants for their dairy operations, and also at upper east Schooner Creek for the tenants at N and Home Ranches (beef operations). Both of these waterways flow into the
coastal zone, and into the Pacific Ocean. These issues are a violation of the Coastal Management program where ground water and surface waters should not impacted.

There are 30 BMPs and 170 management activities implemented over last 20 years to address water pollution in PRNS/GGNRA, however per the water quality data now available, these BMPs to not address the issue. I believe, with both beef and dairy operations on our public land on the coast of California, no amount of best management practices will meet the expectations of water quality standards, and air pollution standards, unless cattle are reduced to minimal numbers (which is not financially practical for the ranchers cattle businesses), or all cattle operations are removed.

The cattle industry, just as oil, gas and coal industries, pollutes water and air, uses extraordinary amounts of water to sustain their operations, and impacts biodiversity at the plant and animal level. The landscape in PRNS/GGRNA is of rolling hills, watersheds, and with over 5000 cattle on 1/3 of the National Park, therefore the cattle will continue to negatively impact water quality and natural resources in this coastal zone.

How much is enough? How many more loafing barns, fence lines will be allowed to attempt to address the significant pollution in PRNS?

I respectfully request the Commission to make a science-based decision, and withdraw your conditional approval of the GMPA, as we must start now to protect our environment and natural resources in our National Park.

Thank you,

Kelli Petersen

Mill Valley, CA 94941
Julia Stalker  
520 Shrader St  
San Francisco, CA 94117

California Coastal Commission  
455 Market Street, Suite 223  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2219

April 1, 2022

RE: Condition Compliance Consideration for Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20 (Th18a) by the California Coastal Commission for the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment.

Dear Commissioners:

Thank you for opposing the requested extension of the required Water Quality and Climate Action Strategy Plans by the National Park Service (NPS). These conditions of the concurrence of the 2020 NPS General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA) were adopted by the Commission and agreed upon by NPS with a deadline of no more than 12 months from April 22, 2021. On April 7, 2022 you will determine whether or not to approve of the Climate Action and Water Quality Strategies that the NPS has submitted.

I do not have enough information to comment on the proposed Climate Action Strategy.

I have read the proposed Water Quality Strategy for the GMPA for PRNS and northern district GGNRA (first version) and I do not believe that this Strategy is thorough, comprehensive and transparent enough for your approval. Some of my questions and concerns are listed below.

**A Need for a More Comprehensive and Effective Monitoring Strategy:**
Although the NPS has outlined some management actions that may help reduce the harmful impacts to the natural resources of the Seashore and Coastal Zone and state to have reinstated monthly monitoring at a subset of previously assessed coastal watershed stations in December of 2021, much of the NPS Water Quality Strategy is relying on existing Water Board CAF waiver requirements and agency standards.

Clearly, based on past and recent water quality testing; past and current BMPs, Water Board waiver requirements (WDR) and agency standards have not been effective in preventing harmful pollutants from entering the waterways and protected coastal and estuarine areas of PRNS.
The strategy of incorporating the temporary, short-term assessment stations into the water quality monitoring plan to better determine where the sources of water pollution may be found is an encouraging step, but why limit this monitoring to a short-term basis? Why not sample at these stations regularly and long term to have a constant indication of what the water quality is at (or coming from) any given ranch throughout the year and through several years? Also, are all potential ‘toxinshed’ areas in the Park covered in these assessment and long term monitoring stations? Why are there no stations on the southwest (ocean) side of the peninsula?

**A Need for More Clarity of Specifics:**
The Water Quality Strategy Plan is quite vague in several areas and reads more like a brainstorm than a strategic plan with identified specifics related to the details of the plans, monitoring protocols, timelines, etc. I understand that this is the shorter-term version of the Strategy but believe that specific management actions and specific timelines for implementation of many of the actions in the Strategy need to be better identified and incorporated into the Strategy.

For example, The Strategy states that the NPS would continue to select specific watersheds or ranches for these (assessment) efforts on a rotating, priority basis. More specifics are needed on what the parameters would be for selecting and prioritizing these specific monitoring sites.

**A Need for More Transparency:**
It is extremely difficult to obtain current data on water quality monitoring at PRNS from San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board (SFRWQCB), County of Marin or NPS. Both the NPS and the SFRWQCB are public agencies and have an obligation to make public information available but neither agency is willing to provide the water quality sample data from the past nine years or an explanation as to why.

It is both frustrating and unacceptable that the public can not easily access all current existing water quality data that potentially affects the health of the public and of the natural resources on publicly-owned lands.

Reporting of ALL water quality monitoring results should be posted publicly in real time (or as close to real time as possible) – not annually.

**A Need for More Resource Protections:**
Monitoring should be for factors that can affect native flora and fauna - which are equally important as the factors that affect humans - in a national park and coastal zone where several protections of the natural resources are required.

Because the livestock within the Park are in such close proximity to federally protected marine mammals, birds and other native wildlife species, monitoring of any pathogens known to be transmittable from cattle and other livestock to these other species (including people) should be conducted regularly in addition to the monitoring for standard criteria.
A Need for Real and Enforced Consequences for Lease and/or ROA Violations:
Consequences to the ranch lessees for in compliance of required conditions and/or actions need to be made clear and enforced strictly. Consequences of non-compliance is not stated in the Strategy and should be as a part of the overall strategy to ensure that the management practices for keeping the land and waterways clean are successful. The recent discovery and public disclosure of a large dump site on one ranch property and the bulldozing of critical streambed habitat on another within the Seashore with no apparent consequences to the lease holders is unacceptable. Any future violations need to have well defined, publicly disclosed consequences established and swiftly enforced.

I know that several of you are very experienced with water quality monitoring strategies and protocols and that all of you care deeply about protecting the amazing natural resources of the California Coastal Zone.

I believe that ranching operations and natural, protected resources are not compatible and that it is a very difficult, if not impossible task to find a way for them to co-exist. I trust that you will make the right decision on whether or not these Strategies are clearly defined and adequate for managing the ranchlands at PRNS in a way that also protects the natural resources to the fullest extent possible, and that if not, you will reject the Strategies and rescind the Consistency Determination for the PRNS GMPA.

Thank you for all that you do!

Sincerely,
Julia Stalker
Re: Thursday April 7, 2022 California Coastal Commission (CCC) Hearing
Agenda Item #TH18a, National Park Service Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20
Public Request to Revoke CCC’s April 2021 Conditional Concurrence from National Park Service

Dear Commissioners,

Thank you for your persistence to hold accountable and rigorously honest both the National Park Service (NPS) and Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS, the Seashore) executive, senior, and mid-level officials. The concerned people of California, and indeed the nation and world, watch each of your current actions addressing this ongoing environmental debacle of the past nearly 60 years.

By now—given the past 12 months of PRNS officials’ inaction, excuses, minimizing, and delays—certainly the CCC’s collective eyes must be open to the sustained misdirection, double-speak, false assurances, and terrible malfeasance record of these PRNS officials for the past decades. Rather than honor and enforce the most basic of NPS policy north stars and baselines, PRNS officials have made a mockery of nearly every federal and state agency trying to overcome the profit influence of about 20 rancher families holding forth on the Seashore—and in the process, literally destroying the lands, waters, air, habitat, wildlife, and biodiversity of what should be a global model for biodiversity and climate protection.

You, individually and collectively, have a critical opportunity to turn this cart off its trammeled course, and in the process, to establish clear precedents and a strong message for how the State of California enforces its environmental protections and record—even upon lackadaisical, indifferent, hocus-pocus federal park officials.

PRNS officials, starting with Superintendent Craig Kenkel and his well-known direct reports, assured you in April 2021 that they took your and the public’s concerns and sometimes outrage seriously. That they would attack issues of the Seashore’s ignominious water quality, challenged wildlife and habitat, and burgeoning climate effects with forthright attention and diligence. Nearly upon the April 7 CCC hearing, these officials attempted to (once again more) beg off and delay action, let alone meaningful action.
You, the CCC commissioners, must now recognize this as the dilettante Standard Practice of the PRNS—to thumb their nose at all who try to do right for the Seashore’s health and well-being—but not at the few handfuls of beef and dairy cattle ranchers who hold sway over them, as well as over key power and money players at the local, state, and federal levels.

You, the CCC commissioners and your staff, have received many, many scientific, technical, and legal arguments why your April 2021 Provisional Concurrence to NPS Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20 must be revoked forthwith, with obvious cause from its unserious approach most notably by PRNS executives and staff. The NPS and PRNS inaction and sweet talk of the past 12 months—including their thrown-together One Year water quality and climate amelioration plan—is just more scrap from a greater whole cloth of the inept, intentional, or weird-mesh-of-both official record going back decades.

From me, I'll suggest this:

Go out to Point Reyes National Seashore, drive out Sir Francis Drake Boulevard or Pierce Point Road. Get out, crawl over/through/under some of the ~350 miles of barbed wire fence (an invention of World War I), stroll through the desolation of liquefied manure-covered fields, step carefully across or through cow feces stencched creeks, take in the smells and visuals. Note the ramshackle, derelict cattle ranch buildings, especially the documented rat-infested workers’ huts. Historic, you say? Say hi to the calves weaned too soon from cow mothers and placed in straightjacket plastic hovels. Oh, but watch out for regular threatening verbal assaults from aggressive ranchers (males and females inclusive) out on the open spaces, racing up on ATVs to brake-slam right in front of you, screaming at you to leave “their” land, which in fact belongs to the American public, and the wildlife trying to just have a natural, unburdened, un-poisoned life there. Try that out on J Ranch, and try to talk calmly to the spewing Kehoe Brothers Trio. Stop by all the manure ponds (seen and hidden), see ‘em seep and drain down hillside cuts to the various branches of Kehoe, Schooner, Abbott’s, Home, and other Seashore nitrogen-choked creeks, lagoons, estuaries, to beaches, bays, and coastal ocean waters. Admire the water fowl, river otters, bobcats, coyote having a make-do life by ag biz/ranch-runoff waterways teeming with who knows what. Go hike the Tule Elk Pen with the 8-foot tall fence, and come to no other conclusion than ranchers want their money profit and government subsidies from your and my taxes—Tule Elk be damned, to avoid death by thirst or starvation, standing forlornly at the miles-long fence-line, if not trapped in fly-infested and scavenger-frequented drying mud pits, aching to free-roam for water and nutrition across the Seashore.

Now, imagine an indigenous population living in concert with the natural world before European incursions and occupations, with native coastal prairie, clean waters, soils, air, and a multitude of wildlife including healthy and in-balance black bear, pronghorn sheep, Tule Elk, cougar, bobcat, river otter, coyote, badger, weasel and millions of flyway and resident birds with their biodiversity support troupes of insects, butterflies, amphibians, reptiles, crustaceans, shellfish, and myriads of others.
The goal of a National Seashore is not to protect or support or coddle and appease or subsidize or excuse private, for-profit, industrial-level beef and dairy cattle businesses with missile defense systems-sized compounds. Knowing your history, these handfuls of rancher families were paid ~$400 million in the first decades of the 1962 Seashore’s founding to vacate the land and waters there. Through incessant-yet-inaccurate-even-untruthful storytelling and special interest lobbying, they’ve managed to hang on in a place that deserves to be what it was founded for – a National Seashore where the natural world is honored, restored, protected, and shared among humans and wildlife for years to come. Even in the dire climate challenge we face, we must aspire to higher and better goals than perpetuating a myth and way of life that contributes greatly to our earthly destruction.

Finally, dear commissioners, I ask of these handfuls of beef and dairy cattle businesses who cry poor, but who took their government tax dollar millions decades ago, bought ranchlands outside the Seashore, and thrive as some of the wealthiest and extensive landholders in Marin and Sonoma counties—Have you no shame? At long last, When will you leave as was intended in the 1962 founding legislation and in the intent of all those many then and now who envision a Yellowstone for the Pacific? How much BS will you and NPS/PRNS continue to shovel deep on us and the Seashore?

Therefore,

1. I respectfully request that the California Coastal Commission, in review of April 7, 2022 Agenda Item #TH18a—National Park Service Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20, revoke for obvious cause and dereliction of intent and action the Provisional Concurrence of April 2021.

2. Further, due to obvious and potentially illegal conflicts of interest, I respectfully request the voluntary or assigned recusal of Commissioner Katie Rice (who has expressed and acted with bias aforethought, including multiple undisclosed ex parte communications on these and other PRNS matters being considered by the CCC) – from participation and vote on April 7, 2022 Agenda Item #TH18a, National Park Service Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20.

For clarity of your thought, let’s approach it this way: We only have One Chance now to get this right.

Thank you, each Commissioner, for your serious consideration and agreement to these requests, and for standing up for the California Coast as is your mandate, regardless of entity engaged.

Sincerely,

Mark A. Walsh
Social Responsibility As Best Practice™
Writer, Editor, Analyst, Advocate
Please email or send (I left a phone message) the Pt. Reyes Seashore submittal and staff recommendations.
Also, clarify whether the April 7 meeting is planned to be information only or an action item?

Thank you
Barbara Salzman
Marin Audubon Society
Point Reyes National Seashore holds a special place in my heart. I am a wildlife ecologist and have been hiking and wildlife watching at the Seashore for fifty years.

I support the CCC in bringing oversight to the situation at Pt. Reyes National and holding the NPS accountable with the concurrence. Thank you for refusing to grant an extension to the NPS. Preferably the commissioners could revoke the concurrence and deny ranching activities in order to preserve and protect the only National Seashore on the West coast.

Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. It is time to hold them accountable!

Public opinion has been disregarded, we need your help. Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message about preserving a natural resource. Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests.

Also, it is vital that the ranchers are ineligible for LCFC's from producing biogas. The ranches exist on public land. They should have no incentives to expand herds or profit at the expense of wildlife and habitat degradation. They should not be permitted to change business models nor diversify in a carbon intensive manner, ie anything that has to do with more livestock. The goal should be to phase out, to "decommission" dairies on public lands.

Thank you,
Jackie Garcia Mann
with 350 Contra Costa
Dear Coastal Commissioners:

Please hold the National Park Service accountable for the decades of neglect by allowing the ranchers to pollute and destroy the native habitat of Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) as well as cause extreme water pollution to the coastal areas (including creeks, wetlands, beaches in this critical watershed) within and adjacent to the park. This is a serious threat to local water quality as well as contributing to the Climate Crisis locally through the continuous greenhouse gas emissions generated due to the cattle operations and loss of carbon sequestering native plants. No extension of time for the "required and agreed upon reports to the CCC", which are now nearly a year delinquent, is going to resolve these issues and the NPS leadership including the PRNS management know this!

The mission of the National Park System which includes Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) is "to preserve unimpaired the natural and cultural resources and values of the national park system for the enjoyment, education, and inspiration of this and future generations." The National Parks are the "most protected lands on Earth" and are categorized as "Restricted-Use Lands". Thus our National Parks must meet the highest standards of protection and oversight by the Federal Government including protecting our California Coastal Zone!

This mandatory mission has clearly been violated for decades at PRNS by the lack of oversight of the ranchers, lack of enforcement of EPA regulations, and little if any management and protection of the native landscape, coastal waters and native wildlife by park management and staff.

It is clear to the public, scientists, environmental advocates and leaders, that the General Management Plan (GMP) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS), Alternative B, will continue past and current practices which are destructive to the environment including the water quality within the park. The GMP, Alternative B, is not consistent with the California Coastal Act. In light of these inconsistencies and the ongoing degradation of the water, air and lands at PRNS, please do “not accept” the NPS submission of consistency and an extension of time. There are no practices which can "mitigate" the serious environmental damages to the local land and coastal ecosystem and our climate, and this is why the NPS has not completed the mandatory reports to address water pollution and climate impacts caused by the cattle operations.

The water quality is not acceptable based on the past and current domestic livestock operations within PRNS. These long-term poor practices will continue to degrade and destroy habitats which threaten more native species.

PRNS is one of the most degraded landscapes in California and within our National
Park System. The past and current livestock grazing practices and other activities related to those operations have severely impacted the watershed, the coastline area and the native species within and adjacent to the park.

Respectfully submitted,

Julie Phillips
Tule Elk Biologist & Environmental Scientist/Educator
Email: tuleelk@comcast.net
Hello

I would like to voice my concerns that polluting cattle are allowed on our National Seashore where their feces clearly wash into our waterways and affect the wildlife in the streams and the ocean. We must prioritize our land and water over privately owned ranches. Thank you for caring.

Amy Allen, DVM, Diplomate ACVIM
San Rafael, CA
415-272-8982
From: Kay Sibary <kays@iname.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:10 PM
To: NorthCoast@Coastal <NorthCoast@coastal.ca.gov>
Subject: Point Reyes Water Quality

I am a resident of the California Bay Area and am very disappointed in what I feel is a failure to adequately test, manage and protect the water quality of the Point Reyes National Seashore.

The CCC needs to enforce and implement a strong, comprehensive, science-based water quality testing plan with enforcement protocols that recognize the current climate context, as well as the risks of continued and increased use of these lands for commercial purposes.

Requests for permission to delay such plans by PRNS throw serious doubt on their level of commitment to this issue. The CCC is not alone in its concern for the abysmally poor quality of testing and enforcement thus far.

Please do the right thing. Protect the resource and environment for which you have been chartered. For all of us, and for our children.

Kay Sibary
8 Southwood Dr.
Orinda CA 94563
Written comment for CCC board review before April 7th 2022 meeting.

Dear commissioners,

For the safety of the Point Reyes National Seashore water quality testing and protocols must be enforced. This is necessary to improve the seashore we love and for all of us to enjoy.

Regards,
Mrs. Diana Muhanoff

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android
To: CCC  
From: A M Newson  
Re: suffering Elk

It is beyond time to terminate the leases for dairy farming at Point Reyes National Seashore.

What hope is there for the future of our Planet if in an environmentally aware area such as Northern California

- A native species - the tulle elk are decimated to bring in long suffering cows for a dubious & subsidized profit in a cruel

Business - the Dairy industry. Why starve & trap & deprive the Tule elk of water & free range & healthy food?

For what? A dieing business? Who wants to drive to Point Reyes & see parched cattle in dry fields & maybe an occasional view of

A few straggly elk foraging in their limited grazing grounds. How heartless are we human to let this continue?

We humans would love access to nature & the ocean without being exposed to obvious & needless suffering.

Please manage Point Reyes National Park with more compassion & wisdom & care for the natural world.

Sincerely

A. M Newson.

48p Gate 5 Road
From: Elizabeth Kay Fife <fife@usc.edu>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:54 PM
To: NorthCoast@Coastal <NorthCoast@coastal.ca.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on PRNS Water Quality for April 7, 2022 CCC Meeting

Dear Coastal Commissioners,

It is unacceptable that in the 60 years of the Seashore’s existence, efforts towards water quality management are essentially non-existent. Water quality enforcement and testing procedures need to be put in place to safeguard California’s environment and the biodiversity of this area in the face of climate change. This seems like the minimum that can be done in the face of the General Management Plan Amendment which apparently will extend, and in fact expand for-profit cattle-ranching businesses in our state’s national seashore. We understand that the cattle rancher’s have received advantageous land leases covering one-third of the Seashore’s territory--despite widespread public dissent. To allow private interests to profit and to take priority over the public interest demonstrates a failure of governance. Please do what is possible to mitigate the environmental damage of the Big Ag’s intrusion into the Point Reyes National Seashore and in the near term remedy the poor oversight that has affected not only water quality, but the destruction of grasslands and wildlife (the Tule Elk). We urge the Coastal Commission to review this situation in its totality and take action to properly protect the Point Reyes National Seashore.

Thank you for your careful consideration of this important and unique California resource.

Best Regards,
Elizabeth Fife
Kay Fife
David Sawcer

Elizabeth Fife, Ph.D.
Associate Professor, Technical Communication Practice
Viterbi School of Engineering, OHE 104
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089
fife@usc.edu
Hi,

I am a Petaluma resident and an avid visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore. My daughter often swims at the beaches on the Tomales Bay side and we go hiking there almost every weekend.

It is so disturbing to me how much of the park is designated for cattle, especially considering the ecological importance of the coast here for migrating animals, Seals, Whales, Fish, Rays etc. Ideally the only real solution is that the commercial dairy operations should be rewilded and restored back to its original landscape (the reason NPS bought the land in the first place).

At the very least I think we should hold NPS accountable for serious and regular water quality monitoring and if they try and delay this any further CCC should remove their support for the NPS plan.

Protecting the water for the public and their children as well as the delicate marine ecosystem that surrounds Point Reyes is one of the most important jobs of the CCC.

Please don't let NPS delay water quality measurement any further.

Thank you!

Theodore Watson
I would also like to know from the CCC members, what they consider when evaluating improved water quality. I want to know what the results are and what should they be in order to allow continued ranching in the PRNS.

On Fri, Apr 1, 2022 at 4:36 PM Maximilienne Ewalt <maxewalt@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear CCC Board Members;

I urge you to stand firm on your demand to PRNS during the public hearing on April 21, 2021, to hold Superintendent Craig Kenkel accountable for his stated commitment to provide the CCC in one year with written, comprehensive, science-based water quality testing and enforcement protocols in a climate context, in one year. That time has arrived.

At that meeting, CCC board members expressed grave concerns about the lack of water quality testing and enforcement by the National Park Service (NPS) and PRNS on the Seashore’s extensive private ranch lease holdings since the last active and limited efforts in 2013. The CCC’s slim and disappointing 5-4 approval of the PRNS GMPA pivoted on Kenkel's commitmen. Don't let him get away with delay tactics. Show the public you stand by your word. If the water quality has not improved by a substantial amount since the last test results the CCC was aware, or if they continue to fight for delays in the testing, do not allow the GMPA to move forward.

Water quality experts indicate such a report requires far less time than one year to produce. It’s shocking there is little appreciable water quality management already in place after nearly 60 years of the Seashore’s existence—given the long-established climate and biodiversity crises. To that point, and as you may know, more than one third of the 445 tule elk, a native and endemic species fenced in at Tomales Point died in 2021 due to drought. Activists forced the PRNS to supply drinking water to the tule elk in the preserve after hundreds of volunteers hand-carried 300 gallons of water hiking several miles to fill a dried out watering hole. These elk are fenced in and unable to search for water elsewhere. The GMPA allows for the killing of more elk in Point Reyes. As some members of the CCC stated 1 year ago, the PRNS is doing a dismal job of caring for it’s native wildlife and it’s waters. The GMPA approved by the CCC last year rewards the Ranches by allowing them to pollute our public and scenic waters and destroy wildlife. They need to do much better for our National Seashore. They've had enough time.
Please hold Superintendent Kenkel to his word, at the very least.

Thank you,

Maximilienne Ewalt,
Public Citizen in SF and outdoor enthousiast.

PS. I plan to sign up to voice my concern at this next meeting. Last year, I did the same and sadly I was never called on to speak. I hope that doesn't happen this time.
-----Original Message-----
From: Bear McGuinness <bear.mcguinness@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 4:17 PM
To: NorthCoast@Coastal <NorthCoast@coastal.ca.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on PRNS Water Quality for April 7, 2022 CCC Meeting

Thank you for reading my comment.

I’m a longtime park volunteer and Point Reyes local, and I cannot understand why the park doesn’t have a policy of regularly testing water quality in the park. I also don’t understand why, with the pressure to come up with a simple strategy, the park hasn’t yet done so. There are lots of cattle ranches here, and the cows are obviously pooping all over. I’ve even seen cow pats floating in Tomales Bay. This reluctance to regularly test the water quality of our local streams and bay makes the park look like it’s hiding something. I understand that funding might be an issue. Maybe paying for an unaffiliated, unbiased agency to test the water should be part of any contract that ranchers sign. After all they’re saving a great deal of money by running their private businesses on our public land. If the ranchers and park service have nothing to hide, then surely they won’t mind both testing the water quality and sharing the results with the public. The health and safety of not just our environment but also the people who live and visit here should matter enough for water quality testing to be a priority, especially in this time of increasing aridification.

Thank you!
CB McGuinness
Point Reyes Station

Sent from my iPad
Dear Coastal Commission Members,

It is disappointing that we are still allowing private cattle grazing at the Point Reyes National Seashore nearly a century after the practice was supposed to stop and the land was to be turned over to the public. It is even more disappointing that our government is planning to allow that to continue for another 20 years, benefitting a few individuals at the cost of the public’s right to enjoy public land, and at the cost of the wildlife and natural resources of the park. Yet here we are. Again.

It is even more disappointing that the Commission seems intent on allowing this to continue without a water quality monitoring plan in place, and frankly it’s bizarre that this private industry has been allowed to pollute the park unmonitored for as long as it has. It speaks volumes about the lack of planning on the part of the park service, and suggests that this continued give away of public resources is being driven by the worst of our politics. If the continued leasing of public park land to private enterprise was in the public interest, if it was even remotely ecologically defensible, agencies wouldn’t be dragging their feet for as long as they have to do the testing and monitoring that would prove it

It’s time to put a stop to this ugly spectacle. It’s time to vote against giving away public resources to benefit a few corporations. It’s time to vote to protect the public interest and the ecological values of the seashore.

Brian Acree
Dear Commissioners,

Thank you for holding the National Park Service accountable to the conditions of your 2021 ruling on federal consistency at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The dairies and ranches on PRNS continue to pollute the creeks, wetlands and beaches. As you and I know all too well, cows pollute. We are limiting the elk numbers to hundreds to be “sustainable” so why do we allow 10 times the number of privately owned cows?

Please do what you can to end this clearly inconsistent and destructive use of our public lands.

Here we are entering another year of drought and with the urgency of the climate crisis we need our public lands restored to their natural habitat now. The public lands should be for wildlife and the public should have full access to the PRNS for the opportunity to experience the beauty of nature and our coast. Not forced to drive by miles of fenced off public lands for a few polluting commercial ranches.

Please retract your provisional approval of the NPS plan. The ranchers have over extended their stay and have had plenty of time to prove they can ranch while protecting our waterways. Sadly, they have not and the environment is becoming more degraded at this urgent time where every action needs to be toward restoration.

Thank you,

Cynthia Abbott
From: Lonna Richmond <lonnajean@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 27, 2022 8:03 PM
To: NorthCoast@Coastal <NorthCoast@coastal.ca.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on PRNS Water Quality for April 7 CCC Meeting (or similar) Submit Comment

hi, i will be writing a letter this week for submission to the CCC

thanks for organizing this, mark.

lonna

--
From: Amy Allen <amyntrip@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 9:34 PM
To: NorthCoast@Coastal <NorthCoast@coastal.ca.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on PRNS Water Quality for April 7 CCC

Dear Commissioners,
Thank you for taking time to read my thoughts regarding the Pt Reyes National Seashore.
As you and I know all too well, cows pollute. And not a small amount. How can 2,425 cows in a National Park make any sense?! We are limiting the elk numbers to hundreds to be “sustainable” so why do we allow 10 times the number of privately owned cows? Please be on the right side of history and do what you can to end this clearly inconsistent and destructive use of our public lands. Spreading cow feces onto the lands and expecting them not to run off into the waters is ludicrous. Of course the waters in this area are full of coliform bacteria and other fecal contaminants.
And this is clearly why the NPS is reluctant (negligent?) in testing the waters. Hold them accountable and make a tough but correct decision to protect our beloved National Seashore.
I do love seeing the "prohibit replacement of dairy operations if additional dairies close "as the closure of dairies is inevitable with decreased demand and water shortages.
Please retract your provisional approval of the NPS plan. They have had plenty of time to take action but have not.
They are not protecting our waterways nor the environment in general.
Thank you,
Amy Allen, DVM, Diplomate ACVIM
Amy Allen, DVM, Diplomate ACVIM

These are a start but too little too late:
Reduce Authorized Dairy Operations within Planning Area
Following the April 2021 Commission hearing, the McClure Dairy at I Ranch notified the NPS that it would cease dairy operations by July 2021. As a result of this notification, the NPS made the following adjustments to the GMPA preferred alternative and adopted these changes in the ROD:
• Reduce the number of authorized dairies from six to five, and prohibit replacement of dairy operations if additional dairies close;
• Reduce authorized dairy animals by 22% (690 dairy animals) in the planning area (3,115 to 2,425 dairy animals);
• reduce the total acreage affected by Manure and Nutrient Management (manure spreading) from 2,500 acres to 1,800 acres (approximately 28%); and
• remove 552 acres of Forage Production from the planning area (55% reduction in area authorized for forage production in the planning area).
Dear Coastal Commissioners,

It is unacceptable that in the 60 years of the Seashore’s existence, efforts towards water quality management are essentially non-existent. Water quality enforcement and testing procedures need to be put in place to safeguard California’s environment and the biodiversity of this area in the face of climate change. This seems like the minimum that can be done in the face of the General Management Plan Amendment which apparently will extend, and in fact expand for-profit cattle-ranching businesses in our state’s national seashore. We understand that the cattle rancher’s have received advantageous land leases covering one-third of the Seashore’s territory--despite widespread public dissent. To allow private interests to profit and to take priority over the public interest demonstrates a failure of governance. Please do what is possible to mitigate the environmental damage of the Big Ag’s intrusion into the Point Reyes National Seashore and in the near term remedy the poor oversight that has affected not only water quality, but the destruction of grasslands and wildlife (the Tule Elk). We urge the Coastal Commission to review this situation in its totality and take action to properly protect the Point Reyes National Seashore.

Thank you for your careful consideration of this important and unique California resource.

Best Regards,

Elizabeth Fife
Kay Fife
David Sawcer

Elizabeth Fife, Ph.D.
Associate Professor, Technical Communication Practice
Viterbi School of Engineering, OHE 104
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089
fife@usc.edu
North Beach and Limantour Beach should also be tested regularly for bacteria. Dogs, children and adults walk and play on those beaches, and could get sick.
Dear fellow-Californians,

I moved to California because of its history of enlightened management of wonderful natural resources. People from all over the world visit California for the same reason.

Point Reyes is a spectacular example of a natural resource within reach of a major international city. It demonstrates to thousands of people every year the value of conserving and preserving nature.

This contributes to California’s magnetic status and economic prosperity.

**The proposal to kill tule elk and replace them with cattle and other farm animals is a profoundly backward step.**

This will be seen in history as a short-sighted and tragic example of mis-management.

**Please avoid making this serious mistake before it is too late.**

Sincerely

Graham Creasey, MD, FRCSEd
Professor Emeritus
Stanford University
Dear California Coastal Commissioners:

I am a full-time resident of Inverness, CA and operate a guiding business where I guide wildlife lovers and photographers into Point Reyes National Seashore. Days I do not guide, I visit this National Park for my personal enjoyment. I am in the park nearly every day. I urge the California Coastal Commission to put the Point Reyes Consistency Determination on the April 2022 Commission Agenda for action by the Commission, including the option to revoke the Conditional Concurrence it issued in April of 2021.

The National Park Service has had one year to comply with your request and must be held accountable to bring forth adequate resource protection strategies within the timeframe given to them.

Sincerely,
Daniel Dietrich
Dear California Coastal Commissioners,

I am a guide in Point Reyes National Seashore and am in the Park near daily.

Over the past 10 years in fighting for the protection of this park, I have been assured by the National Park Service that the Best Management Practices are being used to ensure the protection of our wildlife and natural resources. But these BMPs have failed to do that. 40x the E. coli levels in the waters that feed our coastline and that my 2 young daughters play in is not natural resource protection. The culling of native tule elk is not wildlife protection. The enormous contribution the over 5000 cows have to climate change is not addressing this issue.

BMPs do not provide continual and infinite improvements to water quality. Once implemented, they provide a return that eventually flattens. If we have 40x the safe levels of E. coli in our waterways with existing BMPs in place, I question how incremental improvements to these existing BMPs can ensure the necessary improvements to reach consistent and permanent protections of our waterways.

In the recent past, a ranch which sits on a waterway that feeds one of the only elephant seal breeding grounds in the world was found to be raising pigs, a violation of their lease. Where did the pig urine go? Was it mixed with the cow waste and spread over the land to then wash into the stream that feeds the beach where the elephant seals give birth?

Late last year a rancher was caught bulldozing a sensitive riparian area along a creek which feeds Drakes Estero. Since, invasive weeds have dominated the cut. The stream bank was also bulldozed. Trees were chain sawed and native brush uprooted, a violation of the lease. There seemingly has been little done to repair this destruction as seen by continued visits to the site.

Late last year an enormous dump was found along one ranch driveway filled with rusted out cars, trucks and other waste. This dump sits above a waterway that feeds Drakes Estero.

NPS in the process of excavating the ground in an area near a barn where 55 gallon drums were found tipped upside down with diesel fuel running out of them into the ground.

There is now word of raw sewage running from ranch housing directly into the fields above Drakes Estero.

All these issues have happened in the past 18 months. What else is the public not aware of?

In discussing other issues in the National Park with park staff, I have been told there are not enough resources to address these issues. The National Park Service’s proposed plan needs significant resources and funding to implement. These resources seem unavailable at this time. I question if the necessary resources to implement a plan this large and complex would ever have the necessary funding and personnel to be properly implemented and enforced.

Please take action to defend our incredible California coast.

Sincerely,

Daniel Dietrich
I am writing about PRNS Water Quality issues.

There should also be regular testing of North Beach and Limantour Beach. Both North and Limantour beaches are downstream from dairies and ranches. Many families and dogs visit both "pristine" beaches. Are they safe to be on?

I like that NPS is making water testing and improvements mandatory. But what are the consequences for failing water tests? Fines? Termination of the lease?

One section of the plan said testing of dairy runoff was mandated every winter. Was that done? I read that water testing was last done almost a decade ago.

NPS has allowed many violations of existing leases, apparently without penalty. NPS didn't even notice a huge dump of cars and tires in the National Seashore. Visitors spotted and reported the lease violations. NPS even ignored dying elk.

Can NPS be trusted? The plan says good things, but will NPS walk the talk?

Mandatory changes will require enforcement against politically connected ranchers. Violators should be fined, and if it's repeated, to lose the lease.

Dairies should revert to nature. They are badly degraded, and need to heal. Remove the cows at PRNS, open the Reserve jail fence, and let the elk roam!

Robert Raven
Petaluma
The dairies and ranches on PRNS are polluting creeks, wetlands and beaches. Runoff of manure and urine can harm protected frogs, fish and marine mammals. Toxic beaches are closed to visitors, which affects thousands of families and dogs. Remove dairies and ranches from the National Seashore, also fences and trash. Thanks!
Dear California Coastal Commissioners,

Thank you for your leadership to hold the National Park Service, Point Reyes National Seashore accountable to the conditions of your 2021 ruling on federal consistency. The lands, waters, plants and wildlife at Point Reyes National Seashore are in a constant state of degradation from the impact of the thousands of dairy and beef cattle on commercial ranches—even with the recent reduction from McClure’s ranch. As a friend of a descendant of the Coast Miwok Felix Family, I have driven through ranches to get to my friend’s ancestral home at Laird’s Landing. I have witnessed the destruction and impact of grazing on ancestral Coast Miwok homelands on the watershed, coastal waters and native plant and animal populations. It’s time to end ranching on these beautiful Miwok homelands to instate the original plan for the Point Reyes National Park - as an accessible national park for people in the greater San Francisco Area who otherwise might not have this experience.

If California leadership, like the California Coastal Commission, does not step forward to protect the waters, lands, plants and wildlife and equitable access and use at PRNS who will? These waters, lands, plants and wildlife are silent contributors to a healthy environment. They are our Indigenous relatives and we must protect them as we do our human relatives.

On April 7, 2022 the Native American Cultural Center at Yale and Yale Center for Environmental Justice will host the meeting, "Towards#landback: Indigenous Leadership in Land Conservation" with NPS Director Charles Sams III.

This June the Healthy Public Lands Project is hosting a conference on public lands management and stated “Given the urgency of the climate crisis, the Healthy Public Lands Project takes the position that the only appropriate response is to end this ecologically damaging, economically irresponsible practice [grazing]. Public lands should be for public wildlife; the presence of non-native livestock has real costs for western communities.”

This is just a sampling of discussions across the nation questioning management practices of public lands and advocating for the benefits of employing Indigenous science practices to restore and protect the waters, lands, plants and wildlife. Our future is at stake as we approach another summer of drought conditions and potential wildfires. We know how bleak the summer months will be for our relatives, the tule elk, held behind the fences.

I urge you, as the appointed protectors of California’s coastal lands and waters, continue your path as a force to end the degradation of the lands and waters and
the loss of plant and animal life at Point Reyes National Seashore.

I urge you to retract your provisional approval of the PRNS General Management Plan Amendment. Let’s make these lands open and 100% accessible to indigenous people, the public for enjoyment of the natural landscape, and indigenous species such as the Tule Elk, marine species and plants.

Sincerely,

Dorette Quintana English
Environmental Health and Equity Consultant
96 FarmLane
Martinez, CA 94553
Dqe1@att.net
Dear Commissioners:

It is way past time that ranches be removed from the Point Reyes National Seashore. Everyone should understand by now that the harm that cattle do to the environment is unrelenting. As one who has worked “hands on” with wildlife for many years, I find it especially egregious that our majestic native Tule Elk are fenced in — and could be killed — so that they don’t interfere with cattle grazing. Those cattle, by the way, will all be killed eventually, as such is the life of a cow. Wildlife should always have the priority in a national park.

The National Park Service has come up with water and air pollution plans, but I see problems here. #1 is that the NPS has lost all credibility at Pt. Reyes, by always putting ranching ahead of what a park should be, an environmental masterpiece. As the NPS really didn’t have a plan or come up with any enforcements, they can’t be trusted now. They’ve already asked for a delay, which thankfully the Commission denied.

At the moment we know that Pt. Reyes National Park contains some of the worst water quality in California - and it flows right into the Pacific Ocean. There is really no way that cattle and a clean environment can co-exist. It’s not just about the water, but the native flora and fauna have been very damaged by the heavy footprint of cows. This can all come back, if the cows and ranchers leave. That is the only way it will happen. This is also what the general public wants - who wants ranches in a national park?

Please rescind your approval of the GMPA for Point Reyes Seashore. The NPS needs to get serious about being a park, taking care of it’s environment, and protecting wildlife - not cows.

Thank you.

Sharon Ponsford
436 Trail Ridge Place
Santa Rosa, CA 95409
Point Reyes is **public land**, not private land. Ranching and dairy entities are private businesses. Yes, they are on historic ranching land but that is not a reason to continue their existence. Hunting grizzly bears in California was part of our history not so long ago. Just because something made sense in the past does not justify its continuation if it isn’t environmentally defensible and a sustainable practice. In addition, **the mission of the NPS is to preserve, not to sell out.** Allowing leases for cattle grazing is counter to this mission as it actually speeds the destruction of the habitat and puts the elk in danger. Ranchers have overstayed their original permit limits already. Long-term leases will set a terrible precedent in favor of private, commercial industry and jeopardize the future of our parks and the health of the ecosystem.

There are 335 million Americans who are the rightful owners of Point Reyes National Seashore, and 7 million of them live in the nearby Bay Area, an area starved for available public lands for recreation. The National Park Service should not continue to manage Point Reyes National Seashore for the private profit of 13 ranches, including large corporations, while neglecting the public interest to manage these lands for conservation and public enjoyment. It is time to end the extension of Park Service leases on Point Reyes for livestock grazing. Furthermore, an analysis by park advocates found that fully 91.4% of the public comments opposed ranching and as this is public land, not private, I ask that you deny the NPS’s inadequate plans for Point Reyes.

Please add my voice to the increasing numbers of Californians and all Americans that want to preserve national treasures like coastal prairie habitat and elk for future generations.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter,
Zorina Kibrick
836 Autumn Lane
Mill Valley, CA 94941

Sent from my iPad
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosytems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Barry Bussewitz
barryalbert@sonic.net
Good letter, Bernie. Thanks for sharing!

On Sat, Mar 19, 2022 at 3:55 PM Bernie Album <allbernie5@gmail.com> wrote:
California Coastal Commission Members,

This is my public comment for the CCC April 7, 2022 meeting Agenda item: the Point Reyes National SeaShore Park and the National Park Service request for more time to comply with cleaning up land and water pollution. The problems caused by private agriculture operating cattle and dairy operations in our nation park are insurmountable no matter the time provided. The manure and urine going into the ground enter the water table thereby the water run off is poison for the grass food for grazing elk and all other wildlife, including marine animals along our California Coast. The extent of the pollution is vast and the consequences devastating to the surrounding ecosystem. The only way to stop this travesty is to deny the National Park Service and the private ranches on public property a use permit. No use permit will mean the land, ground water, and our ocean coast will become clean again which will allow all the natural habitat to become restored and survive.

Bernard Album
1666 Creekview Circle
Petaluma, CA 94954
707-766-7056 allbernie5@gmail.com
Chair Brownsey, Vice Chair Hart and Members of the Commission:

We request that the Coastal Commission put the Point Reyes Consistency Determination on the April 2022 Commission Agenda for action by the Commission, including the option to revoke the Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021.[1]

When the Commission narrowly approved Conditional Concurrence by a 5-4 vote last April, it specifically directed the National Park Service (NPS) to address serious concerns it had with topics ranging from water quality to Tule Elk to climate change. For example, the Commission added a condition that the NPS would bring a water quality strategy to the Commission within 12 months for public review and Commission review and approval.[2] Importantly, the Commission retained oversight and jurisdiction over this condition, including independently reviewing the NPS’ water quality strategy to determine if it protects coastal resources to the maximum extent practicable, or if it should be rejected. Due to the very urgent concerns raised by the Commission and members of the public, the Conditional Concurrence required action on this matter within 12 months, which would be April 2022.

As Commission staff wrote to the NPS on May 10, 2021, “[t]he Commission determined that, only as conditioned, could the GMPA be found consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the enforceable policies of the California Coastal Management Program. The Commission notes that as provided in 15 CFR § 930.4(b), should the NPS not agree with the Commission’s condition of concurrence, then all parties shall treat this conditional concurrence as an objection.”

The NPS must be held accountable to bring forth adequate resource protection strategies within the timeframe the Commission directed, and NPS agreed to, a year ago. Development of these strategies within the one year timeframe was
fundamental to the Commission’s decision to conditionally approve the Consistency Determination and remains essential for ensuring the protection of one of the most biologically important areas in the state. Please ensure that this Point Reyes Consistency Determination is placed on the April 2022 agenda for action by the Commission, including the option to revoke the Conditional Concurrence.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Paula & Alan Spencer
San Anselmo, CA

[1] The current wording of the April 2022 agenda notes the Commission will consider this matter, but it is not clear that the Commission will vote on if the NPS has produced an adequate Water Quality Strategy within 12 months as required by the Commission: “Coastal Commission consideration of Water Quality Strategy and Climate Action Plan developed by National Park Service (NPS) as specified in Conditions I and IV of the Coastal Commission’s conditional concurrence on Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20 for the 2020 General Management Plan Amendment for Point Reyes National Seashore and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Marin County.”

[2] “Condition: The National Park Service will bring its water quality strategy to the Commission within a period of twelve months for public review, as well as Commission review and approval.”
Dear Commissioners,

In April 2021, in testimony to the CCC, I asked the Commission to withhold its approval of the National Park Service’s GMPA for Point Reyes Seashore. The GMPA, subsequently approved, failed to provide important details as to how the NPS would monitor and mitigate environmental impacts from commercial cattle ranching in the Seashore.

The NPS plan simply said “Trust us.”

Prior to and subsequent to the GMPA’s adoption:

The NPS consistently prioritized beef and dairy operations over protecting ecosystems within and surrounding the national park, with negative impacts to the coastal resources.
The NPS turned a blind eye to ranching’s impacts to public lands and resources at the Seashore, including in the coastal zone.
The NPS failed to enforce flagrant lease violations (overstocking livestock, illegal dumping, destroying riparian areas, harassing wildlife, cattle trampling and fouling habitat, manure runoff).
The NPS failed to address public concerns at the growing control ranchers have over the Seashore.
The NPS failed to monitor or uphold regulations to protect public health and the environment. Water quality in the Seashore—including the coastal zone—consistently exceeds public health standards.
The NPS’s failed to manage cattle impacts that curtail the public’s safe use and enjoyment of public lands, including in coastal areas.
The NPS continually persecutes wildlife on ranchers’ behalf, including in the coastal zone.
The NPS has been unresponsive to requests for the public information regarding water quality, park operating budgets, lease violations and enforcement, and more, depriving the public of the information needed to formulate comments to NPS plans.
The NPS consistently flouts deadlines, with no consequences.

In approving the NPS’s ranching plan last year, the CCC required the NPS to develop a strategy to address water pollution and climate warming impacts, which are contributing to sea level rise and loss of species. Like the GMPA it is to supplement, the NPS’s “strategy” does not adequately address the problems CCC Commissioners rightly called out.

Plans require goals, timelines and benchmarks.
Writing a plan doesn’t solve the problem. The plan must be implemented—and in a timely way—and evaluated for its effectiveness.

Please take action to defend the coast.
The public needs the CCC to hold the NPS accountable to its agreement and to its public mission.
Rescind your approval of the GMPA for Point Reyes Seashore. Send a message to the NPS that business as usual is not acceptable.

Thank you.
Dear Commissioners:

My friend Jim Coda shared the attached letter to the Coastal Commission with me. Having read his letter and given its point serious consideration, I have to concur with Jim: Katie Rice must recuse herself, or be recused, from your April 7th hearing at which you will be considering Agenda Item Th18a, the Conditional Compliance for Consistency Determination No. CD-0006-20.

If Commissioner Rice does not recuse herself or is not otherwise recused, it will call into question the validity of any decision the Commission makes at this hearing, as it does the Commission’s split vote on April 22, 2021, regarding the National Park Service’s request for a finding of Coastal Act consistency. I would hope that the Commission would not jeopardize it’s time and effort by acting in a potentially illegal manner.

I join Mr. Coda in requesting that Commissioner Rice recuse herself from the hearing, and that the Commission acts in the spirit and letter of the enabling law under which it operates.

Thank you for your consideration.

Matt Maguire
626 East D St.
Petaluma CA 94952
I am aware of the current quest to kill the Tule Elk in favor of agriculture but I personally believe that these Tule Elk, native species, deserve more than this treatment. They deserve to continue to live in Point Reyes National Park. It is a National Park and it should be used for these animals to explore and should not be used for profit purposes.

My 10-yr daughter shares my view and is very passionate about this. We are strongly against the killing of these beautiful animals. She did a presentation on Saving the Tule Elk for her Passion Project presentation in school. We were given a second chance to experience them. They were extinct but now we have a chance to live side by side with them. We hiked 9.4 miles in Tomales Bay Point inorder to be with them. They are amazing creatures. Please devise some other ways to produce food or expand agriculture without sacrificing their lives.

Thank you very much.

Marianne Mendoza
Danville CA
Dear Executive Director John Ainsworth,

On behalf of In Defense of Animals, an animal protection organization with over 250,000 supporters, I oppose the National Park Service’s final General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for the Point Reyes National Seashore.

Before moving forward with Alternative B, which will ruin the Seashore with continued and expanded cattle grazing and the growth of other private, for-profit businesses at taxpayer expense, I urge you to pursue further inquiry, including long overdue water quality tests and a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) on drought and wildfires.

Tourism is the primary source of income at the Seashore. Cattle are the Seashore’s primary source of greenhouse gases which contribute to climate change. Private ranching at the Seashore has resulted in overgrazing, water pollution, invasive weeds, and the reduction of native species, including those protected under the Endangered Species Act.

Water quality degradation occurs from ranching practices like spreading liquid manure on fields, which increase human health risks, kill native fish, and pollute waterways. I implore you to conduct a Federal Consistency Review to address the lack of water quality testing, known environmental degradation, and impacts on migratory birds and endangered species at the Seashore.

A SEIS on the GMPA concerning the Woodward Fire would determine new impacts on free-roaming elk. The impacts of ranchers growing crops and raising sheep, goats, pigs, turkeys or chickens, which increase conflicts with wild animals, must also be assessed.

I also urge you to investigate the mass die-off of Tule elk who are fenced into a “preserve” — which is in violation of the Organic Act 1916 — without any perennial stream to serve fresh water. Please act urgently to ensure the NPS upholds its duty before any more of these rare native animals die.

Alternative B must not be finalized until all these steps above are taken, and the public’s concern for the future of this natural treasure and the wild animals who call it home is acknowledged.

Thank you for your consideration of this pressing matter, I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Mr. Filippo Riolfi
Via Giacomo Matteotti  San Pietro In Cariano,  37029
ficsriolfi@gmail.com
Dear Sir/Mame: I would like to urge you to consider the positive effects of the Elk in this national seashore. One of the major of which is keeping vegetation well pruned. This is a very important factor in mitigating wildfires since they reduce dry tinder. Also you will be saving an endangered species and fulfilling your true mandate rather than catering to dairy ranchers. Best wishes, Craig

Sent from Mail for Windows
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,

jennifer unger
jluger@wyasd.org
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Idoia Tobillas Hernando
idoiatobillas@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Brian Dorsey
bdorsey@umich.edu
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural
resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
karen sanchez
kmarita2@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Kerstin Murr
Schranzprinzessin@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Herbert Staniek
herbert.staniek@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Easum
eeasum@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Sylvie Auger
sylvieauger55@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Sylvie Auger
sylvieauger55@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Suzanne Hodges
antiguasue@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Darlene Wolf
blackfoot1@protonmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Barbara Rizzardi
WelcometoRizzWorld@outlook.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Maribel Jerez
shoryomo@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Antonella Nielsen
joebasse94@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Jeanette Leinweber
leinweberjf@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Aurora Ln
al_beexi3@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Maree Penhart
mpenhart@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
kate linton
kschmid86@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Lillemor Dahlgren
rallymor@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Lauren Kupp
le.wylde@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Juli Schulz
ecojulijuli@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Alan Hyden
csl230@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Pablo Bobe
pablomartinbobe@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them - reference NPS’s : General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Shannon Corbeil
volatilecurlz@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Sparrow McMorran
sserrano_7@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Lisa Goetz
jilly415@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Roxanne Moreno
aquariosis@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Geraldine Greller
ggreller@optonline.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Henley
wildonionyoga@live.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Raleigh Koritz
tabbykat728@q.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Raleigh Koritz
tabbykat728@q.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
maria joannou
maria.joannou@t-online.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Kelly Devore
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Michael Gannon
mikegg888@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Nicole Berkheimer
mcphenl8@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Erica Sohl
81irving@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extend destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Kimberly Schmidt
hutchka@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Shana Van Meter
shanarvm@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Kaiba White
kaibawhite@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
sandra albo
albosand@libero.it
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Mai Hermann
laura.hassin@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Teo Teo
evilteo666@abv.bg
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Horeski
bhoreski@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Susan Lefler
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Low
kenneke24@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Valerie Sadoulet
vsadoulet@twc.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Bernadet Denissen
b.a.denissen@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Eva Johansson
eva.k.johansson@icloud.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Maria Schneider
thesmophoros@yahoo.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Ya Hui Shih
yhshih@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
M.K. Russell
katalyst123@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Tamar Greene
tamarong@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Catherine Beauchamp
clhediting@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kerrigan
jenn.network@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Warren TenHouten
warren_tenhouten@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Maria Gritsch
mariafgritsch@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
WILLIAM BUTLER
williamabutler@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Daniel Rechtschaffen
djrechtschaffen@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Alan Gump
alangump@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Lu Morano
worldphoenixrising@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
sharon cederblom
sjckitten@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Mika Hyden
mika.hyden@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Heather Smith
heatherluna25@gmail.com
From: Francine DiBernardo
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-
Date: Thursday, March 31, 2022 7:05:13 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Francine DiBernardo
frandibern@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Chris S
schank.schank@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all affected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,

Chris S
schank.schank@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Susanna Wilson
osus@pacbell.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Jennifer Hagens
j.hagens8@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Jacquie Bellon
jacquiebellon@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jessica Notheis
notheisj@mac.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Jessica Notheis
notheisj@mac.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Margaret Schlachter
m.schlachter@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beth Prudden
Bethbuff16@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Sheilah McAnney
gatorlove89@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Steven Katz
stevekatzchiro@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Bobbie Knight
edgarbyknight@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Dawn Klamm
theklamms@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,

Zoe LeBlanc
librarywaltz@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dukewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Danielle Katz
danielle@riversforchange.org
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Sarah Aiello
medeablue@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
AJ Ireland
amelia.ireland@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Bruce Campbell
madroneweb@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
alena Jorgensen
aj.1156@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
David Darlington
ddarlington@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Annette Hummell
hummell1958@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Stacy Kibrick
skibrick@levi.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Bev Von Dohre
slakewings@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Jennifer Hayes
xandysmom@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Mary DAmico
marydamico807@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Erik Melear
h20eng@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Richard Balducci
richard.balducci@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?  

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Joel Schkloven
jjsolo0607@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
melvin taylor
melvin-taylor@usa.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

According to the NPS' own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “...impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
eberle ewing
eberle16@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Holly Middleton
hollicemarie@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Sharon Morris
skmorris101@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commissioners,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Juli Kring
jkuli3@aol.co
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,

James Katz
heziekatz@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Margo Salone
margosalone@live.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Juli Kring
juli3@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Cindy Hoffman
cleehoff@outlook.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Mingkai Cao
caomingkai1987@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Mike Rigoli
mrigoli@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Lidia Baltazar
lidiabaltazar@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Bridgett Heinly
kbmdogs@att.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation law, should be allowed to be culled.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Jo Podvin
jopodvin@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
ajeet khalsa
ajeetlotus@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Zorina Kibrick
zkibrick@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Christi E Dillon
racegirl1971@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Cheryl Watters
cheryl40978@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Sharon Ponsford
slrponsford@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Harry van Horn
h.horn80@upcmail.nl
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Onno van Horn
ovanhorn@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Steve Black
stevemblack@virginmedia.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Alexander Achter
bluz42@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Milvia Angela Codazzi
milvia.codazzi@comune.milano.it
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Nora Abdo
nabdo20@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Jessica Mitchell-Shihabi
jmshihabi@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Federico Bortoletto
federico.bortoletto@alice.it
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Karen Slote
wizardglick@roadrunner.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Alison Kilmer
alison@goodhonestbrands.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Eve Angle
eve_angle1@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Jacques van Zyl
grey@phi-audio.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,

Nigel Cochran
Nigeblue2@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Ingrid DuBois
ingride@infionline.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Kathy Ruopp
kathyruopp@cs.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Colleen Lobel
clobell1@san.rr.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Zorina Kibrick
zhibrick@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Dave Sumner
davesmessage@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Diane Gentile
dianegentile@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore, I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,

K. Rice
kyradear@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Nancy Freyer
nancyberning@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Margaret Hill
mhill6298@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,

Joel Fithian
joelfithian@mac.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Melissa McTague
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
DEBORAH SMITH
deborah993@cox.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Constance Artis
connieartis@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Irene Dobrzanski
i_dobrz@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Joan Ellen McCoy
jrmccoy598@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Diana E Smith
monet7936@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Cara Schmidt
cmst3@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Ruby Nieto
prinwarr@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,

K. Rice
kyradear@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
kristin Reed
reedkmb@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
katharine odell
khodell@wisc.edu
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Angela Knable
aknable@mdmlaw.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Andrea Schmitz
andrea-mail@gmx.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Joshua Konheim Heffron
piratedragon73@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Tanya Piker
tanyapiker@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Julie Hansen
julieellen56@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Petra Jones
petra.jones@bigpond.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Mark Hanley
amarksupreme@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20).

Sincerely,
William G Gonzalez
wgonzalezgarcia@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Lisa Keim
andrews@chapman.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Susannah Sebanc
virtualslvs@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Marianna Riser
mmrrser@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Renee Woodman
ladygrnthumb@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Pamylle Greinke
pamylle1@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Faith Franck
ffranck1@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Harper
bharper@ucla.edu
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
David DeSante
ddesante@birdpop.org
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02 ).
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Brooke Moncrieff
seafrog@rcn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Tristan Sophia
tristan9593@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
leslie lund
leslielund@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Nora Allen
maxdallen@ameritech.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Lori Brawner
marinabludolphin@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,

james a hughes
starman22461@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Fred Fall
fred08034@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Sal Bobow
lilcauses@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
SABINA PINTO
sibbyp48@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Dymphna Agos
woofdog402@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Tony Menechella
sondalei@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
margo wyse
bodica6086@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Dick Merrill
bluescat@deliveryman.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them—reference NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Claire De Coninck
claire@clairedeconinck.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Anne Eastman
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Michael Hynes
mhynes1@metlife.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Michael Hynes
mhynes1@metlife.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Ronnie Bolling
ronmanbolling@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lish
lishchris@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Laura Sueoka
laura.sueoka@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Robin Pappas
wakeupandsmellthedog@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,

Joanne Cockerill
joannecockerill@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Irene Osborn
taguasy@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Robert McArtor
robert_mcartor@bellsouth.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Helen Fisher
hmfisher416@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Susan Conway
susanmconway@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Ken Mundy
kendrum@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Marian Hussenbux
mhussenbux@btinternet.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Kathy Nix
ekatkat2000@email.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Michael Talbot
talbot.nkt@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Christopher Feehan
cjfeehan@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Seltzer
ees01@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Seltzer
ees01@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Seltzer
ees01@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Kerthy Hearn
kerthyfix@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Seltzer
ees01@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Sandra Boylston
cyclinsandy@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Robertson
kermusic@kc.rr.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS' Plan is violating the NPS' own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS' plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
David R Wilcox
moink64@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Phillippa Hilsden
fluffykittens55@protonmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
LESLIE COHEN
lcohen@sjindustries.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
CHRIS ESCAMILLA
cescamilla@miyokoskitchen.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Denise Brown
gnaturecenter@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Robert Estren

worldnurse1@icloud.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Michelle Macy
mmacyartist@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Terri Faircloth
terril107@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Rax Green
mabukkit@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
RICHARD BAKER
penpoint@hvc.rr.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Diane TALBOT
dshellyt@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Richard Heinlein
muhwase@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Carder
tlcarder@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Metcalf
lizmetcalf1@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Cristen McConville
mikla_2000@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Kathy Shoemaker
cpcastar@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Tasha Taylor
tashirs151@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
William Forbes
bforbes04@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
William Forbes
bforbes04@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Tristan Sophia
tristan9593@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Jasmin Engel
merastorphina@yahoo.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Dianne Buckley
mentorforyou@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Jeaneen Andretta
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,

alice van leunen
avanleunen@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Manuela Broechin
mbroechin@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jo Chapman
jochapman1944@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Catarina Sacadura
aragao_sacadura@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
B Nordenholz
betsybetsyn@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
M S Dillon III
msdillon@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Pascal Girault
pascal.girault.78@free.fr
From: Pascal Girault  
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan  
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-  
Date: Thursday, March 31, 2022 2:30:09 AM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Pascal Girault  
pascal.girault.78@free.fr
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,

hannes jaenicke
jaenickehannes@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Jan-Paul Alon
Jpalon@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Pela Tomasello
p_tomasello@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Cecily Colloby
e-mail@cecilycolloby.plus.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Charity Moschopoulos
charity.rome@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
colonel meyer
ronm430@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Bjarke Pedersen
bjarkefredskild@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sabrina Cohrs
simmerda@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Bodhi Amala
bodhiamala108@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Flávio Mendonça
flaviTo81@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Anna Jasiukiewicz
irbis77@wp.pl
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Sara Fontani
safontani@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Tanja Porttila
tanjaporttila@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
MANuela Tietsch
motkunst@yahoo.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Zdzislaw Baran
zdzichu1954@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Maria Baran
Maria.baran@vp.pl
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Pawel Kanafek
kanafek@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Paulina Baran
baranpaulin@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
MIRIAM IOSUPOVICI
zevsmom@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jocelyne Pinque
jocelynepinque@yahoo.fr
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Meryl Pinque
merylpinque@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
George Gorohoff
zaybertamer@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Quentin Fischer
fischerq@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Klaus Franzen
klaus.franzen@web.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,  
Chantal Van Beveren  
ch.van.beveren@telenet.be
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Charles Roth
CMRdesignCA@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians, It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Dagmar Leischow
d_leischow@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Paula Till
paula.till831@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Mary Finelli
MaryFinelli@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Elsy Shallman
gomerlu11@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Elisabeth Ritter
info@tierhilfe-zarzis.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation law, should be allowed to be culled.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Ronen Golan
ronengolan@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Joseph Rissetto
leshi927@hotmail.com
From: Santa Meikalisa
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 11:12:09 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Santa Meikalisa
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Tracy Templin
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Catherine Martin
martin.brown@htp-tel.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Christina Nillo
seamusminnie@att.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
W. G.
seapink2@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Dana Grunwald
molly-bloom@web.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Erika Liere
erika-liere@t-online.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Anna Gainey-Vejar
againeyvejar@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbots Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Anna Gainey-Vejar
againeyvejar@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Emily Thwaite
emilyjanethwaite@icloud.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Lois Cheesman
loische@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Helga Romp
helgaromp@t-online.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Bonnie Svec
fruitcakes101@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Eleanor Prugh
eleanactor77@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary. and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
JOHN HARRIS
johnharri9@att.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Sheila Dixon
sdixon429@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,

J Lasahn
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Margaret Goodman
mrssgwg@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,

LILIANA PAPANIKOLAOU
ASKOSLILI@GMAIL.COM
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
TERESA PITTS
tgpitts@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Jody Goldstein
jody@goldsteinonline.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Bassam Imam
CleanEarth1400@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Gavin Bornholtz
GBglide@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Charrie Janzen
cgjanzen@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see or hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Charrie Janzen
cgjanzen@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Alisa Houpt
alisa_houpt@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,

Todd Steiner
tsteiner@tirn.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
romelia salomon
romesalomon@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Anita Youabian
anitay22@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Diane Moore
geodian@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Therese DeBing
buddhabear88@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Catherine Krug
glidezone@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Sheila Cowden
sheilacowden@ahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
E.M. Mann
emkadmin-ec@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Brian Browne
brian6browne@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,

joe smith
memoriesjc@Hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Samantha Solomon
sollmon@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Brian Browne
bjbbirds@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Julie Herrod
jherrod9@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Roger Rose
onemaringuy@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Daniel Zarett
dzarett@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Anita Wisch
awisch629@aol.com
From: Laura Utrecht
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 8:52:05 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extend and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laura Utrecht
fiflu@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Doris Rodriguez
33littletree@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Susan Ross
susanrosscreative@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Danuta Radko
danutaradko@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Lori Kegler
lori.kegler@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Deborah Filipelli, PhD
dfilipelli@mcn.org
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Mr. Scott Dale Deering
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Rajesh Venkateswaran
rsv1973@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Robert Kurz
rkurz@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
christina jaqua
chrisjaq@att.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Doris Rodriguez
33littletree@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Kathy Rohr
katrohr61@outlook.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians, It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Maureen Porcelli
am.curious.sometimes@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Louis Vega
louisvega@mac.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Janice Saylock
jansthreecats@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Janice Saylock
jansthreecats@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Rose
mizkate52@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Jamie Kurnik
amiejlyn@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Gualtieri
kategvp@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Ellen Ayalin
alaska1896@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Elsie Venegas
elsie.venegas@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Erico Martins
e-fernandes-martins@uol.com.br
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Osborne
greenknight@cox.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,

Hannah Gardner
hannahgardne@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Patti Mckinley
princesspattimckinley@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

barry farley
farlet.barry@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Vanessa Seay
Suzie1149@GMAIL.COM
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Lisa Wilson
makeupdiva@live.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary. and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Goodrich
scribing@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Sharon Nishio
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Crystal Smith-Connelly
rockstar1231@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Gavin Dillard
gavco@me.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Patricia Nazzaro
pasn201@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Margo Salone
margosalone@live.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Patricia Murphy
murphy.patricia@live.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Andrelene Babbitt
mikicats2@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Rosalind Andrews
rozyandrewsms@bellsouth.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Tony Espinosa
tennisball31@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Lynne Scanlon
lrez30@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Maureen Knutsen
maureen.knutsen@gmail.com
From: Maeven McGovern  
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan  
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-  
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 7:20:14 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Maeven McGovern
maevenm@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”
During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Jim Allsopp
jim@goodhonestbrands.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Janell Copello
janell.copello@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
C K
WinterLightningl@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Julia Skelton
julieskelton@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Marty Bostic
sbost23@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Voeller, et al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Maryann Barulich
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Mercedes Lackey
helloelsie@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Nina Vera
ninadiamante321@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s: General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbots Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.
California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Irene Lopez
adolfolopez13@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Christina Babst
seamusminnie@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Morrow
worrom@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Katz
thomkatzmx@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
LESLIE Mueller
morelee1018@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Brian Zidian
beanskeithz@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Clare Hedin
clare@clarehedin.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Gale Wallach
oboей_insides.0z@icloud.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Michael Hamm
michael.hamm@centre.edu
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Chris Barnett
cbarnett.sf@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians, It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Sharon Lieberman
sjlieby@mcn.org
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Flynn
mflynn4461@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Pamela Rogers
rogerspamela6969@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Jim Steitz
jimsteitz@mac.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Robin Morton
robinthedeadhead@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Valerie Hildebrand
vintgal009@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Lynn Graham
animalwelfare77@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extend and expand the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Dori Grasso
degrasso1@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Rabbi Adele Plotkin
4adelejay@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Gayle Edelman-Tolchin
mommy725@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Philip Shook
huisbaas@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Cindy Clark
cclark865@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Fernando do Couto Henriques Jr
fcouto@uol.com.br
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Christopher Lee
CLeeEnterprises@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Lynne Bemer
lgbemer@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Nikki Nafziger
nikkinashmusic@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Nikki Nafziger
nikkinashmusic@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
K Danowski
silver_kd@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Ginger Hipszky
ghipszky@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Gabriela Ponce
gaa.ponce@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Sherri Fryer
bubblez62@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Margo Friedman
margofriedman18@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Patricia Blackwell-Marchant
patmarchant@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,

Stuart Clark
s3g6c9@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Massey
claudia1112003@outlook.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Jan Pfeiffer- Rios
jpfeifferrios@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Donald Garlit
donaldgarlit@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Lisa Cubeiro
lisacubeiro@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Susanna Frohman
susanna.frohman@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
graciela ramirez
twotonetia@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Andrea Wolfson
andrea.wolfson@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extend and expand the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Pedro Mercado
crickey3400@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Judith Hansell
jdhrn@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Camie Rodgers
camie.rodgers@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Claudia Johansen
e-mail4ccj@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Lorrie Gault
lkgault@cs.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Mark Feldman
happeevegan@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Carla Behrens
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Lisa Salazar
lisajunior@att.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Janice Stanger
janicekstanger@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Ruiz
r.diaz.13@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Sharon Balzano
luvpeace99@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Scott Watanabe
jedimasterryo89@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Rita Emami
ritaemami@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lois Dunn
dunnlois@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Lance Kammerud
lance2479@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,

Jean Buchanan
jabuchanan23@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,

Judy Wong
wongjudy57@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Doyle
caseydkcd@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
caryl speck
caryl.speck@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Carl Tyndall
Ctyn2000@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Judy Fairless
8wildcat@optonline.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
As Er
reilsshera@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Sa Re
Reilsshera@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Cassandra Cranmer
alexislake@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,

James Hurley
jimagination@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Mark Glasser
mark7glasser@ca.rr.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Nadine James
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Lois Yuen
loisy1@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Katrina Shortridge
katlogan@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Lynn Barbieri
lbbarbieri@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
John Howden
jhowden@rogers.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please do not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Joyce Devlin
joycedevlin@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Debra Jones
dljbeach@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Ann Sheffield
annsheffield@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Steve Wanninger
smwanninger@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Charlene Johnson
cramscaj@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Susan Jordan
honeygirl2361@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Carol Jagiello
cjags91@optonline.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Wendy Weldon
wendylweldon@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Debbie Goodwin
weberdeber@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Ilse Spiegel
schoenbrunn85@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Suzanne Kunstman
tuti_on_ice@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Jean Dibble
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

This is not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

This is not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Pacanovsky
beth.pacanovsky@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Orysia Twerdochlib
orysiat@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Kacey Donston
luvtrees@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
alexander vollmer
abv5@cornell.edu
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Kim Sellon
kimsellon@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California

Sincerely,

Toni Adisano
Tweeti1952@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Mark Henry
anacristinajacques@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Mil Apostol
milapostoll1@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,

LuAnne Swainson
mswainson@att.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Jessica Fielden MD
jesigata@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Julia N Allen PhD DVM
DrJNA@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Karen Toyohara
spboersma@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Amy Proctor
gunnwitch1@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,

kim froehlich
kimfroehlich4218@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was encouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Erica Munn
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Ben Gillum
bgillum86@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Christy Carico
carico.christine@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Annabelle Herbert
annabelleh13@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Debra Teplin
alpine700@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Joan Hansen
jhansen9667@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Liz Field
ejefield16@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Mary Shabbott
mshabbott@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Norma Jeffery
bobooobrown@mac.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Tamara Cain
fourdogs1950@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Anthony Wong
twong502@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Cliff DeVries
cliffdev@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,

Elena Tillman
tillman.elena@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,

Kris Strate
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Colleen Lobel
clobel1@san.rr.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Dachuk
ratz2katz@yahoo.ca
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Gregory Esteve
gvesteve@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Gregory Esteve
gvesteve@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,

Doreen Tignanelli
doreentig@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Charles Alexander
ch_a_alex@hotmail.comp
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Beverly Williamson-Pecori
bwp97@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Maggie Kauffman
mia1773@yahoo.vom
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Vicky Brandt
brandtv@mac.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mindy Pfeiffer
mindypfeiffer@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,

Bruce Sowden
bruce_sowden@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Stephen Haney
stephenhaney@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Joseph M Varon
jvaron613@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Karen Dabrusin
kdabrusin@aim.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Ruiz
sylvia@tierraconceptsinc.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Allisyn Snyder
allisynsnyder@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Tiffany Grant
TifGrant@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Pasquarello
hirosie88@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Sasha Hjerpe
sashahjerpe@att.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Paige Humphreys
paigehurley73@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Trosper
cherylt4235@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
valerie clark
vclark1947@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Nick Flaig
nflaig@scu.edu
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Deb CASTELLANA
deb.castellana@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Christina Frutiger
cristinalfrutiger@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Mary Keithler
mkeithler@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Joan Gingeresky
joangingeresky@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Meredith Needham
pianohag@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,

Dennis Morley
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”
Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Sherry Monie
sherry.monie@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Gordon Beebe
gordbb@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
anne veraldi
anneveraldi@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Ann Jackson
annja7007@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Michelle Ramauro
mnramauro@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Richelle Kogan
kodolphin@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Tim Ryan
janemarie19@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
saRah Wyckoff
sarahwendeline@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Michele Dawn Sanderson
vega7dawn@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeldt said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Selina Stratton
selinabean85@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Crys Carithers
Cmcbartender@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Tracy Nguyen
tracytn@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s : General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Oliver Guichard
lys_knight@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Thomas Boughan
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Toni Wolfson
hummingdeer@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Kay Arthur
kayarthurlfc@icloud.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Rob Kornet
dkornet@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Robert E Goodman
bonairena@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Nuri Pierce
nuribpierce@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Gitschier
jgitch@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Christina Winter
christylrden1963@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Melinda Lewis
melindaabq@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Melinda Lewis
melindaabq@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Martini
jmartini1994@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Ron Silver
rhinopias@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Margaret Silver
cattleya@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jeri Altman
jaderabbit1@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Kristin Hodge
krisbackstage@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Annerose Albus
annealbus@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jackie Tryggeseth
jtrygges@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Kathi A Ridgway
ridgkathi43213@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Grace Holden
gmh829@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Valerie Sisson
vlrie69@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,

Samantha Goodman
samanthags@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
les roberts
hobo17pollie@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Jim Head
jimheadjr@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Robert Ortiz
r77ortiz@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Wallace Rhine
fafner@bftb.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Marcey Lachance
mlachance@gmmsda.org
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Jon Hager
stormcrow60@xmission.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Nathalie Quesnel
na.quesnel@laposte.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Marina Mooney
moonking.charity@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Ellen Lewis
eggbert26@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,

Susan Yarnell
syarnell@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
midori furutate
blueingreen000@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Rolf Friis
rristrue4u@yahoo.com
From: Debz Jones
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-
Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 3:39:11 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Debz Jones
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Perry Gx
perrygxx@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Paul Anderson
apaulled@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Francia Jaramillo
francia23milena@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Sandra Sobanski
dragonsandy1@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Janet Neihart
janeihart66@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Jorge De Cecco
bndass@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jerily Rushworth
sinnamon_spider13@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Myphon Hunt
myphon1@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,

Jaremy Lynch
jpl92077@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Felicia Lewis
feliciawilson1@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Sharon Ketcherside
ketch07@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Anthony Patti
lovelllaker@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

This is not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Paul LeBlanc
gumbonchef@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Sherrell Cuneo
sherrellcuneo@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Josephine Cristobal
jbcristo@hawaii.edu
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Martini
jmartini1994@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
susanne madden
madrsrch@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Kim White
kimw@pixar.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Polly O'Malley
loislow@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Jayne Cerny
jaynecerny@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,

John Tetel
gerardtetel@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Craig Ballweg
cballweg59@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Carrie West
cwest67@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Nicola Nicolai
nicola.6@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Karen Martellaro
kar4earth@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Lisa Wojcik
lisadaniellewojcik@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Jill Nicholas
jlnicholas@rochester.rr.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Paulette Allison
paulette728@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Ken Kurtz
kkurtz123@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Walter Ramsey
walter.ramsey@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Joan Smith
joanesq93@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Tammy Saied
tammyds@citlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Kristyn MacPhail
kristyn377@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Betsy cousins-coleman
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Sloane Morgan
sloanemorgan@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subject to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Marina Barry
marinab5005@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Rich & Eileen Heaning
bumper8220@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Marina Barry
marinab5005@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Beatrix Wassermann-Otto
beatrice.wassermann@googlemail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Reginesanspub SCHNEIDERSANSPUB
Flora69@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
ennell holmes
ellenmusic@me.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Jamie Reifman
jlr2416@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Stone
istepstone@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Gwenn Schemer
gbs15@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,

Mary Moderacki
dmoderacki17@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Debbie Kearns
angeldeb82@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,

Kirsten Lear
learkirsten@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Tina Durakov
tgruver74@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,

Alli H
allisonphaney@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Amy Hopkins
hopkinsus@cs.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Karen Goetze
theouterbankstraveler@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Diane Fields
aliasmadonna@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,

m alker
kanako@pacific.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California

Sincerely,
Edith Ogella
edithogella@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Carole Smudiin
luvs2compost@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Susan Lantow
lantow1@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Donald Taylor
cplii@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Elaine Benjamin
ebalpine@flash.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Nina Aronoff
nlaronoff@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Billie Jo Tees
bjtees@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Lisa Mazzola
lmazzola@tampabay.rr.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Pamela Evans
gardenqueen@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Albert Fecko
slovaky@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Susan Goldberg
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Kim Fetters
kimfetters@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry to pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jim Loveland
jimloveland52@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,

John Hagen
umfb77@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Ralph Haney
rth777@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation law, should be allowed to be culled.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Thomas Lincoln
TLinc02155@AOL.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Johanna van de Woestijne
johannacalifornia@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Patricia DeLuca
rickscustompainting@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Jones
therealstephjones@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Rose Riker
RMarie1951@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Adrienne Metter
adriennekligman@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Diane Kent
jdkent@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Olga Abella
olgafox@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Anita Wisch
nitababe44@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
JoAnne Metzler
joanne.metzler@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
James Halbert
socahike@att.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Robin Vincent
badevilkittys@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Steve Green
malogatos@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches… Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the
ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Kathy Moraski
kmoraski45@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
William Goell
wmgoell@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
ruth Terrill
rtjt@toast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
margaret Patti
lovelllaker@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Matthew Braun
MatthewB@peta.org
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Logan
mklogan19@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Christine Villabona-Kuntz
puretrixie@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Faith-Smith
whiteowl1@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Michelle McKenney
zabilla@myfairpoint.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Craig Todd
c todd013106@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Pavel Tumik
pavel.tumik@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Liss
cynliss88@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Lois Jordan
lmjor@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Barbara Root
jambamom@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Henry Sanchez
chevypickup55@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Nancy Crider
naninwood@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Andrea Vega
vega.andrea.a@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Barbara Blackwood
barbara.bb@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
SHIRLEY IRWIN
bonny_shirley@btinternet.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Sandra Zaninovich
sandraoopie@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Beverly Simone
beverly.simone@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Guadalupe Killion
lupe.xochitl@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
jenne sindoni
jennesin911@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Pati Jio
aunt_toni@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Tatjana Walker
tatjana@wordwright.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-
seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Sabrina Commissio
sabrinasandcomm@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Anne Mazzone
annemaz1971@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Cassie Fletcher
cassiefletcher100@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Mike Rolbeck
mrolbeck@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Anne Mazzone
annemaz1971@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Jan Leath
ranjon2@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Catherine McGroarty
luxcat5@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Anita M Endeman
amendemanwk@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,

Sandra Woodall
lswoodall@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Medina
k_medina@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Pati Jio
randp123@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Carol Patton
carol.patton@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Fred Coppotelli
coppotelli@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Heide Coppotelli
goodshepherd@comporium.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Debora Sayre
sayredk@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Lucy Collier
ljcollier2003@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Carol E Gentry
cgentry439@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Jana Perinchief
janasg@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Karen Anderson
distantstarka@cs.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,

Michael Hegemeyer
michaelhegemeyer@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Jacqui Skill
jjinparadise@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Gilia Humrich
ghumrich@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Beverly Foster
hwlyfstr@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Greg Pennington
greglpennington@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Janis Millu
ijanis51@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Karen Spradlin
wohlbold_2000@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Gordon Wood
transhuman@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Cutler
snjcutler@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Meredith Erickson
mericksonatl@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Tucker Thomas
tuckerthomas51@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Ritchie
charsritchie@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extend and expand the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Anita Wisch
awisch629@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Erica Johanson
stoneybrook50@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Jorge De Cecco
bndass@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
sheryl Gillespie
sherylg303@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Anthony Mehle
amehle123@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,

Michael Lee
maleect@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Barry Medlin
docmedlin@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Elaine Eudy
alpha_b@bellsouth.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jay Rice
jaysrice@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Juliet Lamont
graywaggle@me.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Janet Peterson
jananjimp@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Pablo Bobe
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Warwick Hansell
landrovie@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Annie Phillips
SilverBlueWolf3@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
cathyelizabeth levin
silverdoubloon@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Pamela Olsen
pamee11793@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
NANCY OR
ORSETNA@AOL.COLM
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Brian Meadows
mickmauspost@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Eric Meyer
Stickwork@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Miller
miller.jackie624@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Caephren McKenna
caeaphren@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
P FRANCIS
PETER@GEORGESCAMERA.COM
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jean Cameron
jpcam1@cox.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Coover
betsycoover@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Jodi Igard
smokinj91604@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02 ).

Sincerely,
Rebecca Infusino
beccainfusino@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Chris Harrington
cpharr707@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Rev. David Sickles
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Bradley Tomko
batomko@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,

Emma Stein
ecstein12@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Ava Donovan
adonovan@miyokos.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Edgar Achury
eachury@miyokos.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,

jacqueline Eubanks
Lynnja1040@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary. and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Patricia Sanchez
luvmy75@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Marina James
kaleultrarunner@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Susanna Purucker
spurucker@bellsouth.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerned citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Pamela Vineski
vineskipatricia@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Marie DeJournette
mariedejournette@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeldt said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Andrea Weinstein
whimdessert@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Matias Marini
tutevermouth@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Erin Gort
gort.erin@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Klamm
nklamm@miyokos.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Anthony Halterlein
wackford@dtccom.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Miyoko Schinner
miyokoschinner@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jessica Langford
jessica.langford1@btinternet.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Maki Aizawa
maki@makiaizawa.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Carol Jagiello
cjags91@optonline.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Lisa Maragon
lemaragon@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Juan Lanza
jdspear@lbl.gov
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Marnie Gaede
chacopress@icloud.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
alan bedard
arbedard73@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Marcy Taylor Pattinson
marquisstp@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary, and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Ellen Koivisto
offstage@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Nancy Neumann
NancyNeumann@t-online.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Debby Butterworth
debbybutterworth@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Kenya Lopez
kenyalopez@yshoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Watters
cheryl40978@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Ellin OLeary
ellin.oleary@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Jeffery García
jeffery@mcn.org
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Ellin OLeary
ellin.oleary@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Christa Rounsavall
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Flo Kamp
kampflo2@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Theresa Harlan
tharlantiger@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jill Mulato
JillMulato@cox.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Michelle Ganote
michelleganote@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johnes's disease, and therefore can't be relocated.
Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Yael Shimshon
yaels211@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Mara Silver
mnsilver2@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,

kristy loye key
kristykey0324@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Kristy Loye Key
kristykey0324@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
R. Zierikzee
inor@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Mark Burton
markburton500@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary. and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Mai Hermann
laura.hassin@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Jordyn Gates
marchjo.G99@outlook.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Nancy Chismar
nanle999@optonline.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Kathy Aub
tarponebay@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Donna Klipstein
donnaklipstein@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
LaRee Maguire
laree@sonic.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Kris Cedar
kriscedarphoto@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Kris Cedar
kriscedarphoto@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Susan Mayer
artersa@att.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Karen Rome
chong.1@netzero.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Mary Buerman
olrlm@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Patricia Hein
rehpah7128@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Margaret McGinnis
margaretmcginnis@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Sarah Sowambur
sarah.sowambur@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Lynne Wiley
lwiley@rochester.rr.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Lorraine Gorman
lkj09@optimum.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,

humberto fabrette

humbertofabrette@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Koff
tapdancinginlasvegas@netzero.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Bellinda Rolf-jansen
belly-j@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johnn's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Books
pigeonsave@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Tia Simon
tia.40@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Lu Morano
worldphoenixrising@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS* and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Mireille Dumont
mireille.dumont@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,

Jodi Wick
jodi20f@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Connie Kirkham
cks2email@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Robert Raven
robraven60@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

This is not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Merrill Loomis
merryloo@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Steve Schramm
reelsafari@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Kenda Francis
kendafrancis@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Kenda Francis
kendafrancis@yahoo.com
From: Linda Reilly
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 9:34:09 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Linda Reilly
lindanreilly@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Krista Reynolds
krismarie33@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Lois Yuen
loisy1@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Nunez
evilbitch_13@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Sherry Weiland
shertylw9@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Dr. Robert and Ginny Bonometti - LTC USA Ret
RJBonometti@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Siler Panowski
silerp@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Dana Parnay
dparnay@netzero.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Thoi Pham
thoipham@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,

Autumn Phipps
odetoautumn@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them—reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.
From: Corinne Johnson  
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan  
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-  
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 6:59:14 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Corinne Johnson  
corinneleejohnson@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Melissa Warfield
melissawarfield@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Whitney Wagner
whitney.wagner@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Elaine Michaud
studioms@pacbell.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Aldana Santto
aldana_santto@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Deborah Dahlgren
dahlgrendeborah@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Andrew Ferguson
andyf@sonic.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
June Elliott-Cattell
cattellmail@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Mark Feldman
happeevegan@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in, but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
A George Beeler
agbcomm@sonic.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
A George Beeler
agbcomm@sonic.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians, It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Arden Green
ardenj13@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them—reference NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbots Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Leslie Burton
leslie.burton.prof@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Janet Forman
giselle351@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Kate Crowley
ravenkate49@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
John Barger
john@johnbarger.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Lori Beth Kidd
lbkflorida@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Deirdre Coyne
doodlessf@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Rachel Griffiths
firechik@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Rachel Griffiths
firechik@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Peter Thai
pthai83@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,

Julie Ford
jford29105@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
sue saltzman
sydssue@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Nina Clausen
onehousedragon@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Lynne Stietzel
lynne.stietzel@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Katie Carroll
kcarroll11@outlook.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Jeff Thayer
scubapong@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Gary Goetz
gag888@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,

Erich Rex
erichrex@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Anna Łukaszewicz
ania13@juno.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Chris Pedone
mickbellini@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Lisa Janson
Ljanson118@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary, and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Patricia Packer
pattiac@nycap.rr.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeldt said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
gabby zaldumbide
gabriela@huntersofcolor.org
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Mel Wright
Mel@tirn.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Ellen Koivisto
offstage@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,

Greg Schafer
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Sheila Ward
asopao@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,

Susan King
srking@value.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Lisa Hilyer
mountnsage@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,

Helen Rowe-Drake
luckystarllamas@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Sharon Carlson
mr.furley@live.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Jimmy Flatt
jimmyvf318@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Bruno Courbage
bruno.courbage@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Antje Peters
petersantje@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Greg Hyatt
ghyatt_4@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Mary Zack
mlzack@web.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Haley Getz
hmgetz9@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Vicki Johnson
vickikristinejohnson@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Derek Lewis
dlew16@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
David Boyer
david.boyer@alumni.stanford.edu
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Nicole BONGIOVANNI-Lewis
econico13@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Alan Kiviat
alank5e@earthlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Kelly Henderson
kellyozzi@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Julija Merljak
planetjulija@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
thalia lubin
thalia@thaliaproductions.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Amy McManus
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Glenn Hufnagel
gphufnagel@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not outright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Glenn Hufnagel
gphufnagel@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Wolfe
jwolfe087@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission, 

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,

Richard Kite
coloneledamvc@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
rob coombcobbking11@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
freddie williams
fwilliams@tiscali.co.za
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
josh loria
joshloria@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Krin Asselta
buffington@centurytel.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Powell
aruchty@value.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Kathie Kingett
kingett.kathie@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Camilo Echavarria Uribe
olimacu@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Ann Tagawa
anntagawa@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Barbara Hanson
patamadre@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Carol Leuenberger
catberger@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Carol Leuenberger
catberger@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Norma Wallace
587njw@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Ken Martin
sunaru8@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Valerie Coshnear
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Jeff Thayer
scubapong@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Melvin D. Cheitlin
mellac22@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Freddy Rohlen
freddyghorses@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
ilene gudelsky
ilene@owlfeatherfarm.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Elisa Townshend
esjq@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Lisa Salazar
lisajunior@netscape.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Sandy Kavoyianni
sankavo1@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Lori Beth Kidd
lbkflorida@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Barbara Kuecker
barbarakuecker@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Scherbak
lizardes@msn.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Astrid van der Geest
astridvandergeest@hotmail.nl
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Silvana Borrelli
silbor13@verizon.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Lapointe
incredistical@outlook.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
ADRIANA TRASNEA
adrianatrasnea@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Andrea Rohr
anrohr@anrohr.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Borg
cospotts@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Lorenz Steininger
schreibdemstein@posteo.de
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s: General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Schally
NordicChicMN@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Suehan Estrada
suehanb@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Judith S Anderson
jskanderson@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Victor Carmichael
vcarmichael@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Takeo Tabuchi
takeotabuchi1@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Merz Peggy
redfoxwalks@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Keli Hendricks
darbyhendricks@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Elisa kleven
elisakleven7@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Sheri Cardo
scardo33@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Marce Walsh
marcewalsh@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commission to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Jackie Demarais
jackie@suddenlink.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Sheila Ward
asopao@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Diana Duffy
Ddaside88@sbcglobal.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Jenifer Johnson
valerianalexander@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not outright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Holly Rose
hbeerose@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Anthony Donnici
eagtv@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,

Michael Ballin
ballin_5_3@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
s smith
sbskier@hotamil.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
cara lou wicks
cara_lou@cox.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
amy trusso
amytrusso@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
dorothy blake
dorothyblake0@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Aloysius Wald
ajwaldtwo@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them—reference NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Richard Ordonez
kingrpo@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Silvia Bertano
silvia.bertano@comune.torino.it
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary. and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Kate Llewelin
kredman.cetologist@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Tracey Kleber
tracey.kleber@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Louis Rhodes
an_doc@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jon Charles Falk
jcfalk@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Judy Moran
timstarjudy@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
LESLIE Krygier
bitterdregs1313@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Parrie Henderson
parriehendok@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Annette Varady
annettevarady@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Laetitia Amadei
laetitia8269@outlook.fr [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Tracey Mangus
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Roy Falk
royfalk@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them—reference NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Sheri Varner-Munt
sevarner@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Ragen Serra
kermitsqst@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Robbe Verhofste
robbekev@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
T Sherrill
tawny.sherrill@csulb.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Don Faia
dfaia@cruzio.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
sally beauford
sallybeauford@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
vicki hughes
vdh2810@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Jaedra Luke
jaedraluke@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Brent Durand
brentdurand@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Deana Piedra
deanapiedra@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Quida Jacobs
qlj888@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,

Joseph Vincent
conrua@juno.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
M Rute Correia
mrute@optonline.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
PAMELA LICHTENWALNER
pslichtenwalner@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with John's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Bruce Cratty
brucecratty@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Heidi ahlstrand
ironrancher@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Laura Long
lauralynn7@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Denise Frullo
denise@fefen.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Tanya Glasser
tglasserco@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Amy Cyr
acyr44@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
scott mavis
scottmavis24@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Vic Bostock
care4animals@hotmail.co.uk [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Jamie Johnson
jamiejohnsonnyc@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Scott Webb
scottwebb02@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However, water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Maggie Kalabakas
maggie_torsten@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Veronica Bourassa
RORLOWSKE@GMAIL.COM [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Noble Woodward
kwcw@roadrunner.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Roxanne Christie
dawnfromtheoak@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
gina sanfilippo
gina.sanfilippo@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Michael Weaver
mjweaves74@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Reynolds
greybraham@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Kathy Mason
kmason633@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Barb Tokunaga
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Mireault
kathymireault2012@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Charles SMITH
cssasmith@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Nicol
nicol.tiffany@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Deborah Perry
debperry1225@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Jessica Heiden
jlhiowa2@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Anne Barker
annenb@alumni.iwu.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Adriana Nunez
angelfish69@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Mari Elvi
mariaelvira631@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Shari Iacone
siacone@iisl.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Rhonda Johnson
rdtgjohnson@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Susan M Ponchot
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jean Riehl
jean_carol_anna@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
kathy beeney
kat_beenity@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extend destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Michael White
white837@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Debbie Willis
wdwillis3@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Steve Overton
steveo2857@yahoo.co.uk [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Kay Tsuin
rassors@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however, the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Mark Rule
rule5181@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Mark Klugiewicz
tmlugs@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,

KELLY KREISER
kelly.kreiser69@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Charles Hammock
charleshammock1966@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Justin KREISER
justinkreiser6@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Brittney Hammock
brittneyhammock1999@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
KELLY KREISER
kelly.kreiser@advantagesolutions.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Claude Robert
ldruide@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Tammy King
hotjenday@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Jane Broendel
jbthatch01@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Lozz Starseed
lozzie666@hotmail.co.uk [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
From: Sonia Romero Villanueva  
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan  
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-  
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 6:11:08 AM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Sonia Romero Villanueva
pupycom123@yahoo.es [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Eric Wollscheid
ewoll8@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Christina Lee
chewett2@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Melissa Gaskins
gaskinshockey@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Parks
jenjer2@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Richard Johnson
jazzpacnw@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Rickey Buttery
rickyb7771st@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
SUSAN SILLARS
strings.attached1@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Sarah Hamilton
bigguy287@twcny.rr.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Martha Burton
martyb1@tampabay.rr.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Rickey Buttery
rickyb7771st@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Nikki Wojtalik
nwojtalik@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Bettina Lorenz
aliti05@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Francesca Bortoli
fran.bj@tiscali.it [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Steve S
washingtonstevesmith@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
RICHARD BAKER
penpoint@hvc.rr.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Ardis Karr-Robak
ardis.rainbowflower@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Suzanne Butler
sbois2001@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Bridget Irons
whitetaileddeer@verizon.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Sylvana Arguello
SantaCruz305@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Alexandria Hendrix
lexilhendrix@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Deborah Dahlgren
dahlgrendeborah@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Maria Rua
phoenix_chick_2000@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Adam DOnofrio
bigadfromlb@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Lori Sawyer
lorrisawyer@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Vasileios Grigoriou
bill99998@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Yee Yean Lim
ianlyy@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
francis mastri
famastri@me.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Russelle Morrison
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Karen Stritzinger
karenstritz@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Michael Rynes
discubs697@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
From: Lisa Collon  
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan  
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006- 
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022 4:16:14 AM  

Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Lisa Collon
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Casanova
lizcasanova@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Phillippa Hilsden
fluffykittens55@protonmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Marion
nyyankes23@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Zandy Huff
zandy.huff@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated.
Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California

Sincerely,
Dee Warenycia
warbler5@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,

ahmed Hadidi
ahmed.hadidi@veolia.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
shirley mills
shirleymills12@yahoo.co.uk [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Peg Kucek
pegkucek@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Peggy Powell Morgan
peggypowell340@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Marina Sagardua
sagarzazu@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Arifa Isabel
arifa.isabel@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Krisanne Baker
bakerk93@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Mitzi Frank
frankmitzi@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Mark Hirshfeld
tcsunnyday3@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Mitzi Frank
frankmitzi@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Lisa Koehl
lkoehl@snet.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Diane Eisenhower
sailawaydi@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Sarah Gould
sarah.gould16@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Joseph Quirk
jquirk66@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Rayline Dean
RaylineDean@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Gustavo Gomes

[Your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Jean Naples
jeannaples7@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Eunice Sousa
bluelighttt@sapo.pt [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,

Vivienne Ben-Shir

vivienne.ben.shir@talktalk.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Yannis KARAOUZAS
j_karaouzas@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
carol-alida pennington
carol.alida@protonmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Michaela Reisser
michaelareisser@t-online.de [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all affected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,

Olimpia Storoni
olimpia.storoni@libero.it [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Kerstin Selhausen
kerstin.selhausen@googlemail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Steve Vicuna
stevevicuna4@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
maurizia burlando
maurizia.burlando@live.it [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Sija Sur
sija1810@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Lewis
Chanteuse7@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
robert mitch
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Ainga Dobbelaere
ainga-d@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
David Brodnax
brodnax@alumni.northwestern.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
MariaGrazia Sanguin
p168@libero.it [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,

MariaGrazia Sanguin
p168@libero.it [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
caroline themm
ninacw@aol.vom [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Carrie Bezler
carriebezler@posteo.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
The Rev Dr Edward Kern
amazinggrace3@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Ken Wu
kenkw@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Thomas Talbot
tntalbot56@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Thomas Talbot
tntalbot56@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Phyllis J Schmidt
jacq23400@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Dorothea Stephan
dorotel@t-online.de [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
serena ruffilli
koalina74@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Judy Miller-Lyons
earthlady@optonline.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Debra Cameron
stardlc@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Elisabeth Bechmann
elisabeth.bechmann@kstp.at [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
lois harris
msclois@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Jeff Hoffman
hefjeff.hof@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Dan O'Toole
danotoole8@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,

Javier Tavora
chinajavier@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Jim Chisholm
jimchism@shaw.ca [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Amy Harlib
amyharlib@e-activism.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Frankie Harris
frankiegone2dogs@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Ira Gerard-DiBenedetto
iragerard@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
cara artman
singingcara@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Bea Prinz
besopri@aol.de [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Chris Dennis
chris.dennis91@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Kopczynski
adivachanna@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Thomas Scott
tcfelix145@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary. and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Susan Delles
sdelles@jeffnet.org [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Edeltraut Renk
edeltraut.renk@alice.it [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Candace LaPorte
candiphantom@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Axa Tolonen
aktolonen@protonmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Jason Fish
collectivesole@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation law, should be allowed to be culled.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Myriam Martinez
myriammd21@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Meg Paton
spay_your_pet@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Kaayla Roth
kaaylaroth1@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Meg Paton
spay_your_pet@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Cathy 2813984883
c.sikes54@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Teseo Staffilani
tesilibeo@hotmail.it [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Ken Wilson
talontours@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Jörg Gaiser
Joerggaiser@gmx.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Fredrik Larsson
larsson15@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Kelly
je2niferk@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Rosalyn Kamp
rosalynkamp@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Karen Carpenter
karenjcarpenter5@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Joan Wager
joanwager2004@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them—reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
SIGRID RAMOS
sigrid1943@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Molly Huddleston
mollyb@pacific.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
SIGRID RAMOS
sigrid1943@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Leslie Burpo
leslieburpo1@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Annette Vargas
annettevargas02@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbots Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Daniel Brower
pacuarecholo@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Mercedes Benet
benetmercedes@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Joan Keijer
joankeijer@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,

John Malloy
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Lois Nottingham
rosie2639@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Nina Gondos
ninaninettev@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Ibrahim rexhepi
rexhepi.ibrahim@orange.fr [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Nathalie Guyonvarch
nathalia.letarnec@orange.fr [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Dee Green
bdtgreen@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Enid Cardinal
enid0411@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary. and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Michelle Krueger
orca.song10@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Kostas Korovilas
kostas.korovilas@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Elaine Fischer
efischer@workmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Emily Willoughby
emilya57@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Margaret Lohr
sweepyzero@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,

Elaine Becker
elainebecker@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated.
Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
John Doucette
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Gayle Janzen
cgjanzen@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Debra Rehn
bibleeogirl@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Candy Bowman
canbowring@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Edie Bruce
sheshell2@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Dianne Matheny
diannehmatheny1122@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Tanja Rieger
Gracecherry@web.de [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Joan Milford
joanmilford@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Cara Barnhill
cara1083@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Jill Kennedy
jillk525@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Rub Good
pridges@sunriver.org [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Dede Dresser
ddresser@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Katha Kerr
kathakerr@telus.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Angela Gantos
amatlock51@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
JAMES THOMAS
JEEMZ@SONIC.NET [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Zoe Harris
zoehzoeh@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Suzanne Becket
8pawprints@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Beti Webb Trauth

lightpow@arcatanet.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Michelle Hayward
kittyandmoose@yahoo.co.uk [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jim Wilson
rockworm46@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Marcia Flannery
marciaflannery@stanfordalumni.org [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Marisa Landsberg
marisalandsberg@verizon.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Margo Lovejoy
unicorns232005@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Stephen Donnelly
tvc15ma@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Lynette McLamb
lynettemc@mac.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Davis Everett
ddzeverett@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Neli Teofilova
oberon_zoo@abv.bg [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Kaatje Adams
kaatjeplanet@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Schmitz
sasscat32@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Linda Carroll
lindalouise701184951@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Jimmie Lunsford
jimmieleelunsford@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all affected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Victor Escobar
sydbarrett74@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Russell Weisz
russweisz1@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Liana Lang
lianalang79@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Patricia Purdy
patricia.purdy@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Candice Johnson
wefivejohnsons@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them—reference NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Lexie Cataldo
lexiecataldo@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Judith Cohen
jctcohen@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Aurora Doyon
auroradoyon@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated.

Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Charlie Burns
charlie@envsite.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians, It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
kajsa ingelsson
kajsa@lifeofmjau.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Jon Anderholm
xunbio@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Jon Anderholm
xunbio@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
janet oconnor
janoconnor415@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to
the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Matt Maguire
mmaguire@crcnetworks.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Harriet McCleary
mccleary@stolaf.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Elaine Woodriff
ew0220@sonic.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
David Burtis
dbsorbit@earthlink.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Louise Butler
nobluebonnet@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Denise Brennan
dbre657144@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Joyce Grajczyk
jag4848@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Debbie Friesen
dfriesen@email.arizona.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Nina Monasevitch
oceanmana@hawaiiantel.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Joanna Martin
jamiem10martin@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Mark Giese
m.mk@juno.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Scott
jjscott9@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission, 

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Leslie Richardson
lbardolino@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
regula hess
regula.hess@wanadoo.fr [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
John Hoffman
jhoffman77@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Christina Viljoen
viljo3059@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
linda petrulias
acmwc@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Amber Gill
agillzooskittles@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Pamela Green
pamgreen11@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Peter Kahigian
p_kahigian@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Francesca Reitano
freitano@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

ING STATEMENT (50): In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,

Gwen Nolte
gwennolte@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
George Craciun
geraciun1@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Jamie Fairchild
j.c.fairchild@earthlink.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Lynn Wilbur
kalei.lw@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Stephanie Kob
stephanie_kob@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Katherine Hinson
angelfishhinson@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Donna Gensler
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Scott Species
sspecies@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,

robert dowling
juliebob47@verizon.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,

robert dowling
juliebob47@verizon.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,

Rudy Zeller
goforgoalrudy2@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Greg Elems
gelems@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Maureen O’Neal
momoneal77@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
kay buhler
secretleash@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Sarai Feria
nemesis_33012@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Freddy Rohlen
freddyghorses@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Carl Oerke Jr

carl_oerke@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Diane Gentile
dianegentile@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Frances Dunham
francesdunham@97520.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Gina LoBiondo
reggie13chip@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Cinzia B.
picchina@ymail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Krista Dana
k_puppy@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Reesa St George
handsomemancat@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Kim O'Connor
savagel@shaw.ca [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Malva McIntosh
malvamcintosh@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jenna Fallaw
jenna_grimm@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Andre Yokers
jockers.andrew@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Clau Pen
ictftbn28@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Linda Bellavia
bellavial@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Cindy Page
clpage235@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Sarah Lincoln
slincoln556@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them—reference NPS’s: General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Deborah Mastrandrea
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,

Paul Kalka

pgkzo@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jan Jones
jan@metrostation.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Yazmin Gonzalez
evaunit2001@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Raymond Arent
rjarent@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Linda E Peveto
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Kayla Stephens
kstephens755@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Margaret Ribeiro
mribeiro_2000@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,

Tori Freeman
toridragon@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Lauren Murdock
murdock_ls@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbots Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Sarah Kimber
sarahkimber123@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Dana A Shields
danashields@mac.com [your location will go here]
From: Mark Feldman
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 7:04:06 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Mark Feldman
happeevegan@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerned citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Dana A Shields
danashields@mac.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Abigail Howes
abigail_howes@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Karin Rettig
karinrl@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Carol Stevens
animalfreak98037@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Susan Heath
forbux@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Nancy McMahon
n.mcmahon1@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Noreen Ėassandrello
noreenlas@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Coltman
evelyn3226@charter.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dr. Theresa Scherf
theresascherf@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
C M
smillnace@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Susan Foley
susietwin001@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Shakayla Thomas
tshakayla88@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Karen Anderson
keanderson17@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,

James Stanton
jim33458@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
K Krupinski
kkbluerose@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Radhika Srinivasan
rsforplanetearth@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
jesse williams
arqwing@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Crystal Rector
wispycrys@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Ellen Franzen

ellen_franzen@yahoo.co [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Carol Chappell
ccngbrazil@hughes.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Kipen-Hershenson
futurecantor@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Bobbi Lempert
earthchild4ever@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-

Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 6:38:17 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Barbara Whyman
b.whyman@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,

renee vesely
renpenny@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Maureen Porcelli
am.curious.sometimes@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Maureen Porcelli
am.curious.sometimes@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Saran K.
sarank@mac.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Francie Salle
fgsalle@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,

Shani Schulman
HvnlyAngel777@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Michelle Palladine
mpalladine@earthlink.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
A. Mervyn & Marilyn Carse
mlcarse@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Patricia Browne
patricia.browne@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,

JEDD PARKER
jeddparker@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
From: Amalia Lelo de Larrea  
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan  
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-02  
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 6:29:21 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Amalia Lelo de Larrea  
larlezam@yahoo.com.mx [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,

C Abbot
caabco@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Derek Gendvil
dgendvil@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Pat Copenhaver
patcope@ymail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Pat Copenhaver
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Leo Kucewicz
j14lion@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Margaret Silver
cattleya@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
James Gifford
jdg1102@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary, and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Ron Silver
rhinopias@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Waltraud Buckland
avenidacats@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jan Bird
bird_house1965@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Flash Rosenberg
flashberg@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
KENDRA WILSON
kendracw@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Allison Anderson
alliesw@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Kellie Smith
kelf.nh@live.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Shelley Cerasaro
geyser89@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Carly Clements Owens
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Paula Morgan
paulaymorgan@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,

John Burridge
burridge@cox.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Tarzan Mangili
mattman898@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
joyce kolasa
petsheaven4@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
James Cronin
jjcro2112@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
David Sawcer
fifeeliz1@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Diane Marcotte
didee1000@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Steve Aydelott
staydelott@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Kay Fife
fife@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Carol Sears
Carolctc1@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Laura D.
laura_dehaven@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
leslie klein
kleinleslie@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Mason Griffith
magbaron@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Margaret Walden
catslave3m@netscape.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Rosamund Downing
rozdow@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Stephan Donovan
stephandonovan@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Barbara Becker
duckie3x@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
roth woods
roth.woods@emich.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians, It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with John's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Chris Guillory
chris_no51@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Sandra Holland
skayh63@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Heather Cross
trashwoman@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,

Chris Bowman

bowmanphoto1990@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Teri Sigler
siglert@ucsc.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Soumya Naidu
soumya70@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Mackenzie Evans
mackenziegraceevans@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Ruth Boice
rlboice2@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians, It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Nandita Shah
shahnandi@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
christine wisniewski
c.wish@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
audrey chun
shopgr8@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Pamela Wood
pwood1827@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Kathleen Kaiser
eiderdown1@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Sandra Walker
defy7746@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Hank Ramírez
latinleo@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Richard Barker
rbarker@asu.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull
our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Sandi Aden
ibshrt@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Blake Wu
skb kms@mail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Dianne Douglas
ddouglas@mainex1.asu.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Gail MacMillan
gailmacmillan@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Sherrill Futrell
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,

Susan Campbell
susancfp@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Maryellen Redish
mredish@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Marie Elaina Rago
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Lynne Holt
holtlynne@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Cindy Risvold
cindyrisvold@uwalumni.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission, 

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Colleen Lobel
cobell1@san.rr.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Robyn Deveney
wheelercamps@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Regan Ebert
reganebert@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
MArgaret Vernon
vernon.margaret0@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Nancy Thelot
nthelott@excite.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Nancy Thelot
thelotn@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,

judy pizarro
jxp411@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Jo Harvey
cailinfili@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Tajeer Robinson
tajerrobinson@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,

chuck levin
chucklevin81@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,

C Grimes
nckittenbird@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
William Foreman
WilliamForeman91@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Robert Wisniewski
bobwiz@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Tina Zenko
zenkali2@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Lacey Hicks
laceyhicks@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Denise Lenardson
tupper.ladie@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Craig Zimmerman
dragonfly6160@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Joanne Anderson
joannea_94583@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Anne Hamre
fledermaus23@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Dori Cole
dpernicka@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Helen Schafer
bill.helen@outlook.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Rita Lemkuil
chick669@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Steve Prince
cands78@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s: General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions. Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Annmaria Laverty
annamaria621@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Jami Dougan
jami.dougan@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jeanine Weber
weberjea@aquinas.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Dawn Hendry
dawnjeanine62@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Peter Ayres
peter_yrs@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Dawn Hendry
dawnjeanine62@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
David Stear
cinema21@cox.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Frances Mackiewicz
fmackiewic@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Janet Ginepro
janet9cats@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Lynn Costa
ldgcosta@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,

Robert Ferrara
hando1964@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Michael Braude
mabraude@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Melissa Polick
mpolick415@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
D Garratt
erpelding@excite.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Liz Erpelding-Garratt
erpeldinggarratt@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jean Le Marquand
jeanlemarquand@yahoo.ca [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Colleen K
dudette53147@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Colleen K
dudette53147@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robyn Reichert
scooteacha@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Linda Fighera
teddy lucylinda@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Marie Dickenson
derekd64@verizon.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary. and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Barbara Harper
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Eric Edwards
eeguinness@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Richard Spotts
raspotts2@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Sharen Oxman
shack694@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
lin hemme
stepquiet@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Susan Dannelly
sd92748@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
ALISON DICE
ALI-CAT902@HOTMAIL.COM [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,

Ray Martinez
rayzpitbulls@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Tharukee Amaya
amayatharukee7@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Mrs. P. D. Waterworth
pdwaterworth@gmail.com [your location will go here]
From: Bernadette Belcastro
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 5:03:09 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Bernadette Belcastro
bell20@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Ellen Homsey
ehomsey@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Carol Thornton
carolt@lmi.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,

Sara Gernsbacher
sgernsbacher@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Bronwen Evans
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Dawn Cumings
dmcumings@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Susan O'Rourke
Susanaoraaurke56@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,

Janet Robinson
bocacatlover@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Alan Wojtalik
alan_wojtalik@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission, 

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California

Sincerely,
Missy Kendrick
missykendrick@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Thomas Boswell
thomcms@juno.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Deepa Gopal
deepajee@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Rachel Wolf
therachelswoof@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
John Rhoades
jr@rhoadesinc.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Laura Campbell
campbell4747sd@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Green
jascain@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Devin Royer
contact@devinroyer.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Liz Field

ejefield16@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
karen kindel
k.kindel@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Dean Halpern
donotdisturb@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Claudia Bowman
claudiabow@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Selim Uzuner
uzunerselim@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Emily Dickinson-Adams
emmytwin2@cox.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn't completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Hilarie Ericson
hilariee@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Dominique Hecker
alpinelake42@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary.and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Anne Kobayashi
Annekobayashi@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
patricia perron
patriciaperron@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Edward Rengers
edreng@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.
From: Brandie Deal
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 4:35:16 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Brandie Deal
laughsalot0579@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Grace Gutierrez
kittyoliver@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Kelly Riley
khanlon74@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
CARL LUHRING
carlluhring@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Paula Cano
armalapelua@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Bill Both
saulpaulus@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Carol Fletcher
cefletch@umich.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Desiree Nagyfy
dnagyfy@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Mia Moss
miabmoss@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Cindy Moczarney
cmoczarney@op97.org [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Linda Black
swsmsca@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Brooks
jenannebrooks@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Francine Lipka
fran1253@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Michael Rosa
michaelrosa1999@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Wallace Rhine
fafner@bftb.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Nicole Heslip
nicolerheslip@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Ro Vanstrien
zvan030@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Sandra Dal Cais
plutonique@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,

brigitte Tawa
cbtawa@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Nora Coyle
lcsw89@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Nora Coyle
lcsw89@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Nora Coyle
lcsw89@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,

Nora Coyle

lcsw89@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extend destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
kirk francis
luddite33dirk@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Glen Anderson
glenanderson@integra.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Lia Wilbourn
liawilbourn@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Teresa Audesirk
terry.audesirk@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Steven Vollibs
charity089@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Marie Wakefield
wakefieldm_2000@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Takako Ishii kiefer
takiishii@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,

Julie Beer
rutledgesteve@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Sheila Kelley
chiapparussell@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Zach Ferrara
zachferrara@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will
of the ranching tenants.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Zach Ferrara
zachferrara@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Ian Shelley
ianjs@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Rob Roberto
kingpatsfan@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission, 

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbots Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Michael Lerner
michael.lerner.9@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Alice Jena
petlover1948@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
marilyn evenson
mevenson311@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them - reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Sally Hinshaw
sphinshaw@twc.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Reetta Raag
rraag@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Gary F Gilardi
gary.gilardi@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Liz Sanders
lsanders@benedictmetals.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Jache
quinsky_balky_0r@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Jache
quinsky_balky_0r@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Diane Soddy
fsoddy@frontier.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them—reference NPS’s : General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
allie palmer
allie@pjhm.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
El Pe
liz1952@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Ann DeBolt
annmdebolt@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,

Suzanne Connors
seraphinmassage@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission, 

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations. 

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,

Ruth Ann Wiesenthal-Gold
ruthann@woodlandscoalition.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Robert Blumenthal
rblument@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
An Feig
aafeig57@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Rita Jaros
wesrita@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeldt said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Susan Fischer
sue_rd_badger@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Jodi Rodar
hooppole@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Mary Dickson
topaz913@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
nathan schaefer
natgumpa@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Carrie Swank
caswank1@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Lessard
lessardjennifer@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Tracey Bonner
tlb8@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Eleanor Navarro
msmackey2001@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Joyce Overton
doverture19@verizon.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Patricia Dangle
dangle162@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Short
kshort60957@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Bernadette Andaloro
bandaloro2020@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Cristy Murray
doglady8@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbots Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbots Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Priscilla Martinez
priscillamartinez486@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Alan P Socol
alanpsocol@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California

Sincerely,
Rene Voss
renepvoss@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Cindy M. Dutka
mdmsass@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Maggie Topalian
mtopalian.7@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Steve Schueth
stschueth@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Jane Clark
kelly1946@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,

thomas moore
basil_1954@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Elliott Sernel
esernel@pacbell.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Susan Bortolussi
susie1055@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Marianne Garau
mlevakis@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Kathryn Fox
knfox2004@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
AMANDA STONEBANK
afssunshine1@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,

Daniel OBrien
dgobthunder@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Janelle George
pumpkin222001@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Jody Gibson

jodyg8@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

A Martin
afton_kevin@live.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,

David Ross
headhunt@stellareng.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Ms Lilith
ladycat76@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Salissa Chavez
salissac04@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Janell Curtis
thumper56308@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Walter Bishop
orindawood@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Bishop
cbishopma@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Miriam Kurland
mimbck@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Sam Matthews
samson1999@hotmail.co.uk [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Laura Herndon
laura.herndon@disney.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Arnie Schildhaus
jamaps@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Mary Morse
marhenmik@live.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don't capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Iris Patty Yermak
sandy253@verizon.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Laura Rice
Leukiesnake@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
From: William Mittig
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 3:37:09 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
William Mittig
wpmittig@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over $\frac{6}{2}$ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Marie DAnna
madanna102@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Steve Tyler
abc3dtd@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Pamela VourosCallahan
pamelazoe@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
MariBeth Doran
mbethdoran@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
David Meade
dvdmd7@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Jim Brunton
jimbrunton@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Rhonda Anderson
rolene37@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Helen LaDeau
hladeau@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Pamela Slater Price
pcslater@mac.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jaen Lawrence
jaenl@andell.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
ann malyon
issue@mypacks.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
KENDRICK MILLER
kwmiller@pacbell.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Kristin Campbell
Kpcampbell88@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Sue Velez
flimsysue@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Robin Peterson
rpeterson1117@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosytems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Christina Williams
clmw67@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry to pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Ernie Walters
ernwalt@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Darlene Friedman
Parula100@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
James Mulcare
JSMulcare52@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Christina Williams
clmw67@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
JOHN P.
jpnycs46@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jessica Cresseveur
jmcr@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Mari Dominguez
tweetymrsl@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Robert Posch
robertposch323@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Samuel Sautaux
samuel.sautaux@bluewin.ch [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The tule elk naturally belong in the coastal prairie of Point Reyes. Unlike cows, who greatly outnumber the elk.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Danielle Jesensky
djesensky@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The final Point Reyes General Management Plan Amendment EIS presents fecal bacteria data from fourteen PRNS surface water locations between 1999 and 2013. Safe levels were exceeded at all sampling locations, ranging as high as 1.6 million MPN/100 ml. Compare that to the water quality standard of 240 MPN/100 ml for water contact recreation, which after all is what we come to our National Seashore for.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Theodore King
txk13@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
R.A.L. West
ralive9@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,

Dana Bleckinger
dbleckinger@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated.

Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,

Deidra Lunn
nobodybutus2@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Eames
ceeeames@csulb.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Kathleen McHendry
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
ALESSANDRO GANGEMI
artax_c3@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Pamela Olsen
pamee11793@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
June Green
juneon170@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Andrew Hinz
ahinz61@outlook.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CCC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Kerri Mcgoldrick
mcgoldrick.kerri@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Heather Buchanan
Brmarcanan7@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Brian Field
field37@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Lisa Zales
lisazales44@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary.and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Skot McDaniel
engineerskot@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Janet Thew
gavelgoddess@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Lisa Mazzola
lmazzola@tampabay.rr.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
larry & phyllis stites
larrphyls@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Meagan Fastuca
meagan.fastuca@gmail.com [your location will go here]
From: Joan Glasser
To: Coastal Point Reyes Management Plan
Subject: Public Comment on April 2022 Agenda Item Thursday 18a - CD-0006-
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 3:15:36 PM

Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Linda Pemberton
animalibnow@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Judith Smith
axisdance@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Ainslie Gilligan
ainslie.gilligan@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Doris Potter
dorispotter99@gmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Robert Jardine
rljardine@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Michelle Setaro
just4us1555@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Heidi Steinert-Bresilge
conoverschnuck4@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Michelle Setaro
just4us1555@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
Kathy Simington
bellarose4ever@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
S.L. May
slmay49@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn….The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Lois White
loeyw1@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Karen Jacques
threegables1819@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
AIXA FIELDER
aixa1@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Margaret DeMott
louisfeldman@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Doris Alpern
dalpern@verizon.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Ashok and Kiran Bajaj
Cindy.guarnieri@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Claire Perricelli
ceperr@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Slowomir and irene Przybysz
p0land81@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Anne Kaufmann
bordercollies.greatestfan@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Valerie Sotere
Rudyofvalley@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Catherine Loudis
crloudis@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,

Cindy and Christine Guarnieri
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Robert Badcock
robert.badcock@blueyonder.co.uk [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Diane-Michele Petrillo
dcosmo@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Cindy and Christine Guarnieri
cindy.guarnieri@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Stefan Taylor
ststevectaylor8@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Bruce and Maureen DeNunzio
Milano3031@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Kristina Wunder
kristinasattler@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Mark Koritz
mkoritz2002@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Ellen McCann
ellenmccann63@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Janet Delaney
jld215@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Deborah Santone
djsantone@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Robert Thornhill
robertthornhill14@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Kelly Berry
kellyberr@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Donna Hamilton
donnabrattychick@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Mary Thornton
marybrabson01@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,

B G
barbaran2323@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Sabina Sonneman
dr.sonneman@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Denise Snyder
denisensnyder@live.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Ann Sandritter
Asndrttr@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California

Sincerely,
Gerald Hallam
alan1955h@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,

Thomas Ervin
thomas.ervin@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Deb Castellana
deb.castellana@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Sandra BonSell
sbonsell@mac.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Lisa Reich
purpletortoise7@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Rhonda Bradley
rgbradley@charter.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Gerald Hallam
alan1955h@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
David Burns
dcb1995@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Jane Drews
jcd907@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’ actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Mary Franklin
maryfranklin92@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Gay Goden
maxibemis@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Denise Martini
martini187@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Francine Traniello
MidnightBlue_22@webtv.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Marya Zanders
caravanz2@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Robert Gartner
planetaryg@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Laura Ricci
llaura1964@outlook.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Natalie Alexander
n4nettly@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Peter Broderson
Peterbroderson@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Grossman
kgtigerlily@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Deidre and Ronald Brown
cbros.32578@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
David Bruce Anderson
andersondb@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,

Amy Lagrone
amy_lagrone@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Teresa Silver
tewlowery@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Sophia Vassilakidis
manglebaby@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Thom Peters
voice4wild@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Mel Apodaca
dsgmel@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Gretchen Wagner
dddrums@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
sheila larkin
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Terry Tedesco
ttedesco49@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Robert Wohlberg
robertwohlberg@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Tracey katsouros
traceycsmallwood@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Susan Sloan
suesloan@earthlink.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Robert Bruce Anderson
rbasf@earthlink.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,

Andarin Arvola
andarin@mcn.org [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Cora Luce
clmariek@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Tracy Ouellette
tracyjouellette@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Camille Gilbert
camillegilbert@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
Vittorio Ricci
ricci.v@libero.it [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Peter Wood
nativeofn.y1@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Gregory Freeman
ashcreekgreg@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Bernardo Alayza Mujica
beralmu@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,

Maria Mariorenzi
Sassylou3@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Scott Vayo
svayo@nbbj.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Gloria Shen
gloshen@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,

Tony Gray
ezekiel1st@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Karen L Pecsok
kpecsok@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Comments on the draft GMPA and draft EIS from the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to NPS regarding the GMP echo the concern of over-optimistic expectations for ongoing improvements. It is asked of the Commission to assess independently whether or not the best management practices for keeping cattle manure out of our waterways, based on the topography of the landscape, can truly occur.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Jerri Berg
catglad@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Chen Sapirstein
sapirstein.chen@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Ken Kipen
kenfires2@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Rocio Luparello
rocioluparello@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Anthony Montapert
amontapert@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Suneet Srivastava
suneetforcharity@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
louis gauci
lgauc8@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Matt Brzezinski
bonoone@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Kristi Meyer
ItMattersToKristi@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosytems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
April Eversole
icecat302000@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann McFarland
maryannmcfarland@me.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,

Chris Carpenter
chrispcarpenter@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Rob Seltzer
RSSCPA@EARTHLINK.NET [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Richard Sanders
actiondave72@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,

DALE STEICHEN
dpjsteichen@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
gary parlette
parlettegary@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Lindsay JOhnson
linzman@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Raleigh Koritz
tabbykat7285@outlook.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Nancy Disimone
nancy17disimone@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Anita Scheunemann
arscheu@comcast.net
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Mary Whitlow
marylw5044@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Georgia Braithwaite
georgiabraithwaite@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Ted Fishman
ted10000@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Elise McCoubrie
emccoubrie@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The NPS’ General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS legally must not impair any park resources, including water. However water quality data provided to the Commission to date clearly documents impairment to water quality continues. It is time to end tenant cattle ranching in our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the Pacific Coast.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Diane Zinni
mtnbliss@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Roger Hollander
rojay2020jk@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Diane Kastel
classylady1@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Edelen
elvenmommy3@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Robert Brown
larkbrown@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commission to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

ING STATEMENT (50):

In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,

Mary Walls
mwalls50@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Allen
kalleninteriors@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Christina DeRespiris
cderespiris@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Edna Mullen
stokesouth@sky.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Karen Matkovic
karen1753@aol.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,

Virgene Link-New
linkerwan@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
THERESA EVERETT
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Diane Kastel
classylady1@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Mary Wylie
wyliepat@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Judith Anshin
penguinatlaw@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,

Julie Block
jblock0920@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California

Sincerely,

Lyn du Mont
8a5a4408@opayq.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Linda Gazzola
xenafan431@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
David Brooks
poppaoetter1@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,

joan johnston
joankevin2003@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,
John Dale Kennedy
tandoorii@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,

Stephen House
huckstorff@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Lacey Levitt
laceylevitt@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Susan Krause
skrause442@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,

Wendy Honold
wendyhonold@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
p Nunez
patbankers@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Susan Dubovsky
sshanti@ymail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians, It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
janie rhodus
jrhodus0546@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.”

During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Mari Mennel-Bell
mari471@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Michelle Blackley
micheller43fan@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them—reference NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Mitchell
throwaways@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The NPS posted a water quality monitoring plan from 2001. Therefore the reluctance of the NPS to propose an updated Water Quality Strategy to the Commission, per mutual agreement, at the April 2022 meeting, is telling. The NPS are aware of the ongoing high levels of pollution occurring in PRNS, caused by tenant ranchers. It is imperative for the health of our environment, our ocean and aquatic life, that the Commission rescind their conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and partner with the public who are against the continual destruction in PRNS caused by private cattle ranching.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Nora Lewis
noracnm@verizon.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Diane Kastel
classylady1@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Charlene Kerchevall
ramblin@cox.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Antje Fray
elaan2@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Claudia Wornum
claudiawornum@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California

Sincerely,

julie Rose
julierose22@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Beef cattle and dairy cows are not naturally suited to the Western coastal prairie. They graze in such a way that destroys the root system of native plants, which causes erosion. And their excess manure isn’t completely composted, which is why surface water at PRNS has such high levels of contamination.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Joanne DeHart
joannedh1@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Arielle Schechter
acsarchitect@icloud.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
William Nichols
beertrekker@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
Mark Reback
mark@consumerwatchdog.org [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

This is not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National Seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Nicholas Prychodko
prychdk@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,

Judith S Anderson
jskanderson@earthlink.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jared Brenner
jgbrenner1@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Candace Batycki
candacebatycki@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Michael DiDiego
spector007@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Chad Fordham
chabbu2@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,

joan silaco
m4lucky@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

It is time to end the extractive ranching industry in the only National Seashore on the California Coast. Please reconsider your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Valentine
faboo1028@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Sarah Stewart
sarahbstewart@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Bruce Hlodnicki
bjh55@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,

Michael DiDiego
spector007@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Timothy Dunn
timdunn@optonline.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Carol Hoke
carolhoke08@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Josefine Singh
josefine_singh@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Dale Sorensen
geodale1@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Burkhard Broecker
burkhard.broecker@t-online.de [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

As decision makers in this very consequential time your decisions will have historic impact. Please beware of “greenwashing” by vested agricultural interests with buzz words like “regenerative” or “carbon” farming. Agencies such as the U.N Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change are realistic and deflationary, and caution that these approaches are greenwashing attempts, like “Clean Coal.”

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Judy Schriebman
judymarinskierra@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Deborah Segnan
debster474@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Dona LaSchiava
dlaschiava@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Judith Tanur
judith.tanur@stonybrook.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Agnetha Broecker
agnethabroecker@yahoo.de [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Diane Sullivan
dianealida@mac.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Robert Gerosa
rljgerosa@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
AnaLisa Crandall
analisa_duran@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Ingrid Broecker
ibcrazyhorse04@yahoo.de [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
PAUL BABBINI
PEBABBINI@AOL.COM [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg ‘The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Timothy Duda
timduda@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Barbara Greenwood
barbaragreenwood14@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
mary more
2mamorell@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Walter Erhorn
waltererhorn@cox.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Please help us protect our public land and coastline in PRNS. Please revoke your conditional approval, and help us end private ranching in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Jim Steitz
jimsteitz@mac.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,

Jeanne Held-Warmkessel
jheldwarmkessel@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Kelly Connolly
sevdasec@hotmail.co.uk [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,
Stefan Petersen
stefan-petersen@t-online.de [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Anna Drummond
pal_95945@yahoo.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Susan Watts
susanmwr@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Seabrook
ceciliasabrook@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

It is unthinkable to have the most feces-contaminated location in America in a National Park. This level of pollution cannot be mitigated - it needs to be eliminated.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore

Sincerely,
janet forman
fayf355@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Gary Beckerman
rocksnfr@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Mary Workman
moonchild718@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Gail Roberts
gailkeywest@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan. The public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Joanie Steinhaus
joanie@tirn.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Robin Bressler
robinhbressler@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

On multiple levels, the NPS has proven to be unable to effectively manage the native Tule Elk in PRNS, as they have not made appropriate choices to date, with their prioritization of cattle and tenant ranchers, over our elk and our environment. Please do not allow the NPS to cull more Tule Elk in our National Seashore, located on the coast of California.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Teresia LaFleur
maxismom@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Martin Reifinger
m.reifinger@gmx.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Methane has a global warming potential 86 times that of CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

The recent NPS survey indicates that in 2021 the Drakes Beach Tule elk herd grew to 151 individuals, placing it above the 140-individual threshold for lethal removal set forth in the Record of Decision. Lethal removal of endemic Tule elk in the free-ranging herds while half of the Tule elk in the Preserve die of dehydration and malnutrition is a violation of the public trust.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Karen Slote
wizardglick@roadrunner.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Teri Shore
terishore@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

The current status of Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) is an appeasement to grandfathered landholders and industrial practices. By contract to the intent of the original legislation for design and development of the PRNS, the scope and magnitude of these activities should be removed.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Scull
jenscull@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Methane and GHG release from cattle operations is a leading cause of our climate crisis. I ask the Commission to not condone the impact of this destructive industry in our coastal zone, as it is time to do everything we can to fight climate change.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Marie Young
marieriverwalker@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Karen Kravcov Malcolm
kkmalcolm@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

I respectfully request the Commission to do the right thing, and withdraw your approval of CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Jim Steitz
jimsteitz@mac.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
D Schoech
dschoech@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
David Lowe
swedish_chef_dave@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Summer Kozisek
lazymoose2001@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is imperative that we fight to protect our waterways that drain into the bays and beaches of the Pacific Ocean, to protect the air quality that is along our Coastal zone, and the native wildlife that should be able to live without the risk of being killed by the NPS. Please help the public protect our natural resources in PRNS by voting against the NPS’ plan B.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Tracey Aquino
traceyaquino@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation law, should be allowed to be culled.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,
Carter Neal
cartercamden@live.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,

j M
maurer@fioptics.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,

Carlene Visperas
cinkies@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

It is of great concern politicians at the local and federal level support the NPS’s actions to cull free-ranging Tule elk in PRNS. I visit PRNS for the sole reason to see Tule Elk, and not domestic cattle. Please prioritize native Tule Elk over private ranching operations in our National Park on the California coast.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to withdraw their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive plan, CD-0006-20. The significant impact to water quality, air quality and our native Tule Elk, caused by ranching operations, should not be condoned nor allowed to continue.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

In this time of extreme climate disruption, we all need to do everything we can to stop global warming. Livestock emissions – from manure and gastroenteric releases – account for roughly 32 per cent of human-caused methane emissions. Over a 20-year period, it is 80 times more potent at warming than carbon dioxide. The cows in PRNS produce over 6 ½ times the GHGs what all the 2 million plus visitors and their cars do. Any rational climate action strategy – that isn’t just a greenwashing vehicle – will require the elimination of cows from the seashore. No plan or strategy should be accepted by the CCC as viable that doesn’t remove the cows from Pt. Reyes.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

Please consider these matters, and act accordingly, and in the best interest of our environment and future generations to come.

Sincerely,
Vesna Glavina
vglavina@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission ,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

Re-wilding Point Reyes is the best climate action plan. Why do we need to replace coastal prairies and wilderness that are proven to be the most effective carbon sinks with experimental unproven so-called “carbon farms”?

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The Commission has the opportunity to oppose what is essentially a foregone conclusion arranged at the federal level, in which the people and the environment suffer for the benefit of industry. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Patricia Maddalena
patmaddalena@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

The Voeller paper (2021) states ‘fecal indicator bacteria concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in the study watersheds.’ The Voeller paper also states that adding subsequent BMPs do not benefit water quality. Therefore, it is clear, from current water quality data from concerned citizens, that water quality in PRNS will not meet necessary standards until tenant cattle operations are removed from our National Park.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Claire Bush
vychumspg@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Linda Bescript
sadie8882@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Kate Kenner
faunesiegel@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,

Julie Harris
jrhjrh13@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Nicolas Dunn
nduong1@irf.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Holly Crawford
hollycrawfordb@hotmail.com
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true
regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Sally Sheck
sallydmander@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,

Leslie Lazzo
freebirdLJean@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Sean Harper
sph0125@live.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Margaret Petkiewicz
peckos@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Sandra Couch
sndrcch@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The NPS’ plan allows the slaughter of our free-ranging native Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not ethical, nor legal, as this endemic species is protected in California. Tule Elk must be prioritized over commercial cattle operations in our National Seashore.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Martin
MarilynLMartin@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Denise Sammartano
dcp3652@hitmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30X30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Anna Givens
annahg@sonic.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Louise Slattery
leslattery@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission, 

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

ING STATEMENT (50):
In the past 12 months, a number of large trash dumps which have existed in the park for years, hidden bulldozing of an anadromous fish streambank by a rancher, leaky or failed ranch septic systems and numerous instances of ranchers violating the terms of their leases with impunity all point to willful neglect, if not downright abrogation of duty, by the NPS. Based on the NPS’s extensive past history, the Commission can expect more of the same. It’s important that the Commission recognize the entrenched pattern and rescind the finding of Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Sincerely,
Sue Hall
otterone77@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,
Kellen Dunn
snobunnie1992@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Cows produce 150 billion gallons of methane per day.

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

Please act boldly to revoke the conditional concurrence. Despite overwhelming evidence of water pollution, bulldozing of natural habitat, toxic dumps from ranches threatening marine sanctuaries, the NPS continues to neglect its duty to preserve and protect a National park. We are counting on you to hold them accountable.

Sincerely,

russ ziegler
russziegler2003@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

The cattle in PRNS produce six and half times the greenhouse gases that the two million-plus visitors and their cars do each year. As our world is being consumed by mega-fires, extreme drought and vanishing water supplies, it’s time to phase out the largest air pollution source in our seashore: cattle ranches and dairies. No climate action plan or strategy can alter this reality. It’s past time for the cows to be removed from the seashore.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Sharon Longyear
sharonmlongyear@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

The extreme and dangerous levels of water pollution cannot be mitigated with best management practices (BMPs). The SF water quality board has determined that some of the mitigation measures are “technically or financially infeasible” and “in the locations where the measures cannot successfully be implemented, there will be significantly greater impacts than identified in the EIS”

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Carol Collins
collins54@msn.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Cattle manure from both beef and dairy operation, has significant impact on the multiple waterways in the watersheds in PRNS. Even if cattle are fenced out of waterways and riparian areas, during the rainy season, the precipitation moves the manure downhill, and into our waterways. No best management practices can stop this from occurring.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

I hope that the CCC will do whatever it can to remedy the situation.

Sincerely,
Kathy Kelly
kasiabkelly@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

This is not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Fred Granlund
fgranlund@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Due to the NPS’ plan to cull free-ranging Tule Elk and the significant die-offs of Tule Elk held captive behind an eight foot fence without sufficient water and forage, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval provided to the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Linda Berger
linda@dnstexas.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Caroline Boston
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The free-ranging Drakes Beach Tule elk herd at least have access to water, as they are located in an area of the National Park with a few watersheds. However, the watersheds in PRNS are polluted with high levels of bacteria from cattle manure, which will cause Johne’s disease in our Tule Elk. Please end ranching in PRNS, in order for our Tule Elk, other wildlife and aquatic life, to have access to clean water.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Betty Kissilove
cacaogal@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

I urge the Commission to do the right thing. Please protect our National Seashore, and revoke your conditional concurrence.

Sincerely,
Jim Petkiewicz
peckos@me.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Gibson
kennethgibson@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
Laurel Collins
laurelgene@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

Our free-ranging elk are being managed by the NPS through culling. And the free-ranging elk have to learn to survive in PRNS by eating non-native annual grasses planted by the tenant ranchers, vs the elk having native vegetation which they evolved with. It is time to remove the cattle to give our Tule elk the freedom to roam without the risk of being killed, and to restore the native vegetation which is what the Elk should have access to.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,

Javier Reza
jreza@cruzio.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

The NPS noted in their March 4, 2022 letter – “At this time, the NPS does not intend to initiate reduction of the Drakes Beach herd to a population threshold of 140 individuals.” This is an atrocious statement on many levels. The NPS threw out an arbitrary number of 140 (was 120), and there is no biological, ecological rationale for this number. NPS personnel should be focused on science to support the natural resources on public lands, and not focus on the will of the ranching tenants.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Beth Stanberry
rdtrtle@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

Upon close reading of the EIS for the GMPA, it’s clear that ranch operations cannot continue if clean waters are to be achieved in the park. For a ranch to be economically functional requires a minimum number of cows, and that number will produce so much manure, it will have to be spread on the land, where it will inevitably run off into the local waterways. That runoff has been shown to contaminate the waterways with levels of e. coli, enterococcus and other bacteria far beyond safe levels, despite the applications of BMPs. For clean water, private cattle operations must be removed from PRNS.

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kelly
je2niferk@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

Even if the NPS presents an action plan at the upcoming hearing to address the Commission’s concerns regarding water pollution, air quality issues and appropriate tule elk management, it cannot be trusted to actually implement it. The perfect example of this is NPS’s refusal to monitor known polluted waterways since 2013. It was private citizens, concerned about excessive and dangerous bacterial levels in Kehoe Lagoon, Abbotts Lagoon and other locations that tested the waters in 2021, at their own expense. As expected, contamination rates significant and harmful to public health and aquatic health. Did the NPS restart testing then? No, they did not. They have consistently ignored the public’s outcries demanding they take action. NPS cannot be trusted to fulfill its duties to protect our park and the public’s resources.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Sharon PORTER
ssporter43@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

California has always been a leader in passing regulations for environmental standards that are resisted by private interests. Within the Point Reyes coastal zone you have the power to enforce the state water quality standards to protect a natural resource from private ranching interests and hold a federal agency accountable. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

This not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn …The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
Maggie Frazier
mfrazier74@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In the midst of a pandemic when the general public needs to have the ability to enjoy clean air, clean water, and enjoy watching the iconic Tule Elk, I urge the Commission to not support the NPS plan which will extends and expands the destructive cattle operations in PRNS.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Gérard COUCHOUD
couchoud.gerard@wanadoo.fr [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Over the last decade, California has lost a significant percentage of the remaining Tule Elk due to the NPS’ purposeful culling of free-ranging herds in PRNS, and the horrific deaths of the Tule elk held captive in the Elk Preserve due to lack of sufficient water and forage. This is wrong, as the sole cause on the loss of Tule elk in PRNS is the prioritization of tenant cattle ranching over California’s endemic Tule elk.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Kelly
je2niferk@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Kindly consider the problems with how our National Park Service is operating Point Reyes National Seashore.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

“Livestock are one of the most significant contributors to today’s most serious environmental problems,” senior UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) official Henning Steinfeld said. “Urgent action is required to remedy the situation.”

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Armando A. Garcia
mondopwr@aim.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Having read that the National Park Service wanted more time to come up with the simple mitigation plans the Commission required as a condition of approval for Consistency Determination CD-0006-20, it seems to me that the NPS’s priorities continue to be misplaced. The NPS should be focused on the significant air and water pollution caused by tenant cattle ranchers, as well as protecting our native Tule Elk.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

Tule elk naturally roam and graze lightly, which allows them to coexist with the native plants at Point Reyes. But the cows move less and eat everything down to the ground, which kills the native plants and causes erosion and other ecological problems. Cattle are impacting our Tule elk, the quality of air, and quality of water in PRNS.

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Timothy Mullen
mullentim13@yahoo.co.uk [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I urge the Commission to withdraw the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as we must end the constant environmental damage occurring in our National Seashore caused by private cattle operations.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 the NPS says a delay in submitting plans to the CCC is necessary because they have delayed issuance of leases. Issuing leases has no bearing on preparing a water quality sampling plan but by falsely linking these two issues the National Park Service seeks to control the schedule.

I urge the Commission to perform due diligence on the Climate action plan to be submitted by the NPS. Regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration are new terms utilized by the Ag industry that is equivalent to the term ‘clean coal’ used by the coal industry. Cattle operations are destructive to the land, and air on many levels, and are a major cause of our climate crisis.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Lynette Ridder
captain_nerful@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Regarding the Coastal Commission’s upcoming hearing on Agenda Item 18a, conditional Consistency Determination CD-0006-20 for the National Park Service’s General Management Plan Amendment, I find it galling the claim the NPS cannot provide a water pollution control plan, an air pollution control plan, and a report on free-roaming tule elk herds management, as required by the CCC, because they are being sued. The lawsuit challenges the basis for their Record of Decision to make permanent the private, for-profit ranches that despoil our park. It does nothing to prevent them from doing what they should have been doing all along: testing the park’s waters for cattle-caused fecal and other pollution, reducing or removing cattle where such pollution cannot be abated, and making ranchers who harm the public’s resources fix the problems they’ve caused.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Vairo
sylviavairo@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to request to do whatever it can to protect and restore wilderness at Point Reyes National Seashore.

CCC staff has already determined that the GMPA is not consistent with the coastal act policies related to protecting marine resources (Section 30230) and water quality (Section 30231). You have the statutory mechanisms to object to this concurrence. Please do not settle for anything less than holding concurrence until adherence to state standards of water quality is achieved.

The United Nations states, “The sustainable use of land, soil, water and energy for food contributes to greenhouse gas emissions that cause rising temperatures.” During the April 2021 CCC meeting, the NPS stated they will partner with Marin County initiatives to address the climate impact caused by cattle ranching operations in our National Park.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kelly
je2niferk@att.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coastline, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

The NPS has not performed surface water quality testing since 2013, even though prior NPS data, and data from concerned citizens and environmental organizations have documented the significant levels of pollution in drainages in PRNS that flow into the Pacific Ocean. The high levels are E.coli and Enterococcus not only are a public health issue, but also detrimental to aquatic life in the waterways and will have an impact to marine life in the ocean.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

The NPS is being sued because the NPS’ Plan is violating the NPS’ own Organic Act, the Clean Water Act, the Point Reyes Act, and NEPA policy. I urge the Commission to remove your conditional approval, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Phillip Mitchell
psmyeolus@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

Native Tule Elk are a protected species in California, and domestic cattle raised for private, for-profit endeavors are not.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Lily Lau-Enright
lilomama@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Javier Rivera-Diaz
javierocker@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The National Park Service (NPS) was to provide a water quality strategy, a climate action strategy, and an update on the free-ranging Tule Elk to the Coastal Commission within 12 months of April 2021, however the NPS staff at Point Reyes National Seashore requested to extend their commitment. The NPS inaction on ongoing critical issues in our National Park on the California coast is unacceptable. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Kevin Vaught
klvaught@bellsouth.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

The McClure Dairy, on the I Ranch parcel, ended their dairy operations in the summer of 2021, for multiple reasons: there is an organic milk glut, the natural spring on the leased parcel ran dry, and the rancher wants to retire. However, even with the removal of approximately 600 dairy cows, 150 heifer remain as the rancher wants to keep the lease, but the water quality continues to be impacted from the cattle manure on the land.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
Glenda Corning
glenda@meadowsweetdairy.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

NPS has not done water quality tests there since 2013, for reasons withheld, even though there were significant bacteria and other issues found at that time. The results, published March 3, 2021, show 5X the safe human limit of coliform bacteria, 40X the safe limit for E. coli, and 300X the safe limit of enterococci. Please note this level of pollution, caused by cattle manure, occurs even after the NPS and ranchers implemented over 30 best management practices and 170 management activities over the last 20 years to improve water quality in Point Reyes National Seashore.

Beef and dairy operations are well known to be leading causes of climate change, so they don’t belong in a national park. At PRNS, overgrazing has removed the natural root system of the coastal prairie plants, which was a natural carbon sink. No amount of “regenerative grazing” (a debunked concept) will ever bring that root system back. Only the removal of cattle will restore carbon into the earth.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Lisa Jacobson
sheltergate@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Kevin Davis
kevin.davis56@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

No climate action strategy will address the horrific air pollution that is caused by private cattle ranching in PRNS. Per the NPS’ own EIS, 24,000 metric tons of GHG are released into the air because of cattle operations. This is not acceptable in the midst of climate change, and in a National Park.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

California is running out of water, extractive industries are impacting the quality of air, and we are losing wildlife on a daily basis. Let’s use Point Reyes National Seashore as a model for 30 x 30 and remove destructive ranching from our public land. Please revoke your conditional approval, and vote No to the NPS’ Plan B as it is not consistent with the Coastal policies in California.

Sincerely,
V Robert Wallace
last1le@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

As a frequent visitor to Point Reyes National Seashore I am appalled that our National Park Service allows ranchers to use the creeks and streams as open sewers for their cow manure. The disease-causing bacteria in cow feces and human feces are the same. Should we allow humans to defecate in Drakes Estero and Abbots Lagoon?

Grazing, is analogous to mining, logging, or drilling on public land, in that these processes can only degrade and deplete not protect. Before committing your key decision on unproven methods proposed by vested interest, please consider that the simplest, proven and cost-effective way to address climate change is to just let Point Reyes be a National Park.

The National Park Service (NPS) continues to fail the public, our environment and our native wildlife, especially our Tule Elk. Our Drakes Beach herd is now at 151 free-ranging animals, and the NPS has the ability to kill the Tule elk to reduce an arbitrary number of 140 – there is absolutely no science behind this number.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
gina colangelo
ginamarieco@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

I want to thank the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comments. I no longer want to see nor hear of the continual impact of ranching on my public land. Please hold the NPS accountable, and vote against the NPS’ plan. The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS - ranching must end in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Samuel Durkin
samussr337@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Andrew Arneson
ajarneson@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

No amount of “best practices” will ever stop beef and dairy operations from being a villain in the struggle against climate change.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Adelina Jaudal
ajaudal@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) needs more agencies to help save and protect our public land from the constant impact caused by tenant cattle operations on 1/3 of our Park. Please partner with me and other environmental organizations, and withdraw your support from the NPS Plan.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

Please withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Kia H.
hendrixx@ufl.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Our environment and wildlife in PRNS is worth saving, therefore I kindly ask the Commission to prioritize our National Park over tenant cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

NPS had decades to fix the water quality issue and their solution was to stop testing. They are still not serious about solving this issue since they are asking for an extension to provide a water monitoring strategy. With the federal consistency review the California Coastal Commission has an important responsibility to bring adherence to state water quality standards. CCC should hold the concurrence till NPS has fixed this issue.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Tule elk are native to this region, but domestic cattle are not. It is unfathomable the NPS’ plan will allow culling of our native species to benefit a handful of highly subsidized ranchers that are running their commercial businesses in a National Park. This is not appropriate.

As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I truly thank the CCC for holding the NPS accountable, and respectfully ask the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS plan, as the plan will continue to impact our waterways, our air quality, our native wildlife and native vegetation.

Sincerely,
Judy Sylvester
gardennotes@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a citizen who enjoys public parks, I want commercial ranching at Point Reyes National Seashore to be discontinued, therefore I urge the Commissioners to withdraw their conditional approval of the NPS’ plan CD-0006-20.

A 36,000 sq foot loafing barn, a massive concrete structure, was allowed to be built on I Ranch in 2004 on the hills along the Pacific coast, to reduce the high level of bacteria in Abbotts Lagoon located downhill from a dairy operation. However, data from January 2021, notes water flowing downhill from the McClure dairy and into Abbotts Lagoon, exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 20 and enterococci limits by a factor of 60. Loafing barns are considered a best management practice (BMP) to address water pollution in PRNS, however this is a prime example noting loafing barns are not improving water quality to meet the safe criteria.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Nancy PEARLMAN
ECNP@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The Commission’s post-hearing letter of May 10th, 2021, to Supt. Kenkel gave clear and specific direction to the NPS on how to structure a water quality monitoring program designed to address the degraded and polluted condition of the seashore’s waters, as well as a Climate Action Plan and to report on the status of the tule elk herds. For Supt. Kenkel to request an extension to the CC’s deadline smacks of bureaucratic foot dragging. The Commission must not budge on its NPS performance requirements.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

It is distressing to know the National Park Service (NPS) has the ability to cull free-ranging Tule Elk in a National Park to support the demands of cattle ranching tenants.

The CCC’s mission is to protect and enhance the California coast. It reads “The Commission is committed to protecting and enhancing California’s coast and ocean for present and future generations. It does so through careful planning and regulation of environmentally-sustainable development, rigorous use of science, strong public participation, education, and effective intergovernmental coordination.”

Per the CCC’s mission statement and the data available to the CCC documenting the significant environmental impact to our waterways in PRNS, including the NPS intent to cull our wildlife for private commercial ranching, I request the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) in order to protect the natural resources on our public lands.

Sincerely,
Joseph Boone
jboone@calpoly.edu [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Private cattle operations on 1/3 of our public land in PRNS, is not an appropriate use of land in a coastal zone for multiple reasons. For the April 7 CCC meeting, I urge the Commission to revoke the conditional approval of the NPS plan, on the basis of ongoing pollution of our waterways, significant levels of methane released into the atmosphere on a daily basis, and the culling of elk.

Despite finding very high fecal bacteria levels at Point Reyes National Seashore, the NPS decided to stop monitoring surface water in 2013. The NPS justifies this neglect by saying they would rather spend our tax dollars on “best management practices”, but water sampling conducted after these BMPs were constructed show continued risk to human health and wildlife from bacteria and excessive nutrient and sediment loading.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Tressa Reisberg
tressamarie.vegan@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

The successful rescue of the tule elk species from the brink of extinction is a signal achievement of the National Park Service. Elk in Point Reyes provide a significant tourist attraction and natural feature in the park. Confining, hazing, and culling these animals for the sake of private commerce on public land is antithetical to the intent of National Parks.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Kevin Vaught
klvaught@bellsouth.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As a concerned citizen over the environmental health of our National Park in Marin County, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval for CD-0006-02.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide the public to share our concern. Based on continual delays by the NPS to appropriately manage our National Seashore, the public urges the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

Sincerely,
Susan Berzac
spberzac@comcast.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

For the sake of the health of the ecosystems in PRNS, I urge the Commission to rescind your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and find the NPS’ plan inconsistent with the Coastal Act.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

Regarding regenerative ranching and carbon farming, if you research these topics, you see two basic sets of results: industry results (including certain universities with industry funding) which emphasize high-end potential benefits but without peer-reviewed, repeatable, convincing science, and independent bodies such as the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change which are more realistic and deflationary. These approaches are more and more recognized as a green-washing attempt, along the lines of “Clean Coal.” I ask the Commission to perform research on the published articles available many of which documents the lack of benefit to address the climate crisis.

Tule elk have a remarkable history, having been brought back from the brink of extinction. Their presence at Point Reyes is proof that positive change is possible. NPS policy, which favors commercial livestock over wildlife, doesn't reflect the values of those who created the park.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,

Anne Parzick
alw4@sbcglobal.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I have been a long-time advocate for removing the private, for-profit ranches from our National Seashore. Regarding Agenda Item 18a (CD-0006-20), it’s no surprise the National Park Service is trying to weasel out of their responsibilities to ensure those ranches stop damaging what should be a pristine environment held in trust for all people today - as well as future generations - to enjoy and cherish. The NPS has acted mostly as the agents and advocates for cattle ranchers who, having been paid fair market value for the land, should have departed the park decades ago. It’s my sincere hope that the Commission will hold the NPS to its commitments – or reverse Consistency Determination CD-0006-20.

Water quality data shared for public review, and local, state and federal review, clearly documents significant levels of pollution in our waterways that flow into the Pacific Ocean, all caused by commercial cattle ranching operations that lease parcels in PRNS. It is unclear why this level of pollution continues to be condoned. As a visitor to PRNS, this is unacceptable.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

I urge the Commission to not support the NPS’ plan to cull native Tule elk in PRNS.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,
Yves Decargouet
countzero100@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As one who is proud and awestruck by the unique California coastline and biodiversity, especially in Point Reyes, I would like to thank you for your efforts to protect the only National seashore on the West coast.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

PRNS beef and dairy operations cause climate change, both through the consumption of living plant matter (carbon) and through the emission of methane. Methane emission in particular has increased during the past few decades, because cow manure in their modernized operations is stored, rather than aerobically composted.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Heather Walker-Dale
arydonis@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I ask the Commission to no longer support the NPS’ plan to expand and extent destruction cattle operations on our California coast in PRNS. Nothing in this plan will provide the protection of water, air and native wildlife on our public land. It is time to end the leasing of 1/3 of our National Park to commercial ranching operations, and return it to the public and all wildlife to enjoy.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
Marjorie Angelo
joliecoeur@bellsouth.net [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am urging the Commissions to rescind their conditional approval of the environmentally destructive NPS plan CD-0006-02. Our National Seashore, located on the California coast line, deserves to be without commercial ranching operations that impact our public land.

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

Assuming the NPS’ strategy on Climate Action includes regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering as a means to combat climate change the California Coastal Commission should be aware that the claims of regenerative ranching and carbon sequestering are far from proven. In an article by J. Dutkiewicz and G.N. Rosenberg “The Myth of Regenerative Ranching (Sep. 23, 2021) the authors debunk the notion that regenerative ranching can hold answers to limiting the impact of dairy and cattle on climate change. They note a study done by Oxford scholars in 2017 found that grass-fed livestock “does not offer a significant solution to climate change as only under very specific conditions can they help sequester carbon. This sequestering of carbon is even then small, time-limited, reversible and substantially outweighed by the greenhouse gas emissions these grazing animals generate.” The California Coastal Commission should be fully informed of all of the arguments, both for and against these practices before agreeing to NPS’ strategy.

It is the native Tule Elk that bring people from all over the Bay Area, and the US to see these majestic animals in our National Park. Please help protect them from the culling by the NPS.

The impacts of ranching in PRNS is well documented in the NPS’ own EIS therefore we must end commercial ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Amy Dozier
kissyboots5983@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On April 22, 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred in a vote of 5-4 with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). For the upcoming meeting on April 7, I respectfully request the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS’ plan.

Please rescind your conditional concurrence, and help us protect our native Tule Elk, our landscape, our waterways in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Alexandria Luostari
Alexandria.luostari@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am passionate about protecting our National Parks and coastal zones for public use. Therefore I am writing to request the California Coastal Commission to reassess and revoke your conditional approval of the NPS plan, based on water quality data available documenting the significant pollution occurring in the watersheds that drain into the Pacific Ocean, the constant impact of methane released into the atmosphere by private cattle operations, and the culling of native Tule elk in PRNS.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

The NPS says it will develop a plan to address the methane pollution caused by the cattle in PRNS, but there is no plan that can effectively do that, other than removal of virtually all the cows. Even methane digesters don’t capture the belches and farts cows emit, so there will continue to be excessive damaging air pollution in PRNS as long as there are more than just a very few cows there. When one thinks about how PRNS could be the shining example of President Biden’s desperately needed 30 X 30 rewilding program, it becomes clear that continued destructive ranching is the last activity that should be allowed in the park.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

We need to keep methane out of the air, manage our limited water supply and protect our wildlife, in the midst of climate change and a biodiversity crisis. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval on the NPS’ plan and help us save our Seashore.

Sincerely,
John Beck
skymonkey1955@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
elizabeth mostov
li_dode@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,

H. Lehman Holder

tripsguy@aol.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to urge the California Coastal Commission to reconsider its finding of the Point Reyes National Seashore General Management Plan Amendment as conditionally compliant with the Coastal Act.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Per the NPS’ own Environmental Impact Statement, it notes that ranching in the park generates the equivalent of 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year, six-and-a-half times the amount generated by all the car traffic of the over two million annual visitors to PRNS. These emissions are over 60% of overall park emissions, and 21% of countywide agriculture emissions. The only way to effectively end the 24,000 metric tons of CO2/year in PRNS is to remove all cattle ranching from these public lands.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.

Sincerely,
Rohana Wolf
simarohana@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I request the Coastal Commission to revoke their Conditional Concurrence it issued in April 2021 for CD-0006-20 due to lack of management of the National Park Service of the documented, and ongoing issues on water quality, climate impact, and culling of free-ranging Tule Elk.

Despite efforts by National Park Service (NPS) to implement best practices (specifically over 170 of them- reference NPS’s :General Management Plan Amendment Record of Decision, pg. 97) water quality remains to be a high concern. Western Watershed press released noted that “water sampling from Kehoe Creek and Abbots Lagoon on January 27 and 28, 2021, showed that bacteria contamination of surface water dramatically exceeded acceptable water quality standards despite the reported implementation by the park service of waste management actions in drainages impacted by dairy and beef ranches... Bacteria results for the South Fork of Kehoe Creek were 30 times the allowable limit for applicable water quality standards for the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli) on January 27, and 20 times the limit on January 28. Kehoe Creek drains to Kehoe Lagoon at Kehoe Beach and, with heavy rains, the lagoon flows to the ocean. The Lagoon and the ocean are popular recreational spots with direct human contact, which triggers more stringent water quality criteria. A sample was taken from the Lagoon on January 28 and it exceeded E. coli limits by a factor of 40, and exceeded enterococci limits by a factor of 300 (Enterococcus is another large genus of bacteria).”

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Given the above facts, and the history of the PRNS management catering to the needs of the ranchers over those of the public, it is entirely appropriate for the Commission to revoke its finding of a Consistency Determination. I hope that will be the outcome of the April 7th hearing. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

People who visit the beaches and bays of Point Reyes National Seashore may already be getting sick from the fecal bacteria in the water they swim, wade, and kayak in but have no way of knowing the source. The National Park Service knows this, so not only do they not monitor water quality, they don’t even put up warning signs when they know contamination from cattle waste is likely during periods of rain.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Patricia Poock
patan2@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

It’s disingenuous of the NPS to extrapolate ongoing benefits without documented evidence, which is lacking. The lack of water testing by the NPS provides a convenient fig leaf for them to make spurious claims about future “potential” water quality improvements. This “see no evil” attitude may be one reason why the Park Service is failing to provide the Commission with the water and air pollution strategies the CCC has required for its Consistency Determination (CD): no testing = no pollution = no problem to address.

When emissions from land use and land use change are included, the livestock sector accounts for 9 per cent of CO2 deriving from human-related activities, but produces a much larger share of even more harmful greenhouse gases. It generates 65 per cent of human-related nitrous oxide, which has 296 times the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CO2. Most of this comes from manure.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Please repeal your conditional approval. It is time for our National Seashore, the only Seashore on the California coast, to be given the opportunity to be restored to a healthy environment by removal of all cattle operations in our public land.

Sincerely,
Janet Heinle
janetheinle@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

Commercial operations, such as the PRNS dairy and beef ranches, must push for maximum output from within their allotted space, in order to be profitable in a modern competitive market. This explains why those ranches have increased the magnitude and mechanization of their operations, ever since the PRNS was established many decades ago. Excess manure causes surface water contamination, and also problems with runoff into the seashore.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,

Jared Cornelia
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We are in the midst of both a significant climate crisis and biodiversity crisis. One way to help address these catastrophic events is to end the destructive, private ranching in PRNS by withdrawing the conditional approval of the NPS’ plan B.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

In conclusion, it is abundantly clear that if allowed, the NPS will continue in its historic pattern and avoid as much as possible holding the ranchers responsible for the damages their operations cause in PRNS. It is only due to the CCC’s efforts to protect our environment and hold fast to the California Coastal Act that the public can have any assurances that decades of fecal coliform, enterococcus and other bacterial pollution, excess nutrients, and other forms of water pollution might be reduced or eliminated, that some measures to reduce GHGs in the park might be addressed, and that the native tule elk might not be shot for the benefit of profit-seeking, environmentally-destructive ranchers. Even if the NPS presents belated plans to address the Commission’s CD requirements, the issue of NPS reliability still justifies the CCC’s withdrawal of its Consistency Determination. We ask that you do that.

Sincerely,
Amber Sumrall
acsumrall@cruzio.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

I urge the Commission to perform your own research reading independent and scientific articles documenting that regenerative ranching and carbon sequestration will have no to minimal benefit on our public land in PRNS.

PRNS is the only national park with tule elk. Currently there are approximately 500 elk in total (with a die-off in progress as of this writing) in the Seashore and about 5,500 cows, and there are about as many cows in the Seashore as there are tule elk in existence.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Dr Kristi Dunn
mekrisd@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

As an independent quasi-judicial state agency with federal consistency review authority you have the power to hold the park service accountable to the statute of the enabling legislation of Point Reyes act for the “maximum protection, restoration and preservation of the natural environment of the area”. We are counting on you to help us keep the park service accountable.

The National Park Service has not tested the waters of Pt. Reyes National Seashore since 2013, but they claim implementation of “best management practices” (BMPs) have and will continue to reduce water pollution caused by massive amounts of cow manure draining into the park’s waterways and the Pacific Ocean. Please note the high level of pollution in our waterways per the water quality data provided to date. BMPs are not the answer to protect the public, and to protect aquatic and marine life in this coastal zone.

The Climate Crisis is real. Per data provided by the IPCC, we are at the tipping point to address this issue for future generations. Therefore, it is unclear why a highly impactful industry which releases tons of methane into the atmosphere, continues to be allowed in a National Park, when PRNS, located in coastal zone, should be some of the most protected lands. No activity will stop the continual release of methane into our air in PRNS, unless private cattle operations are required to end.

I am opposed to any policy that kills tule elk in favor of private business operations in a public park.

Please stand with the people of the state of California and not private interests. Public opinion is being disregarded, we need your help in getting heard.

Sincerely,

Arlene Zuckerman
arlenenyc@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am concerned about the ongoing mismanagement of Point Reyes National Seashore, which is detrimental to the public good.

In their letter dated March 4, 2022 requesting a delay in submitting water quality monitoring and climate strategy plans, the National Park Service claimed they have not prepared a water quality monitoring plan because they were busy contracting with laboratories, training staff, and procuring equipment. Not only do these activities not preclude preparation of a monitoring plan, they are usually done after a plan is approved so the number and types of analyses and equipment are known.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

It is negligent and irresponsible to cull a native species, whose population is on the decline in a National Park. Private, for-private cattle ranching operations in PRNS should not be prioritized over native Tule Elk.

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Trasoff
strasoff@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The Voeller paper states 30 best management practices (BMPs) were implemented during from 2000-2013, however per water quality data reported and submitted to the Commission over the last year, it is evident BMPs do not work, as the watersheds in PRNS continue to be significantly polluted.

Beef and dairy cows at PRNS emit methane, a highly potent greenhouse gas, via burping and manure. There is no practical way to stop this process, except by removing the cows. Expensive “methane digesters” have limited effectiveness, and only mitigate a problem which shouldn’t even exist at a national park.

Tule elk exist only in California, and their numbers still are much lower than they were in the nineteenth century. There is no good reason that cattle in a national park should outnumber the tule elk by a factor of ten.

California is usually the leader in environmental safeguards, and Point Reyes National Seashore another opportunity on how to address climate crisis and the ongoing drought in this state. The public asks the CCC to vote against the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02).

Sincerely,
Michael Halloran
mshalloran2605@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

The NPS’ Voeller paper states early BMPs implemented have a large effect of improving water quality however subsequent BMPs implemented do not. The NPS implemented over 30 BMPs, and 170 management activities to improve water quality, therefore it is doubtful any additional BMPs will ensure our water in PRNS will improve.

US Methane emissions from livestock and natural gas are nearly equal.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Tracy Drake
tld1218@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the California Coastal Commissioners requesting to withdraw your conditional approval from the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) and the north district of Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA).

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

The culling of Tule Elk is not consistent with the Coastal Act as it is not protecting natural resources in PRNS. Please to not support, nor find consistent any plan by the NPS that allows the killing of a protected, native species.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
Jess Taylor
citta_alta@yahoo.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

During the rainy season from 2021-2022, it was shared the Sonoma County Dairy Association were sampling surface waters in PRNS on behalf of the tenant beef and dairy ranchers. These water quality data should be made public, and submitted to the Commission, the San Francisco RWQCD, as the NPS has failed to provide any water quality data since 2013.

Animal agriculture is responsible for 18 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, more than the combined exhaust from all transportation, while transportation exhaust is responsible for 13% of all greenhouse gas emissions.

In just two years (2020-2021) over half of the Tule elk in the Elk Preserve died and the herd decreased from 445 individuals to just 221 surviving elk. The free-ranging herds are now at risk of culling, based on the NPS' plan.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,

Nick Tucker
NicolasAnthony.Tucker@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Point Reyes National Seashore is a gem located along the Pacific Coast, and millions of people visit it each year to see the majestic Tule Elk, the elephant seals along the coastline, including the avian species which utilize PRNS as it is in the Pacific Flyway. For these reasons and more, I am writing to ask the Commission to help protect PRNS from cattle ranching, and revoke your conditional approval of CD-0006-20.

In 2017, a published report documented a leased parcel operating a cattle ranch in PRNS had the state’s highest reported E.coli level. In 2021, data from a water quality study conducted in PRNS by an expert and downstream from leased parcels that operate polluting dairy and beef operations, confirmed bacteria levels exceeding water quality criteria in public waterways at Kehoe Creek and Abbotts Lagoon. These results were consistent with the NPS’ results from 1999-2013, and once again, shows harmful bacteria levels in waterways in our National Park, even after best management practices were installed over a decade ago in these watersheds.

I caution the Commission of green-washing by the NPS, PRNS tenant ranchers, pro-ranching organizations and pro-ranching Board of Supervisors, and regional politicians. It is important for the Commission to read and reference independent, scientific, published articles on the lack of significant and long-term benefit regenerative ranching and carbon farming to address the climate crisis.

The public comes to PRNS to enjoy the natural resources, including the iconic Tule Elk. The NPS should not cull Tule Elk to support private cattle ranching in PRNS

These ranchers that lease parcels in PRNS, can move their commercial businesses outside of the park to the lands they own in Marin and Sonoma Counties, or lease land from other cattle ranchers. Removal of all ranching operations in PRNS will save and restore on our public land. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval as this plan is not consistent with the Coastal Act.

Sincerely,
Rachel Taylor
racheldinno@hotmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

Data available indicate that even after implementing significant numbers of best management practices (BMPs), water quality samples in Point Reyes were generally very poor and exceed bacteria limits for recreational contact more often than not. Testing by NPS in certain watersheds in Point Reyes ended in 2013, however recent testing done by concerned citizens in January 2021, shows that water quality remains extremely poor and that improvement trends implied by the NPS as of the end of their testing in 2013 are in fact not occurring.

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

Please do not allow the NPS to kill our free-ranging Tule elk. Our elk should be given the freedom to roam, especially in the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Again, for the sake of water quality, the climate and biodiversity crisis, I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan (CD-0006-02)

Sincerely,
Scott Webb
scottwebb02@gmail.com [your location will go here]
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On Earth Day 2021, the CCC narrowly voted in favor of extending commercial operations with conditions to submit a water quality strategy and climate action plan. While it was discouraging the commission would vote in favor of continuation and extension of commercial operations that are degrading a precious natural resource, we would like to thank the commission for setting conditions on concurrence and enforcing compliance standards that are long overdue.

The NPS and tenant cattle ranchers are aware of extremely high levels of pollution occurring in our streams, lagoons, waterways in PRNS, many that drain into Drakes Estero and the Pacific Ocean. It is unclear why the NPS and tenant ranchers have failed to do the right thing, by testing water quality over the last several years, while knowing of the high level of pollution that directly impacts public health and aquatic health.

Livestock is responsible for 65% of all human-related emissions of nitrous oxide – a greenhouse gas with 296 times the global warming potential of carbon dioxide, and which stays in the atmosphere for 150 years.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Your strong position on this federal consistency determination will send a powerful message that preserving a natural resource matters.

Sincerely,
Woody Elliott
woodyelliott@gmail.com 94937-0368
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

Proposed water quality improvements by the NPS at this stage should not be the continuation of current versions which have shown to fail to meet established water quality standards.

Over 5000 cattle are in the Seashore and use up to 78 million gallons of water per year, for drinking, and cleaning manure out of dairy barns. This is allowed to occur at a time of recurring drought in California, driven by the climate change which is exacerbated by the other impacts of those same cattle in PRNS. This is not right, to continue to lease parcels for cattle ranching activities, while the area is in a drought period, and the public is being asked to decrease their water usage. The right step for our National Park and the climate crisis, is to remove all cattle operations immediately.

Tule elk are endemic to California, and PRNS is the only National park with Tule elk. We just lost approximately half of the elk herd held captive behind the 8’ fence over the last 2 years, due to lack of sufficient water and forage – the NPS were aware of this because concerned citizens made them aware. And now, the NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan as the public wants to see Tule Elk in our National Park, not domestic cattle.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Amy Allen
amyntrip@sbcglobal.net 94901
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to respectfully request the CCC to help us protect our natural resources in PRNS, as it deserves to be saved and restored for the general public and future generations to come.

Voeller, et. al, “Rangeland 2021 Improved Microbial Water Quality Associated with Best Practices on Coastal Dairies and Livestock Operations” argue that agricultural best management practices (BMPs), such as fencing, manure management, development of off-stream water sources, and other means of separating cattle and their waste from surface waters, are effective at reducing fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). However, even Voeller admits that these practices don’t work in the rain noting: “Despite dramatic reductions, FIB concentrations still periodically exceed regulatory objectives and microbial pollutants remain that may introduce human, ecosystem, and other risks in our study watersheds…FIB levels increased across all stations during rainfall events.” He suggests smoothing the data to examine overall declines. Unfortunately, one can’t simply smooth the data when their child goes into the water and becomes ill. One, also, doubts species struggling to survive can ignore large spikes in pollutants during and after rains.

Removing cattle from the seashore and restoring native, perennial prairies and woody vegetation, which have been shown to sequester more carbon than the European grasses, would be an effective way to decrease carbon emissions.

Please provide our native Tule Elk from the NPS’ and cattle ranchers plan. No endemic species, that is protected by a federal conservation low, should be allowed to be culled.

Taking constructive action to eliminate or vastly reduce the methane pollution from too many cows, and allowing the native tule elk to live in peace in their natural environment are what the public wants from the Park Service, not excuses and more catering to the needs of the ranchers at the expense of the public. I urge the Commission to rescind the conditional approval of CD-0006-20, as it is time for the destructive cattle operations to end along our California coast in PRNS.

Sincerely,
Walker Laughlin
walker@wellkind.org 94930
Dear California Coastal Commission,

It is time for the right step to occur in our National Park on the coastline of California, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS). I respectfully request the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-02.

The letter from the NPS to the Commission said, “Since the release of the ROD, the NPS has met with ranchers to begin identifying operational and infrastructure needs to further improve resource conditions.” Besides being a conflict of interest, it is precisely this approach that has led to the current violations of standard. CCC should add the condition that independent conservation, ecological and public environment organizations provide oversight over water quality rectification.

Regenerative ranching and carbon farming have minimal short term benefit. Methane released continuously from cattle exceeds any possible benefit. We and future generations need this National Park to be restored to native coastal prairie landscapes, including native trees – this is what will help with soil and vegetation health which in turn will benefit reducing CO2 from the atmosphere.

The NPS is being sued by Harvard Animal Clinic, due to the preventable and horrific slow death caused by starvation and lack of water of the Tule Elk herd confined behind the 8’ fence. In addition, the NPS’ plan allows the culling of the free-ranging elk, to keep the native species from competing with cattle for forage and water. Why is this allowed and supported in a National Park?

With an objection to the federal consistency determination you will send a strong statement that the best climate action plan is to prioritize environment over private interests especially in a National Park.

Sincerely,
Richard Cerri
cerri.richard@yahoo.com 94945
Dear California Coastal Commission,

On March 4, 2022, Point Reyes National Seashore (PRNS) Superintendent Kenkel requested an extension on the agreed upon conditions, however the California Coastal Commission (CCC) denied the extension. I would like to thank the members of the CCC for holding the National Park Service accountable to address the agreed upon conditions from the April 2021 meeting.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

With respect to the Climate Action Strategy, when the Park Service does revert with a plan, the Commission can expect to hear an impressive group of buzz words such as “regenerative ranching,” “carbon farming,” etc. I urge the Commission to consider the source and do independent research regarding this unproven and self-interested set of speculations.

The Tule elk population were at approximately 500,000 in California until European settlers hunted them almost to extinction. Today there are as many cattle in PRNS as there are Tule Elk in California.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Daniel Dietrich
dan_dietrich2002@yahoo.com 94937
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Given the lack of conclusive scientific studies and data that clearly demonstrate the NPS can meet regulatory standards on a consistent basis by means of best practices in regards to: water quality, reducing the impact of climate change, and improving the well-being of the elk without the full removal of dairy and cattle ranches, I urge the California Coastal Commission (CCC) to withdraw their conditional approval of the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore.

The NPS’ Record of Decision notes 170 management activities were implemented to improve water quality in PRNS, however data on water quality available over the last year clearly notes that these management activities are not beneficial to improve water. It is time for tenant cattle ranching to end in PRNS.

A question: why should the NPS continue to financially support a polluting industry on our public land and in a coastal zone, that clearly is impacting our air quality with high levels of methane and green-house gases into our atmosphere?

The NPS now has the ability to cull up some of the Drakes Beach herd from 151 to reduce to 140, based on their plan, and based on what they think is a ‘management threshold.’ Please note there is no science behind the NPS’ number of 140. To date, it is uncertain the size of the other free-ranging herd (Limantour herd), as the NPS has not shared this information. Please help protect our Tule Elk.

The impacts of the beef and dairy ranches in PRNS can stop now, so that we can have clean water, clean air, and free-ranging elk. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the tenant ranchers and NPS’ plan, as it is time to end these unnecessary impacts.

Sincerely,
Tyler Petersen
tylerpetersen411@gmail.com 94941
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We face a key turning point in the fate of Point Reyes National Seashore. Will it be a National Park where nature and biodiversity thrive or degraded grazed lands where California endemic species are killed? Your decision on federal consistency can prevent an ominous fate of the only National seashore on the West coast.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

The NPS is engaged with FIGR on the horrific culling of our native Tule Elk. FIGR have not been involved in the protection of this native species so it is unclear why this is being allowed. Our native Tule Elk should not be killed for any reason, and the elk should be prioritized over tenant-owned domestic cattle in PRNS.

The public has made it abundantly clear in past hearings that it wishes ranching to cease in PRNS. The NPS does not have the credibility to enforce environmental protection of our precious seashore even if it comes up with plans to do so, and people are tired of being told that ranching was meant to continue indefinitely when the record and the public’s vision say otherwise. Our coast and its attendant wildlife cannot thrive while ranching persists, and it is time for it to end. The Commission is in the position to uphold the Coastal Act, put an end to the pollution and degradation caused by ranching operations, and open the door to true regeneration of native plant and animal life. Please don’t miss this opportunity. Do what’s right and rescind Consistency Determination CD-0006-20. I thank you.
Dear California Coastal Commission,

One of the most significant provisions of the federal CZMA gives state coastal management agencies federal consistency review authority over all federal activities. With the extent of documented inconsistencies and decades of inaction by the NPS to protect coastal watersheds and marine resources, the CCC staff has found that the GMPA is not “consistent to the maximum extent practicable” with the enforcement policies of the California Coastal Management program.

Since the Commission came within one vote of rejecting the NPS’s request for a Consistency Determination (CD) that their General Management Plan Amendment comports with the California Coastal Act, one would think the NPS would work diligently to perform the tasks it agreed to, to attain that CD. That is, until one looks at the NPS’s track record as the enforcing agency responsible for making sure that the private, for-profit ranches that are degrading our park are held accountable and made to remediate the damages they inflict. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the Commission to find that the GMPA is not consistent with the California Coastal Act at this time.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

It is unacceptable endemic Tule Elk in PRNS are second tier to domestic cattle raised by ranching tenants. Tule Elk should not be culled over cattle.

Impacts to water quality and air quality are unavoidable in PRNS while the cattle industry is allowed to continue – just follow the science. The culling of native Tule elk is avoidable, but the NPS’ and ranchers believe it is appropriate to do so, but the public does not. It is asked the Commission no longer support the NPS’ plan, and find the plan not consistent.

Sincerely,
Kathy Cullen
trimusic18@gmail.com 90503
Dear California Coastal Commission,

More than ever, the population is in need of outdoor places to go that provide a healthy and safe ecosystem with clean air and clean water, and abundant wildlife. I kindly request the Commission to reassess the conditional approval, as it is imperative to remove the commercial ranching operations from Point Reyes National Seashore, so that our waterways are no longer polluted with cattle manure, methane is no longer released into the air, and Tule elk can roam freely without the risk of being killed by the NPS.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

The CCC should not approve of a Climate Action Strategy from the NPS that can’t prove demonstrable positive results. Claims of “regenerative ranching” or “carbon farming” cannot be accepted at face value. Such claims must be backed up by independent studies not funded by private or commercial interests. There is a lot of pseudo-science being tossed around, but when one looks under the surface, most of it is worthless. Commissioners owe it to themselves and the public to vet any such claims before allowing a climate plan to be based on them.

The agriculture lobbyists and unfortunately some of our local, state and congressional politicians support the culling and confining of our Tule Elk in PRNS. This is not acceptable. Please help protect the Tule elk from the poor decisions being made by the NPS, and the politicians who are financially benefitting from the ranching industry.

Thank you for your time, and please help us protect our National Seashore, the only National Seashore on the West Coast.

Sincerely,
Michelle Waters
flyingcat95033@yahoo.com 95033
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I want to recognize the critical step that the California Coastal Commission (CCC) has taken to make the National Park Service (NPS) bring forth their Water Quality and Climate Change strategies, along with the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd to supplement the General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area before the CCC signs off.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

Please protect the native tule elk from being culled in our National Seashore.

California is in the midst of a significant drought. Why do we continue to allow a private industry pollute our limited surface waters, and use significant amounts of water from our natural springs causing them to run dry, in a National Park? Time to end this extractive industry in our public land. Please change your conditional approval, and vote No on the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Wendy Dreskin
bdreskin@comcast.net 94960
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

Surface water at Point Reyes has been contaminated by E. coli, which originates from commercial beef and dairy ranching. Concerned citizens, with no financial interest at stake, have documented this over many years. Despite promises to implement “best practices,” water quality at PRNS remains poor.

The promise of ranching that offsets methane by storing carbon in the soil would be a blessing. However, there is not enough evidence that regenerative ranching works. First there is a problem with defining it. Regenerative ranching could be about processes (how you farm) or outcomes (what you achieve). Second there is a problem with measuring the impact. There is widespread scientific debate about how much carbon agricultural lands can actually sequester in the soil. Experts suggest “the potential carbon benefit can vary from region to region, farm to farm, even from parcel to parcel within a single farm. It can change based on soil composition. It can change based on the level of nitrogen available.” And it is suggested that some agricultural lands max out their carbon sequestration potential over time. The CCC should have more evidence of sound working practices before signing off on a strategy.

A Tule elk cow weighs on average 341 pounds, while a Holstein dairy cow weighs 1500 pounds. An elk cow consumes 6.8 pounds of dry weight forage a day while a Holstein dairy cow consumes 6.7 times more food than the average elk cow – a whopping 45.6 pounds of dry weight forage a day.

I urge the committee to be sensitive to overly-optimistic predictions without sufficient enforcement budget and governance, and with insufficient penalties for the seemingly inevitable violations of whatever the new terms of ranch operations may be.

Sincerely,
William Teufel MD
wlteufel@comcast.net 94963
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing the Commission to share my concern that no amount of water quality strategies and climate action plans will stop the significant impact of cattle ranching in PRNS. For this reason, I kindly request the Commission to vote against Plan B, by withdrawing your conditional approval.

This is not the first time the National Park Service at Point Reyes National seashore has snubbed the California Coastal Commission. According to the National Park Service General Management Plan Amendment EIS, a loafing barn was constructed at the McClure dairy between 2004 to 2006. The National Park Service stated “The McClure dairy plans to construct a loafing barn … The California Coastal Commission has requested that water quality improvements are quantified. The Seashore staff will assist with monitoring to quantify pollutant levels…” (National Park Service report by Ketcham, November, 2001). However, water quality standard exceedances continued after the barn was constructed and no reports to the Coastal Commission are in evidence in the extensive references cited in the EIS.

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

People who enjoy the outdoors like to marvel at the wonderful creatures of nature, such as the tule elk. The elk can thrive in a coastal prairie that is free from barbed wire and restrictive fences.

It is time to prioritize the environmental health and the love of our wildlife in PRNS. Please rescind your conditional approval, and let’s restore our National Seashore.

Sincerely,
Sara Jara
Prosarajara@gmail.com 94901
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The overall health of our National Park and the Pacific coastline is far more important than a handful of cattle ranching tenants making money off of public lands while impacting our landscape, our waterways, our native wildlife. I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval of the National Park Service’s CD-0006-02.

The Park Service’s own Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) notes BMPs as fencing cattle out of waterways can only go so far, and there’s not much else that can be gained beyond what’s been achieved to date. In addition, BMPs have been employed since the last tests performed by the NPS, and if NPS is to be believed, pollutant levels would show a reduction by now. However, testing by concerned citizens show consistent excess unsafe bacterial and coliform levels.

There has been much talk in the animal ag industry lately about “carbon farming” and “sustainable” or “regenerative ranching.” The Commission should be abundantly aware that this is almost exclusively greenwashing. Such efforts have minimal and short-lived benefits, as soil carbon absorption quickly reaches a saturation point, it requires way more land and labor to rotate grazing than is either available or economically feasible, and it is being promoted as a “solution” to the real negative impacts caused by factory farms, and even so-called “family farms,” when in reality it is a distraction from actually addressing the problems. In fact, these techniques are meant to address excess manure, but the methane produced by cattle exceeds any small benefit gained. Sadly, a number of pseudo-environmental groups such as the Marin Conservation League, Marin Resource Conservation District, the Environmental Forum of Marin and others have given cover to these efforts with their not-disinterested support, but don’t be fooled – the science says such approaches barely work, and definitely are not an answer to the harms caused by concentrated animal operations. Any partnership between NPS and these or other promoters of false science should not be acceptable to the CCC.

In 1993, the Report of the Scientific Advisory Panel on Control of Tule Elk on Point Reyes National Seashore concluded, “The long-range goal of elk management at PRNS should be the re-establishment of free-ranging elk throughout the seashore and associated public lands. This would involve … removal of the fence across Tomales Point. [The National Park Service] and [California Department of Fish and Game] should develop a long-range management plan with the goal of achieving a large, healthy, free-ranging elk population subjected to a minimum of management intervention.” This report is in the NPS Elk Management Plan, therefore what does the NPS continue to prioritize destructive cattle ranching over our native Tule Elk?

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The only certain and effective way to address water quality pollution, such as the dangerous levels of E-Coli, in watersheds containing dairies and ranches is to remove the domestic animals. Impacts of cattle defecating in streams cannot be mitigated - it should never have been allowed to occur.

The only sound and definitive Climate Action strategy pertinent to the Point Reyes National Seashore’s GMPA is to rid the area of dairy and cattle ranches and their immediate and related residue - not a proposal for an ‘off-set’.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

The National Park Service is obviously enduring significant political pressure to continue ranching in the park, regardless of the consequences to the environment and the public. Representative Huffman, Senator Feinstein, and others, have explicitly directed NPS to continue ranching, before the EIS was published. NPS is not free to act on science and data, and is in fact under great pressure to misrepresent the situation to justify a predetermined outcome of the entire process.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Ames
kateames@hotmail.com 94960
Dear California Coastal Commission,

In April 2021, the Commission conditionally concurred with the consistency determination (CD-0006-20) submitted by the National Park Service’s (NPS) General Management Plan Amendment (GMPA) for PRNS/GGNRA giving NPS a full year to present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging elk herd. NPS made this information public on March 25, 2022 thus allowing little time for review and rebuttal. As a concerned citizen I urge the CCC to allow more time for thorough review and vetting of these strategies before approving the GMPA.

The industrial ranching operations at PRNS, which include massive (30,000 sq. ft.) modern loafing barns, don’t resemble the small traditional historic ranches of six decades ago, from before the formation of the PRNS. Tons of feed are brought in, and excess manure is stored in poop lagoons and later sprayed onto fields. This crude practice doesn’t enable natural composting, and results in water pollution.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

Tule elk help make Point Reyes National Seashore a desirable place to visit. NPS policy should protect them better.

The Park is along the Pacific Flyway and almost half of all North American bird species, nearly 500 in all, are recorded there. There are over 50 species registered as threatened, rare, or endangered at the state or federal level. It is imperative we keep our Park clean and unimpaired for bird species, and protect what is far more important that an extractive cattle industry on public lands. Please vote against Plan B, as we must do everything we can to protect our environment, especially on public lands.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Ames
kateames@hotmail.com 94960
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Reinstatement of water testing shouldn’t take more than a year to set up, and would have been restarted by any responsible agency immediately after the CCC’s prior hearing on this issue. This arrogant lack of responsiveness by the NPS is reason enough for the Commission to rescind CD-0006-20. If the Park Service can’t even say how they’re going to mitigate the damages caused by their GMPA, how can they be expected to actually implement any planned action?

Dairy farms and cattle ranching don’t belong in a national park. They contribute to climate change. Don’t be fooled by false “greenwashing” claims, about “regenerative grazing” or “carbon farming.” Those concepts are promoted by big money interests, but their overly optimistic claims aren’t published in referenced journals.

Because of livestock at PRNS, the free roaming tule elk have been infected with Johne's disease, and therefore can't be relocated. Commercial operations that harm wildlife do not belong in a public park.

The ecological richness and value of Point Reyes needs to be reiterated. It contains a mosaic of habitats in all areas except on 28,000 acres where ranching operations is allowed. It supports diverse wildlife including our endemic Tule elk, however ranching operations impact our wildlife through culling of the elk, hazing and silage mowing. We must restore the areas destroyed by ranching, by ending private cattle ranching in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval and vote against the Plan, as the Plan has no ability to protect our water, air and wildlife.

Sincerely,
Clay Bell
clay@spearlab.com 94116
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The impact of cattle operations on our water, air and Tule elk should not be allowed on public land, therefore please reassess your conditional approval on CD-0006-20.

The NPS states their plan does not impair any resource, and claims water quality in the Seashore will improve in line with two cited studies (Voeller 2021; Lewis 2019). However, the NPS has not shared water quality data since 2013, therefore the NPS cannot state water quality will improve. Water quality data from 2021 provided by concerns citizens state the opposite – water quality is impaired to a level that it is a high risk to humans, as well as aquatic life.

Instead of offering a strategy to reduce air emissions, the National Park Service points to the closure of one of the dairies at the park. However, the NPS offers no guarantee that the lease will not be taken over by another rancher who will bring in more cattle in the future. Indeed, their Succession Policy dictates that surrendered leases will be preferentially offered to other ranchers, their families, even their employees. If the National Park Service wants to demonstrate a sincere desire for lasting improvement in the quality of water and air at Point Reyes National Seashore they can start by rewriting their succession policy to permanently retire leases when ranchers do not wish to renew them, thereby allowing the land to return to an ecologically sustainable state that would benefit wildlife and improve the enjoyment of visitors.

The tule elk at PRNS are restricted, both by the barbed wire fences and by the 8-foot tall fence at Tomales Point. The fences should be removed, for the sake of these native animals, and no wildlife in PRNS should be culled for private financial benefit of domestic cattle.

The mere fact that the NPS has asked for this delay demonstrates a failure to meet the CCC’s requirements for a CD. This should be taken as proof that the NPS does not intend to fulfill its responsibilities. Therefore, I ask that the Commission rescind its conditional concurrence and declare the NPS’s General Management Plan Amendment inconsistent with the California Coastal Act. Thank you for your consideration of these matters, and I respectfully request the Commission revoke their conditional approval.

Sincerely,
beverly petersen
bev0742@yahoo.com 94086
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I would like to thank the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for denying an extension to the National Park Service (NPS), and requiring the NPS to return to the April 2022 meeting and present a Water Quality Strategy, Climate Action Strategy, and an update on the well-being of the free-ranging herd, per the agreement reached after the April 2021 meeting. As an individual concerned with the continual and significant impact occurring in a National Park and caused by private ranching operations, I want to thank the Commission for holding the NPS accountable.

The McClure Dairy built a 36,000 square foot loafing barn on the rolling hills near the Pacific Coast in 2004, to help the dairy financially and to reduce the significant impact on water quality from the cattle operations. Please note the high levels of water pollution in this watershed which drains into Abbotts Lagoon, a waterway enjoyed by aquatic life, including river otters, and the public. This is a prime example that a loafing barn, a best management practice (BMP) has no benefit in protecting our water from cattle manure in PRNS.

Approximately 20% of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the Marin agriculture operations comes from the tenant cattle ranching operations in PRNS. That is a significant amount of GHGs coming out of public lands, caused by a polluting industry, and is not acceptable.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

Kindly act in the best interest of the public good.

Sincerely,
William Parrinello
willmvfg@gmail.com 94965
Dear California Coastal Commission,

Thank you, Commissioners, for refusing to let the National Park Service delay reporting back to you with their plans to address water pollution, air pollution and tule elk management as agreed to by the NPS. Those of us who have witnessed the NPS’s mismanagement of Pt. Reyes National Seashore over the years will be surprised if they actually come back with plans for CCC review, and even more so should they be meaningful. Should any such plans surface, it will bear close ongoing scrutiny to see if plans are ever implemented.

According to the NPS’ own EIS, “Alternative B would continue to contribute adverse impacts on water resources in the planning area from beef and dairy cattle ranching, Manure and Nutrient Management, and water consumption related to ranching activities.” If ranching were removed from PRNS, the EIS states “…impacts on water quality would be noticeable, long-term, and beneficial because ranching activities would be phased out across the entire planning area.” Therefore why does the NPS have the option to continue to allow destructive ranching operations in PRNS when it continues to impact our water?

Our best defense for the climate crisis is to preserve wilderness, especially in a National park, not try to solve a problem created by grazed lands with experimental unproven techniques like “Regenerative” and “carbon farming” techniques.

Domestic cattle raised for private, financial benefit on public lands should not be prioritized over endemic Tule Elk. This is another reason why I urge the Commission to revoke your conditional approval.

The ongoing impact to our water, air, land, vegetation and native wildlife in our National Park is inappropriate. It is time to end the polluting and extractive ranching industry on our public land. The NPS’ plan is not consistent with the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, nor does it protect our wildlife. Please vote against the NPS’ plan.

Sincerely,
Audrey Fusco
audrey.fusco@gmail.com 94963
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to request the CCC to help us protect coastal and marine resources in PRNS.

The NPS documented that over 170 management activities have been implemented to improve water quality, however per data provided by concerned citizens, clearly notes that these 170 management activities have had no to minimal benefit, as our waterways in the coastal areas continue to be significant polluted, and at levels that are unsafe for public use, and also unsafe for fresh water aquatic life, including marine life.

Rearing cattle produces more greenhouse gases than driving cars, UN report warns.

It is tragic that a National Park that should consider killing Tule Elk, a species endemic to California and introduced to Point Reyes to be protected. Please do not allow park service to kill Tule Elk to protect private interests.

In the midst of a climate crisis and biodiversity crisis, we can utilize our public land in PRNS to help slow or stop each. There are private land owners trying to create native habitats in their yards to help with climate change and the biodiversity crisis. We should do the same on our public land in PRNS. Please say No to the NPS’ plan

Sincerely,
Kelli Petersen
kellipetersen@sbcglobal.net 94941
Dear California Coastal Commission,

The NPS’ plan CD-0006-20 should be unacceptable to all. The plan impacts the quality of water, air and soil, impacts our native wildlife and native vegetation. I urge the Commission to withdraw your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan, for the sake of our environmental and for future generations.

California’s highest E.Coli level was recorded on a Point Reyes ranch. Park service’s 2013 water quality study determined that dairies pollute the Drakes Estero, Limantour, Kehoe and Abbotts areas with high concentrations of fecal coliform. As a regulatory body with jurisdiction and mission to protect the California Coast these findings should be unacceptable. When will it be enough for your decisive action?

Cattle ranching generates high levels of methane – independent and scientific data document this, and methane release is a leading cause of the climate crisis. The public should subsidize nor support any activities of the NPS nor the tenant ranchers in PRNS to help reduce their polluting industry.

I am offended by the idea that native tule elk must be “culled” because they are supposedly interfering with commercial beef and dairy operations in a national park. Native Tule Elk should be given priority over the tenant ranching operations.

The public has spoken, and the data continues to document the egregious and unethical impacts to our natural resources on our public land in PRNS. Please withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20)

Sincerely,

Watson Gooch
wpgooch111@gmail.com 93402
Dear California Coastal Commission,

We must protect and preserve our coastal zones in Point Reyes National Seashore, from the destructive cattle operations on our public lands. Our water is being polluted, our air is being polluted, and our endemic wildlife are being culled, under the NPS’ Plan. Please help us protect our National Seashore by revoking your conditional approval.

Commercial ranching operations take up about one third of the total land area at PRNS, and they use almost all available fresh water on the peninsula. For example, the big McClure (I Ranch) and Kehoe (J Ranch) operations are strategically situated in valleys where they take water from a natural spring and from Abbotts Lagoon. Removing this water harms the ecosystem.

Coastal prairies sequester carbon better than annual cheatgrass in grazed land which is also a fire hazard. “Carbon farms” are experimental and not proven to scale. Methane digesters are not cost-effective. We do not have too much time to respond to the climate crisis. We should not deflect from reality to suit private interests using catchphrases to confound the reality of claims.

The health of the free range elk herds must be recognized as an immediate issue. Proposed climate action strategies may or may not come to fruition to aid them and cannot be used as a proxy for addressing current needs.

In light of non-adherence to proper testing regime and evaluation it would be prudent for the CCC to withdraw your conditional approval of the Consistency Determination (CD-0006-20) to properly comply with your fiduciary responsibilities and to be evaluated, again, when the interests of all effected parties are properly addressed.

Sincerely,
jonathan spear
jdspear@alumni.princeton.edu 94930
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am writing to ask the Commission to rescind your conditional approval on CD-0006-02, and vote against this NPS plan, as we must address the ongoing issue of destructive cattle ranching in PRNS that continues to degrade water and air quality, and impacts the lives of the native Tule Elk.

The NPS had 12 months to create a surface water quality plan, and also had plenty of opportunities to collect water samples during the several rain events that occurred between in the PRNS/GGNRA area between October 2021 and early 2022. These data could have been shared with the Commission and the concerned public, however the NPS requested an extension, therefore it is assumed the NPS did not follow through with the agreed upon condition.

Methane is 25-100 times more destructive than CO2 on a 20-year time frame.

Native Tule elk were reintroduced into PRNS in 1978, to help restore the herd that was once over 500,000 in population, and now the herd is down to 5000. Please do not support the culling of this endemic species, and withdraw your conditional approval of CD-0006-20, and vote against the NP and rancher’s plan.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter. Again, I request the Commission to rescind your conditional approval, and help save our native wildlife, and help save PRNS.

Sincerely,
lonna richmond
lonnajean@gmail.com 94965
Dear California Coastal Commission,

I am calling on the Commission to help protect the unique landscape and wildlife in Point Reyes National Park. The air, the water, and the wildlife all deserve protection, and this can occur with the support of the California Coastal Commission.

Decades of inaction and lack of enforcement by NPS has eroded public trust and the latest request by NPS to request an extension to present the water quality strategy is another example of the park service lack of interest in addressing the serious situation. When will it be enough for the CCC to take a stronger position to preserve the California coast?

Livestock and their byproducts account for at least 32,000 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) per year, or 51% of all worldwide greenhouse gas emissions.

The NPS’ plan allows for the culling of the free-ranging elk, to benefit ranchers who rent parcels on our public land. This is not appropriate. Please reconsider your conditional approval of the NPS’ plan.

Your decision to revoke the concurrence will send an unequivocal and strong message that it is important to protect the diminishing natural and biodiverse ecosystems against private interests.

Sincerely,
Chris Jones
cagjones@yahoo.com
Dear Executive Director John Ainsworth,

As someone who is concerned about wild animals and wildlands, and one of over 250,000 In Defense of Animals supporters, I urge you to vote against the staff-recommended conditional compliance to the Point Reyes General Land Management Plan Amendment (GLMPA), and object to the National Park Service’s consistency determination, which is not consistent with the California Coastal Management Plan.

The CCC received over 20,000 comments opposing the park's plan to continue ranching leases within the national park. We applaud the Commission for postponing the public hearing, which will allow enough time to adequately review and analyze comments with scientific and technical data.

The staff report focused on water quality, yet the last tests were documented in 2013. In Defense of Animals recently performed professional scientific water quality tests from key collection points at Point Reyes National Seashore. Now the Commission has ample opportunity to review these new findings.

The report also did not address other spillover effects from the Point Reyes GLMPA, including air quality and climate impacts from grazing cows, water quantity, and the loss of coastal public access.

Please vote against the conditional compliance to protect our waterways and the Pacific Ocean from harmful spillover impacts. Thank you for your consideration of this important and timely matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mikie carroll
225 W Cypress Ave  Kingsland, GA 31548-4269
litemikie@yahoo.com